Supplementary Material of "Dynamic Regret of Strongly Adaptive Methods"

Lijun Zhang¹ Tianbao Yang² Rong Jin³ Zhi-Hua Zhou¹

A. Proof of Lemma 1

We first prove the first part of Lemma 1. Let $k = \lfloor \log_K t \rfloor$. Then, integer t can be represented in the base-K number system as

$$t = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j K^j.$$

From the definition of base-K ending time, integers that are no larger than t and alive at t are

$$\begin{cases} 1 * K^{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{j} K^{j}, \ 2 * K^{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{j} K^{j}, \ \dots, \ \beta_{0} * K^{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{j} K^{j} \\ 1 * K^{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \beta_{j} K^{j}, \ 2 * K^{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \beta_{j} K^{j}, \ \dots, \ \beta_{1} * K^{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \beta_{j} K^{j} \\ \dots \\ 1 * K^{k-1} + \beta_{k} K^{k}, \ 1 * K^{k-1} + \beta_{k} K^{k}, \ \dots, \ \beta_{k-1} * K^{k-1} + \beta_{k} K^{k} \\ 1 * K^{k}, \ 2 * K^{k}, \ \dots, \ \beta_{k} K^{k} \end{cases} \right\}.$$

The total number of alive integers are upper bounded by

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \le (k+1)(K-1) = (\lfloor \log_K t \rfloor + 1)(K-1).$$

We proceed to prove the second part of Lemma 1. Let $k = \lfloor \log_K r \rfloor$, and the representation of r in the base-K number system be

$$r = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j K^j.$$

We generate a sequence of segments as

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= [t_1, e^{t_1} - 1] = \left[\sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j K^j, (\beta_1 + 1) K^1 + \sum_{j=2}^k \beta_j K^j - 1 \right], \\ I_2 &= [t_2, e^{t_2} - 1] = \left[(\beta_1 + 1) K^1 + \sum_{j=2}^k \beta_j K^j, (\beta_2 + 1) K^2 + \sum_{j=3}^k \beta_j K^j - 1 \right], \\ I_3 &= [t_3, e^{t_3} - 1] = \left[(\beta_2 + 1) K^2 + \sum_{j=3}^k \beta_j K^j, (\beta_3 + 1) K^3 + \sum_{j=4}^k \beta_j K^j - 1 \right], \\ & \dots \\ I_k &= [t_k, e^{t_k} - 1] = \left[(\beta_{k-1} + 1) K^{k-1} + \beta_k K^k, (\beta_k + 1) K^k - 1 \right], \\ I_{k+1} &= [t_{k+1}, e^{t_{k+1}} - 1] = \left[(\beta_k + 1) K^k, K^{k+1} - 1 \right], \\ I_{k+2} &= [t_{k+2}, e^{t_{k+2}} - 1] = \left[K^{k+1}, K^{k+2} - 1 \right], \\ & \dots \end{split}$$

until s is covered. It is easy to verify that

$$t_{m+1} > t_m + K^{m-1} - 1.$$

Thus, s will be covered by the first m intervals as long as

$$t_m + K^{m-1} - 1 \ge s.$$

A sufficient condition is

$$r + K^{m-1} - 1 \ge s$$

which is satisfied when

$$m = \lceil \log_K(s - r + 1) \rceil + 1.$$

B. Proof of Theorem 1

From the second part of Lemma 1, we know that there exist m segments

$$I_j = [t_j, e^{t_j} - 1], \ j \in [m]$$

with $m \leq \lceil \log_K(s - r + 1) \rceil + 1$, such that

$$t_1 = r, e^{t_j} = t_{j+1}, j \in [m-1], \text{ and } e^{t_m} > s.$$

Furthermore, the expert E^{t_j} is alive during the period $[t_j, e^{t_j} - 1]$. Using Claim 3.1 of Hazan & Seshadhri (2009), we have

$$\sum_{t=t_j}^{e^{t_j}-1} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_j}) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\log t_j + 2 \sum_{t=t_j+1}^{e^{t_j}-1} \frac{1}{t} \right), \ \forall j \in [m-1]$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{t_j}^{t_j}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{e^{t_j}-1}^{t_j}$ is the sequence of solutions generated by the expert E^{t_j} . Similarly, for the last segment, we have

$$\sum_{t=t_m}^{s} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_m}) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\log t_m + 2 \sum_{t=t_m+1}^{s} \frac{1}{t} \right).$$

By adding things together, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{t=t_j}^{e^{t_j}-1} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_j}) \right) + \sum_{t=t_m}^s f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_m})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^m \log t_j + \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{t=r+1}^s \frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{m+2}{\alpha} \log T.$$
(8)

According to the property of online Newton step (Hazan et al., 2007, Theorem 2), we have, for any $w \in \Omega$,

$$\sum_{t=t_j}^{e^{t_j}-1} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_j}) - f_t(\mathbf{w}) \le 5d\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + GB\right)\log T, \ \forall j \in [m-1]$$
(9)

and

$$\sum_{t=t_m}^s f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_m}) - f_t(\mathbf{w}) \le 5d\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + GB\right)\log T.$$
(10)

Combining (8), (9), and (10), we have,

$$\sum_{t=r}^{s} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - \sum_{t=r}^{s} f_t(\mathbf{w}) \le \left(\frac{(5d+1)m+2}{\alpha} + 5dmGB\right)\log T$$

for any $\mathbf{w} \in \Omega$.

