

TUDOR ZAMFIRESCU

A CHARACTERIZATION OF HAMILTONIAN GRAPHS

Estratto dagli  
Atti della Accademia delle Scienze dell'Istituto di Bologna  
Classe di Scienze Fisiche  
Anno 262°

RENDICONTI  
SERIE XIII - TOMO I



TIPOGRAFIA COMPOSITORI - BOLOGNA  
1974

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF HAMILTONIAN GRAPHS

Nota di TUDOR ZAMFIRESCU

presentata (\*) dall'Accademico Corrispondente residente GIUSEPPE SCORZA DRAGONI

In this Note we present a characterization of the hamiltonian graphs, using families of smaller circuits. The research is motivated by the almost perfect lack of theorems simultaneously providing necessary and sufficient conditions for a graph to be hamiltonian.

Let  $G$  be a graph without loops or multiple edges. We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a covering family of circuits if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of circuits the union of which spans  $G$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}$  is so that the point-sets of  $G$  and  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  are equal and the line-set of  $G$  includes that of  $\cup \mathcal{F}$ . Now, let  $E$  and  $F$  be two circuits in  $G$ . We shall write  $E \& F$  if  $E$  and  $F$  have a line in common and their point-sets have just the end-points of that line in common. Now we can organize each covering family of circuits  $\mathcal{F}$  as a graph  $\mathcal{F}^*$  by establishing that the circuits  $E$  and  $F$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  become points of  $\mathcal{F}^*$  and determine a line in  $\mathcal{F}^*$  if and only if  $E \& F$ . On the other hand, we can also organize  $\mathcal{F}$  as a graph  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  by saying that the circuits  $E$  and  $F$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  which become again points in  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$ , determine a line in  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  if and only if they (their point-sets) are not disjoint.

**THEOREM.** — *The graph  $G$  is hamiltonian if and only if it admits a covering family of circuits  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^*$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  are trees (\*\*).*

*Proof.* — If  $G$  is hamiltonian, then the hamiltonian circuit alone furnishes a covering family of circuits  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^*$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  being degenerate trees.

Conversely, suppose  $\mathcal{F}^*$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  are trees for some covering family of circuits  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $G$ . We have to prove that  $G$  is hamiltonian. We do this by induction on the number of circuits in  $\mathcal{F}$  (or cardinality of the point-sets of  $\mathcal{F}^*$  or  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  have  $n$  circuits and suppose the theorem true if  $\mathcal{F}$  would have  $n - 1$  circuits. Moreover suppose that if  $\mathcal{F}$  has  $n - 1$  circuits then the hamiltonian circuit of  $G$  contains every line of  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  which does not appear in the definition of the adjacency

---

(\*) Nella seduta del 25 novembre 1973.

(\*\*) A point, a line (with its end-points), a path are all (degenerate) trees.

of points in  $\mathcal{F}^*$ . (Now, in order to use the induction correctly, we have to prove, in the case  $\mathcal{F}$  has  $n$  circuits, not only that  $G$  is hamiltonian, but also this supplementary property of the hamiltonian circuit of  $G$ .) Let  $K$  be a circuit which, in  $\mathcal{F}^*$ , is a point  $k$  of degree one (\*). Following the induction hypothesis, the graph  $\cup (\mathcal{F} - \{K\})$  has a hamiltonian circuit  $C$ . Let  $K'$  be the circuit of  $\mathcal{F}$  which, in  $\mathcal{F}^*$ , is the point  $k'$  adjacent with  $k$ .  $K$  and  $K'$  have exactly two points  $a, b$  and the line  $(a, b)$  in common. Following again the induction hypothesis,  $(a, b)$  belongs to  $C$  if there exists no circuit  $K''$  in  $\mathcal{F} - \{K\}$  such that  $K'$  and  $K''$  have exactly the points  $a, b$  and the line  $(a, b)$  in common. Suppose  $(a, b)$  does not belong to  $C$ . Then there exists a circuit  $K''$  in  $\mathcal{F} - \{K\}$  which, in  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$ , is a point  $k''$  adjacent to both  $k$  and  $k'$ . Now  $k$  and  $k'$  are themselves adjacent in  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  since they are so in  $\mathcal{F}^*$ . This implies  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  has the circuit  $k k' k'' k$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  is a tree. Therefore  $(a, b)$  belongs to  $C$ . Suppose  $K$  and  $\cup (\mathcal{F} - \{K\})$  have, besides  $a$  and  $b$ , a third point  $c$  (at least) in common. Then there exists a circuit  $K'''$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , distinct from  $K'$ , containing the point  $c$ . This circuit is, in  $\mathcal{F}^*$ , a point  $k'''$  which is not adjacent with  $k$ , since  $k$  has degree one and is adjacent to  $k'$ . But, since  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is a tree, there exists a path  $P$  (of length more than 1) in  $\mathcal{F}^*$  joining  $k$  with  $k'''$ . The points  $k$  and  $k'''$  are joint by  $P$  in  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  too, because the line-set of  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  obviously includes that of  $\mathcal{F}^*$ . On the other hand, since  $c$  belongs to both  $K$  and  $K'''$ ,  $k$  and  $k'''$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$ . This line and  $P$  provide a circuit in  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$ , which contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore  $K$  and  $\cup (\mathcal{F} - \{K\})$  have only  $a, b$  and  $(a, b)$  in common, and  $C \cup K$  minus the line  $(a, b)$  is a hamiltonian circuit  $H$  in  $G$ . Moreover,  $H$  contains all lines which do not appear in the definition of the adjacency of points in  $\mathcal{F}^*$  (since it contains the lines of  $K$ , except  $(a, b)$ ). Thus, the theorem is proved.

I have the feeling that this theorem (wich admits several variations) may open the interest for a more general study of the graph-structure of families of subgraphs of a given graph  $G$ , in connection with properties of  $G$  itself.

---

(\*) The circuits  $K, K', K'', K'''$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  become the points  $k, k', k'', k'''$  (respectively) in both  $\mathcal{F}^*$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$ .