

Generalized Brjuno functions associated to α -continued fractions

LAURA LUZZI*, STEFANO MARMI†, HITOSHI NAKADA‡, AND RIE NATSUI§

Abstract

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ given, we consider the α -continued fraction expansion of a real number obtained by iterating the map

$$A_\alpha(x) = |x^{-1} - [x^{-1} + 1 - \alpha]|$$

defined on the interval $\mathbb{I}_\alpha = (0, \bar{\alpha})$, with $\bar{\alpha} = \max(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)$. These maps generalize the classical (Gauss) continued fraction map which corresponds to the choice $\alpha = 1$, and include the nearest integer ($\alpha = 1/2$) and by-excess ($\alpha = 0$) continued fraction expansion. To each of these expansions and to each choice of a positive function u on the interval \mathbb{I}_α we associate a generalized Brjuno function $B_{(\alpha, u)}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n-1} u(x_{\alpha, n})$, where $x_{\alpha, n} = A_\alpha(x_{\alpha, n-1})$ for all $n \geq 1$, $x_{\alpha, 0} = |x - [x + 1 - \alpha]|$, $\beta_{\alpha, n} = x_{\alpha, 0} \cdots x_{\alpha, n}$, $\beta_{\alpha, -1} = 1$. When $\alpha = 1/2$ or $\alpha = 1$, and $u(x) = -\log(x)$, these functions were introduced by Yoccoz in his work on linearization of holomorphic maps. Their regularity properties, including BMO regularity and their extension to the complex plane, have been thoroughly investigated. We compare the functions obtained with different values of α and we prove that the set of (α, u) -Brjuno numbers does not depend on the choice of α provided that $\alpha \neq 0$. We then consider the case $\alpha = 0$, $u(x) = -\log(x)$ and we prove that x is a Brjuno number (for $\alpha \neq 0$) if and only if both x and $-x$ are Brjuno numbers for $\alpha = 0$.

Subject Classification: Primary: 11J70; Secondary: 37F50

Keywords: continued fractions, Brjuno function, approximations of real numbers

Introduction

Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and let $\{\frac{p_n}{q_n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the convergents of its continued fraction expansion. A *Brjuno number* is an irrational number x such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{q_n} < \infty$. Almost all real numbers are Brjuno numbers, since for all diophantine numbers one has $q_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(q_n^{\tau+1})$ for some $\tau \geq 0$. But some

*Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56123 Pisa, Italy, e-mail: l.luzzi@sns.it

†Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56123 Pisa, Italy, e-mail: s.marmi@sns.it

‡Department of Mathematics, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, 223-8522, Japan, e-mail: nakada@math.keio.ac.jp

§Department of Mathematics, Japan Women's University, 2-8-1 Mejirodai, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 112-8681, Japan, e-mail: natsui@fc.jwu.ac.jp

Liouville numbers also verify the Brjuno condition, e.g. $l = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 10^{-n!}$. The importance of Brjuno numbers comes from the study of 1-dimensional analytic small divisors problems. The most important results are due to Yoccoz [11], who proved that the Brjuno condition is optimal for the problem of linearization of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms with a fixed point (and also for linearizing analytic diffeomorphisms of the circle provided that they are sufficiently close to a rotation [12].)

The set of Brjuno numbers is invariant under the action of the modular group $PGL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and can be characterized as the set where the Brjuno function $B : \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is finite, where

$$B(x) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n-1} \log x_n,$$

and $\beta_{-1} = 1$, $\beta_n = x_0 \cdots x_n$, $x_{n+1} = \{x^{-1}\}$. The Brjuno function is \mathbb{Z} -periodic and satisfies the functional equation

$$B(x) = - \log x + xB(x^{-1}), \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

which allows to interpret B as a *cocycle* under the action of the modular group (see [7], [8] for details) and to study its regularity properties. For example one can prove that $B \in \bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(0, 1)$ and even that $B \in BMO(\mathbb{T}^1)$ [6].

The aim of this paper is to extend some of the results known for B to more general objects, obtained replacing the logarithm with an arbitrary positive C^1 function on $(0, 1)$, with a singularity at the origin, and using α -continued fractions instead of the Gauss map.

Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $\bar{\alpha} = \max(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)$. The α -continued fraction expansion of a real number $x \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$ is associated to the iteration of the map $A_\alpha : (0, \bar{\alpha}) \rightarrow (0, \bar{\alpha})$,

$$A_\alpha(x) = \left| \frac{1}{x} - \left[\frac{1}{x} + 1 - \alpha \right] \right|$$

These maps were introduced by one of the authors [9] and include the standard continued fraction map ($\alpha = 1$), the nearest integer ($\alpha = 1/2$) and by-excess continued fraction map ($\alpha = 0$) as special cases. For all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ these maps are expanding and admit a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure $d\mu_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(x)dx$ [9] [5] [4] whose density is bounded from above and from below by a constant dependent on α . In the case $\alpha = 0$ there is an indifferent fixed point and A_α does not have a finite invariant density but it preserves the infinite measure $d\mu_0(x) = \frac{dx}{1-x}$.

In §1, given a positive C^1 function u on $(0, 1)$ with a singularity at the origin, we define a generalized Brjuno function $B_{\alpha,u}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{\alpha,n-1} u(x_{\alpha,n})$, where $\beta_{\alpha,n} = x_{\alpha,0} \cdots x_{\alpha,n}$ for $n \geq 0$, $\beta_{\alpha,-1} = 1$ and the sequence $x_{\alpha,n}$ is obtained by iterating the α -continued fraction map: $x_{\alpha,n+1} = A_\alpha(x_{\alpha,n})$, $n \geq 0$. We then prove that the set of convergence of $B_{\alpha,u}$ does not depend on the choice of α provided that $\alpha > 0$, and that actually the difference $B_{\alpha,u} - B_{\alpha',u}$ between any two functions is L^∞ . The generalized Brjuno functions also satisfy a functional equation under the action of the modular group.

In §2 we investigate the relation between the standard Brjuno function B_1 (corresponding to the choice $\alpha = 1$, $u(x) = -\log x$) and the Brjuno function B_0

obtained replacing the Gauss map with the by-excess continued fraction map (i.e. $\alpha = 0$, $u(x) = -\log x$). We prove that an irrational number x is a Brjuno number if and only if $B_0(x) < \infty$ and $B_0(-x) < \infty$. Moreover the difference between B_1 and the even part of B_0 is bounded and numerical simulations suggest that it is $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder-continuous.

1 The (α, u) -Brjuno functions

1.1 Some basic notions

Fix α , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, let $\bar{\alpha} = \max(\alpha, 1-\alpha)$, and define the map A_α from $\mathbb{I}_\alpha = (0, \bar{\alpha})$ onto itself as follows:

$$A_\alpha(x) = \left| \frac{1}{x} - \left[\frac{1}{x} \right]_\alpha \right|$$

for $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, where $[x]_\alpha = [x + 1 - \alpha]$, and $[\]$ denotes the integer part. We put

$$a_\alpha(x) = \left[\frac{1}{x} \right]_\alpha, \quad \varepsilon_0(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x - [x]_\alpha)$$

and define

$$\begin{cases} a_{\alpha,n}(x) &= a_\alpha(A_\alpha^{n-1}(x)) \\ \varepsilon_{\alpha,n} &= \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{x_{n-1}} - \left[\frac{1}{x_{n-1}} \right]_\alpha\right). \end{cases}$$

These maps are factors of the α -continued fraction transformations introduced by H. Nakada [9]. In particular A_1 and A_0 are respectively the standard continued fraction transformation and the continued fraction transformation associated to the “-” or “by excess” expansion. We also define the following matrices :

$$M_{\alpha,n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{\alpha,n-1} \\ 1 & a_{\alpha,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{\alpha,n-1} & p_{\alpha,n} \\ q_{\alpha,n-1} & q_{\alpha,n} \end{pmatrix} = M_{\alpha,1} \cdot M_{\alpha,2} \cdots M_{\alpha,n} \quad (1)$$

for $n \geq 1$, and

$$M_{\alpha,0} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{\alpha,-1} & p_{\alpha,0} \\ q_{\alpha,-1} & q_{\alpha,0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

