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#### Abstract

Define $P_{n}(a):=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(k^{2}+a\right)$, where $n$ and $a$ are positive integers. Yang et al. proved that when $1 \leq a \leq 20$, there are only finite $n$, such that $P_{n}(a)$ is a square. In this paper, we study the $p$-adic valuation of $P_{n}(21)$ for all primes $p$. We give explicit expression and bound of the $p$-adic valuation of $P_{n}(21)$. Then as an application, we prove that $P_{n}(21)$ is never a square for any positive integer $n$.
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## 1. Introduction

The study of integer matrices and polynomials are common topics in number theory (see, for example $[1,4,8]$ ). We here mainly concentrate on the problem that representing powers by the product of consecutive terms in a sequence of

[^0]integer quadratic polynomial. In 2010, Hong and Liu [5] studied the $p$-adic valuation of the product $\prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(k^{2}-1\right)$ and proved that there exists infinite positive integer $n$, such that $\prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(k^{2}-1\right)$ is a square. Yang et al [9] discussed the $p$-adic valuation of $P_{n}(a):=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(k^{2}+a\right)$ for positive integer $a$ with $1 \leq a \leq 20$ and proved that for those $a$, there exists only finite positive integer $n$, such that $P_{n}(a)$ is a square. In general, it has been [?] proved that for all positive integers $a$, there exists a positive integer $N_{a}$ which only depends on $a$, such that $P_{n}(a)$ is never a square when $n>N_{a}$. Recently, Chen, Wang and Hu [2] proved that $P_{n}(23)$ is never a square for all integers $n \geq 4$.

In this paper, we study $p$-adic valuation of the product $P_{n}:=P_{n}(21)$. As usual, for any positive integer $n$, we let $v_{p}(n)$ denote the $p$-adic valuation of $n$, i.e., $v_{p}(n)=r$ if $p^{r} \| n$. Let $\left(\frac{\dot{\rightharpoonup}}{p}\right)$ stand for the Legendre symbol (see, for example, [6]). We will give expression and bound of $v_{p}\left(P_{n}\right)$, and then using this bound, we show that $P_{n}$ is always not a square. Let us state the first main result of this paper as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then $v_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil, v_{p}\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p}\right\rfloor$ for $p \in\{3,7\}$ and $v_{p}\left(P_{n}\right)=0$ for any prime $p>7$ with $\left(\frac{-21}{p}\right)=-1$. For $p=5$ or any prime $p>7$ with $\left(\frac{-21}{p}\right)=1$, one has

$$
2 \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor\log _{p} n\right\rfloor}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{l}}\right\rfloor \leq v_{p}\left(P_{n}\right) \leq 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor\log _{p}\left(n^{2}+21\right)\right\rfloor}\left\lceil\frac{n}{p^{l}}\right\rceil
$$

Using these formulas, we can get the following interesting result which is the second main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. For any positive integer $n$, the product $\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ is never a square.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide several preliminary lemmas. Consequently, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Throughout the paper, $p$ denotes a rational prime. For any nonnegative real number $x$, we let $\pi(x)$ denote the function $\pi(x):=\sum_{p \leq x} 1$.

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

In this section, we present some lemmas which will be used in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Write $P_{n}=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(k^{2}+21\right)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. If $P_{n}$ is a square and $p$ is a prime factor of $P_{n}$, then $p<2 n$.

Proof. Let $P_{n}$ be a square and let $p$ be a prime factor of $P_{n}$. Then we must have $p^{2} \mid P_{n}$. Consider the following two cases:
Case 1. $p^{2} \mid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ for some integer $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $p \leq \sqrt{k^{2}+21} \leq$ $\sqrt{\left(n^{2}+21\right)}<2 n$ since $n \geq 3$. Lemma 2.1 is proved in this case.

Case 2. $p^{2} \nmid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ for all integers $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then there are integers $j$ and $k$ with $1 \leq k<j \leq n$, such that $p \mid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ and $p \mid\left(j^{2}+21\right)$. It then follows that $p \mid(j+k)(j-k)$, which implies that $p \mid(j+k)$ or $p \mid(j-k)$. It is obvious that $j+k<2 n$ and $j-k<2 n$ since $1 \leq k<j \leq n$. So we have that $p \leq \max (j+k, j-k)<2 n$. Lemma 2.1 is true in this case.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.2. [7] Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then we have

$$
v_{p}(n!)=\sum_{j \leq \log n / \log p}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor .
$$

Lemma 2.3. [3] Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then $\sum_{n<p<2 n} \log p \leq n \log 4$.
Lemma 2.4. [3] Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then $\pi(n) \leq 2 \log 4 \frac{n}{\log n}+\sqrt{n}$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $k$ be a positive integer such that $k^{2}+21$ is a prime. If $m$ is the smallest positive integer satisfying that $k^{2}+21$ divides $m^{2}+21$ and $m \neq k$, then $m=k^{2}-k+21$.

