

# SEMIGROUPS OF LINEAR OPERATORS

NOTES BY YOUSUF SOLIMAN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Our goal is to define exponentials of linear operators. We will try to construct  $e^{tA}$  as a linear operator, where  $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is a general linear operator, not necessarily bounded. Notationally, it seems like we are looking for a solution to  $\dot{\mu}(t) = A\mu(t)$ ,  $\mu(0) = \mu_0$ , and we would like to write  $\mu(t) = e^{tA}\mu_0$ . It turns out that this will hold once we make sense of the terms.

How can we construct  $e^{tA}$  when  $A$  is a finite matrix? The most obvious way is to write down the power series:  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (tA)^n$ . This series is absolutely convergent for every  $A$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . In fact, this method works for  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ , even if  $X$  is infinite dimensional.

A second method is to consider the connection with the *explicit Euler scheme*. Consider the system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mu}(t) = A\mu(t), \\ \mu(0) = \mu_0. \end{cases}$$

Partition  $[0, t]$  into  $n$  parts and write

$$\dot{\mu}\left(\frac{kt}{n}\right) = \frac{n}{t} \left( \mu\left(\frac{(k+1)t}{n}\right) - \mu\left(\frac{kt}{n}\right) \right),$$

the *forward difference quotient* approximation. From the ODE, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A\mu\left(\frac{kt}{n}\right) &= \frac{n}{t} \left( \mu\left(\frac{(k+1)t}{n}\right) - \mu\left(\frac{kt}{n}\right) \right), \\ \mu\left(\frac{(k+1)t}{n}\right) &= \left( \mathbb{1} + \frac{t}{n}A \right) \mu\left(\frac{kt}{n}\right), \\ \mu(t) = \mu\left(\frac{nt}{n}\right) &\approx \left( \mathbb{1} + \frac{t}{n}A \right)^n \mu_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbb{1} + \frac{t}{n}A \right)^n \mu_0$  and we write  $e^{tA} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbb{1} + \frac{t}{n}A \right)^n$ .

Both of these methods are doomed to fail if  $A$  is not bounded. When the explicit method fails, one would normally try the implicit method. The third method we consider is the connection with the *implicit Euler scheme*. Partition  $[0, t]$  into  $n$  parts and write

$$\dot{\mu}\left(\frac{(k+1)t}{n}\right) = \frac{n}{t} \left( \mu\left(\frac{(k+1)t}{n}\right) - \mu\left(\frac{kt}{n}\right) \right),$$

the *backward difference quotient* approximation. From the ODE, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A\mu\left(\frac{(k+1)t}{n}\right) &= \frac{n}{t} \left( \mu\left(\frac{(k+1)t}{n}\right) - \mu\left(\frac{kt}{n}\right) \right), \\ \mu\left(\frac{(k+1)t}{n}\right) &= \left( \mathbb{1} - \frac{t}{n}A \right)^{-1} \mu\left(\frac{kt}{n}\right), \\ \mu(t) = \mu\left(\frac{nt}{n}\right) &\approx \left( \mathbb{1} - \frac{t}{n}A \right)^{-n} \mu_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbb{1} - \frac{t}{n}A \right)^{-n} \mu_0$  and we write  $e^{tA} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbb{1} - \frac{t}{n}A \right)^{-n}$ . This works for some unbounded  $A$  as well. The key point will be the behavior of  $\|R(\lambda; A)^n\|$  for large  $n$ .

---

*E-mail address:* ysoliman@cmu.edu.

*Date:* July 21, 2017.

An engineer might consider the Laplace transform. If  $f(t) = e^{tA}$  then it can be shown that  $\widehat{f}(\lambda) = (\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)^{-1} = R(\lambda; A)$ . There is an inversion formula, namely

$$e^{tA} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\lambda t} R(\lambda; A) d\lambda,$$

where  $\gamma$  is chosen such that the spectrum of  $A$  lies to the left of the line over which we are integrating. This formula can be interpreted and works for many important unbounded operators.

A fifth method works for self-adjoint matrices. Let  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^N$  be an orthonormal basis of  $X$  of eigenvectors of  $A$ . For any  $v \in X$ ,  $v = \sum_{k=1}^N (v, e_k) e_k$  and  $Av = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k (v, e_k) e_k$ . We take

$$e^{tA} v = \sum_{k=1}^N e^{\lambda_k t} (v, e_k) e_k.$$

In general, if  $X$  is a Hilbert space and  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is self-adjoint then

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dP(\lambda),$$

where  $\{P(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is the *spectral family* associated with  $A$ . We know that  $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , so if  $\sigma(A)$  is bounded above then we could define

$$e^{tA} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda t} dP(\lambda).$$

Note that the matrix  $A$  can be recovered from its exponential via the formula

$$A = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (e^{tA} - \mathbb{1}).$$

## 2. LINEAR $C_0$ -SEMIGROUPS

Let  $X$  be a Banach space over  $\mathbf{K}$ , where  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A linear  $C_0$ -semigroup (or a strongly continuous semigroup) is a mapping  $T : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X; X)$  such that

- (i)  $T(0) = \mathbb{1}$ ,
- (ii)  $T(t+s) = T(t)T(s)$  for all  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ , and
- (iii) for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} T(t)x = x$ .

