UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Simulating the recent impacts of multiple biotic disturbances on forest carbon cycling across the United States

Kautz, Markus; Anthoni, Peter; Meddens, Arjan; Pugh, Thomas; Arneth, Almut

DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13974

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Kautz, M, Anthoni, P, Meddens, A, Pugh, T & Arneth, A 2018, 'Simulating the recent impacts of multiple biotic disturbances on forest carbon cycling across the United States', *Global Change Biology*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 2079-2092. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13974

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Kautz M, Anthoni P, Meddens AJH, Pugh TAM, Arneth A. Simulating the recent impacts of multiple biotic disturbances on forest carbon cycling across the United States. Glob Change Biol. 2017, which has been published in final form at: https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13974. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1	Simulating the recent impacts of multiple biotic disturbances						
2	on forest carbon cycling across the United States						
3							
4							
5	Running head:	Biotic disturbance impacts across US forests					
6							
7	Authors: M. K.	autz ^{1,*} , P. Anthoni ¹ , A. J. H. Meddens ² , T. A. M. Pugh ^{1,3} , A. Arneth ¹					
8							
9	¹ Institute of	Meteorology and Climate Research - Atmospheric Environmental					
10	Research (IMK-IFU), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 82467 Garmisch-						
11	Partenkirchen, Germany						
12	² Department of Natural Resources and Society, University of Idaho, Moscow Idaho,						
13	83844-3021,	USA					
14	³ School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences and Birmingham Institute of						
15	Forest Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK						
16	* Corresponding author:						
17	Markus Kautz, email: markus.kautz@kit.edu, phone: +49-8821-183194						
18							
19	Keywords:	insects, pathogens, tree mortality, defoliation, carbon flux, ecosystem					
20		model, DGVM, LPJ-GUESS, disturbance fraction, IDS data					
21							
22	Type of paper:	Primary research					
23							
24	Word count:	7.100					
25							

26 Abstract

Biotic disturbances (BDs, e.g., insects, pathogens and wildlife herbivory) substantially affect 27 boreal and temperate forest ecosystems globally. However, accurate impact assessments 28 comprising larger spatial scales are lacking to date, although these are critically needed given 29 the expected disturbance intensification under a warming climate. Hence, our quantitative 30 knowledge on current and future BD impacts, e.g., on forest carbon (C) cycling, is strongly 31 limited. We extended a Dynamic Global Vegetation Model to simulate ecosystem response to 32 prescribed tree mortality and defoliation due to multiple biotic agents across United States 33 forests during the period 1997-2015, and quantified the BD-induced vegetation C loss, i.e., C 34 fluxes from live vegetation to dead organic matter pools. Annual disturbance fractions 35 36 separated by BD type (tree mortality and defoliation) and agent (bark beetles, defoliator insects, other insects, pathogens, and other biotic agents) were calculated at 0.5° resolution 37 from aerial-surveyed data and applied within the model. Simulated BD-induced C fluxes 38 totaled 251.6 Mt C (annual mean: 13.2 Mt C yr⁻¹, SD \pm 7.3 Mt C yr⁻¹ between years) across the 39 study domain, to which tree mortality contributed 95% and defoliation 5%. Among BD 40 agents, bark beetles caused most C fluxes (61%), and total insect-induced C fluxes were about 41 five times larger compared to non-insect agents, e.g., pathogens and wildlife. Our findings 42 further demonstrate that BD-induced C cycle impacts (i) displayed high spatio-temporal 43 variability, (ii) were dominated by different agents across BD types and regions, and (iii) were 44 comparable in magnitude to fire-induced impacts. This study provides the first ecosystem 45 model-based assessment of BD-induced impacts on forest C cycling at the continental scale 46 47 and going beyond single agent-host systems, thus allowing for comparisons across regions, BD types and agents. Ultimately, a perspective on the potential and limitations of a more 48 process-based incorporation of multiple BDs in ecosystem models is offered. 49

50 INTRODUCTION

Natural disturbances, such as fire, windthrow, insect and pathogen outbreaks, are key drivers 51 of vegetation dynamics and significantly affect the structure and functioning of forest 52 ecosystems (Turner, 2010; Battisti et al., 2016). Forest disturbances are manifested by a 53 complete (tree mortality) or partial loss of living tree biomass and/or tree functionality (e.g., 54 defoliation, crown breakage), which may occur from local to landscape scales. While 55 disturbances are thus often associated with a temporal decline in ecosystem service provision, 56 such as carbon (C) sequestration, they also enhance renewal and structural diversity, and 57 thereby contribute to forest stability (Boyd et al., 2013; Thom & Seidl, 2016). However, the 58 intensification of natural disturbance regimes caused by global warming (Dale et al., 2001; 59 Seidl et al., 2017), may reduce ecosystem resilience and ultimately lead to sustained 60 ecosystem transitions (Johnstone et al., 2016). 61

Biotic disturbances (BDs), i.e., damage caused by insects, pathogens, or other biotic agents, 62 have affected global forests in recent decades, in particular northern boreal and temperate 63 forests (van Lierop et al., 2015; Kautz et al., 2017). Extensive BD-induced tree mortality and 64 defoliation has been reported for Canada and the United States due to bark beetles, e.g., 65 mountain pine beetle (Dendroctonus ponderosae), spruce beetle (D. rufipennis), and southern 66 pine beetle (D. frontalis); defoliating insects, e.g., western and eastern spruce budworm 67 (Choristoneura occidentalis, C. fumiferana), forest tent caterpillar (Malacosoma disstria), and 68 gypsy moth (Lymantria dispar); sap-feeding insects, e.g., hemlock and balsam woolly 69 70 adelgid, (Adelges tsugae, A. piceae); as well as due to pathogens, e.g., Phytophthora ramorum causing sudden oak death, and *Ophiostoma* spp. causing Dutch elm disease (Canadian Forest 71 72 Service, 2017; USDA Forest Service, 2017). Such outbreaks have the potential to impact multiple biochemical and biophysical processes for weeks to decades. 73

Forest productivity, i.e., gross and net primary productivity (GPP, NPP) and net ecosystem 74 75 productivity (NEP), is generally reduced by BDs. Magnitude and duration of the reduction, however, differ substantially between tree mortality and defoliation (Hicke et al., 2012; 76 Flower & Gonzales-Meler, 2015; Cooper et al., 2017). Defoliation, not resulting in 77 subsequent tree mortality, only temporarily reduces photosynthesis, thereby decreasing 78 growth performance, and thus plant net C uptake. Depending on the severity of defoliation, 79 this leads to a reduced C sink capacity of the affected forest, or might even create a short-term 80 C source (e.g., Clark et al., 2010). Typically, trees are capable of recovering foliage and 81 functionality completely once agent pressure is released. In contrast to non-lethal defoliation, 82 83 tree mortality results in larger and more sustained negative effects on productivity. The combination of increased heterotrophic respiration and decreased NPP results in a negative 84 NEP immediately following tree mortality, which then typically recovers to levels seen pre-85 86 disturbance (Edburg et al., 2012; Anderegg et al., 2016). As a consequence, affected forests might function as a C source over few years (Brown et al., 2012) up to decades (Kurz et al., 87 2008), with magnitude and duration depending on initial tree mortality fraction and the speed 88 of decomposition and regrowth (Anderegg et al., 2016). In addition to C cycle impacts, BDs 89 have also been shown to alter forest dynamics and composition (Temperli et al., 2015; 90 91 Crowley et al., 2016; Costilow et al., 2017), energy, water, and nitrogen (N) fluxes (Bright et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015; Anderegg et al., 2016), as well as the emission of biogenic 92 volatile organic compounds to the atmosphere (Berg et al., 2013; Duhl et al., 2013). 93