Proof. Write $q=k^{2}+21$. Then $q$ is a prime. Suppose that $m$ is the smallest positive integer satisfying that $\left(k^{2}+21\right) \mid\left(m^{2}+21\right)$ and $m \neq k$. Then we derive that $q \mid(k+m)(k-m)$. It implies that $q \mid(k+m)$ or $q \mid(m-k)$. If $q \mid(k+m)$, then one deduces that $m \geq q-k$. If $q \mid(m-k)$, then one can derive that $m \geq q+k$. Note that $\left(k^{2}+21\right) \mid\left((q-k)^{2}+21\right)$. So we have $m=q-k$ as desired. Lemma 2.5 is proved.

Lemma 2.6. Let $k$ be a positive integer such that $k^{2}+21$ is a prime. Then for all integers $n$ with $k \leq n \leq k^{2}-k+20, P_{n}$ is not a square.

Proof. Let $q=k^{2}+21$. It then follows from the fact $k \leq n \leq k^{2}-k+20$ and Lemma 2.5 that $q \nmid\left(m^{2}+21\right)$ for all integers $m$ with $k<m \leq n$. On the other hand, $q \nmid\left(m^{2}+21\right)$ for integers $m$ with $1 \leq m<k$. Thus we deduce that $v_{q}\left(P_{n}\right)=1$. This infers that $P_{n}$ is not a square. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

## 3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By unique factorization we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=\prod_{p} p^{\alpha_{p}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first compute the value of $\alpha_{p}:=v_{p}\left(P_{n}\right)$.
(i) $p=2$. Let $k$ be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Suppose that $2 \mid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$. Then $k$ is odd. It follows that $k^{2}+21 \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, which implies that $v_{2}\left(k^{2}+\right.$ $21)=1$. Thus $v_{2}\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ equals 1 if $2 \nmid k$, is equal to 0 if $2 \mid k$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{2}\left(k^{2}+21\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $p=3$. Let $k$ be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Suppose that $3 \mid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$. This implies that $3 \mid k$. Then $3^{2} \nmid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ since $3^{2} \nmid 21$. Thus $v_{3}\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ is equal to 1 if $3 \mid k$, and equals 0 if $3 \nmid k$. This infers that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{3}\left(k^{2}+21\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) $p=7$. Using the same argument as in (ii), we deduce that $v_{7}\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ equals 1 if $7 \mid k$, and is equal to 0 if $7 \nmid k$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{7}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{7}\left(k^{2}+21\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{7}\right\rfloor . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) $p \neq 2,3,7$. Then it is well known that $p \mid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ for some integer $k$ iff $\left(\frac{-21}{p}\right)=1$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $\left(\frac{-21}{p}\right)=-1$. Then $p \nmid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ for all integers $k$, which implies that $\alpha_{p}=0$ in this case.

Case 2. $\left(\frac{-21}{p}\right)=1$. Since $\left(\frac{-21}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\left(\frac{7}{p}\right)$, we need only to consider the following four cases.
(a) $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=1,\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=1,\left(\frac{7}{p}\right)=1$. Using quadratic reciprocity law, we deduce that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4), p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $p \equiv 1,2,4(\bmod 7)$. So applying the Chinese remainder theorem gives us that $p \equiv 1,25,37(\bmod 84)$.
(b) $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=1,\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{7}{p}\right)=-1$. Then one can similarly deduce that $p \equiv 5,17,41(\bmod 84)$.
(c) $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=1,\left(\frac{7}{p}\right)=-1$. We can derive that $p \equiv 11,23,71$ $(\bmod 84)$.
(d) $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{7}{p}\right)=1$. It can be deduced that $p \equiv 19,31,55$ $(\bmod 84)$.