◇

*Remark 2.2.*

- (i) By the second condition  $T(t)T(s) = T(s)T(t)$  for all  $s, t$ .
- (ii) Sometimes we will use the notation  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (iii) If we have a mapping  $T : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X; X)$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii), (called a semigroup of bounded linear operators) then if the following condition holds so does (iii).  
(iii')  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \langle x^*, T(t)x \rangle = \langle x^*, x \rangle$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $x \in X$ .
- (iv) The condition  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|T(t) - \mathbb{1}\| = 0$  implies that  $T(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (tA)^n$  for all  $t$ , for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ . This condition is too strong for practical purposes.
- (v) The “ $C_0$ ” in the name may come from “continuous at zero” or it may refer to the fact that these semigroups are (merely) continuous, as opposed to differentiable, etc.

◇

Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup. The *infinitesimal generator* of  $T$  is the linear operator  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{D}(A) := \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (T(t)x - x) \text{ exists} \right\}$$

and for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $Ax = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (T(t)x - x)$ . It is not immediately obvious that  $\mathcal{D}(A) \neq \{0\}$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense and that  $A$  is a closed linear operator.

*Example 2.3.* Let  $X = BUC(\mathbf{R})$  = bounded uniformly continuous functions  $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ . Define  $(T(t)f)(x) := f(t+x)$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . Clearly  $T$  satisfies (i) and (ii) of the definition. Uniform continuity is essential to get (iii). Indeed, if  $f$  is uniformly continuous then

$$\|T(t)f - f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(t+x) - f(x)| : x \in \mathbf{R}\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

The infinitesimal generator is

$$Af = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(t+x) - f(x)}{t} = f'(x),$$

i.e. differentiation. Note that the solution to the PDE  $\mu_t(x, t) = \mu_x(x, t)$ ,  $\mu(x, 0) = \mu_0$  is  $\mu(x, t) = \mu_0(x+t) = (T(t)\mu_0)(x)$ .  $\diamond$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup. Then there are  $M, \omega \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* We claim that there is some  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\sup\{\|T(t)\| : t \in [0, \eta]\}$  is finite. Indeed, assume for the sake of contradiction there is no such  $\eta$ . Choose  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $t_n \downarrow 0$  and  $\{T(t_n)x\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is unbounded. However, for all  $x \in X$ , since  $T(t_n)x \rightarrow x$ ,  $\{T(t_n)x\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a convergent sequence, so  $\sup\{\|T(t_n)x\| : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is finite for each  $x \in X$ . By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem we deduce that  $\sup\{\|T(t_n)\| : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is finite, a contradiction.

Now let  $\eta > 0$  be as above. Set  $M := \sup\{\|T(t)\| : t \in [0, \eta]\} \geq 1$ . Let  $t \in [0, \infty)$  be given. Choose  $n \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in [0, \eta)$  such that  $t = n\eta + \alpha$ . Then  $T(t) = T(n\eta + \alpha) = (T(\eta))^n T(\alpha)$  by the semigroup property. Hence,

$$\|T(t)\| \leq \|T(\alpha)\| \|T(\eta)\|^n \leq MM^n.$$

Now let  $\omega = \frac{1}{\eta} \log M \geq 0$ , so that  $\omega t \geq n \log M$ , and  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup. We say that  $T$  is

- (i) *uniformly bounded* if there is  $M \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq M$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .
- (ii) *contractive* if  $\|T(t)\| \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .
- (iii) *quasi-contractive* provided there is  $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq e^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

$\diamond$

Contractive semigroups are much easier to study than general linear  $C_0$ -semigroups. If  $T$  is a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup satisfying  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$  then  $S(t) := e^{-\omega t} T(t)$  is a uniformly bounded linear  $C_0$ -semigroup. Note that the infinitesimal generator of  $S$  is related to that of  $T$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{S(t)x - x}{t} &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\omega t} T(t)x - x}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\omega t} - 1}{t} T(t)x + \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t} \\ &= -\omega x + Ax = (A - \omega \mathbb{1})x. \end{aligned}$$

Further, there is an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $X$  such that  $S$  is contractive with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ . In fact, we may take  $\|x\| := \sup\{\|S(t)x\| : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ . Indeed, for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|S(t)x\| = \sup\{\|S(t+s)x\| : s \in [0, \infty)\} \leq \|x\|.$$

**Warning:** The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  need not preserve all “nice” geometric properties of  $\|\cdot\|$ , such as the parallelogram law.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup and let  $x \in X$  be given. Then the mapping  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* For continuity from the right, let  $t \geq 0$  be given and notice that

$$T(t+h)x - T(t)x = (T(h) - \mathbb{1})(T(t)x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

For continuity from the left, let  $t > 0$  and  $h(0, t)$  be given. Choose  $M \geq 1$  and  $\omega \geq 0$  such that  $\|T(s)\| \leq Me^{\omega s}$  for all  $s \in [0, \infty)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t-h)x - T(t)x\| &= \|T(t-h)(\mathbb{1} - T(h))x\| \\ &\leq \|T(t-h)\| \|T(h)x - x\| \\ &\leq Me^{\omega(t-h)} \|T(h)x - x\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup with infinitesimal generator  $A$ , and let  $x \in X$  be given.