Despite the undoubted relevance of BDs for shaping global forest ecosystems and influencing
vegetation-climate feedbacks, impact assessments remain scarce at the larger spatial scale,
i.e., beyond the local-to-regional context, and mainly focused on single agent-host systems.
Process-based ecosystem models such as Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs) are
prime tools for investigating feedbacks between climate, vegetation, and disturbances over

time and for quantifying terrestrial C cycle dynamics (Fisher et al., 2014); however, 99 100 incorporating BDs into these models is challenging due to the diversity and complexity of processes involved. Though the need for their incorporation has been repeatedly claimed for 101 102 almost two decades now (Malmström & Raffa, 2000; Running, 2008; Arneth & Niinemets, 2010; Quillet et al., 2010), BDs are typically very generically represented in current-103 generation DGVMs, e.g., as part of a spatio-temporally constant `background' disturbance 104 105 mortality rate integrating over several mortality processes that are not explicitly implemented 106 elsewhere in the model (Kautz et al., 2017). In cases where DGVMs have been extended towards a more explicit simulation of BD dynamics and impacts (e.g., Edburg et al., 2011; 107 108 Jönsson et al., 2012; Medvigy et al., 2012; Landry et al., 2016), approaches (i) have focused on a single disturbance agent-host system only (one agent species affecting one host plant 109 110 functional type, PFT), (*ii*) were restricted to insects (excluding pathogens and other BDs) and 111 (iii) were limited in their spatial coverage (landscape to regional scales). Forest Landscape Models (FLMs), though typically operating at much finer spatio-temporal scales and process 112 113 resolution than DGVMs, have also been applied to simulate ecosystem impacts at the 114 landscape scale due to insects, pathogens and/or wildlife herbivory (e.g., Temperli et al., 2015; Loehman et al., 2017; Seidl & Rammer, 2017; De Jager et al., 2017). For details on 115 116 how BDs were considered in previous modelling approaches we refer the reader to S1 in the Supplementary Information where an overview is provided. To date, neither DGVMs nor 117 FLMs has been applied at continental scales or larger, and considered multiple agent-host 118 systems, thus limiting comparisons among different BD agents and regions. 119

120 This study aims to overcome these limitations by presenting a modelling approach that 121 incorporates prescribed, spatio-temporally explicit disturbance fractions into a DGVM. By 122 extending the model framework and applying comprehensive empirical disturbance data BD-123 induced impacts on forest C dynamics are simulated. In particular, we focus on quantifying the vegetation C loss, which is the amount of C that is transferred from living tree biomass to
dead organic matter pools, as a consequence of BD-induced tree mortality and defoliation,
and on assessing the relative importance of the different biotic agents on C cycling across US
forests from 1997 to 2015.

128

129 MATERIAL & METHODS

130 Biotic disturbance data

131 The US Insect and Disease Survey database (IDS, USDA Forest Service, 2017), as used in this study, contains aerial-surveyed, annual and spatially explicit information of BD-induced 132 forest disturbance across the 48 lower states and Alaska over the years 1997-2015. This data 133 134 set is globally unique in respect to its extensive coverage and long-term consistency, combined with a high spatial, temporal, and attributive resolution (Kautz et al., 2017). It 135 consists of a freely accessible ArcGIS geodatabase containing approximately 3 million 136 137 attributed BD polygons. Non-BD polygons in the IDS, e.g., abiotic disturbances, were excluded for this study. Disturbance polygons have been manually sketch-mapped during 138 annual survey flights covering most of the US forests (Johnson & Wittwer, 2008). Each 139 polygon is accompanied with several attributes, e.g., the BD type (tree mortality, defoliation, 140 discoloration, topkill, among others), the causal BD agent and the affected host tree (both 141 142 mainly given at species level). Further attributes characterizing damage severity and pattern would also provide valuable information, yet they have been inconsistently recorded over the 143 spatio-temporal domain of the study and have thus not been included in the analysis herein. 144 145 The rapid, subjective process of in-flight polygon delineation and attribution limits detection accuracy, and thus data may involve a certain level of generalization and inherent uncertainty 146 (e.g., discussed in Meddens et al., 2012; Kautz et al., 2017). For instance, areas assigned as 147

affected encompass healthy trees amongst damaged ones, which must be accounted for when reporting actual disturbed area (Meddens *et al.*, 2012). It should be also noted, that different polygons may spatially overlap within-year and between years. Both limitations were considered in the data processing described below. For details on standards of data acquisition and provision we refer the reader to the IDS documentation with updated Appendices E and F (USDA Forest Service, 2005).

Several processing steps were applied to the raw IDS data (using ArcGIS 10.2), to produce a 154 nationwide gridded map of disturbance fractions which were then used as model input: 155 initially, we merged the different regions for which the data was provided separately for each 156 single year of the 19-year period, and selected the data regarding two attributes: the BD type 157 and the causal BD agent. While separating the two major BD types, tree mortality and 158 defoliation, we did not consider other types with less consequence for the C cycle, e.g., 159 discoloration and topkill. BD agents were classified into five groups, mainly according to 160 161 feeding guilds or disturbance mechanisms, and based on the category as listed in the Appendix E in USDA Forest Service (2005): (1) bark beetles, (2) defoliator insects, (3) other 162 insects, (4) pathogens, and (5) other biotic agents. The latter group comprises all non-insect 163 and non-pathogen agents, but also includes BDs which could not be clearly assigned to 164 another agent group, i.e., BDs caused by multiple biotic agents (e.g., insects and pathogens), 165 or by interactions with abiotic factors (e.g., drought). All five agent groups may cause tree 166 mortality and/or defoliation. An overview of the agent groups is provided in Table 1. 167

Forest cover information for the study domain was obtained from the GLC2000 data set – a satellite-based global land cover classification for the year 2000 (Bartolomé & Belward, 2005), with vegetation classes 1 to 10 considered as forest in our analysis. We then created a $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ grid layer that was consistent with the model resolution, and intersected this grid with the disturbance data and the forest cover data. To account for within-year overlapping of polygons of similar BD types or agents we merged such polygons in a grid cell. Finally, we calculated the disturbance fraction D_f (%, =disturbed area / forest area × 100) for each grid cell and year separately for the selected BD types and agent groups. As an example, a grid cell might have been disturbed in a certain year by more than one type and/or agent, e.g., with D_f [bark beetles]=3%, D_f [defoliators]=1% and D_f [pathogens]=1.5% for tree mortality, and D_f [defoliators] =2.2%, D_f [other insects]=1.7% and D_f [pathogens]=1.2% for defoliation, giving a total disturbed fraction of 10.6%, of which 5.5% was mortality and 5.1% was defoliation.

To account for overestimation of BD extent due to healthy trees encompassed in delineated disturbance polygons, we applied a correction factor (*CF*) on the calculated BD fractions D_f to get a deflated, more realistic fraction D'_f to be used for simulations: $D'_f=CF \times D_f$. In our study we generically applied *CF*=0.1, a factor that is in accordance with previous studies (Kurz & Apps, 1999; Meddens *et al.*, 2012), and explored effects of modified *CF* in the sensitivity analysis.

- 186 **Table 1.** Agent groups with associated categories and example species according to the
- 187 *applied survey classification; for the complete list of IDS categories and species see Appendix*
- 188 E in USDA Forest Service (2005)

Agent group	IDS category	Example species ¹		
Bark beetles	Bark beetles, boring insects	Dendroctonus, Ips, Scolytus, Agrilus, Anoplophora, Rhyacionia		
Defoliators	Defoliators	Choristoneura, Lymantria, Malacosoma, Neodiprion,Operophtera		
Other insects	General, sucking, chewing, gallmaker, predator, root, seed/cone/flower/fruit, terminal/shoot/twig insects	Adelges, Conophthorus, Megastigmus, Tomicus, Matsucoccus		
Pathogens	General, root/butt, foliage diseases, stem decays/cankers, stem/broom rusts	Armillaria, Botryodiplodia, Nectria, Phellinus, Phytophtora		
Other biotic agents	Parasitic/epiphytic plants, decline complexes/dieback/wilts ² , wild animals, multi-damage (insect/disease ²)	Mistletoe, bears, hemlock decline, pinyon pine mortality		

189 ¹ Insect and pathogen species were listed by genus names most representative for the agent

190 group, but note that in exceptional cases species from one genus may belong to multiple agent

191 groups.

- ² This category partially involves insects and/or pathogens.
- 193
- 194 LPJ-GUESS model

In this study we used a DGVM with an advanced representation of forest stand dynamics, the
Lund-Potsdam-Jena General Ecosystem Simulator (LPJ-GUESS). The model simulates
vegetation dynamics, including demography, in response to varying environmental conditions

and feedbacks between vegetation and soil (Smith et al., 2001, 2014). Forest vegetation is 198 represented by different PFTs (9 tree and two grass PFTs in this study), which are further 199 distinguished in age cohorts. Stochastic processes associated with representation of growth 200 and mortality are accounted for by the simulation (and averaging) of several replicate patches 201 (20 in this study) for each of the $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ grid cells. Fire disturbances are modelled 202 prognostically based on temperature, fuel load and moisture (Thonicke et al., 2001), while 203 stand-clearing `background' disturbances affect each patch randomly by a generic fraction of 204 0.01 yr^{-1} (Smith *et al.*, 2014); these baseline settings for non-biotic disturbances were not 205 changed for this study. 206

Following a spin-up period of 500 years, the model was forced by gridded input considering variant land use patterns (LUH2; Hurtt *et al.*, 2016, as applied in Bayer *et al.*, 2017), and CRUNCEP climate, CO₂ concentration, and N deposition that were identical to simulations used in the global carbon project (Le Quéré *et al.*, 2016). Specifically for this study, a gridded annual BD fraction map $(0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ})$, described above) was applied for the period 1997-2015 in addition to other forcing, in order to prescribe fractions of tree mortality and defoliation caused by biotic agents.