Therefore we have that $\left(\frac{-21}{p}\right)=1$ if and only if

$$
p \equiv 1,5,11,17,19,23,25,31,37,41,55,71 \quad(\bmod 84)
$$

In this case we have $p \mid\left(k^{2}+21\right)$ for some integer $k$. Meanwhile $x^{2}+21 \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$ has two solutions in each interval of length $p$. In general, for all positive integers $j$, the congruence $x^{2}+21 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$ also has two solutions in each interval of length $p^{j}$ by Hensel's lemma. Let $N_{j}$ denote the number of integers $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$ satisfying $k^{2}+21 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$. It follows that $2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor \leq N_{j} \leq$ $2\left\lceil\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rceil$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\alpha_{p}=\sum_{j \leq \log \left(n^{2}+21\right) / \log p} N_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \leq \log n / \log p} 2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor \leq \alpha_{p} \leq \sum_{j \leq \log \left(n^{2}+21\right) / \log p} 2\left\lceil\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rceil \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

For the sake of convenience, we define two sets: $\Re:=\{1,5,11,17,19,23,25,31,37,41,55$, $71\}$ and $\wp:=\bigcup_{a \in \Re} \wp_{a}$, where $\wp_{a}:=\{p \mid p \equiv a(\bmod 84)\}$. Then for any prime $p \neq 2,3,7$, if $p \in \wp$, then (5) holds. If $p \notin \wp$, then $\alpha_{p}=0$. We now give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By direct computation, we obtain $P_{1}=22, P_{2}=$ $550, P_{3}=16500, P_{4}=610500, P_{5}=28083000, P_{6}=1600731000, P_{7}=$ $112051170000, P_{8}=9524349450000, P_{9}=971483643900000, P_{10}=117549520911900000$.
Hence $P_{n}$ is not a square for all positive integers $n$ with $n \leq 10$. In what follows we let $n>10$ be an integer. We assume that $P_{n}$ is a square. By the unique factorization theorem and Lemma 2.1, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=\prod_{p<2 n} p^{\alpha_{p}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{p}=v_{p}\left(P_{n}\right)$. Then Theorem 1.1 gives us the expression and bound of $\alpha_{p}$ for each prime $p$ with $p<2 n$.

And by the unique factorization theorem, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!=\prod_{p \leq n} p^{\beta_{p}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{p}:=v_{p}(n!)$. It is clear that $P_{n}>(n!)^{2}$. So by (6) and (7) and taking logarithm, we get that

$$
\sum_{p \leq n} \beta_{p} \log p<\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p<2 n} \alpha_{p} \log p
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \notin \wp}} \beta_{p} \log p<\sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \in \wp}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{p}}{2}-\beta_{p}\right) \log p+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \notin \wp}} \alpha_{p} \log p+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n<p<2 n} \alpha_{p} \log p . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider each term on the right hand side of (8): For the first term, since $p \in \wp$, by Lemma 2.2 and (5), we have

$$
\frac{\alpha_{p}}{2}-\beta_{p} \leq \sum_{j \leq \log n / \log p}\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor\right)+\sum_{\log n / \log p<j \leq \log \left(n^{2}+21\right) / \log p}\left\lceil\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rceil
$$

Noting that $\left\lceil\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor \leq 1$ and $\left\lceil\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rceil=1$ if $j>\frac{\log n}{\log p}$, so the above inequality becomes

$$
\frac{\alpha_{p}}{2}-\beta_{p} \leq \sum_{j \leq \log n / \log p} 1+\sum_{\log n / \log p<j \leq \log \left(n^{2}+21\right) / \log p} 1 \leq \frac{\log \left(n^{2}+21\right)}{\log p}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \in \wp}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{p}}{2}-\beta_{p}\right) \log p \leq \log \left(n^{2}+21\right) \sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \in \wp}} 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we consider the second term: If $p \neq 2,3,7$, then $\alpha_{p}=0$ because $p \notin \wp$. As we assume $n>10$ at the beginning, we use (2), (3) and (4) to get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\
p \notin \wp}} \alpha_{p} \log p & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{2} \log 2+\alpha_{3} \log 3+\alpha_{7} \log 7\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \log 2+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor \log 3+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{7}\right\rfloor \log 7\right) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we deal with the last term. As $n>10$, we have $p \geq 11$ in this case. If $p \notin \wp$, then $\alpha_{p}=0$. If $p \in \wp$, it then follows from $p>n$ that $p^{2} \geq(n+1)^{2}>n^{2}+21$. Hence $\frac{\log \left(n^{2}+21\right)}{\log p}<2$, which implies that $\alpha_{p} \leq 2$ by (5). Then by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n<p<2 n} \alpha_{p} \log p \leq \sum_{n<p<2 n} \log p \leq n \log 4 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (9), (10) and (11) into (8), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\
p \notin \wp}} \beta_{p} \log p \\
< & \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \log 2+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor \log 3+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{7}\right\rfloor \log 7\right)+\log \left(n^{2}+21\right) \sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\
p \in \wp}} 1+n \log 4 . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we treat the left hand side of the (12): By Lemma 2.2,