- (i) For all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} T(s)x \, ds = T(t)x$  (where the limit is one sided if  $t = 0$ ).
- (ii) For all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\int_0^t T(s)x \, ds \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $A \int_0^t T(s)x \, ds = T(t)x - x$ .

*Proof.*

- (i) Follows from Lemma 2.6 and basic calculus.
- (ii) If  $t = 0$  there is nothing to prove. Let  $t > 0$  be given. For  $h > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T(h) - \mathbb{1}}{h} \int_0^t T(s)x \, ds &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^t (T(s+h) - T(s))x \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^t T(s+h)x \, ds - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^t T(s)x \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_h^{t+h} T(u)x \, du + \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} T(u)x \, du - \frac{1}{h} T(s)x \, ds - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h T(s)x \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} T(u)x \, du - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h T(s)x \, ds \\ &\rightarrow T(t)x - x \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

by part (a). The conclusion immediately follows.

□

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup with infinitesimal generator  $A$ , and  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  be given. Put  $\mu(t) = T(t)x$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $\mu(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mu$  is differentiable on  $[0, \infty)$ , and for each  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\dot{\mu}(t) = T(t)Ax = AT(t)x = A\mu(t).$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \geq 0$  be given. For  $h > 0$ ,

$$\frac{T(t+h)x - T(t)x}{h} = \left( \frac{T(h) - \mathbb{1}}{h} \right) T(t)x = T(t) \left( \frac{T(h) - \mathbb{1}}{h} \right) x \rightarrow T(t)Ax$$

as  $h \downarrow 0$ . In particular,  $T(t)x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $AT(t)x = T(t)Ax$ . Furthermore,  $D^+\mu(t) = x = T(t)Ax$ . Let  $t > 0$  be given. For  $h \in (0, t)$ ,

$$\frac{T(t-h)x - T(t)x}{h} = T(t-h) \left( \frac{x - T(h)x}{h} \right) \rightarrow -T(t)Ax \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

So we deduce that  $D^-\mu(t)x = T(t)Ax$ . Since the left and right derivatives both exist and are equal,  $\mu$  is differentiable and  $\dot{\mu}(t) = A\mu(t)$ . □

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup with infinitesimal generator  $A$ , and let  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  be given. Then for all  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$T(t)x - T(s)x = \int_s^t AT(u)x \, du = \int_s^t T(u)Ax \, du.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.8 and the fundamental theorem of calculus. □

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup with infinitesimal generator  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$  and  $A$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . By Lemma 2.7 we see that  $x = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \int_0^h T(s)x \, ds$ , and  $\int_0^h T(s)x \, ds \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  for all  $h \geq 0$ , so  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$ .

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  converging to  $x \in X$  and suppose that  $Ax_n \rightarrow y \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We must show that  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and that  $Ax = y$ . For  $h > 0$ , by Lemma 2.9,

$$T(h)x_n - x_n = \int_0^h T(s)Ax_n \, ds,$$

so by Lemma 2.7,

$$Ax = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{T(h)x - x}{h} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h T(s)y \, ds = y.$$

It follows that  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $Ax = y$  □

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $S, T$  be linear  $C_0$ -semigroups having the same infinitesimal generator  $A$ . Then  $S(t) = T(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $t > 0$  be given. Define the function  $\mu : [0, t] \rightarrow X$  by  $\mu(s) = T(t-s)S(s)x$  for all  $x \in [0, t]$ . We will show that  $\mu$  is constant as follows. We claim that  $\mu$  is differentiable on  $[0, t]$  and

$$\dot{\mu}(s) = T(t-s)AS(s)x - T(t-s)AS(s)x = 0$$

for all  $s \in [0, t]$ . This will imply that  $\mu$  is constant on  $[0, t]$ , so

$$T(t)x = \mu(0) = \mu(1) = S(t)x.$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$ , it will follow that  $T(t) = S(t)$  on  $X$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . To prove the claim we apply Lemma 2.8.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(s+h) - \mu(s)}{h} &= \frac{1}{h} (T(t-s-h)S(s+h)x - T(t-s)S(s)x) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} T(t-s-h)(S(s+h) - S(s))x + \frac{1}{h} (T(t-s-h) - T(t-s))S(s)x \\ &= T(t-s-h) \left( \frac{S(s+h) - S(s)}{h} \right) x + \left( \frac{T(t-s-h) - T(t-s)}{h} \right) S(s)x \\ &\rightarrow T(t-s)AS(s)x - T(t-s)AS(s)x = 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The mean value theorem holds for calculus in Banach spaces, and so  $\mu$  is constant. □

### 3. INFINITESIMAL GENERATORS

Given a closed densely defined  $A$ , how do we tell if  $A$  generates a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup? Let  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and put  $f(t) = t^{n-1}e^{at}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Recall that the Laplace transform of  $f$  is

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(\lambda-a)^n}.$$

Let  $A$  be an  $N \times N$  matrix and put  $F(t) = e^{tA}$ .