214

215 Disturbance extension

We developed an extension to the current model framework, which improves the representation of stochastic disturbance events, e.g., due to biotic agents as presented in this study, or due to abiotic stressors like fire, storm, frost and drought. The extension allows for applying annually-prescribed disturbance fractions regarding two principal disturbance types, tree mortality and defoliation, for any given time period or region across the globe and irrespective of the causal agent or stressor. Though the extension is principally applicable alsoto abiotic disturbances in this study it was only applied for BDs.

BD-induced tree mortality and defoliation events are implemented in slightly different ways 223 in the model. Tree mortality is simulated as loss of a fraction of tree biomass, as prescribed in 224 our BD dataset described above. Mortality occurs annually on day 365 of each year, as 225 applied for other simulated tree mortality processes in the model, for instance, competition or 226 bioclimatic constraints. Defoliation leads to a partial loss of leaf biomass only and affects tree 227 age cohorts according to the prescribed defoliation fraction which is simulated to occur at a 228 specific day of defoliation during the vegetation period. Here we used day of defoliation 180, 229 i.e., end of June, a date that reflects the typical attack period (e.g., Schäfer et al., 2010; 230 Medvigy et al., 2012). However, for C flux assessments in the model the day of defoliation 231 has minimal influence, since C pool transfers are implemented at annual scale occurring at the 232 end of each year. We further made the simplifying assumption that defoliated trees do not 233 234 reflush their foliage within the same vegetation period, yet they may fully recover foliage in the following year. 235

Since disturbances are typically not equally distributed over different age cohorts within a 236 237 grid cell, a minimum tree age threshold (TA) is used to select for potential host trees. Hence, BD-induced mortality and defoliation only affects such tree cohorts that are $\geq TA$ reflecting 238 that aerial survey-based BD fractions mainly depict damage on canopies of larger over-story 239 trees, and that young immature trees are typically less susceptible to BD (Hansen & Michaels 240 241 Goheen, 2000; Hicke & Jenkins, 2008; Dymond et al., 2010). Here we assumed TA=20 years as most plausible threshold regarding both criteria, a value which is also in general 242 accordance to field observations (e.g., Hawkins et al., 2012) and previous modelling 243 approaches (Table S1). Consequently, younger trees with TA<20 remained undisturbed by 244 biotic agents in our simulations. For simplicity, TA did not differ among agent-host systems or 245

regions, and was determined to best represent average conditions across the study domain.Effects of modified *TA* were explored in the sensitivity analysis.

Following both disturbance types the fraction of vegetation C and N, that is affected by BD, is 248 transferred into dead organic matter in soil and litter pools at the end of the year of 249 occurrence. Afterwards C gradually releases from the soil and litter pools to the atmosphere 250 251 according to the different decomposition rates for fine litter and coarse woody debris in the model (Smith et al., 2014). For mortality all tree biomass pools are affected, i.e., C and N in 252 roots, wood and foliage, while for defoliation only foliage pools are transferred. The amount 253 of C transferred from live biomass to dead organic matter as a consequence of BDs, called C 254 flux in the following, is stored separated for the BD types and agents for each year. 255

Disturbances are simulated annually in the following sequence: (1) BD-induced defoliation (on day 180) – (2) BD-induced mortality – (3) fire – (4) background disturbance (on day 365). In accordance with the data set used, a defoliated tree is not allowed to be killed in the same year by biotic agents. However, such tree might be killed by fire or background disturbance at the end of the year defoliation has occurred.

261

262 Simulations and analyses

In a first step, we established a gridded map based on the IDS data and identified tree mortality and defoliation patterns caused by different BD agents across US forests. These gridded maps were then applied as fractional disturbances in LPJ-GUESS to simulate BDinduced C fluxes from live to dead biomass pools, separated by BD types and agents. Simulations were carried out for all BD-affected grid cells that were covered by climate data and land use data, resulting in a total of 2009 grid cells with prescribed tree mortality and 1663 grid cells with prescribed defoliation. We also ran the model with a homogeneous BD 270 fraction, i.e., a mean D'_f applied over all affected grid cells and years, which allowed 271 assessing the effect of spatio-temporal variability in BD occurrence.

To account for potential variations in the BD-related parameters TA and CF and to explore 272 their combined effects on the simulated C fluxes we performed a model sensitivity analysis 273 where parameter values were modified stepwise. For TA we tested the potential range of 274 275 values between zero and 80 years, i.e., 0-10-20-40-80. The two extreme cases TA=0 and 276 TA=80, however, are highly unlikely to be an appropriate mean estimate over all kinds of agent-host systems and environmental conditions covered by this study. For instance, TA=0 277 would mean that disturbance fractions are equally distributed over all age cohorts, including 278 saplings – a pattern that is not evident for most BDs. The opposite case TA=80 might be 279 280 realistic for some bark beetles (Shore & Safranyik, 1992; cf. Pfeifer et al., 2011), but likely not for most of the other agents. For the correction factor CF we used values ranging from 281 0.02 to 0.3, i.e., 0.02-0.1-0.2-0.3, according to the accuracy of aerial-surveyed polygon data as 282 283 previously published. Reported values for bark beetles in the western US range from 0.017 to 0.28 (lower estimate in Meddens et al., 2012 and upper estimate in Hicke et al., 2016, 284 respectively), with a most realistic mean estimate of ~0.1. Similar factors have also been 285 applied in a modelling study of insect disturbances in Canada (0.05-0.15; Kurz & Apps, 286 1999). 287

Finally, the capability of LPJ-GUESS to realistically simulate forest vegetation C pools was evaluated by comparing simulated above- and belowground living tree C biomass with observations from the national forest inventory program (FIA, Forest Inventory and Analysis) conducted by the USDA Forest Service across the conterminous US. Plot-level inventory data from the years 2000-2009 has already been aggregated to spatially continuous gridded C stock maps covering the conterminous US with a resolution of 250 m (Wilson *et al.*, 2013). The spatio-temporal domain of observations well fits to our study domain, with the exception of

Alaska where no inventory data was available. We downloaded the two raster maps for 295 above- and belowground living tree C stocks and aggregated raster size from 250 m to 50 km 296 by using the ArcGIS Spatial Analyst functionality to facilitate comparability with the LPJ-297 298 GUESS output. Subsequently we merged the above- and belowground C maps to get a total living tree C stock estimation for each 50 km grid cell. We then overlaid the raster with the 299 LPJ-GUESS grid containing only those grid cells affected by BDs during the study period, 300 and applied a spatial join with the grid center points to extract the raster value for each 301 302 simulated 0.5° grid cell. This observation-based value was compared to the simulated living vegetation total C pool, including above- and belowground C, for each BD-affected grid cell 303 averaged over the years 2000-2009. 304

305

306 **RESULTS**

307 Disturbance pattern

308 IDS reported a total affected area of 46.2 Mha for BD-induced tree mortality, and 52.9 Mha for defoliation, across US forests over the period 1997-2015. This corresponded to an average 309 of 2.4 Mha yr⁻¹ (SD: ± 1.3 Mha yr⁻¹ between years) and 2.8 ± 1.7 Mha yr⁻¹, respectively. 310 Following application of the CF correction factor of 0.1 nationwide, BD area averaged 0.24 311 ± 0.13 Mha yr⁻¹ (0.08 $\pm 0.04\%$ yr⁻¹ of the total US forest cover) for tree mortality and 0.28 312 ± 0.17 Mha yr⁻¹ (0.09 $\pm 0.06\%$ yr⁻¹) for defoliation (Fig. 1 insets). Simulated annual mean BD 313 fractions D'_{f} per grid cell ranged from 0 to 1.3% for mortality (n=2009, mean $D'_{f}=0.08\%$), and 314 from 0 to 1.6% for defoliation (n=1663, mean $D'_{f}=0.1\%$; Fig. 1). 315

