EE 570: Location and Navigation INS/GPS Integration

Aly El-Osery

Electrical Engineering Department, New Mexico Tech Socorro, New Mexico, USA

April 29, 2011

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 29, 2011 1 / 15

Need for Integration

Aly El-Osery (NMT)



Need for Integration



Errors are time dependent

Need Initialization

Aly El-Osery	(NMT)
--------------	-------

High data rate High accuracy in short term

Overview

Need for Integration





Advantages	Disadvantages
Immune to RF Jaming	Drifts
High data rate	Errors are time dependent
High accuracy in short term	Need Initialization

2

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Overview

Need for Integration



Advantages	Disadvantages
Immune to RF Jaming	Drifts
High data rate	Errors are time dependent
High accuracy in short term	Need Initialization



Advantages	Disadvantages
Erros time-indep.	Sensitive to RF Interference
No initialization	No attitude information

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

▲ ▶ ▲ ■ ♪ ● ⑦ � C April 29, 2011 2 / 15 Overview

Need for Integration



Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 29, 2011 2/15

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト











Closed-Loop Integration

If error estimates are fedback to correct the INS mechanization, a reset of the state estimates becomes necessary.



< A

Loosely Coupled Integration



- Simple
- Cascade KF therefore integration KF BW must be less than that of GNSS KF (e.g. update interval of 10s)
- Minimum of 4 satellites required

Loosely Coupled Integration



- Simple
- Cascade KF therefore integration KF BW must be less than that of GNSS KF (e.g. update interval of 10s)
- Minimum of 4 satellites required

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Tightly Coupled Integration



- No cascade KF
- KF BW must be kept less than the GNSS tracking loop

 Does not require 4 satellites

April 29, 2011 6 / 15

э

Tightly Coupled Integration



- No cascade KF
- KF BW must be kept less than the GNSS tracking loop

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

 Does not require 4 satellites

April 29, 2011 6 / 15

INS Derived Psuedo-Range and -Rates

$$\hat{\rho}_{Cj,k} = \sqrt{[\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{esj}^{e}(\tilde{t}_{st,j,k}) - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ea,kj}^{e}]^{T}[\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{esj}^{e}(\tilde{t}_{st,j,k}) - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ea,kj}^{e}]} + \delta\hat{\rho}_{rc,k} + \delta\rho_{ie,j} \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{Cj,k} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{e}{}_{as,j,k}^{T}[\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{esj}^{e}(\tilde{t}_{st,j,k}) - \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{ea,kj}^{e}]^{T} + \delta\hat{\rho}_{rc,k} + \delta\dot{\rho}_{ie,j} \quad (2)$$
where
$$\vec{\mathbf{r}}^{e}{}_{as,j,k}^{e}[\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{esj}^{e}(\tilde{t}_{st,j,k}) - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ea,kj}^{e}] \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{u}}_{as,j}^{e} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{es,j}^{e}(t_{st,j}) - \mathbf{r}_{as,j}^{e}(t_{sa})}{\|\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{es,j}^{e}(t_{st,j}) - \vec{\mathbf{r}}_{as,j}^{a}(t_{sa})\|}$$
(3)

• • • • • • • • • • • •

April 29, 2011 7 / 15

-

Observability

- Attitude and acceleration errors are observable through growth in velocity and position errors.
- In level acceleration, heading error only produces velocity error, therefore requires significant maneuvering.
- If level and not accelerating, vertical accel bias is the only cause of vertical velocity error growth.

ECEF Error Mechanization (loosely coupled)

Assuming errors are due to biases that are modeled as WGN.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta \vec{\psi}_{eb}^{e} \\ \delta \vec{v}_{eb}^{e} \\ \delta \vec{r}_{eb}^{e} \\ \vec{b}_{a} \\ \vec{b}_{g} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{F}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \delta \vec{\psi}_{eb}^{e} \\ \delta \vec{v}_{eb}^{e} \\ \delta \vec{r}_{eb}^{e} \\ \vec{b}_{a} \\ \vec{b}_{g} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{F}(t) \vec{\mathbf{x}}(t)$$
(4)

where

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -[\vec{\omega}_{ie}^{e} \times] & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{C}_{b}^{e} \\ -[\mathbf{C}_{b}^{e} \mathbf{f}_{ib}^{b} \times] & -2\Omega_{ie}^{i} & \frac{2g_{0}}{\|\mathbf{\vec{r}}_{eb}^{e}\|\mathbf{r}_{eS}^{e}} [\mathbf{\vec{r}}_{eb}^{e}(\mathbf{\vec{r}}_{eb}^{e})^{T}] \delta \mathbf{\vec{r}}_{eb}^{e} & \mathbf{C}_{b}^{e} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{I}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Integration Filter

