

TUDOR ZAMFIRESCU

## On $k$ -Path Hamiltonian Graphs

Estratto da:  
*Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana*  
(4) **6** (1972), 61-66



NICOLA ZANICHELLI EDITORE

BOLOGNA



## On $k$ -Path Hamiltonian Graphs.

TUDOR ZAMFIRESCU (Dortmund)

**Résumé.** — Dans cette Note on établit un résultat analogue à un théorème de C. Berge dans la théorie des graphes.

An undirected graph  $G$ , without loops and multiple edges, is said to be:

—  $k$ -path hamiltonian if every path in  $G$  of length at most  $k$  can be extended to a hamiltonian circuit of  $G$  <sup>(1)</sup>,

—  $k$ -line hamiltonian if every set of at most  $k$  lines constituting disjoint paths in  $G$  is included in a hamiltonian circuit of  $G$ .

Recently, C. BERGE proved the following theorem, which generalizes previous results of O. ORE [6], [7], [8], P. ERDÖS and T. GALLAI [3], L. PÓSA [9], J. A. BONDY [2], H. V. KRONK [4], [5]:

**THEOREM 1** [1]. — Let  $G$  be a (simple) graph on  $n$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , such that

$$d_G(x_1) \leq \dots \leq d_G(x_n).$$

Let  $k$  be an integer,  $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$ . If

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} i < j \\ d_G(x_i) \leq i + k \\ d_G(x_j) \leq j + k - 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow d_G(x_i) + d_G(x_j) \geq n + k,$$

then  $G$  is  $k$ -line hamiltonian.

<sup>(1)</sup> The terminology and the notations used here are those of C. BERGE [1], except: for « chaine élémentaire » we use the word « path »,  $P(G)$  and  $E(G)$  respectively denote the point- and the edge-set of  $G$ , and « circuit » is used for « cycle ».



From Theorem 1 one can immediately derive

**COROLLARY 1.** — *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, the graph  $G$  is  $k$ -path hamiltonian.*

We prove now the following very simple

**THEOREM 2.** — *If for each connected subgraph  $G'$  of  $G$ , with at most  $k-1$  vertices, the subgraph  $G''$  of  $G$  with  $P(G'') = P(G) - P(G')$  is hamiltonian-connected, then  $G$  is  $k$ -path hamiltonian.*

**PROOF.** — Let  $K$  be a path of length at most  $k$  in  $G$ . Consider the subgraph  $G'$  of  $G$  with  $P(G') = P(K) - \{a, b\}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are the end-points of  $K$ . Since  $G'$  is connected and has at most  $k-1$  vertices, the subgraph  $G''$  of  $G$  with  $P(G'') = P(G) - P(G')$  is hamiltonian-connected, whence  $a$  and  $b$  are joined by a hamiltonian path  $H$  in  $G''$ . Then  $K \cup H$  is a hamiltonian circuit of  $G$ .

**COROLLARY 2** [10]. — *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  points. If each subgraph of  $G$  on at least  $n-k+1$  vertices is hamiltonian-connected, then  $G$  is  $k$ -path hamiltonian ( $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ).*

The main aim of this Note is to establish the exact relation ship between Corollaries 1 and 2.

First we prove that Corollary 2 is not weaker than Corollary 1.

Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied for a graph  $G$  on  $n$  points and let  $G'$  be a subgraph of  $G$  on at least  $n-k+1$  points. Choose  $a, b \in P(G')$  arbitrarily. Consider the set

$$\{c_1, \dots, c_l\} = P(G) - P(G') \quad (l \leq k-1).$$

Construct the graph  $H$  such that  $P(H) = P(G)$  and  $E(H) = E(G) \cup V$ , where

$$V = \{[a, c_1], [c_1, c_2], \dots, [c_{l-1}, c_l], [c_l, b]\}.$$

It is easily seen that  $H$  also satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Then, following Corollary 1, the path  $H$  with  $E(H) = V$  may be extended to a hamiltonian circuit  $\Theta$  of  $H$ . Thus, one obtains the subgraph  $H^*$  of  $\Theta$  with  $P(H^*) = (P(\Theta) - P(H)) \cup \{a, b\}$ , which is a hamiltonian path in  $G'$ , joining  $a$  with  $b$ .