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} e^{tA} \, dt = \int_0^\infty e^{t(A-\lambda \mathbb{1})} \, dt = (A-\lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1} e^{t(A-\lambda \mathbb{1})} \Big|_0^\infty = -(A-\lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1} = R(\lambda; A).$$

Recall that  $e^{tA} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbb{1} - \frac{t}{n} \right)^{-n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{n}{n-t} \right)^n R\left(\frac{t}{n}; A\right)^n$ . To apply this to unbounded operators, the behavior of  $R(\lambda; A)^n$  for large  $n$  will be key. We conjecture that

$$R(\lambda; A)^n = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{n-1} e^{tA} \, dt.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $M, \omega \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$  with  $\Re(\lambda) > \omega$  be given. Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and let  $A$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $T$ . Then  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  and, for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$R(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x \, dt.$$

*Proof.* Put  $I_1(\lambda)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x \, dt$  for all  $x \in X$ . We need to show that  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  and  $R(\lambda; A) = I_1(\lambda)$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  be given.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\lambda)Ax &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)Ax \, dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \frac{d}{dt}(T(t)x) \, dt && \text{Lemma 2.8} \\ &= -x + \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x \, dt && \text{integration by parts} \\ &= \lambda I_1(\lambda)x - x. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $x \in X$  be given. We will show that  $I_1(\lambda)x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and

$$AI_1(\lambda)x = \lambda I_1(\lambda)x - x.$$

Fix  $h > 0$  and compute the difference quotient:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{T(h) - \mathbb{1}}{h} \right) I_1(\lambda)x &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (T(t+h)x - T(t)x) \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t+h)x \, dt - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_h^\infty e^{-\lambda(s-h)} T(s)x \, ds - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(t-h)} T(t)x \, dt - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x \, dt - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h e^{-\lambda(t-h)} T(t)x \, dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-h)} - e^{-\lambda t}}{h} T(t)x \, dt - e^{\lambda h} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h e^{-\lambda(t-h)} T(t)x \, dt \\ &\rightarrow \lambda I_1(\lambda)x - x \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $M, \omega \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$  with  $\Re(\lambda) > \omega$  be given. Let  $T$  be a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and let  $A$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $T$ . Then  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  and, for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and all  $x \in X$ ,

$$R(\lambda; A)^n x = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{n-1} T(t)x \, dt.$$

*Proof.* We already know that  $\rho(A) \supseteq \{\mu \in \mathbf{K} : \Re(\mu) > \omega\}$ . We also know that  $\mu \mapsto R(\mu; A)$  is analytic. In particular, we have

$$R(\mu; A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \mu)^n R(\lambda; A)^{n+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(\lambda; A)^{n+1} (\mu - \lambda)^n$$

for  $|\mu - \lambda|$  sufficiently small. Let  $R^{(k)}(\lambda; A)$  denote the  $k^{\text{th}}$  derivative of  $R(\mu; A)$  evaluated at  $\mu = \lambda$ . From the power series, for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\frac{R^{(n-1)}(\lambda; A)}{(n-1)!} = (-1)^{n-1} R(\lambda; A)^n.$$

By Lemma 3.1,  $R(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dt$  for all  $x \in X$ . From this,

$$R^{(n-1)}(\lambda; A)x = (-1)^{n-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{n-1} T(t)x dt.$$

This proves the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** (Hille-Yosida, 1948). *Let  $M, \omega \in \mathbf{R}$  be given. Suppose that  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator with  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq Z$ . Then  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T$  satisfying  $\|T(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  if and only if the following hold.*

- (i)  $A$  is closed and  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$ ; and
- (ii)  $\rho(A) \supseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R} : \lambda > \omega\}$  and  $\|R(\lambda; A)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - \omega)^n}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $\lambda > \omega$  and all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

*Remark 3.4.* Note that the condition that  $\|R(\lambda; A)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - \omega)^n}$  may be difficult to verify in practice. Notice that  $\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - \omega)}$  implies that  $\|R(\lambda; A)^n\| \leq \frac{M^n}{(\lambda - \omega)^n}$ , so if  $M = 1$ , i.e. if the semigroup is quasi-contractive, then it is enough to verify the inequality for  $n = 1$  only.  $\diamond$

*Proof.*

### Step 1. Necessity.

We have already seen that (i) holds, by Theorem 2.10, and that  $\rho(A)$  contains  $\{\lambda \in \mathbf{R} : \lambda > \omega\}$ , by Lemma 3.1. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda; A)^n &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{n-1} T(t)x dt \\ \|R(\lambda; A)^n x\| &\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{n-1} \|T(t)x\| dt \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(n-1)!} \|x\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{n-1} e^{\omega t} dt \\ &= \frac{M}{(n-1)!} \frac{(n-1)!}{(\lambda - \omega)^n} \|x\| \\ &= \frac{M}{(\lambda - \omega)^n} \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of necessity.

### Step 2. Sufficiency.

Should we try using the inverse Laplace transform? If we could write

$$T(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - \infty}^{\gamma + \infty} e^{\lambda t} R(\lambda; A) d\lambda$$

then  $T$  would have higher order regularity in general. This method would work for so called ‘‘analytic’’ semigroups, but not for general  $C_0$ -semigroups.