There were distinct spatial hotspots for both disturbance types with highest mortality fractions occurring in the mid-western states (Colorado, Wyoming) and notable defoliation in the north-eastern US (Minnesota, Wisconsin). Temporal trends for both types did not indicate any

increase or decrease over the study period, yet disturbance magnitude strongly varied between 319 320 years, mainly as a consequence of ephemeral insect and pathogen outbreaks (Fig. 1 insets). The contribution of different agents varied among BD types, and across space and time (Fig. 321 322 2): overall, bark beetles were responsible for most tree mortality and defoliator insects were the dominant agents causing defoliation, i.e., 72% and 89% of the totals, respectively. Host 323 types were not equally affected by mortality and defoliation: while (non-lethal) defoliation 324 disturbed mainly broadleaf trees (51%, with 24% conifers and 25% mixed/unknown), 325 326 mortality predominantly befell conifers (77%, with 5% broadleaf and 18%, mixed/unknown). In the western US and Alaska, tree mortality was principally caused by bark beetles, e.g., 327 outbreaks of mountain pine beetle and spruce beetle, with smaller contribution from 328 pathogens, e.g., causing sudden oak death in California, and other biotic agents. In the eastern 329 330 US, all five agent groups contributed more equally to tree mortality, including defoliators, 331 e.g., eastern spruce budworm, forest tent caterpillar and gypsy moth, and other insects, e.g., hemlock and balsam woolly adelgid. In contrast, defoliation -often a trigger of subsequent 332 tree mortality- was dominantly caused by defoliators across the entire study domain and to 333 much lesser extent also by other insects and pathogens. 334



Figure 1. Biotic disturbance-induced tree mortality (a) and defoliation (b) across US forests in 1997-2015. Maps show mean annual disturbance fraction D'_f (% of forest area) in each grid cell as used for simulations; no data = grid cells not covered by forest, climate or land use forcing, or located outside the US. Insets indicate temporal trends of annually dissolved disturbance area (left axis) and resulting fraction disturbed from total US forest area (right axis); note that provided figures are already corrected, i.e., multiplied by a factor of 0.1, to convert the affected area to actual disturbed area, and that y-axis scale differs among insets.

342

343 Disturbance-induced C fluxes

The total amount of C transferred from live to dead biomass pools due to BD over the study period summed up to 251.6 Mt C, or an annual mean of 13.2 Mt C yr⁻¹ (SD: \pm 7.3 Mt C yr⁻¹ between years; Table 2). C fluxes from BD-induced tree mortality were approximately twentyfold higher compared to defoliation with a total of 239.5 Mt C (12.6 \pm 7.3 Mt C yr⁻¹) and 12.1 Mt C (0.6 \pm 0.4 Mt C yr⁻¹), respectively. Overall, bark beetles impacted the forest C cycle most (60.6% of total flux), followed by other insects (14.9%), other biotic agents (13.6%), defoliator insects (6.0%) and pathogens (4.9%). That means insect-associated 351 impacts were about five times higher than non-insect agents. Both spatial and temporal C flux patterns broadly followed those of BD occurrence, exhibiting a notable variability among grid 352 cells and years, yet without indicating a clear temporal trend (Fig. 3). Highest impacts with 353 fluxes >100 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ occurred in the states of California, Colorado, Washington, Oregon, 354 Idaho, Montana, Wyoming and Maine, where moderate-to-high mortality fractions coincide 355 with high simulated tree biomass C density (Fig. 1, 3 and 5). Similar to the BD occurrence, 356 agent contribution to total fluxes varied among BD types, and across space and time (Fig. 2): 357 while bark beetles dominated mortality C fluxes in the western states and Alaska with a more 358 mixed contribution of all groups in the eastern states, defoliator insects most contributed to 359 360 defoliation C fluxes over large parts of the US forests.

The application of a spatio-temporal homogeneous fraction for mortality (mean $D'_{f=}0.08\%$) 361 and defoliation (mean $D'_{=}0.1\%$) resulted in slightly lower total C fluxes, i.e., 96% and 87%, 362 respectively, compared to the observation-based scenario that considers BD variability across 363 364 space and time. Annual deviations between the two scenarios, however, were more substantial: observation-based fluxes deviated more than $\pm 20\%$ from the homogeneous 365 scenario in 16 out of 19 years for mortality and 12 out of 19 years for defoliation (Fig. 3 366 insets). Hence, applying a constant fraction leads to substantial under-/overestimations for 367 368 certain regions and years.

369 Table 2. Biotic disturbance-induced carbon flux from live to dead biomass pools for US

Agent group	Mortality		Defoliation		Total	
	Mt C	% of total	Mt C	% of total	Mt C	% of total
Bark beetles	152.6	63.7	0.0	0.0	152.6	60.6
Defoliators	4.3	1.8	10.8	89.1	15.1	6.0
Other insects	36.8	15.4	0.8	6.3	37.5	14.9
Pathogens	12.0	5.0	0.3	2.4	12.3	4.9
Other biotic agents	34.0	14.2	0.3	2.1	34.2	13.6
Total	239.5	100.0	12.1	100.00	251.6	100.00

370 *forests in 1997-2015, separated by agents and disturbance types*



Figure 2. Biotic disturbance agent contribution to tree mortality (a) and defoliation (b)
across US forests in 1997-2015. Maps show the dominant agent in each grid cell concerning
both mean disturbance fractions and associated mean carbon fluxes; no data = same as in
Fig. 1. Insets indicate temporal trends of agent-induced carbon fluxes; line colors refer to
map legend.



Figure 3. Biotic disturbance-induced carbon fluxes from live to dead biomass pools through tree mortality (**a**) and defoliation (**b**) across US forests in 1997-2015. Maps show simulated mean annual carbon fluxes (kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) in each grid cell; no data = same as in Fig. 1. Insets indicate temporal trends with (red solid) and without (black dashed) considering spatio-temporal variability of disturbance fractions. Note that scales differ among panels.

381

382 Uncertainty in simulated C fluxes

383 Simulated C fluxes through BD-induced tree mortality and defoliation were notably affected by both tested parameters, the correction factor CF and the tree age threshold TA (Fig. 4). An 384 increased CF resulted in linearly increased C fluxes, a pattern that is mostly independent from 385 TA and that is explained by the direct determination of the disturbance fraction D'_{f} through CF 386 (Fig. 4a,b). In contrast, tree age was less influential for simulated C fluxes and the effect was 387 not consistent over the entire range of tested TA-values: while fluxes increased with tree age 388 until $TA \le 10$, they decreased with increasing tree age for $TA \ge 10$ (Fig. 4c,d). Such negative 389 correlation of TA and C fluxes is counter-intuitive since vegetation C pools typically increase 390 with tree age, yet it can be explained by the reduced availability of older susceptible trees to 391

be disturbed in a grid cell. As a result, in grid cells with insufficient older trees simulated 392 disturbance fractions were lower than originally prescribed, thus leading to a potential 393 underestimation of C fluxes at higher TA and in particular under high CF scenarios. 394 Nevertheless, our default scenario CF=0.1 was only slightly affected by modified TA: the 395 chosen default value of TA=20 provided C fluxes that are robust compared to the entire range 396 of TA values tested (red lines in Fig. 4c and d). Overall, our sensitivity experiments suggest 397 that the presented results based on default settings CF=0.1 and TA=20 provided rather 398 conservative estimates regarding the range of potential C fluxes of 1.9-36.1 Mt C yr⁻¹ for tree 399 mortality (Fig. 4a,c) and 0.1-2.1 Mt C yr⁻¹ for defoliation (Fig. 4 b,d). The CF and TA 400 parameter dependent uncertainty for total BD-induced C fluxes ranged from 38.0 to 725.8 Mt 401 C, i.e., 2.0-38.2 Mt C yr⁻¹. 402

403

404 Evaluation of simulated vegetation C density

The comparison among observed and simulated C density showed reasonable agreement 405 regarding the spatial pattern, range and magnitude (Fig. 5). Total mean C density of the 406 observations (29.0 ±28.1 t C ha⁻¹) and the model output (28.7 ±24.0 t C ha⁻¹) for the period 407 2000-2009 was almost identical over all grid cells affected by BDs across the conterminous 408 US in 1997-2015 (n=1645; ±SD indicates between-grid cell variation). Although simulated C 409 densities were similar to observations over large parts of the US forests, notable deviations 410 occurred in two regions: LPJ-GUESS underestimates C density in west-coast coniferous 411 412 forests, while overestimating it in northeastern mixed forests (Fig. 5c).