C. Proof of Lemma 2

The gradient of $\exp(-\alpha f(\mathbf{w}))$ is

$$\nabla \exp(-\alpha f(\mathbf{w})) = \exp(-\alpha f(\mathbf{w})) - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{w}) = -\alpha \exp(-\alpha f(\mathbf{w})) \nabla f(\mathbf{w}).$$

and the Hessian is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \exp(-\alpha f(\mathbf{w})) &= -\alpha \exp(-\alpha f(\mathbf{w})) - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{w}) \nabla^\top f(\mathbf{w}) - \alpha \exp(-\alpha f(\mathbf{w})) \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \alpha \exp(-\alpha f(\mathbf{w})) \left(\alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{w}) \nabla^\top f(\mathbf{w}) - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f(\cdot)$ is α -exp-concave if

$$\alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{w}) \nabla^{\top} f(\mathbf{w}) \preceq \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}).$$

We complete the proof by noticing

$$\frac{\lambda}{G^2} \nabla f(\mathbf{w}) \nabla^\top f(\mathbf{w}) \preceq \lambda I \preceq \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}).$$

D. Proof of Theorem 2

Lemma 2 implies that all the λ -strongly convex functions are also $\frac{\lambda}{G^2}$ -exp-concave. As a result, we can reuse the proof of Theorem 1. Specifically, (8) with $\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{G^2}$ becomes

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{t=t_j}^{e^{t_j}-1} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_j}) \right) + \sum_{t=t_m}^{s} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_m}) \le \frac{(m+2)G^2}{\lambda} \log T.$$
(11)

According to the property of online gradient descent (Hazan et al., 2007, Theorem 1), we have, for any $\mathbf{w} \in \Omega$,

$$\sum_{t=t_j}^{e^{t_j}-1} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_j}) - f_t(\mathbf{w}) \le \frac{G^2}{2\lambda} (1 + \log T), \ \forall j \in [m-1]$$
(12)

and

$$\sum_{t=t_m}^{s} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t^{t_m}) - f_t(\mathbf{w}) \le \frac{G^2}{2\lambda} (1 + \log T).$$
(13)

Combining (11), (12), and (13), we have,

$$\sum_{t=r}^{s} f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - \sum_{t=r}^{s} f_t(\mathbf{w}) \le \frac{G^2}{2\lambda} \left(m + (3m+4)\log T \right)$$

for any $\mathbf{w} \in \Omega$.

E. Proof of Theorem 4

As pointed out by Daniely et al. (2015), the static regret of online gradient descent (Zinkevich, 2003) over any interval of length τ is upper bounded by $3BG\sqrt{\tau}$. Combining this fact with Theorem 2 of Jun et al. (2017), we get Theorem 4 in this paper.

F. Proof of Corollary 5

To simplify the upper bound in Theorem 3, we restrict to intervals of the same length τ , and in this case $k = T/\tau$. Then, we have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_T^*) \le \min_{1 \le \tau \le T} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\text{SA-Regret}(T, \tau) + 2\tau V_T(i) \right)$$

$$= \min_{1 \le \tau \le T} \left(\frac{\text{SA-Regret}(T, \tau)T}{\tau} + 2\tau \sum_{i=1}^k V_T(i) \right)$$
$$\le \min_{1 \le \tau \le T} \left(\frac{\text{SA-Regret}(T, \tau)T}{\tau} + 2\tau V_T \right).$$

Combining with Theorem 4, we have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*,\ldots,\mathbf{w}_T^*) \le \min_{1\le \tau\le T} \left(\frac{(c+8\sqrt{7\log T+5})T}{\sqrt{\tau}} + 2\tau V_T \right).$$

where $c = 12BG/(\sqrt{2} - 1)$.

In the following, we consider two cases. If $V_T \ge \sqrt{\log T/T}$, we choose

$$\tau = \left(\frac{T\sqrt{\log T}}{V_T}\right)^{2/3} \le T$$

and have

$$D-\text{Regret}(\mathbf{w}_{1}^{*},\ldots,\mathbf{w}_{T}^{*}) \leq \frac{(c+8\sqrt{7\log T+5})T^{2/3}V_{T}^{1/3}}{\log^{1/6}T} + 2T^{2/3}V_{T}^{1/3}\log^{1/3}T$$
$$\leq \frac{(c+8\sqrt{5})T^{2/3}V_{T}^{1/3}}{\log^{1/6}T} + (2+8\sqrt{7})T^{2/3}V_{T}^{1/3}\log^{1/3}T.$$

