



Enabling Signal Processing over Stream Data

<u>Milos Nikolic</u>^{*}, University of Oxford Badrish Chandramouli, Microsoft Research Jonathan Goldstein, Microsoft Research

*Work performed during internship at MSR

Signals in Streams

- Lots of "signals" in stream data
 - Internet-of-things devices, app telemetry (e.g., ad clicks)
- IoT workflows combine relational & signal logic



:46

:45

:44



Data processing expert

Engines: stream engines, DBMS, MPP systems

Data model: (tempo)-relational

Language: declarative (SQL, LINQ, functional)

Scenarios: real-time, offline, progressive

How to reconcile two worlds?





Digital signal processing expert

Engines: MATLAB, R

Data model: array

Language: imperative (array languages, C)

Scenarios: mostly offline, real-time

Our solution:

- high-performance (2 OOM faster)
- one query language
- familiar abstractions to both worlds

Typical DSP Workflow



Equally-spaced samples stored in array

Window 1. • window size & hop size 2. Per window: pipeline DSP ops • array to array • Example: spectral analysis Per device $FFT \rightarrow user-defined function \rightarrow IFFT$ 3. Unwindow • sum overlapping segments

4



Loose Systems Integration

Stream Processing Engine + R





- Stream engine for relational queries
 - Per-group computation, windowing, joins, etc.
- R for highly-optimized DSP operations
- Problem: impedance mismatch
 - High communication overhead (up to 95%)
 - Impractical for real-time analysis
 - Disparate query languages



Trill: Fast Streaming Analytics Engine

- Performance
 - 2-4 OOM faster than today's SPE
- Query model
 - Based on temporal query model (relational with time)
 - Real-time, offline, progressive queries
- Language integration
 - Built as .NET library
 - Works with arbitrary C# data-types

[VLDB 2014 paper]

DSP Library

- Unified query model
 - Non-uniform & uniform signals
 - Type-safe mix of stream & signal operators
- Array-based extensibility framework
 - DSP operator writer sees arrays
 - Supports incremental computation
- "Walled garden" on top of Trill
 - No changes in data model
 - Inherits Trill's efficient processing capability (e.g., grouped computation)

Tempo-Relational Model

• Uniformly represents offline and online datasets as stream data





Trill Example (Simplified)

• Define event data-type in C#

struct SensorReading { long SensorId; long Time; double Value; }

 Define ingress Streamable Application time var str = Network.ToStream(e => e.Time);
 Write query (in C# app) Operator Lambda expression var query = str.Where(e => e.Value < 100) .Select(e => e.Value)

• Subscribe to result

query.Subscribe(e => Console.Write(e)); // write results to console

Signal = stream w/o overlapping events





- Transition to signal domain
 - E.g., result of an aggregate query
 var signal = stream.Where(e => e.Value < 100).Count()
- Using stream operators to build signal operators
 - E.g., adding two signals as a temporal join of two streams left.Join(right, (1, r) => 1 + r)



Type-safe operations



Bringing Array Abstractions to DSP Users

- Initial idea: Window & Unwindow sample operators
 - Window() creates a stream of arrays

var s = uniformSignal.Window(5,3).FFT()...

• Unwindow() projects arrays back in time

• Performance problems

- Creates dependencies between window semantics and system performance
- No data sharing across overlapping arrays
- Unclear language semantics
 - e.g., stream of arrays: is it a signal or not?



Windowing Operator for DSP Users

• Expose arrays only inside the windowing operator



DSP pipeline & arrays instantiated only once → better data management

User-Defined Operator Framework

• DSP experts write array-array operators

- Matches their expectations
- Allows optimized array-based logic (e.g., SIMD)

Incremental DSP operators

- Framework uses circular arrays to avoid data copying with hopping windows
- New & old data available for incremental computation





Grouped Computation

• Group-aware operators

- Online processing of intertwined signals
- One state per each group
 - E.g., interpolator keeps a history of samples for each group
- Streaming MapReduce in Trill





Performance: FFT with tumbling window

Window \rightarrow FFT \rightarrow Unwindow



RUNNING TIME (secs)

Pre-loaded datasets in memory

Pure DSP task

• TrillDSP uses FFTW library

Comparable to best DSP tools

Performance: Grouping + DSP

Per sensor: Windowed FFT \rightarrow Function \rightarrow Inverse FFT \rightarrow Unwindow

NORMALIZED TIME TO TRILLDSP ON 16 CORES



Pre-loaded datasets in memory

• 100 groups in stream

Up to 2 OOM faster than others Performance benefits from:

- Efficient group processing, group-aware DSP windowing
- Using circular arrays to manage overlapping windows
- TrillDSP uses FFTW library

Conclusion

• Apps mix relational & signal logic



- Per device: find periodicity in signals, interpolate missing data, recover noisy data
- Different data models: relational vs. array
- Existing query processors integrated with R
 - Impedance mismatch \rightarrow high performance overhead \rightarrow not suitable for real-time
- TrillDSP = Relational processing + Signal processing
 - Unified query model for relational and signal data, for both real-time and offline
 - Gives users the view they are comfortable with
 - Avoids impedance mismatch between components

Up to 2 OOM faster than systems integrated w/ R