Kalman Filter

$$\hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}\hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}_{k-1|k-1}$$
 (5)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k-1}^{T}$$
(6)

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k-1} + \mathbf{K}_k(\mathbf{z}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k-1})$$
(7)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k) \, \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k)^T + \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{K}_k^T \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} (\mathbf{H}_{k} \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} + \mathbf{R}_{k})^{-1}$$
(9)

■ ▶ ◀ ■ ▶ ■ 少へで April 29, 2011 10 / 15

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Kalman Filter



 $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k-1} + \mathbf{K}_k(\mathbf{z}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k-1})$

 $\mathbf{P}_{k|k} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k) \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k)^T + \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{K}_k^T$

 $\mathbf{K}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} (\mathbf{H}_{k} \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} + \mathbf{R}_{k})^{-1}$

April 29, 2011 10 / 15

A (10) A (10) A (10)

(7)

(8)

(9)

Integration Filter

Kalman Filter

$$\hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}\hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}_{k-1|k-1}$$
(5)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k-1}^{T}$$
(6)



Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 29, 2011 10/15

Closed-Loop Kalman Filter

Since the errors are being fedback to correct the INS, the state estimate must be reset after each INS correction.

$$\hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{0} \tag{10}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}^{T}$$
(11)

$$\hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}_{k|k} = \mathbf{K}_k \vec{\mathbf{z}}_k$$
 (12)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k) \, \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k)^T + \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{K}_k^T$$
(13)

$$\mathbf{K}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} (\mathbf{H}_{k} \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} + \mathbf{R}_{k})^{-1}$$
(14)

April 29, 2011 11 / 15

Integration Filter

Discretization

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} \approx \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F}\tau_{s}$$
(15)

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} n_{rg}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & n_{ag}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & n_{bad}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} \\ 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & 0_{3\times3} & n_{bad}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} \end{pmatrix} \tau_{s}$$
(16)

where τ_s is the sample time, n_{rg}^2 , n_{ag}^2 , n_{bad}^2 , n_{bgd}^2 are the PSD of the gyro and accel random noise, and accel and gyro bias variation, respectively.

April 29, 2011 12 / 15

4 A N

ECEF INS/GNSS Loosely Coupled

$$\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{k}^{e} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}_{GPS} - \hat{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}_{eb}^{e} \\ \tilde{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}_{GPS} - \hat{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}_{eb}^{e} \end{pmatrix}$$
(17)
$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{3\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{3\times3} & -\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{3\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{3\times3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{3\times3} & -\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{3\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{3\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{3\times3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(18)

Theoretically, the lever arm from the INS to the GNSS antenna needs to be included, but in practice, the coupling of the attitude errors and gyro biases into the measurement through the lever arm is week.

ECEF INS/GNSS Tightly Coupled

Pseudo-ranges are used instead of XYZ.

$$\vec{\mathbf{z}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{
ho} \\ \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{
ho} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (19)

where

$$\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{\rho} = (\tilde{\rho}_{C1} - \hat{\rho}_{C1}, \tilde{\rho}_{C2} - \hat{\rho}_{C2}, \dots, \tilde{\rho}_{Cn} - \hat{\rho}_{Cn})$$
 (20)

$$\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{\dot{\rho}} = (\tilde{\rho}_{C1} - \hat{\rho}_{C1}, \tilde{\rho}_{C2} - \hat{\rho}_{C2}, \dots, \tilde{\rho}_{Cn} - \hat{\rho}_{Cn})$$
(21)

$$\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta \vec{\psi}_{eb}^{e} & \delta \vec{\mathbf{v}}_{eb}^{e} & \delta \vec{\mathbf{r}}_{eb}^{e} & \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{a} & \vec{\mathbf{b}}_{g} & \delta \rho_{rc} & \delta \dot{\rho}_{rc} \end{pmatrix}^{T}$$
(22)

 $\tilde{\rho}_{Cj}$, and $\tilde{\rho}_{Cj}$ and $\hat{\rho}_{Cj}$, and $\hat{\rho}_{Cj}$ are the psuedo-ranges and rates obtained from the GNSS and INS, respectively, for the *j*th satallite. These equations are none linear and an EKF needs to be used. $\delta \rho_{rc}$ and $\delta \dot{\rho}_{rc}$ are the clock bias and drift.

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

April 29, 2011 14 / 15

Tightly Coupled Linearized Measurement Matrix

$$\mathbf{H}^{e} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{as,1}^{e^{T}} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{as,2}^{e^{T}} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{as,n}^{e^{T}} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{as,1}^{e^{T}} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{as,2}^{e^{T}} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \vec{\mathbf{u}}_{as,n}^{e^{T}} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

(23)

April 29, 2011 15 / 15

æ