

**REASONING ABOUT  
PARALLEL PROGRAMS  
WITH LOCAL VARIABLES**

**Stephen Brookes**

**Carnegie Mellon University  
School of Computer Science**

MFPS'94

# SHARED VARIABLE PARALLELISM

- Parallel imperative programs reading and writing shared memory
- $C_1 \parallel C_2$  modelled by interleaving of atomic actions
- Synchronization using conditional atomic action: **await  $B$  then  $C$**

## Coarseness Assumption

Assignment and boolean expressions are atomic.

# OPERATIONAL SEMANTICS

$$\frac{\langle C_1, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C'_1, s' \rangle}{\langle C_1 \parallel C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C'_1 \parallel C_2, s' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C'_2, s' \rangle}{\langle C_1 \parallel C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_1 \parallel C'_2, s' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle C_1, s \rangle \text{term} \quad \langle C_2, s \rangle \text{term}}{\langle C_1 \parallel C_2, s \rangle \text{term}}$$

$$\frac{\langle B, s \rangle \rightarrow^* \mathbf{tt} \quad \langle C, s \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle C', s' \rangle \text{term}}{\langle \mathbf{await } B \text{ then } C, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{skip}, s' \rangle}$$

cf. Hennessy and Plotkin (1979)

## PROGRAM BEHAVIOR

- Partial correctness:

$$\mathcal{M}[C] = \{(s, s') \mid \langle C, s \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle C', s' \rangle \text{term}\}$$

- Strong correctness:

$$\mathcal{M}[C] = \{(s, s') \mid \langle C, s \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle C', s' \rangle \text{term}\} \\ \cup \{(s, \perp) \mid \langle C, s \rangle \rightarrow^\omega\}$$

- Total correctness:

$$\mathcal{M}[C] = \{(s, s') \mid \langle C, s \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle C', s' \rangle \text{term}\} \\ \cup \{(s, s') \mid s' \in S_\perp \ \& \ \langle C, s \rangle \rightarrow^\omega\}$$

- Deadlock:

$$\mathcal{M}[C] = \{(s, s') \mid \langle C, s \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle C', s' \rangle \text{dead}\}$$

## FULL ABSTRACTION

Milner (1977):

A semantics is *fully abstract* if two phrases have the same meaning precisely when they induce the same behavior in all program contexts.

- A natural criterion for judging merit of a semantics.
- Often difficult to achieve.
- A fully abstract semantics supports compositional reasoning.
- Failure of full abstraction may suggest:
  - defective semantic model
  - missing language features

## TRACE SEMANTICS

Transitions:  $\Sigma = S \times S$

Transition traces:  $\Sigma^\infty = \Sigma^+ \cup \Sigma^\omega$

Trace semantics:  $\mathcal{T}[[C]] \subseteq \Sigma^\infty$

- A trace represents a sequence of snapshots taken during computation, allowing for possible interruption.
- Operational definition:

$$\mathcal{T}[[C]] = \{ (s_0, s'_0)(s_1, s'_1) \dots (s_k, s'_k) \mid \begin{array}{l} \langle C, s_0 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle C_1, s'_0 \rangle \ \& \\ \langle C_1, s_1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle C_2, s'_1 \rangle \ \& \\ \dots \dots \dots \ \& \\ \langle C_k, s_k \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle C', s'_k \rangle \text{term} \end{array} \}$$

- Partial correctness behavior corresponds to “interference-free” subset:

$$\mathcal{M}[[C]] = \{ (s, s') \mid (s, s') \in \mathcal{T}[[C]] \}.$$

## PROPERTIES

- $\mathcal{T}[[C]]$  is closed under **stuttering**:

$$\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{T}[[C]] \Rightarrow \alpha(s, s)\beta \in \mathcal{T}[[C]].$$

- $\mathcal{T}[[C]]$  is closed under **mumbling**:

$$\alpha(s, s')(s', s'')\beta \in \mathcal{T}[[C]] \Rightarrow \alpha(s, s'')\beta \in \mathcal{T}[[C]].$$

## DEFINITION

For  $T \subseteq (S \times S)^+$  let  $T^\dagger$  be smallest closed set including  $T$ .

## FACT

Closed sets of traces, ordered by inclusion, form a complete lattice.