How about the limit obtained from considering the implicit scheme? In general  $T(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbb{1} - \frac{t}{n} A \right)^{-n}$ , and this method can be used, but we will not use it here. What we will do is approximate  $A$  with bounded operators  $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda > \omega}$  and put  $T_\lambda(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} (tA_\lambda)^n$ . Then in theory  $T_\lambda(t) \rightarrow T(t)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  be a linear operator with  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X$ . Assume that (i) and (ii) of the Hille-Yosida theorem hold. Then, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda; A)x = x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  be given. For any  $\lambda > \omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \mathbb{1} - A)R(\lambda; A)x &= x, \\ \lambda R(\lambda; A)x - x &= AR(\lambda; A)x = R(\lambda; A)Ax, \\ \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)x - x\| &= \|R(\lambda; A)Ax\| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\lambda - \omega} \|Ax\| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$ , the result follows.  $\square$

Now we define the Yosida approximation  $A_\lambda$  of  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda > \omega$ . It is defined as

$$A_\lambda x := \lambda AR(\lambda; A)x = (\lambda^2 R(\lambda; A) - \lambda \mathbb{1})x.$$

By Lemma 3.5,  $A_\lambda x \rightarrow Ax$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X; X)$  and define  $e^{tB} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (tB)^n$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .

- (i)  $\{e^{tB}\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup with infinitesimal generator  $B$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|e^{tB} - \mathbb{1}\| = 0$ .
- (iii) For all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $e^{t(B-\lambda \mathbb{1})} = e^{-\lambda t} e^{tB}$ .

*Proof.*

- (i) Since  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X; X)$  we have  $\|B\| < +\infty$ , and so for any  $x \in X$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=n}^m \frac{1}{i!} (tB)^i x \right\| \leq \sum_{i=n}^m \frac{(t\|B\|)^i}{i!} \|x\| \leq \|x\| e^{t\|B\|},$$

hence the sequence of partial sums  $\{\sum_{n=0}^m \frac{1}{n!} (tB)^n\}_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $X$ . Hence the series converges,  $e^{tB}$  is well defined, and  $e^{tB} \in \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ .

Since for  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} a^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} b^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (a+b)^n,$$

we deduce that the semigroup property holds by the same argument which shows that  $e^{tB}$  is well defined. Clearly,  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} e^{tB} = e^{0B} = \mathbb{1}$ . Finally, to show that  $\{e^{tB}\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup note that

$$\|e^{tB}x - x\| = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (tB)^n x \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} t^n \|B\|^n \|x\| \leq (e^{t\|B\|} - 1) \|x\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \downarrow 0.$$

We claim that  $e^{t\|B\|}$  is differentiable with derivative  $Be^{tB}$ . To see this note that

$$B \int_0^t e^{sB} ds = B \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (sB)^n ds = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{n+1} \int_0^t s^n ds = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)!} (tB)^{n+1} = e^{tB} - \mathbb{1}.$$

Now by differentiating both sides we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{tB} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{(t+h)B} - e^{tB}}{h} = Be^{tB}.$$

Now since the infinitesimal generator is derivative at  $t = 0$  we deduce that the infinitesimal generator of the linear  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{e^{tB}\}_{t \geq 0}$  is simply  $B$ .

- (ii) Since

$$\|e^{tB} - \mathbb{1}\| = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (tB)^n \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} t^n \|B\|^n = e^{t\|B\|} - 1,$$

and  $e^{t\|B\|} - 1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|e^{tB} - \mathbb{1}\| = 0$ .



(iii) We have

$$e^{t(B-\lambda\mathbb{1})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (tB - t\lambda\mathbb{1})^n = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (tB)^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (-t\lambda\mathbb{1})^n \right) = e^{tB} e^{-\lambda t\mathbb{1}}.$$

Now for any  $x \in X$  we see that

$$e^{t(B-\lambda\mathbb{1})}x = e^{tB} e^{-\lambda t\mathbb{1}}x = e^{tB} e^{-\lambda t}x = e^{-\lambda t} e^{tB}x.$$

□

In fact, it can be shown that if  $T$  is a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup with the property that  $\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \|T(h) - \mathbb{1}\| = 0$  then  $T(t) = e^{tB}$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ .

Now assume that conditions (i) and (ii) of the Hille-Yosida theorem hold, and let  $A_\lambda$  be the Yosida approximation of  $A$ . Notice that for any  $\lambda > \omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{tA_\lambda} &= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2n} t^n R(\lambda; A)^n}{n!} \\ \|e^{tA_\lambda}\| &\leq M e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2n} t^n}{(\lambda - \omega)^n n!} && \text{by (ii)} \\ &= M e^{-\lambda t} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda - \omega} t\right) && \lambda > \omega \\ &= M \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \omega} t\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\|e^{tA_\lambda}\| \leq M e^{\omega_1 t}$  for any fixed  $\omega_1 > \omega$ , for all  $\lambda$  sufficiently large when compared to  $\omega$ .

Put  $T_\lambda(t) := e^{tA_\lambda}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\lambda > \omega$ . Notice that  $A_\lambda A_\mu = A_\mu A_\lambda$  and  $A_\lambda T_\mu(t) = T_\mu(t) A_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda, \mu > \omega$ . Fix  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda(t)x - T_\mu(t)x &= \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} (T_\mu(t-s)T_\lambda(s)x) ds \\ &= \int_0^t T_\mu(t-s)A_\lambda T_\lambda(s)x - T_\mu(t-s)A_\mu T_\lambda(s)x ds \\ &= \int_0^t (T_\mu(t-s)T_\lambda(s))(A_\lambda x - A_\mu x) ds. \end{aligned}$$

So we deduce that

$$\|T_\lambda(t)x - T_\mu(t)x\| \leq M^2 e^{\omega_1 t} t \|A_\lambda x - A_\mu x\|.$$

Hence  $\{T_\lambda(t)x\}_{\lambda > \omega}$  is uniformly Cauchy in  $t$  on bounded intervals. Since  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$  and since we have a bound on  $\|T_\lambda(t)\|$  (in  $\lambda$ ), we have for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(t)x$  exists.

