
A Nonconvex Proximal Splitting Algorithm under Moreau-Yosida Regularization

–Supplementary Material–

Emanuel Laude

Tao Wu

Daniel Cremers

Department of Informatics, Technical University of Munich, Germany

A Proofs

A.1 Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. (Statements 1 & 2) To show the lower boundedness of $\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^{t+1})$ we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^{t+1}) &= f(z^{t+1}) + g(u^{t+1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho}{2} \|Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1} - \lambda y^{t+1}\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1}\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1} - \lambda y^{t+1}\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

We define the quadratic penalty

$$Q(u, z) = f(z) + g(u) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|Au - z\|^2. \quad (1)$$

Since $\rho > \frac{1}{\lambda}$ we can further bound $\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^{t+1})$ from below by $Q(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1})$:

$$\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^{t+1}) \geq Q(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}).$$

We further bound $Q(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1})$:

$$Q(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}) \geq e_\lambda f(Au^{t+1}) + g(u^{t+1}),$$

which is bounded from below.

(Statement 3) We find an estimate for $\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^t, y^t) - \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^t, z^t, y^t)$. By the definition of u^{t+1} as the global minimum of $\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(\cdot, z^t, y^t) + \frac{1}{2}\|\cdot - u^t\|_M^2$ and $M := \frac{1}{\sigma}I - \rho A^\top A$ positive definite for $\sigma\rho\|A\|^2 < 1$, we have the estimate

$$\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^t, y^t) + \frac{1}{2}\|u^{t+1} - u^t\|_M^2 \leq \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^t, z^t, y^t).$$

We bound $\frac{1}{2}\|u^{t+1} - u^t\|_M^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u^{t+1} - u^t\|_M^2 &= \langle u^{t+1} - u^t, M(u^{t+1} - u^t) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \|u^{t+1} - u^t\|^2 - \rho \|Au^{t+1} - Au^t\|^2 \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} - \rho \|A\|^2 \right) \|u^{t+1} - u^t\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

This yields the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^t, y^t) - \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^t, z^t, y^t) &\leq \left(\frac{\rho\|A\|^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \right) \|u^{t+1} - u^t\|^2,\end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which leads to a sufficient descent if $\sigma\rho\|A\|^2 < 1$. The optimality for the z -update guarantees

$$\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^t) - \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^t, y^t) \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

Finally we bound the term

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^{t+1}) - \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^t) &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \|y^{t+1}\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|y^t\|^2 + \langle Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1}, y^{t+1} - y^t \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho}{2} \|Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1} - \lambda y^{t+1}\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho}{2} \|Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1} - \lambda y^t\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{\rho}(y^{t+1} - y^t) + \lambda y^{t+1} = Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1}$, we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{\lambda}{2} \|y^{t+1}\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|y^t\|^2 + \langle Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1}, y^{t+1} - y^t \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \|y^{t+1}\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|y^t\|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \|y^{t+1} - y^t\|^2 + \lambda \|y^{t+1}\|^2 \\ &\quad - \lambda \langle y^{t+1}, y^t \rangle \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \|y^{t+1}\|^2 - \lambda \langle y^{t+1}, y^t \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|y^t\|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \|y^{t+1} - y^t\|^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \|y^{t+1} - y^t\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

We apply the identity $\|a + c\|^2 - \|b + c\|^2 = -\|b - a\|^2 + 2\langle a + c, a - b \rangle$ with $a := -\lambda y^{t+1}$, $b := -\lambda y^t$ and $c := Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\rho}{2} \|Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1} - \lambda y^{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{\rho}{2} \|Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1} - \lambda y^t\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{\rho\lambda^2}{2} \|y^{t+1} - y^t\|^2 \\ &\quad - \lambda\rho \langle Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1} - \lambda y^{t+1}, y^{t+1} - y^t \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\rho\lambda^2 + 2\lambda}{2} \|y^{t+1} - y^t\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Overall we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^{t+1}) - \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^t) \\ = \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{\rho\lambda^2 + \lambda}{2} \right) \|y^{t+1} - y^t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Summing (2)–(4), we obtain the desired result:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_\rho(u^{t+1}, z^{t+1}, y^{t+1}) - \mathcal{Q}_\rho(u^t, z^t, y^t) \\ \leq \left(\frac{\rho\|A\|^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \right) \|u^{t+1} - u^t\|^2 \\ + \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{\rho\lambda^2 + \lambda}{2} \right) \|y^{t+1} - y^t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

□

A.2 Proof of Lemma 2

Proof. Since $\{\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^t, z^t, y^t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ monotonically decreases by Lemma 1, it is bounded from above. Since $\{Q(u^t, z^t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded from above by $\{\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^t, z^t, y^t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ and, furthermore, Q is coercive by assumption, we assert that $\{u^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{z^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly bounded.

Now we sum the estimate (5) from $t = 1$ to T and obtain due to the lower boundedness of the iterates $\mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^t, z^t, y^t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\infty < \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^{T+1}, z^{T+1}, y^{T+1}) - \mathfrak{Q}_\rho(u^1, z^1, y^1) \\ \leq & \left(\frac{\rho\|A\|^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \right) \sum_{t=1}^T \|u^{t+1} - u^t\|^2 \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{\rho\lambda^2 + \lambda}{2} \right) \sum_{t=1}^T \|y^{t+1} - y^t\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Passing $T \rightarrow \infty$ yields that $\|u^{t+1} - u^t\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y^{t+1} - y^t\| \rightarrow 0$ for $\rho > 1/\lambda$ and $\sigma\rho\|A\|^2 < 1$. From $\frac{1}{\rho}(y^{t+1} - y^t) = Au^{t+1} - z^{t+1} - \lambda y^{t+1}$ we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|z^t - z^{t+1}\| \\ &= \|z^t - z^{t+1} + A(u^{t+1} - u^t) - A(u^{t+1} - u^t) \\ &\quad + \lambda y^{t+1} - \lambda y^t - \lambda y^{t+1} + \lambda y^t\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \|y^{t+1} - y^t\| + \|A\| \|u^{t+1} - u^t\| \\ &\quad + \lambda \|y^{t+1} - y^t\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and that $\|Au^t - z^t - \lambda y^t\| \rightarrow 0$. Since $\{u^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{z^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly bounded, also $\{y^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly bounded. □