# DENOTATIONAL SEMANTICS

$$\mathcal{T}[\text{skip}] = \{(s, s) \mid s \in S\}^\dagger$$

$$\mathcal{T}[I:=E] = \{(s, [s|I = n]) \mid (s, n) \in \mathcal{E}[E]\}^\dagger$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}[C_1; C_2] &= \mathcal{T}[C_1]; \mathcal{T}[C_2] \\ &= \{\alpha\beta \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{T}[C_1] \ \& \ \beta \in \mathcal{T}[C_2]\}^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}[C_1 \parallel C_2] &= \mathcal{T}[C_1] \parallel \mathcal{T}[C_2] \\ &= \cup\{\alpha \parallel \beta \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{T}[C_1] \ \& \ \beta \in \mathcal{T}[C_2]\}^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}[\text{await } B \text{ then } C] &= \\ &= \{(s, s') \in \mathcal{T}[C] \mid (s, \mathbf{tt}) \in \mathcal{B}[B]\}^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}[\text{if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2] &= \\ &= \mathcal{T}[B]; \mathcal{T}[C_1] \cup \mathcal{T}[\neg B]; \mathcal{T}[C_2] \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{T}[\text{while } B \text{ do } C] = (\mathcal{T}[B]; \mathcal{T}[C])^*; \mathcal{T}[\neg B]$$

where  $\mathcal{T}[B] = \{(s, s) \mid (s, \mathbf{tt}) \in \mathcal{B}[B]\}$ .

# PROPERTIES

- Sequential and parallel composition are continuous operations on closed sets of traces.
- Loop semantics can be expressed as a least fixed point:

$$\mathcal{T}[\mathbf{while} \ B \ \mathbf{do} \ C] = \mu T.(\mathcal{T}[B]; \mathcal{T}[C]; T \cup \mathcal{T}[\neg B])$$

- Denotational and operational definitions of  $\mathcal{T}$  coincide.

## INFINITE TRACES

- Let  $\Sigma$  be  $S \times S$ .
- $\mathcal{T}[[C]] \subseteq \Sigma^\infty = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^\omega$
- $\mathcal{T}[[C]]$  is closed under stuttering and mumbling.
- Extend *concatenation* to infinite traces:

$$\alpha\beta = \alpha \quad \text{if } \alpha \text{ is infinite.}$$

- Loop semantics as an “operational” fixed point:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}[[\mathbf{while} \ B \ \mathbf{do} \ C]] &= \\ & (\mathcal{T}[[B]]; \mathcal{T}[[C]])^*; \mathcal{T}[[\neg B]] \\ & \cup (\mathcal{T}[[B]]; \mathcal{T}[[C]])^\omega \end{aligned}$$

- Full abstraction for strong correctness.

## FAIR PARALLELISM

“No parallel component is delayed forever”

- $\mathcal{T}[[C_1 \parallel C_2]] = \mathcal{T}[[C_1]] \parallel \mathcal{T}[[C_2]]$
- $T_1 \parallel T_2 = \cup \{ \alpha \parallel \beta \mid \alpha \in T_1 \ \& \ \beta \in T_2 \}^\dagger$
- $\alpha \parallel \beta = \{ \gamma \mid (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \text{fairmerge} \}$
- $\text{fairmerge} = (L^* R R^* L)^\omega \cup (L \cup R)^* A$ ,  
where

$$L = \{ (\sigma, \epsilon, \sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma \}$$

$$R = \{ (\epsilon, \sigma, \sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma \}$$

$$A = \{ (\epsilon, \alpha, \alpha), (\alpha, \epsilon, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^\omega \}$$

cf. Park (1979)

- Fair parallel composition is a  
*continuous function* on closed sets  
of traces.

## RELATED WORK

- Abrahamson (1979), Park (1979):
  - no stuttering or mumbling
  - not fully abstract
- de Boer, Kok, Palamidessi, Rutten (1991):
  - only restricted mumbling
  - different language and behavior
  - ignores fairness
- Abadi, Plotkin (1993):
  - finite traces, stuttering, mumbling
  - closed under prefix
  - full abstraction for safety properties
  - ignores fairness

## FURTHER RESEARCH

- Connection with logic:
  - generalized Hoare logics
  - safety and liveness
  - modal logics (e.g. LTL, CTL,  $\mu$ -calculus)
- Laws of program equivalence, for:
  - program transformation, derivation
  - parallelization
  - simplification of program proofs
- Full abstraction for fairly *communicating processes*
  - Hoare's CSP
  - Milner's CCS with value-passing