For all  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in X$ , put  $T(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(t)x$ . Note that  $\|T(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega_1 t}$ ,  $T(t)T(s) = T(t+s)$  for all  $s, t \geq 0$ , and  $T(0) = \mathbb{1}$  – this follows since these relations all hold for each  $T_\lambda$ . Continuity follows since the convergence is uniform for  $t$  bounded intervals. Hence, we have shown that  $T$  is linear  $C_0$ -semigroup. Let  $B$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $T$ . Now we must show that  $B = A$ . First we will show that  $B$  is an extension of  $A$ , and then we will use a resolvent argument to show that  $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(B)$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  be given.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda(t)A_\lambda x - T(t)Ax\| &\leq \|T_\lambda(t)(A_\lambda x - Ax)\| + \|(T_\lambda(t) - T(t))Ax\| \\ &\leq M e^{\omega_1 t} \|A_\lambda x - Ax\| + \|(T - \lambda(t) - T(t))Ax\| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since the convergence is uniform in  $t$  on bounded intervals,

$$T(t)x - x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(t)x - x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t T_\lambda(s)A_\lambda x ds = \int_0^t T(s)Ax ds.$$

Now by the definition of  $B$ , for any  $h > 0$ ,

$$\frac{T(h)x - x}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h T(s)Ax \, ds \rightarrow Ax \text{ as } h \downarrow 0.$$

Hence,  $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$  and  $Bx = Ax$ .  $B$  is closed since it is the infinitesimal generator of a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup, and  $A$  is closed by assumption. Since  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega_1 t}$  for any  $\omega_1 > \omega$ , by Lemma 3.1  $\rho(B) \supseteq (\omega, \infty)$ , so it follows that  $\rho(B) \cap \rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B)$ . By standard spectral theory since  $A$  and  $B$  are closed,  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)[\mathcal{D}(A)] = X$  and  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - B)[\mathcal{D}(B)] = X$ . Furthermore, since  $B$  extends  $A$ ,  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - B)[\mathcal{D}(A)] = (\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)[\mathcal{D}(A)] = X$ . To conclude the proof of the Hille-Yosida theorem, note that  $\mathcal{D}(A) = R(\lambda; B)[X] = \mathcal{D}(B)$ . □

*Remark 3.7.* Let  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  be a linear operator with  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X$ . The following are equivalent.

- (i)  $A$  is closed,
- (ii)  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A) : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is a bijection for some  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ ,
- (iii)  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A) : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is a bijection for all  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ .

◇

**Corollary 3.8.** Assume that  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is linear with  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X$ , and that  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense and  $A$  is closed. Then  $A$  generates a contractive linear  $C_0$ -semigroup if and only if  $\rho(A) \supseteq (0, \infty)$  and  $\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

#### 4. CONTRACTIVE SEMIGROUPS

Let  $T : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X; X)$  be a contractive semigroup. For all  $t, h \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$\|T(t+h)\| = \|T(h)T(t)\| \leq \|T(h)\| \|T(t)\| \leq \|T(t)\|,$$

so  $t \mapsto \|T(t)\|$  is a decreasing function. Assume for now that  $X$  is a Hilbert space. Let  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  be given, and put  $\mu(t) = \|T(t)x\|^2 = (T(t)x, T(t)x)$ . For all  $t \geq 0$ , since  $\mu$  is decreasing,

$$0 \geq \dot{\mu}(t) = (T(t)x, T(t)Ax) + (T(t)Ax, T(t)x) = 2\Re(AT(t)x, x).$$

In particular, for  $t = 0$ ,  $\Re(Ax, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

We will prove that if  $X$  is a Hilbert space and  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator then  $A$  generates a contractive semigroup if and only if both of the following hold.

- (i)  $\Re(Ax, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ , and
- (ii) there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\lambda_0\mathbb{1} - A$  is surjective.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space over  $\mathbf{K}$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . A *semi-inner product* on  $X$  is a mapping  $[\cdot, \cdot] : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that

- (i)  $[x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,
- (ii)  $[\alpha x, y] = \alpha[x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$ ,
- (iii)  $[x, x] = \|x\|^2$  for all  $x \in X$ , and
- (iv)  $|[x, y]| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

◇

*Remark 4.2.* The term “semi-inner product” is often used in a more general sense that is not linked to a pre-existing norm. ◇

Now we ask: do semi-inner products exist, and can there be more than one associated with any given norm? The answer to both is yes in general. However, if  $X^*$  is strictly convex then there cannot be more than one. We will see that if  $\Re[Ax, x] \leq 0$  with respect to one semi-inner product then it holds with respect to any semi-inner product.

**Proposition 4.3.** *There is at least one semi-inner product on a Banach space.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a Banach space. For every  $x \in X$  let

$$\mathcal{F}(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem  $\mathcal{F}(x)$  is nonempty for every  $x \in X$ . For every  $x \in X$ , choose  $F(x) \in \mathcal{F}(x)$ . Define  $[\cdot, \cdot] : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  by  $[x, y] = \langle F(y), x \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .  $\square$

If  $X^*$  is strictly convex then there is exactly one semi-inner product, essentially because the set  $\mathcal{F}(x)$  contains only a single element.