Otherwise, we choose $\tau = T$, and have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_T^*) \leq (c + 8\sqrt{7\log T + 5})\sqrt{T} + 2TV_T$$

$$\leq (c + 8\sqrt{7\log T + 5})\sqrt{T} + 2T\sqrt{\frac{\log T}{T}}$$
$$\leq (c + 9\sqrt{7\log T + 5})\sqrt{T}.$$

In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{D-Regret}(\mathbf{w}_{1}^{*},\ldots,\mathbf{w}_{T}^{*}) &\leq \max \begin{cases} (c+9\sqrt{7\log T+5})\sqrt{T} \\ \frac{(c+8\sqrt{5})T^{2/3}V_{T}^{1/3}}{\log^{1/6}T} + 24T^{2/3}V_{T}^{1/3}\log^{1/3}T \\ &= O\left(\max\left\{\sqrt{T\log T},T^{2/3}V_{T}^{1/3}\log^{1/3}T\right\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

G. Proof of Corollary 6

The first part of Corollary 6 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1 by setting $K = \lceil T^{1/\gamma} \rceil$. Now, we prove the second part. Following similar analysis of Corollary 5, we have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*,\ldots,\mathbf{w}_T^*) \le \min_{1\le \tau\le T} \left\{ \left(\frac{(5d+1)(\gamma+1)+2}{\alpha} + 5d(\gamma+1)GB \right) \frac{T\log T}{\tau} + 2\tau V_T \right\}.$$

Then, we consider two cases. If $V_T \ge \log T/T$, we choose

$$\tau = \sqrt{\frac{T\log T}{V_T}} \le T$$

and have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*,\ldots,\mathbf{w}_T^*) \leq \left(\frac{(5d+1)(\gamma+1)+2}{\alpha} + 5d(\gamma+1)GB + 2\right)\sqrt{TV_T\log T}.$$

Otherwise, we choose $\tau = T$, and have

$$D\text{-Regret}(\mathbf{w}_{1}^{*}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{T}^{*}) \leq \left(\frac{(5d+1)(\gamma+1)+2}{\alpha} + 5d(\gamma+1)GB\right)\log T + 2TV_{T}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{(5d+1)(\gamma+1)+2}{\alpha} + 5d(\gamma+1)GB\right)\log T + 2T\frac{\log T}{T}$$
$$= \left(\frac{(5d+1)(\gamma+1)+2}{\alpha} + 5d(\gamma+1)GB + 2\right)\log T.$$

In summary, we have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_T^*) \leq \left(\frac{(5d+1)(\gamma+1)+2}{\alpha} + 5d(\gamma+1)GB + 2\right) \max\left\{\log T, \sqrt{TV_T \log T}\right\}$$

= $O\left(d \cdot \max\left\{\log T, \sqrt{TV_T \log T}\right\}\right).$

H. Proof of Corollary 7

The first part of Corollary 7 is a direct consequence of Theorem 2 by setting $K = \lceil T^{1/\gamma} \rceil$. The proof of the second part is similar to that of Corollary 6. First, we have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_T^*) \le \min_{1 \le \tau \le T} \left\{ \frac{G^2}{2\lambda} (\gamma + 1 + (3\gamma + 7)\log T) \frac{T}{\tau} + 2\tau V_T \right\}$$

$$\le \min_{1 \le \tau \le T} \left\{ \frac{(\gamma + 5\gamma \log T)G^2T}{\lambda \tau} + 2\tau V_T \right\}$$

where the last inequality is due to the condition $\gamma > 1$.

Then, we consider two cases. If $V_T \ge \log T/T$, we choose

$$\tau = \sqrt{\frac{T\log T}{V_T}} \le T$$

and have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_T^*) \leq \frac{\gamma G^2}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{TV_T}{\log T}} + \frac{5\gamma G^2}{\lambda} \sqrt{TV_T \log T} + 2\sqrt{TV_T \log T}$$
$$= \frac{\gamma G^2}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{TV_T}{\log T}} + \left(\frac{5\gamma G^2}{\lambda} + 2\right) \sqrt{TV_T \log T}.$$

Otherwise, we choose $\tau = T$, and have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_T^*) \leq \frac{(\gamma + 5\gamma \log T)G^2}{\lambda} + 2TV_T$$

$$\leq \frac{(\gamma + 5\gamma \log T)G^2}{\lambda} + 2T\frac{\log T}{T}$$
$$= \frac{\gamma G^2}{\lambda} + \left(\frac{5\gamma G^2}{\lambda} + 2\right)\log T.$$

In summary, we have

D-Regret
$$(\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_T^*) \le \max \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma G^2}{\lambda} + \left(\frac{5\gamma G^2}{\lambda} + 2\right) \log T \\ \frac{\gamma G^2}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{TV_T}{\log T}} + \left(\frac{5\gamma G^2}{\lambda} + 2\right) \sqrt{TV_T \log T} \\ = O\left(\max\left\{\log T, \sqrt{TV_T \log T}\right\}\right). \end{cases}$$