Now, we show by an example that the domain of application of Corollary 2 is larger than that of Corollary 1, which proves that Corollary 2 is strictly stronger than Corollary 1 <sup>(2)</sup>.

<sup>(2)</sup> This fact has been stated (without proof) in a footnote of [10].



Let  $A, B, C, D$  be four pair-wise disjoint sets of points, each of cardinality  $k+1$ , and  $a, b, c, d$  four points not in  $A \cup B \cup C \cup D$ . Let  $G$  be a graph such that

$$P(G) = \{a, b, c, d\} \cup A \cup B \cup C \cup D ;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E(G) = & \{[a, b], [b, c], [c, d]\} \cup \\ & \cup \{[a, x]: x \in A\} \cup \{[b, x]: x \in B\} \cup \\ & \cup \{[c, x]: x \in C\} \cup \{[d, x]: x \in D\} \cup \\ & \cup \{[x, y]: x, y \in A \cup B \cup C \cup D, x \neq y\} . \end{aligned}$$

We prove first that the hypothesis of Corollary 2 is fulfilled. Clearly,  $n = 4k + 8$ ;  $n - k + 1 = 3k + 9$ . Let  $G'$  be a subgraph of  $G$  on at least  $3k + 9$  vertices,

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_p\} = P(G') \cap A ,$$

$$\{b_1, \dots, b_q\} = P(G') \cap B ,$$

$$\{c_1, \dots, c_r\} = P(G') \cap C ,$$

$$\{d_1, \dots, d_s\} = P(G') \cap D ,$$

and

$$E = P(G') - (A \cup B \cup C \cup D) .$$

We have to distinguish between 10 essentially different Cases: I:  $E = \emptyset$ , II:  $E = \{a\}$ , III:  $E = \{b\}$ , IV:  $E = \{a, b\}$ , V:  $E = \{a, c\}$ , VI:  $E = \{a, d\}$ , VII:  $E = \{b, c\}$ , VIII:  $E = \{a, b, c\}$ , IX:  $E = \{a, b, d\}$ , X:  $E = \{a, b, c, d\}$ . For all Cases I—IX,  $p, q, r, s \geq 3$ . (Suppose, on the contrary,  $p \leq 2$ . Then  $q, r, s \leq k + 1$  and

$$\text{card } P(G') \leq p + q + r + s + 3 \leq 2 + 3(k + 1) + 3 = 3k + 8,$$

which is absurd.) Analogously, for the Case X,  $p, q, r, s \geq 2$ . In Case I,  $G'$  is complete and therefore hamiltonian-connected. For Cases II—X, one proves that for each couple of vertices  $x, y \in P(G')$ , there is a hamiltonian path in  $G'$  with end-points  $x, y$ . The next table gives hamiltonian paths connecting essentially different pairs of vertices in  $G'$ , for the Case II. Analogously, one may complete similar tables for Cases III—X!



$x$	$y$	Path
$a$	$a_1$	$[a, a_2, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q, c_1, \dots, c_r, d_1, \dots, d_s, a_1]$
$a$	$b_1$	$[a, a_1, \dots, a_p, b_2, \dots, b_q, c_1, \dots, c_r, d_1, \dots, d_s, b_1]$
$a$	$c_1$	$[a, a_1, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q, c_2, \dots, c_r, d_1, \dots, d_s, c_1]$
$a$	$d_1$	$[a, a_1, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q, c_1, \dots, c_r, d_2, \dots, d_s, d_1]$
$a_1$	$a_2$	$[a_1, a, a_3, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q, c_1, \dots, c_r, d_1, \dots, d_s, a_2]$
$a_1$	$b_1$	$[a_1, a, a_2, \dots, a_p, b_2, \dots, b_q, c_1, \dots, c_r, d_1, \dots, d_s, b_1]$
$a_1$	$c_1$	$[a_1, a, a_2, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q, c_2, \dots, c_r, d_1, \dots, d_s, c_1]$
$a_1$	$d_1$	$[a_1, a, a_2, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q, c_1, \dots, c_r, d_2, \dots, d_s, d_1]$
$b_1$	$b_2$	$[b_1, a_1, a, a_2, \dots, a_p, b_3, \dots, b_q, c_1, \dots, c_r, d_1, \dots, d_s, b_2]$
$b_1$	$c_1$	$[b_1, a_1, a, a_2, \dots, a_p, b_2, \dots, b_q, c_2, \dots, c_r, d_1, \dots, d_s, c_1]$