**Definition 4.4.** Assume that  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is linear with  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X$ . We say that  $A$  is *dissipative* if there is a semi-inner product on  $X$  such that  $\Re[Ax, x] \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .  $\diamond$

The notion of dissipativity depends on the particular norm used, but it will turn out that it does not depend on the particular semi-inner product used.

*Remark 4.5.* Consider  $\mu_{tt}(x, t) = \Delta\mu(x, t) - \alpha(x)\mu_t(x, t)$  with  $\mu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ , where  $\alpha$  is non-negative, smooth, with compact support, and  $\int_{\Omega} \alpha > 0$ . Then solutions  $\mu$  tend to zero with  $t!$   $\diamond$

**Lemma 4.6.** Assume that  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is linear with  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  is dissipative if and only if  $\|(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)x\| \geq \lambda\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  is dissipative. Choose a semi-inner product such that  $\Re[Ax, x] \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Then for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\Re[(A - \lambda\mathbb{1})x, x] = \lambda\|x\|^2 - \Re[Ax, x] \geq \lambda\|x\|^2.$$

Combining this with the fact that

$$\Re[(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)x, x] \leq |[(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)x, x]| \leq \|(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)x\|\|x\|$$

yields the result.

Assume now that  $\|(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)x\| \geq \lambda\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . As before, put

$$\mathcal{F}(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}.$$

We identify three cases:  $x = 0$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $x \notin \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

Fix  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ . For all  $\lambda > 0$  choose  $y_{\lambda}^* \in \mathcal{F}(\lambda x - Ax)$  and put  $z_{\lambda}^* = \frac{1}{\|y_{\lambda}^*\|} y_{\lambda}^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\|x\| &\leq \|\lambda x - Ax\| && \text{by assumption} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|y_{\lambda}^*\|} \langle y_{\lambda}^*, \lambda x - Ax \rangle && \text{since } y_{\lambda}^* \in \mathcal{F}(\lambda x - Ax) \\ &= \langle z_{\lambda}^*, \lambda x - Ax \rangle && \text{(this is a real number)} \\ &= \lambda \Re \langle z_{\lambda}^*, x \rangle - \Re \langle z_{\lambda}^*, Ax \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|z_{\lambda}^*\| = 1$  by construction,

$$\lambda\|x\| \leq \lambda \Re \langle z_{\lambda}^*, x \rangle - \Re \langle z_{\lambda}^*, Ax \rangle \leq \lambda\|x\| - \Re \langle z_{\lambda}^*, Ax \rangle.$$

Therefore,  $\Re \langle z_{\lambda}^*, Ax \rangle \leq 0$  and similarly  $\Re \langle z_{\lambda}^*, x \rangle \geq \|x\| - \frac{1}{\lambda} \|Ax\|$ . Since the unit ball in  $X^*$  is weak-\* compact the net  $\{z_{\lambda}^*\}_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}$  has a weak-\* cluster point  $z^* \in X^*$ . Then  $\|z^*\| \leq 1$ ,  $\Re \langle z^*, Ax \rangle \leq 0$ , and  $\Re \langle z^*, x \rangle \geq \|x\|$ . It follows that  $\langle z^*, x \rangle = \|x\|$ . Define a semi-inner product as before, but with

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0 \\ \langle z^*, x \rangle & x \in \mathcal{D}(A) \setminus \{0\} \\ \text{anything in } \mathcal{F}(x) & x \in X \setminus \mathcal{D}(A). \end{cases}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** Assume that  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is linear with  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X$  and that  $A$  is dissipative. Let  $\lambda_0 \in (0, \infty)$  be given and assume that  $\lambda_0\mathbb{1} - A$  is surjective. Then  $A$  is closed,  $\rho(A) \supseteq (0, \infty)$ , and  $\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Proof.* Notice that, by Lemma 4.6,  $\|(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)x\| \geq \lambda\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . So we immediately deduce that  $\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ , provided the resolvent exists. The key points are to show that  $A$  is closed and that  $\lambda\mathbb{1} - A$  is surjective for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

Notice that  $\lambda_0\mathbb{1} - A$  is bijective since it is surjective and bounded below, and furthermore,  $\|(\lambda_0\mathbb{1} - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0}\|x\|$ . So  $(\lambda_0\mathbb{1} - A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ , hence it is closed, so  $A$  is closed as well.