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{p}=\sum_{j \leq \log n / \log p}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor \geq \sum_{j \leq \log n / \log p}\left(\frac{n}{p^{j}}-1\right) & \geq \frac{n-p}{p-1}-\frac{\log n}{\log p} \\
& >\frac{n-1}{p-1}-\frac{\log \left(n^{2}+21\right)}{\log p} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

So by (12) and (13), we deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(n-1) \sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\
p \notin \wp<}} \frac{\log p}{p-1}<\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \log 2+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor \log 3+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{7}\right\rfloor \log 7\right) \\
\\
+\log \left(n^{2}+21\right) \pi(n)+n \log 4 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then using Lemma 2.4 and noting that $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq \frac{n+1}{2},\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor \leq \frac{n}{3},\left\lfloor\frac{n}{7}\right\rfloor \leq \frac{n}{7}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\
p \notin \wp}} \frac{\log p}{p-1}< & \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(\frac{n+1}{2} \log 2+\frac{n}{3} \log 3+\frac{n}{7} \log 7+2 n \log 4\right) \\
& +\frac{\log \left(n^{2}+21\right)}{n-1}\left((2 \log 4) \frac{n}{\log n}+\sqrt{n}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

With a little more effort, we can see that the limit of the right hand side of (14) is equal to $\frac{41}{4} \log 2+\frac{1}{6} \log 3+\frac{1}{14} \log 7$ (about 7.427 ) as $n$ tends to $\infty$. By the computer we can check that the right hand side is less than 7.78 when $n \geq 4000000$, and the left hand side is bigger than 7.78 when $n \geq 4000000$. So we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore we have proved that for all integers $n$ with $n \geq 4000000, P_{n}$ is not a square.

Now we use Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 to show that $P_{n}$ is not a square for all integers $n$ with $10<n<4000000$ :
(i) Since $4^{2}+21=37$ is a prime, the smallest positive integer $m$ satisfying $37 \mid\left(m^{2}+21\right)$ and $m \neq 4$ is $37-4=33$ by Lemma 2.5. Then by Lemma 2.6, $P_{n}$ is not a square for all integers $n$ with $4 \leq n \leq 32$.
(ii) Because $16^{2}+21=277$ is a prime, the smallest positive integer $m$ satisfying $277 \mid\left(m^{2}+21\right)$ and $m \neq 16$ is $277-16=261$ by Lemma 2.5 . Hence by Lemma 2.6, $P_{n}$ is not a square for all integers $n$ with $16 \leq n \leq 260$.
(iii) For $50^{2}+21=2521$ is a prime, the smallest positive integer $m$ satisfying $2521 \mid\left(m^{2}+21\right)$ and $m \neq 50$ is $2521-50=2471$ by Lemma 2.5 . Thus by Lemma 2.6, $P_{n}$ is not a square for all integers $n$ with $50 \leq n \leq 2470$.
(iv) Since $2026^{2}+21=4104697$ is a prime, the smallest positive integer $m$ satisfying $4104697 \mid\left(m^{2}+21\right)$ and $m \neq 2026$ is $4104697-2026=4102671$ by Lemma 2.5. Then by Lemma 2.6, $P_{n}$ is not a square for all integers $n$ with $2026 \leq n \leq 4102670$. Therefore combining (i), (ii), (iii) with (iv), we obtain that $P_{n}$ is not a square for all integers $n$ with $10<n<4000000$.

Thus we deduce that $P_{n}$ is not a square for all positive integers $n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## References

[1] P. Borwein and T. Erdelyi, Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities, SpringerVerlag, 1995.
[2] H. Chen, C. Wang, S. Hu, Squares in $\left(1^{2}+23\right) \ldots\left(n^{2}+23\right)$, J. Sichuan Univ. Natu. Sci. Edi. 52 (1) (2015) 21-24.
[3] G. Hardy and E. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Number, Oxford Univ. Press, 1980.
[4] S. Hong, Divisibility of determinants of least common mutiple matrices on GCDclosed sets, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 27 (4) (2003) 615-621.
[5] S. Hong and X. Liu, Squares in $\left(2^{2}-1\right) \cdots\left(n^{2}-1\right)$ and $p$-adic valuation, AsianEur. J. Math. 3 (1) (2010) 19-24.
[6] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 2nd Ed., 1990.
[7] M. B. Nathanson, Elementary Methods in Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
[8] Q. Tan, Notes on the non-divisibility of determinants of power GCD and power LCM matrices, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 33 (3) (2009) 563-567.
[9] S. Yang, A. Togbé, B. He, Diophantine equations with products of consecutive values of a quadratic polynomial, J. Number Theory 131 (2011) 1840-1851.


[^0]:    *The research was supported partially by Program of Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province Grant \#2013JY0125.