Now, let us show that the hypothesis of Corollary 1 is not satisfied. Indeed, if

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_{4k+4}\} = A \cup B \cup C \cup D,$$

then, by putting  $a = x_1$ ,  $d = x_2$ ,  $b = x_3$ ,  $c = x_4$  and  $y_i = x_{i+4}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4k+4$ ), we have

$$d_G(x_1) \leq \dots \leq d_G(x_{4k+8})$$

and, contrarily to the hypothesis of Corollary 1, in this sequence there are indices  $i_0, j_0$  such that  $i_0 < j_0$ ,  $d_G(x_{i_0}) \leq i_0 + k$  and  $d_G(x_{j_0}) \leq j_0 + k - 1$ , but  $d_G(x_{i_0}) + d_G(x_{j_0}) < n + k$ ; take, for instance,  $i_0 = 3$  and  $j_0 = 4$ : then

$$d_G(x_3) = d_G(b) = 3 + k,$$

$$d_G(x_4) = d_G(c) = 4 + k - 1,$$

but

$$d_G(x_3) + d_G(x_4) = 2k + 6 < 5k + 8.$$



D. Römer proved that for  $n-4 \leq k \leq n-2$  Corollaries 1 and 2 are equivalent (private communication).

Thus, the relationship between Corollaries 1 and 2 is completely established. In other words, we proved the following strengthening of Corollary 1:

**THEOREM 3.** - *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, each subgraph of  $G$  on at least  $n-k+1$  vertices is hamiltonian-connected.*

That Corollary 2 is strictly weaker than Theorem 2, it may be seen from the following example.

Consider the set

$$M = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in R^d : x_j \in N, x_j \leq m, j = 1, \dots, d\}$$

and the graph  $G$  with  $P(G) = M$  and

$$\begin{aligned} E(G) = \{[a, b] : a = (x_1, \dots, x_{c-1}, x_c, x_{c+1}, \dots, x_d), \\ b = (x_1, \dots, x_{c-1}, x_c + 1, x_{c+1}, \dots, x_d), \\ a, b \in M, c \in \{1, \dots, d\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $m$  large enough and

$$d-1 \leq k \leq 2d-8 \quad (d \geq 7),$$

Theorem 2 applies, but Corollary 2 not.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. BERGE, *Graphes et hypergraphes*, Dunod, Paris, 1970.
- [2] J. A. BONDY, *Properties of graphs with constraints on degrees*, *Studia Sc. Math. Hung.*, **4** (1969), pp. 473-475.
- [3] P. ERDÖS - T. GALLAI, *On maximal paths and circuits of graphs*, *Acta Math. Ac. Sc. Hung.*, **10** (1959), pp. 337-356.
- [4] H. V. KRONK, *Variations on a theorem of Pósa*, in *The many facets of Graph Theory* (G. Chartrand, S. F. Kapoor, ed.), Springer-Verlag, 1969, pp. 193-197.
- [5] H. V. KRONK, *A note on  $k$ -path hamiltonian graphs*, *J. Comb. Theory* **7** (1969), pp. 104-106.
- [6] O. ORE, *Note on Hamilton circuits*, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **67** (1960), p. 55.



- [7] O. ORE, *Arc coverings of graphs*, Ann. Mat. pura Appl., **55** (1961), pp. 315-322.
- [8] O. ORE, *Hamilton connected graphs*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **42** (1963), pp. 21-27.
- [9] L. PÓSA, *A theorem concerning Hamilton lines*, Magyar Tud. Kutato Int. Közl., **7** (1962), pp. 225-226.
- [10] T. ZAMFIRESCU, *On  $k$ -path hamiltonian graphs and line-graphs*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **46** (1971), pp. 385-389.

*Pervenuta alla Segreteria dell' U. M. I.  
il 27 dicembre 1971*