To show that  $\rho(A) \supseteq (0, \infty)$  it suffices to show that  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - A)^{-1}$  is surjective for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda \in (0, \infty) : \lambda \in \rho(A)\}$ , which is open (in the relative topology of  $(0, \infty)$ ) and non-empty. We will show that  $\Lambda$  is closed and conclude that  $\Lambda = (0, \infty)$ . Let  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\Lambda$  converging to  $\lambda^* \in (0, \infty)$ . We will show that  $\lambda^* \in \Lambda$  by showing that  $\lambda^*\mathbb{1} - A$  is surjective. Let  $y \in X$  be given. For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  let  $x_n = R(\lambda_n; A)y$ . Note that  $\sup\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbf{N}\} < \infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_m\| &= \|(R(\lambda_n; A) - R(\lambda_m; A))y\| \\ &= |\lambda_m - \lambda_n| \|R(\lambda_n; A)R(\lambda_m; A)y\| \\ &\leq |\lambda_m - \lambda_n| \frac{\|y\|}{\lambda_n \lambda_m} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for some  $x \in X$ . Finally,  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , and  $Ax_n \rightarrow \lambda^*x - y$ . Since  $A$  is closed,  $(\lambda^*\mathbb{1} - A)x = y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8** (Lumer-Phillips, 1961). *Assume  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is linear with  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  dense in  $X$ .*

- (i) *If  $A$  is dissipative and there is  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\lambda_0\mathbb{1} - A$  is surjective then  $A$  generates a contractive linear  $C_0$ -semigroup.*
- (ii) *If  $A$  generates a contractive linear  $C_0$ -semigroup then  $\lambda\mathbb{1} - A$  is surjective for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\Re[Ax, x] \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and every semi-inner product on  $X$  (in particular,  $A$  is dissipative).*

*Proof.* The first part follows from Lemma 4.7 and the Hille-Yosida theorem, since  $\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$  implies  $\|R(\lambda; A)^n\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^n}$ .

For the second part, the surjectivity conclusion follows from the Hille-Yosida theorem. Let  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  be a semi-inner product on  $X$ . We need to show that  $\Re[Ax, x] \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . For all  $h > 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[T(h)x - x, x] &= \Re[T(h)x, x] - \|x\|^2 \\ &\leq \|T(h)x\| \|x\| - \|x\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 - \|x\|^2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $h$  and letting  $h \downarrow 0$  yields  $\Re[Ax, x] \leq 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *Assume  $B : \mathcal{D}(B) \rightarrow X$  is linear with  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  dense in  $X$ . Let  $\omega, \lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $\lambda_0 > \omega$  be given. If  $\lambda_0\mathbb{1} - B$  is surjective and there exists a semi-inner product on  $X$  such that  $\Re[Bx, x] \leq \omega\|x\|^2$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ , then  $B$  generates a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq e^{\omega t}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = B - \omega\mathbb{1}$  and apply the Lumer-Phillips theorem to  $A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.10.** *Assume that  $X$  is reflexive and that  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is linear with  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X$ . Let  $\lambda_0 > 0$  be given and assume that  $A$  is dissipative and that  $\lambda_0\mathbb{1} - A$  is surjective. Then  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$ .*

*Remark 4.11.* Let  $M$  be a linear submanifold in a Banach space  $X$  (not necessarily reflexive). Then  $M$  is dense in  $X$  if and only if for all  $y \in X$  there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq M$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed, one direction is trivial. For the other, if  $y$  is not in the closure of  $M$  then  $\text{dist}(M, y) > 0$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem there is  $y^* \in X^*$  such that  $\langle y^*, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $\langle y^*, y \rangle \neq 0$ .  $\diamond$

*Proof.* Let  $y \in X$  be given. It suffices to show that there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Put  $x_n = \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{n}A\right)^{-1} y = nR(n; A)y \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then

$$\|x_n\| \leq n\|R(n; A)\|\|y\| \leq n \frac{1}{n} \|y\| = \|y\|.$$

Choose a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We are done if we show that  $x = y$ . We have

$$A \left( \frac{x_{n_k}}{n_k} \right) = x_{n_k} - y \rightarrow x - y,$$

and  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$  (in fact,  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ ). Now since  $\mathbf{Gr}(A)$  is closed and convex it is weakly closed. Since  $(0, x - y) \in \mathbf{Gr}(A)$ , we deduce that  $x = y$ .  $\square$

This lemma shows that if  $X$  is reflexive then we do not need to assume that  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in the Lumer-Phillips theorem. This is less helpful than it seems because in many applications it is trivial to check that the domain is dense.

**Theorem 4.12** (Lumer-Phillips for Hilbert spaces). *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and assume that  $B : \mathcal{D}(B) \rightarrow X$  is linear with  $\mathcal{D}(B) \subseteq X$ . Let  $\lambda_0, \omega \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\lambda_0 > \omega$  be given. Assume that  $\Re(Bx, x) \leq \omega \|x\|^2$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$  and that  $\lambda_0 \mathbb{1} - B$  is surjective. Then  $B$  generates a linear  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq e^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

*Example 4.13.* Let

$$\mathcal{D}(A) := \{u \in AC[0, 1] : u' \in AC[0, 1], u'' \in L^2[0, 1], u(0) = u(1) = 0\} \subseteq L^2[0, 1],$$

and  $Au := u''$ . We have seen that  $A$  is closed and  $A$  is densely defined (in fact it is self-adjoint). For any  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,

$$(Au, u) = \int_0^1 u'' u \, dx = - \int_0^1 (u')^2 \, dx \leq 0.$$

If we can solve the ODE  $u - u'' = f$ ,  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$  for any  $f \in L^2(0, 1)$ , then  $A$  generates a contraction semigroup  $T$  by the Lumer-Phillips theorem. Thus the solutions to the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_x x = 0 & \text{on } (0, 1) \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0 & \text{for all } t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) = g(x) & \text{for all } x \in (0, 1) \end{cases}$$

can be written as  $u(x, t) = (T(t)g)(x)$ .  $\diamond$