Finding primes
$$
p
$$
 for which
\n $(p-1)/2 - \phi(p-1) = k$

T. D. Noe

November 13, 2007

Sloane's OEIS [\[3\]](#page-1-0) sequence [A098006](http://www.research.att.com/projects/OEIS?Anum=A098006) concerns the difference between the number of quadratic nonresidues (mod p) and the primitive roots (mod p) for odd primes p. This difference is expressed as

$$
f(p) = \frac{p-1}{2} - \phi(p-1),
$$
\n(1)

where ϕ is Euler's totient function.

For a given number $k \geq 0$, we want to find primes p such that $f(p) = k$. As proved by Luca and Walsh [\[1\]](#page-1-1), there are an infinite number of k for which this is not possible. This short note shows that, in general, the search can be limited to primes $p \leq 1 + k^2$.

For any odd prime p, we can factor $p-1$ into even and odd parts:

$$
p - 1 = 2^{\alpha} \, m
$$

with m odd. By ignoring the Fermat primes $(3, 5, 17, 257, 300, 65537)$, which produce $f(p) = 0$, we can take $m > 1$. Thus, equation [\(1\)](#page-0-0) becomes

$$
f(p) = 2^{\alpha - 1}(m - \phi(m)).
$$

Note that the factor $m - \phi(m)$ is odd because m is odd and $m > 1$.

Let's also factor k into even and odd parts: $k = 2^{\beta} k_o$. If $k = f(p)$ for some prime p, then the even and odd parts must be equal, producing the two equations

$$
\beta = \alpha - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k_o = m - \phi(m). \tag{2}
$$

For the second equation in [\(2\)](#page-0-1), we have two possibilities to consider, $k_o = 1$ and $k_o > 1$, which are discussed below.

It is easy to see that the case $k_o = 1$, which occurs when $k = 2^{\beta}$, is solved by m any odd prime. In this case, the possible primes p have the form $p = 1 + m \; 2^{\beta+1} = 1 + 2mk$. It is very easy to compute the least prime for which $f(p) = k$: merely check the primality

of $1 + 2mk$ as m goes through increasing odd primes. For $k = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256$, we easily obtain the primes $p = 7, 13, 41, 113, 97, 193, 641, 769, 11777$. Although no proof is known that a prime exists whenever k is a power of 2, using probabilistic arguments it is easy to show that an infinite number of primes are expected for each such k . We have computed the least prime for all powers $\beta \leq 1000$. For all $\beta > 3$, we found a prime $p \leq 1 + k^2$. See new sequences [A134854](http://www.research.att.com/projects/OEIS?Anum=A134854) and [A134855.](http://www.research.att.com/projects/OEIS?Anum=A134855)

For the second case, $k_o > 1$, there are only a finite number of solutions to the equation

$$
m - \phi(m) = k_o \tag{3}
$$

because m must be composite and the fact from Sierpinski [\[2,](#page-1-2) page 231] that

$$
m - \phi(m) \ge \sqrt{m} \tag{4}
$$

for all composite m. Hence, we must have $m \leq k_o^2$. So the possible primes are of the form $p = 1 + m \; 2^{\beta+1}$ with m a solution to equation [\(3\)](#page-1-3). Luca and Walsh show that for some values of k it is possible that these values of p are all composite. The values of k for which there are no primes are listed in OEIS sequence [A098047.](http://www.research.att.com/projects/OEIS?Anum=A098047)

(Although the above analysis seems to show that the largest prime will have the form $1+2k_o^2$, this will never be the case because (1) the bound in inequality (4) is sharp only for the squares of odd primes and (2) $1 + 2k_o^2$ is composite when k_o is an odd prime greater than 3. If we exclude the squares of odd primes, then inequality [\(4\)](#page-1-4) becomes

$$
m - \phi(m) \ge 2\sqrt{m}
$$

from which we can conclude that $m \leq k_o^2/4$ instead of $m \leq k_o^2$.

In conclusion, we have discovered that finding the primes p for which $f(p) = k$ for a given k is easily accomplished. Numerical experiments have shown that, if such a prime p exists, then $p \leq 1 + k^2$ except for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, and 8. This numerical work is supported by the analysis shown above. The graph of the new sequence $A134765$, which lists the least p for each k, clearly shows the many k for which $p = 1 + k^2$.

References

- [1] Florian Luca and P. G. Walsh, On the number of nonquadratic residues which are not primitive roots, Colloq. Math., 100 (2004), 91-93 .
- [2] W. Sierpinski, Elementary Theory of Numbers, Warsaw, 1964.
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, *[The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences](http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences)*, published electronically at www.research.att.com/∼njas/sequences.