Figure 4. Effect of varying model parameters on biotic disturbance-induced carbon flux from live to dead biomass pools through tree mortality (a, c) and defoliation (b, d). Given are mean annual carbon fluxes (Mt C yr⁻¹) for the period 1997-2015, summed over all disturbed grid cells and biotic agents across US forests. Panels (a) and (b) show the modified correction factor (CF) on the x-axis, with varying minimum tree age (TA) according to the legend, while (c) and (d) provide the opposite perspective. Note that y-axes are different in scale among left- and right-hand panels; uncertainty margins are shaded gray.



420 *Figure 5.* Forest vegetation carbon density (mean of years 2000-2009) in grid cells affected 421 by biotic disturbances across the conterminous US during the study period 1997-2015 422 (n=1645): a) observations according to Wilson et al. (2013), b) LPJ-GUESS model output, c) 423 difference, and d) correlation between simulated and observed carbon density (in red the 424 linear fit with $R^2=0.37$, the black dashed line represents the 1:1 line; x-axis is truncated for 425 clarity).

426 **DISCUSSION**

By providing the first continental-scale DGVM-based assessment of BD-induced impacts on 427 forest C cycling, i.e., vegetation C loss, this study contributes to improve our quantitative 428 understanding beyond the regional scale and single agent-host systems. In particular it allows 429 for comparisons across regions, BD types and agents. The pronounced C flux variability over 430 space and time emphasizes the relevance of considering spatio-temporal variability of 431 disturbances in ecosystem models in order to better explain observed C cycling patterns. Such 432 consideration will also be important to disentangle the contribution from different 433 disturbances, e.g., BDs, fire and harvesting, as well as non-disturbance factors, e.g., CO₂, N 434 deposition and climate (Zhang et al., 2012; Le Quéré et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2016). Yet, 435 436 this finding further calls for intensified forest monitoring efforts to provide BD data at an adequate resolution, e.g., annually and at sub-national level. To date, accurate assessments of 437 BD impacts on C cycling are largely impeded by the limited availability and resolution of 438 439 such data regarding most of the global forests (Kautz et al., 2017).

According to our simulations BD-induced tree mortality and defoliation reduced annual GPP 440 in forests by an average 21.6 Mt C yr⁻¹ (0.4% of total), and annual NPP by 5.8 Mt C yr⁻¹ 441 (0.3%), when compared to simulations excluding BDs. Likewise, average BD contribution to 442 total vegetation C turnover time was relatively low, i.e., approximately 3% of the total tree 443 mortality-caused C flux was due to BDs, and the good performance of LPJ-GUESS in 444 simulating forest biomass (Fig. 5) gives confidence in the broad magnitude of this outcome. A 445 446 similar contribution to turnover has been estimated for the period 1990-2008 in managed Canadian forests (Stinson et al., 2011). These figures indicate a rather marginal effect of BDs 447 448 on C dynamics and forest productivity at the larger spatio-temporal scales, which can be explained by the low average disturbance fraction (e.g., 0.08% annual mean tree mortality 449 across our study domain). In contrast, substantial ecosystem response has been shown to 450

occur above a disturbance fraction threshold of approximately 40-60% (Flower & Gonzales-451 Meler, 2015; Stuart-Haëntjens et al., 2015), as typically reported for outbreaks temporally 452 affecting the plot- to landscape scale (e.g., Pfeifer et al., 2011; Hicke et al., 2012; Bright et 453 al., 2013; Flower et al., 2013). For instance, NPP was reduced by 31% due to emerald ash 454 borer-caused tree mortality across 45 sample plots over a 7-year period in Ohio (Flower et al., 455 2013), and aboveground tree C stocks were reduced by 40-50% in a severely bark beetle-456 disturbed landscape over a 8-year period in Idaho (Bright et al., 2013; see also Hicke et al., 457 2012 for additional examples). 458

Recent C flux estimates due to BD-induced tree mortality across US forests largely varied in 459 magnitude, mainly because they were based on different data sets for vegetation C pools and 460 mortality fractions. For instance, previous assessments on bark beetle-induced C fluxes 461 through tree mortality in the western US have been reported 20.6 Mt C yr⁻¹, with a range of 462 estimates 1.8-24.4 Mt C yr⁻¹, for the period 1997-2010 (Hicke et al., 2013), 4.6-15.4 Mt C yr⁻¹ 463 for 2000-2009 (Ghimire *et al.*, 2015), and 14.6 \pm 7.0 Mt C yr⁻¹ for 2003-2013 (aboveground C 464 only; Berner et al., 2017). By comparison, we estimated fluxes of 7.0 Mt C yr⁻¹ – with a 465 potential range of 1.1-19.2 Mt C yr⁻¹ according to our sensitivity experiments – due to tree 466 mortality caused by western bark beetles over the study period 1997-2015. Note that this 467 figure is a conservative estimate as it excludes multi-agent BDs where bark beetles were 468 involved but were not categorized as the sole causal agent in the IDS (e.g., pinyon pine 469 mortality in the 'other biotic agents' category). A northern hemisphere-wide assessment 470 resulted in total mortality-induced C fluxes due to BDs in US forests to be three times larger 471 than the 12.6 Mt C yr⁻¹ calculated here (37.1 Mt C yr⁻¹ for 1997-2013; Kautz et al., 2017). 472 Those C amounts, however, were calculated at an aggregated spatial resolution only (e.g., not 473 merging disturbance polygons at 0.5° grid cell-level) and were based on less detailed global 474 475 biomass C data.

Though the comparison with an observation-based C density map (Wilson et al., 2013) 476 demonstrated our simulated C density to be reliable over the vast majority of grid cells, LPJ-477 GUESS over-/underestimated C density locally (Fig. 5c). This leads to potentially 478 479 overestimated C fluxes in parts of the northeastern US, while C fluxes are most likely underestimated in west-coast forests. We should note that the representation of C cycle 480 processes, e.g., photosynthesis and respiration of trees, is highly sensitive to model-specific 481 parameters and may differ among DGVMs (Zaehle et al., 2005; Pappas et al., 2013; Piao et 482 al., 2013); hence the application of our approach within other models may likely increase 483 uncertainty in BD-induced C fluxes. 484

Applied BD fractions were based on the aerial-surveyed IDS polygons and corrected 485 486 (CF=0.1) in order to obtain the actual disturbed area. Nevertheless such generic factor averaged across US forests is an oversimplification since polygon accuracy and disturbance 487 severity may widely differ, e.g., due to different detectability across forest types and different 488 489 cooperating agencies responsible for data acquisition (Johnson & Wittwer, 2008). Prominent peaks of BD occurrence and associated C fluxes (e.g., 2001-2002 forest tent caterpillar, 2006 490 hemlock woolly adelgid; Fig. 1-3) are most likely overestimated because of a very rough 491 delineation of disturbance polygons. In contrast, for other parts of the study domain with high 492 survey accuracy the corrected fractions might underestimate true disturbance occurrence and 493 fluxes. Not surprisingly, the correction factor has a large influence on C fluxes as it directly 494 determines the area affected by mortality or defoliation in the model (Fig. 4). Since aerial 495 surveys were not capable to cover the complete US forest area consistently over the study 496 497 period, calculated BD fractions and associated C fluxes might be underestimated for those areas not being surveyed regularly, such as remote forests in Alaska. Improvements on BD 498 499 data coverage and accuracy, in combination with reliable tree biomass C maps, would help 500 reducing uncertainty regarding BD-induced C fluxes (Berner et al., 2017). Remote sensing approaches, for instance, might facilitate a spatially-extended and nearly tree-resolving
detection in the future, thereby providing more complete and accurate metrics on forest area
killed or defoliated.

Among the natural disturbance regimes occurring across US forests, BDs, windthrow and fire 504 are recognized as the ones most affecting C cycling, despite differences concerning spatial 505 506 patterns and temporal variability (Zhang et al., 2012; Hicke et al., 2013; Williams et al., 507 2016). Regionally, the magnitude of tree mortality and associated C fluxes due to western bark beetles has been shown to be similar to fire impacts over recent decades (Hicke et al., 508 2013; Ghimire et al., 2012, 2015), or even exceeded them (Berner et al., 2017). Accordingly 509 for the continuous US we estimated total BD-induced tree mortality C fluxes to be slightly 510 higher (11.4 \pm 7.1 Mt C yr⁻¹) compared to forest fire emissions reported over the same period 511 1997-2015 (7.8 ±3.9 Mt C yr⁻¹, van der Werf et al., 2017). A direct comparison of C fluxes 512 between BDs and fire, however, is impeded by varying C dynamics: large parts of fire-caused 513 514 C fluxes are immediately directed to the atmosphere via combustion, while C is more 515 gradually released from dead organic matter pools to the atmosphere as dead matter decays following BDs. The period of decomposition processes typically spans from months (leaves, 516 fine roots) to decades (snags), depending on environmental conditions and the affected tree 517 species (Edburg et al., 2011; Hilger et al., 2012; Landry et al., 2016). Hence, C fluxes 518 reported in this study can be understood as committed rather than direct C transfers from 519 living biomass to the atmosphere. Possible interactions between fire and BDs (e.g., fire-520 scorched trees increase susceptibility to BDs, or vice versa, BD-induced increase in fuel load 521 522 enhances fire probability), or windthrow and BDs (e.g., windthrown trees provide abundant breeding material for bark beetle population growth) were not explored in this study; 523 nevertheless, such disturbance feedbacks in combination with different management strategies 524 525 may provide fruitful subjects for further research.

In contrast to disturbances like fire or windthrow, a process-based representation of BDs in 526 527 large-scale ecosystem models such as DGVMs is particularly challenging due to the huge diversity of processes involved, e.g., concerning reproduction, development, mortality, 528 529 dispersal and attack behavior of BD agents. Each of these processes largely depends on the specific agent-host system, i.e., it differs among species, and also on the environmental 530 conditions that may vary across space and time. Future climatic changes are expected to 531 differentially affect processes and agent guilds (Weed et al., 2013; Kolb et al., 2016). 532 Moreover, stochasticity is a particularly strong factor determining BD occurrence (Sharma et 533 al., 2015), e.g., through dispersal, climate-sensitive population build-up, or when invasive 534 535 species are accidentally introduced. This complexity complicates a prognostic modelling approach based on a few climatic and ecosystem-specific parameters as has been carried out 536 537 for fire (Hantson et al., 2016) and windthrow disturbances (Lagergren et al., 2012). Coupling 538 a DGVM with a BD agent population model, that explicitly simulates most if not all of the relevant processes, has been shown feasible for single agent-host systems where the necessary 539 540 data is available (e.g., Jönsson et al., 2012; Temperli et al., 2013; Seidl & Rammer, 2017; see 541 also S1). However, in regional to global applications comprising numerous agents, the challenge of parametrization with such detail requires extensive generalization. 542

One simplifying step, followed here, avoids process-based simulation of agent population 543 dynamics by prescribing disturbance fractions, implicitly considering agent population 544 dynamics and spatial interaction between grid cells. The representation of BDs is thus rather 545 similar to accounting for human-induced disturbances such as variable land use. In contrast to 546 547 previous DGVM- or FLM-based studies (S1), we used a simple functional type-like approach that distinguishes between two major BD types, tree mortality and defoliation, across specific 548 agent-host systems. These types broadly integrate over diverse disturbance mechanisms 549 550 affecting tree physiology, e.g., growth, water use or C allocation. Similar to the concept of

PFTs, functional BD types help reducing agent and process diversity while considering 551 552 differential impacts on tree physiology (Dietze & Matthes, 2014; Landry et al., 2016). Our approach particularly enables large-scale applications, up to the global scale, as it is not a 553 554 priori constrained by species-specific parameters and processes. Furthermore, it allows the simultaneous simulation of tree mortality and defoliation by any kind of BD agent (insects, 555 pathogens, others), including many-to-many agent-host relations, and thus facilitates a holistic 556 representation of different BD regimes occurring in the same spatio-temporal domain. 557 558 Consequently, this leads to a more realistic simulation of large-scale forest ecosystem dynamics compared to previous modelling approaches. The extension is principally applicable 559 560 irrespective of the spatial scale, time period or disturbance agent studied, with the level of detail being adjustable according to the available input data and specific research questions. 561 562 For instance, overall BD-induced tree mortality and/or defoliation fractions can be used when 563 agent-separated fractions are absent as is the case for most of the globe (Kautz et al., 2017), or the extension can be applied at species level, e.g., for agent species that are of particular 564 565 interest such as the mountain pine beetle, if the data is provided accordingly. Overall, these 566 features provide a substantial improvement over the current state of neglecting BDs at the large scale. 567

Despite given differences in model parametrization and structure the presented approach may 568 serve as a blueprint for other DGVMs or similar models with potential for further 569 development and adaption, e.g., regarding (i) the variation of BD-related parameters CF and 570 571 TA according to the agent-host systems investigated, (ii) additional host specifying factors, 572 such as considering single species or temporal drought stress, (iii) the implementation of snag dynamics that account for delayed C and N fluxes, and (iv) the capacity of the tree to reflush 573 within-season following defoliation. Future disturbance trajectories can be simulated by 574 575 modifying disturbance fractions, e.g., altered fractions or shifted ranges as projected for some bark beetles in the US as a consequence of climate warming (Bentz *et al.*, 2010). Ultimately,
however, process-based representation of BDs – though it is challenging – needs to be refined
in the next-generation of DGVMs, thereby enabling the simulation of dynamic feedbacks
between CO₂, climate, vegetation and disturbances, as well as of interactions between
different disturbances, and interactions between disturbances and forest management.

581

582 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

583 MK, PA, TAMP and AA acknowledge support from the EU FP7 project LUC4C, grant 603542, and the Helmholtz Association and its ATMO program and a grant to AA from the 584 Impulse and Networking fund. AJHM acknowledges support from the University of Idaho 585 College of Natural Resources and the Joint Fire Science Program (JFSP) grant no. 16-1-01-1 586 (Agreement No. L16AC00202). The views and conclusions contained in this document are 587 588 those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Government. This is paper number 31 of the Birmingham Institute of Forest 589 Research. 590

591

592 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

593 S1 Review of biotic forest disturbance representation in large-scale, process-

594 based ecosystem models

595

596 **REFERENCES**

- Anderegg WRL, Martinez-Vilalta J, Cailleret M, Camarero JJ, Ewers BE, Galbraith D,
 Gessler A, Grote R, Huang C, Levick SR, Powell TL, Rowland L, Sánchez-Salguero R,
 Trotsiuk V (2016) When a tree dies in the forest: scaling climate-driven tree mortality to
 ecosystem water and carbon fluxes. *Ecosystems*, **19**, 1133–1147.
- Arneth A, Niinemets Ü (2010) Induced BVOCs: how to bug our models? Trends in Plant
 Science, 15, 118–125.
- Bartholomé E, Belward AS (2005) GLC2000: a new approach to global land cover mapping
 from Earth observation data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26, 1959–1977.
- Battisti C, Poeta G, Fanelli G (2016) *An introduction to disturbance ecology*. Springer Nature,
 Switzerland, 178 p.
- Bayer AD, Lindeskog M, Pugh TAM, Anthoni PM, Fuchs R, Arneth A (2017) Uncertainties
 in the land-use flux resulting from land-use change reconstructions and gross land transitions. *Earth System Dynamics*, 8, 91–111.
- Bentz BJ, Régnière J, Fettig CJ, Hansen EM, Hayes JL, Hicke JA, Kelsey RG, Negrón JF,
 Seybold SJ (2010) Climate change and bark beetles of the western United States and Canada:
 direct and indirect effects. *Bioscience*, 60, 602–613.
- Berg AR, Heald CL, Huff Hartz KE, Hallar AG, Meddens AJH, Hicke JA5, Lamarque J-F,
 Tilmes S (2013) The impact of bark beetle infestations on monoterpene emissions and
 secondary organic aerosol formation in western North America. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 3149–3161.
- Berner LT, Law BE, Meddens AJH, Hicke JA (2017) Tree mortality from fires, bark beetles,
 and timber harvest during a hot and dry decade in the western United States (2003-2012). *Environmental Research Letters*, 12, 065005.

- Boyd IL, Freer-Smith PH, Gilligan CA, Godfray HCJ (2013) The consequences of tree pests
 and diseases for ecosystem services. *Science*, 342, 1235773.
- 622 Bright BC, Hicke JA, Meddens AJH (2013) Effects of bark beetle-caused tree mortality on
- 623 biogeochemical and biogeophysical MODIS products. Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 624 *Biogeosciences*, **118**, 974–982.
- Brown MG, Black TA, Nesic Z, Fredeen AL, Foord VN, Spittlehouse DL, Bowler R, Burton
 PJ, Trofymow JA, Grant NJ, Lessard D (2012) The carbon balance of two lodgepole pine
 stands recovering from mountain pine beetle attack in British Columbia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 153, 82–93.
- 629 Canadian Forest Service (2017) National Forestry Database. Available at
 630 http://nfdp.ccfm.org, assessed 2017-03-23.
- 631 Chen F, Zhang G, Barlage M, Zhang Y, Hicke JA, Meddens A, Zhou G, Massman WJ, Frank
- 532 J (2015) An observational and modeling study of impacts of bark beetle–caused tree mortality
- on surface energy and hydrological cycles. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, **16**, 744–761.
- Clark KL, Skowronski N, Hom J (2010) Invasive insects impact forest carbon dynamics. *Global Change Biology*, 16, 88–101.
- Cooper LA, Ballantyne AP, Holden ZA, Landguth EL (2017) Disturbance impacts on land
 surface temperature and gross primary productivity in the western United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 122, 930–946.
- 639 Costilow KC, Knight KS, Flower CE (2017) Disturbance severity and canopy position control
- 640 the radial growth response of maple trees (Acer spp.) in forests of northwest Ohio impacted
- 641 by emerald ash borer (Agrilus planipennis). Annals of Forest Science, 74, 10.Crowley KF,
- 642 Lovett GM, Arthur MA, Weathers KC (2016) Long-term effects of pest-induced tree species

- change on carbon and nitrogen cycling in northeastern U.S. forests: A modeling analysis. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **372**, 269–290.
- Dale VH, Joyce LA, McNulty S, Neilson RP, Ayres MP, Flannigan MD, Hanson PJ, Irland

646 LC, Lugo AE, Peterson CJ, Simberloff D, Swanson FJ, Stocks BJ, Wotton BM (2001)

- 647 Climate change and forest disturbances. *BioScience*, **51**, 723–734.
- 648 De Jager NR, Drohan PJ, Miranda BM, Sturtevant BR, Stout SL, Royo AA, Gustafson EJ,
- Romanski MC (2017) Simulating ungulate herbivory across forest landscapes: A
 browsingextension for LANDIS-II. *Ecological Modelling*, **350**, 11–29.
- Dietze MC, Matthes JH (2014) A general ecophysiological framework for modelling the
- 652 impact of pests and pathogens on forest ecosystems. *Ecological Letters*, **17**, 1418–1426.
- Duhl TR, Gochis D, Guenther A, Ferrenberg S, Pendall E (2013) Emissions of BVOC from
 lodgepole pine in response to mountain pine beetle attack in high and low mortality forest
 stands. *Biogeosciences*, 10, 483–499.
- 656 Dymond CC, Neilson ET, Stinson G, Porter K, MacLean DA, Gray DR, Campagna M, Kurz
- WA (2010) Future spruce budworm outbreak may create a carbon source in eastern Canadian
 forests. *Ecosystems*, **13**, 917–931.
- Edburg SL, Hicke JA, Lawrence DM, Thornton PE (2011) Simulating coupled carbon and
 nitrogen dynamics following mountain pine beetle outbreaks in the western United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, **116**, G04033.
- 662 Edburg SL, Hicke JA, Brooks PD, Pendall EG, Ewers BE, Norton U, Gochis D, Gutmann
- ED, Meddens AJH (2012) Cascading impacts of bark beetle-caused tree mortality on coupled
- biogeophysical and biogeochemical processes. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **10**,
- 665 416-424.

- 666 Fisher JB, Huntzinger DN, Schwalm CR, Sitch S (2014) Modeling the terrestrial biosphere.
- 667 *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, **39**, 91–123.
- Flower CE, Gonzales-Meler MA (2015) Responses of temperate forest productivity to insect
 and pathogen disturbances. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 66, 547–569.
- Flower CE, Knight KS, Gonzalez-Meler MA (2013) Impacts of the emerald ash borer
 (*Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire) induced ash (*Fraxinus* spp.) mortality on forest carbon
 cycling and successional dynamics in the eastern United States. *Biological Invasions*, 15,
 931–944.
- Ghimire B, Williams CA, Collatz GJ, Vanderhoof M (2012) Fire-induced carbon emissions
 and regrowth uptake in western U.S. forests: documenting variation across forest types, fire
 severity, and climate regions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117,
 G03036.
- Ghimire B, Williams CA, Collatz GJ, Vanderhoof M, Rogan J, Kulakowski D, Masek JG
 (2015) Large carbon release legacy from bark beetle outbreaks across Western United States. *Global Change Biology*, 21, 3087–3101.
- Hansen EM, Michaels Goheen E (2000) Phellinus weirii and other native root pathogens as
 determinants of forest structure and process in western North America. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 38, 515–539.
- Hantson S, Arneth A, Harrison SP, Kelley DI, Prentice IC, Rabin SS, Archibald S, Mouillot
- 685 F, Arnold SR, Artaxo P, Bachelet D, Ciais P, Forrest M, Friedlingstein P, Hickler T, Kaplan
- JO, Kloster S, Knorr W, Lasslop G, Li F, Mangeon S, Melton JR, Meyn A, Sitch S, Spessa A,
- van der Werf GR, Voulgarakis A, Yue C (2016) The status and challenge of global fire
- 688 modelling. *Biogeosciences*, **13**, 3359–3375.

- Hawkins CDB, Dhar A, Balliet NA, Runzer KD (2012) Residual mature trees and secondary
 stand structure after mountain pine beetle attack in central British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 277, 107–115.
- Hicke JA, Allen CD, Desai AR, Dietze MC, Hall RJ, Hogg EH, Kashian DM, Moore D, Raffa
- KF, Sturrock RN, Vogelmann J (2012) Effects of biotic disturbances on forest carbon cycling
 in the United States and Canada. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 7–34.
- Hicke JA, Jenkins JC (2008) Mapping lodgepole pine stand structure susceptibility to
 mountain pine beetle attack across the western United States. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 255, 1536–1547.
- Hicke JA, Meddens AJH, Allen CD, Kolden CA (2013) Carbon stocks of trees killed by bark
 beetles and wildfire in the western United States. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 035032.
- Hicke JA, Meddens AJH, Kolden C (2016) Recent tree mortality in the western United States
 from bark beetles and forest fire. *Forest Science*, 62, 141–153.
- Hilger AB, Shaw CH, Metsaranta JM, Kurz WA (2012) Estimation of snag carbon transfer
 rates by ecozone and lead species for forests in Canada. *Ecological Applications*, 22, 2078–
 2090.
- Hurtt G, Chini L, Frolking S, Sahajpal R (2016) Land Use Harmonization 2. Available at:
 http://luh.umd.edu/data.shtml, version LUH2 v2h from 2016-12-14, accessed 2017-03-23.
- Johnson E, Wittwer D (2008) Aerial detection surveys in the United States. *Australian Forestry*, **71**, 212–215.
- Johnstone JF, Allen CD, Franklin JF, Frelich LE, Harvey BJ, Higuera PE, Mack MC,
 Meentemeyer RK, Metz MR, Perry GLW, Schoennagel T, Turner MG (2016) Changing

disturbance regimes, ecological memory, and forest resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and Environment*, 14, 369–378.

Jönsson AM, Schroeder LM, Lagergren F, Anderbrant O, Smith B (2012) Guess the impact of

Ips typographus – an ecosystem modelling approach for simulating spruce bark beetle
outbreaks. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **166**, 188–200.

- Kautz M, Meddens AJH, Hall RJ, Arneth A (2017) Biotic disturbances in Northern
 Hemisphere forests a synthesis of recent data, uncertainties and implications for forest
 monitoring and modelling. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 26, 533–552.
- 719 Kolb TE, Fettig CJ, Ayres MP, Bentz BJ, Hicke JA, Mathiasen R, Stewart JE, Weed AS
- (2016) Observed and anticipated impacts of drought on forest insects and pathogens in the
- 721 United States. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **380**, 321–334.
- Kurz WA, Apps MJ (1999) A 70-year retrospective analysis of carbon fluxes in the Canadian
 forest sector. *Ecological Applications*, 9, 526–547.
- Kurz WA, Dymond CC, Stinson G, Rampley GJ, Neilson ET, Carroll AL, Ebata T, Safranyik
- L (2008) Mountain pine beetle and forest carbon feedback to climate change. *Nature*, 452,
 987–990.
- Lagergren F, Jönsson AM, Blennow K, Smith B (2012) Implementing storm damage in a
 dynamic vegetation model for regional applications in Sweden. *Ecological Modelling*, 247,
 71–82.
- Landry J-S, Price DT, Ramankutty N, Parrott L, Matthews HD (2016) Implementation of a
 Marauding Insect Module (MIM, version 1.0) in the Integrated BIosphere Simulator (IBIS,
 version 2.6b4) dynamic vegetation–land surface model. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9,
 1243–1261.

Le Quéré C, Andrew RM, Canadell JG, Sitch S, Korsbakken JI, Peters GP, Manning AC, 734 735 Boden TA, Tans PP, Houghton RA, Keeling RF, Alin S, Andrews OD, Anthoni P, Barbero L, Bopp L, Chevallier F, Chini LP, Ciais P, Currie K, Delire C, Doney SC, Friedlingstein P, 736 737 Gkritzalis T, Harris I, Hauck J, Haverd V, Hoppema M, Klein Goldewijk K, Jain AK, Kato E, Körtzinger A, Landschützer P, Lefèvre N, Lenton A, Lienert S, Melton JR, Metzl N, Millero 738 F, Monteiro PMS, Munro DR, Nabel JEMS, Nakaoka S-I, O'Brien K, Olsen A, Omar AM, 739 740 Ono T, Pierrot D, Poulter B, Rödenbeck C, Salisbury J, Schuster U, Schwinger J, Séférian R, Skjelvan I, Stocker BD, Sutton AJ, Takahashi T, Tian H, Tilbrook B, van der Laan-Luijkx IT, 741 van der Werf GR, Viovy N, Walker AP, Wiltshire AJ, Zaehle S (2016) Global carbon budget 742 743 2016. Earth System Science Data, 8, 605–649.

- ______
- Loehman RA, Keane RE, Holsinger LM, Wu Z (2017) Interactions of landscape disturbances
 and climate change dictate ecological pattern and process: spatial modeling of wildfire, insect,
 and disease dynamics under future climates. *Landscape Ecology*, **32**, 1447–1459.
- Malmström CM, Raffa KF (2000) Biotic disturbance agents in the boreal forest:
 considerations for vegetation change models. *Global Change Biology*, 6, 35–48.
- Meddens AJH, Hicke JA, Ferguson CA (2012) Spatiotemporal patterns of observed bark
 beetle-caused tree mortality in British Columbia and the western United States. *Ecological Applications*, 22, 1876–1891.
- Medvigy D, Clark KL, Skowronski NS, Schäfer KVR (2012) Simulated impacts of insect
 defoliation on forest carbon dynamics. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7, 045703.
- Pappas C, Fatichi S, Leuzinger S, Wolf A, Burlando P (2013) Sensitivity analysis of a
 process-based ecosystem model: Pinpointing parameterization and structural issues. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, **118**, 505–528.

- Pfeifer EM, Hicke JA, Meddens AJH (2011) Observations and modeling of aboveground tree
 carbon stocks and fluxes following a bark beetle outbreak in the western United States. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 339–350.
- 760 Piao S, Sitch S, Ciais P, Friedlingstein P, Peylin P, Wang X, Ahlström A, Anav A, Canadell
- JG, Cong N, Huntingford C, Jung M, Levis S, Levy PE, Li J, Lin X, Lomas MR, Lu M, Luo
- 762 Y, Ma Y, Myneni RB, Poulter B, Sun Z, Wang T, Viovy N, Zaehle S, Zeng N (2013)
- 763 Evaluation of terrestrial carbon cycle models for their response to climate variability and to
- 764 CO₂ trends. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 2117–2132.
- Quillet A, Peng C, Garneau M (2010) Toward dynamic global vegetation models for
 simulating vegetation-climate interactions and feedbacks: recent developments, limitations,
 and future challenges. *Environmental Reviews*, 18, 333–353.
- Running SW (2008) Ecosystem disturbance, carbon, and climate. *Science*, **321**, 652–653.
- Schäfer KVR, Clark KL, Skowronski N, Hamerlynck EP (2010) Impact of insect defoliation
 on forest carbon balance as assessed with a canopy assimilation model. *Global Change Biology*, 16, 546–560.
- Seidl R, Rammer W (2017) Climate change amplifies the interactions between wind and bark
 beetle disturbances in forest landscapes. *Landscape Ecology*, **32**, 1485–1498.
- Seidl R, Thom D, Kautz M, Martin-Benito D, Peltoniemi M, Vacchiano G, Wild J, Ascoli D,
- Petr M, Honkaniemi J, Lexer MJ, Trotsiuk V, Mairota P, Svoboda M, Fabrika M, Nagel TA,
- Reyer CPO (2017) Forest disturbances under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 7,
 395–402.
- Sharma Y, Abbott KC, Dutta PS, Gupta AK (2015) Stochasticity and bistability in insect
 outbreak dynamics. *Theoretical Ecology*, 8, 163–174.

- Shore TL, Safranyik L (1992) Susceptibility and risk rating systems for the mountain pine
 beetle in lodgepole pine stands. *Information report*, BC-X-336, Forestry Canada, Pacific
 Forestry Centre, Victoria, BC, 12 p.
- Smith B, Prentice IC, Sykes MT (2001) Representation of vegetation dynamics in the
 modelling of terrestrial ecosystems: comparing two contrasting approaches within European
 climate space. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **10**, 621–637.
- Smith B, Wårlind D, Arneth A, Hickler T, Leadley P, Siltberg J, Zaehle S (2014) Implications
 of incorporating N cycling and N limitations on primary production in an individual-based
 dynamic vegetation model. *Biogeosciences*, 11, 2027–2054.
- Stinson G, Kurz WA, Smyth CE, Neilson ET, Dymond CC, Metsaranta JM, Boisvenue C,
 Rampley GJ, Li Q, White TM, Blain D (2011) An inventory-based analysis of Canada's
 managed forest carbon dynamics, 1990 to 2008. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 2227–2244.
- Stuart-Haëntjens EJ, Curtis PS, Fahey RT, Vogel CS, Gough CM (2015) Net primary
 production of a temperate deciduous forest exhibits a threshold response to increasing
 disturbance severity. *Ecology*, 96, 2478–2487.
- Temperli C, Veblen TT, Hart SJ, Kulakowski D, Tepley AJ (2015) Interactions among spruce
 bark beetle disturbances, climate change and forest dynamics captured by a forest landscape
 model. *Ecosphere*, 6, 231.
- Thom D, Seidl R (2016) Natural disturbance impacts on ecosystem services and biodiversity
 in temperate and boreal forests. *Biological Review*, 91, 760–781.
- Thonicke K, Venevsky S, Sitch S, Cramer W (2001) The role of fire disturbance for global
- 801 vegetation dynamics: coupling fire into a dynamic global vegetation model. *Global Ecology*
- 802 *and Biogeography*, **10**, 661–677.

Turner MG (2010) Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. *Ecology*, **91**,
2833–2849.

805 USDA Forest Service (2005) Aerial Survey Geographic Information System Handbook.

806 United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, 35 p. Available at:

- 807 https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/technology/ads_standards.shtml, assessed 2017-03-23.
- 808 USDA Forest Service (2017) *Insect and disease survey data base*. Available at: 809 http://foresthealth.fs.usda.gov, assessed 2017-03-23.
- van der Werf GR, Randerson JT, Giglio L, van Leeuwen TT, Chen Y, Rogers BM, Mu M,
- van Marle MJE, Morton DC, Collatz GJ, Yokelson RJ, Kasibhatla PS (2017) Global fire

emissions estimates during 1997–2016. Earth System Science Data, 9, 697–720. Data

813 available at: <u>http://www.globalfiredata.org/ data.html</u>, assessed 2017-05-07.

- van Lierop P, Lindquist E, Sathyapala S, Franceschini G (2015) Global forest area
 disturbance from fire, insect pests, diseases and severe weather events. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 352, 78–88.
- Weed AS, Ayres MP, Hicke JA (2013) Consequences of climate change for biotic
 disturbances in North American forests. *Ecological Monographs*, 83, 441–470.
- Williams C, Gu H, MacLean R, Masek J, Collatz G (2016) Disturbance and the carbon
 balance of US forests: A quantitative review of impacts from harvests, fires, insects, and
 droughts. *Global and Planetary Change*, 143, 66–80.
- 822 Wilson BT, Woodall CW, Griffith DM (2013) Imputing forest carbon stock estimates from
- inventory plots to a nationally continuous coverage. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 8:1.
- 824 Data available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.2737/RDS-2013-0004, assessed 2017-03-23.

- Zaehle S, Sitch S, Smith B, Hatterman F (2005) Effects of parameter uncertainties on the
- modeling of terrestrial biosphere dynamics. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **19**, GB3020.
- Zhang F, Chen JM, Pan Y, Birdsey R.A., Shen S, Ju W, He L (2012) Attributing carbon
- changes in conterminous U.S. forests to disturbance and non-disturbance factors from 1901 to
- 829 2010. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, **117**, G02021.