It is easy to see from the definition that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} p_{\alpha,n-1} & p_{\alpha,n} \\ q_{\alpha,n-1} & q_{\alpha,n} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{\#\{0 \leq i \leq n-1, \varepsilon_{\alpha,i}=1\}}$$

for $n \geq 1$. We put $x_{\alpha,n} = A_\alpha^n(x_{\alpha,0})$, $n \geq 0$. It follows from definitions that

$$\begin{aligned} x - \frac{p_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}} &= \frac{p_{\alpha,n} + \varepsilon_{\alpha,n} p_{\alpha,n-1} x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n} + \varepsilon_{\alpha,n} q_{\alpha,n-1} x_{\alpha,n}} - \frac{p_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}} \\ &= \frac{(p_{\alpha,n-1} q_{\alpha,n} - q_{\alpha,n-1} p_{\alpha,n}) \varepsilon_{\alpha,n} x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n} (q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1} x_{\alpha,n})} \\ &= \det(A_{\alpha,1} \cdots A_{\alpha,n+1}) \frac{x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n} (q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1} x_{\alpha,n})} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(x - \frac{p_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}}\right) = \operatorname{sgn}(q_{\alpha,n}x - p_{\alpha,n}) = (-1)^{\#\{0 \leq i \leq n, \varepsilon_{\alpha,i}=1\}}.$$

We define

$$\beta_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,0} \cdots x_{\alpha,n}, \quad \beta_{\alpha,-1} = 1.$$

Lemma 1. For all $n \geq 1$,

$$\beta_{\alpha,n} = |q_{\alpha,n}x - p_{\alpha,n}|$$

Proof. From

$$x = \frac{p_{\alpha,n} + p_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n}}$$

we get

$$x_{\alpha,n} = -\varepsilon_{\alpha,n} \frac{p_{\alpha,n} - q_{\alpha,n}x}{p_{\alpha,n-1} - q_{\alpha,n-1}x}.$$

Then clearly $x_{\alpha,-1}x_{\alpha,0} \cdots x_{\alpha,n} = |p_{\alpha,n} - q_{\alpha,n}x|$. □

From equation (3), it follows that

$$\beta_{\alpha,n} = \frac{x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n}} \Rightarrow \beta_{\alpha,n} = \frac{\beta_{\alpha,n+1}}{x_{\alpha,n+1}} = \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n+1} + q_{\alpha,n}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n+1}x_{\alpha,n+1}}.$$

Knowing that $x_{\alpha,n+1} \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1+\alpha} < \beta_{\alpha,n}q_{\alpha,n+1} < \frac{1}{\alpha}. \quad (4)$$

The proof of the following Lemma can be found in [5]:

Lemma 2. Let $\bar{\alpha} = \max(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)$, $g = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$, $\gamma = \sqrt{2} - 1$. Then $\forall n \geq 0$,

$$\beta_{\alpha,n} \leq \bar{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}^n, \quad \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n+1}} < (1+\alpha)\bar{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}^n$$

where

- $\rho_{\alpha} = g$, for $g < \alpha \leq 1$
- $\rho_{\alpha} = \gamma$, for $\gamma \leq \alpha \leq g$,
- $\rho_{\alpha} = \sqrt{1-2\alpha}$, for $0 < \alpha < \gamma$.

Remark 1. The previous estimate is optimal for $\alpha \geq \gamma$ [5]; however, we do not possess an optimal bound for $\alpha < \gamma$.

1.2 The (α, u) -Brjuno functions

It has already been remarked [8] that it is possible to extend the notion of Brjuno functions by replacing the logarithm with another function exhibiting a similar behaviour near 0. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and $u : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a C^1 function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} u(x) &= \infty, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} xu(x) &< \infty, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^2 u'(x) &< \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We define the generalized Brjuno function related to α and u : for $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$,

$$B_{\alpha, u}(x) \doteq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{\alpha, n-1} u(x_{\alpha, n}).$$

We extend $B_{\alpha, u}$ to the whole real line by setting

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha, u}(x+1) &= B_{\alpha, u}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ B_{\alpha, u}(-x) &= B_{\alpha, u}(x) \quad \forall x \in (0, \min(\alpha, 1-\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

When $u(x) = -\log(x)$, we obtain the α -Brjuno functions [6]:

$$B_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{\alpha, n-1} \log x_{\alpha, n}.$$

An irrational number x will be called an (α, u) -Brjuno number if $B_{\alpha, u}(x_0) < \infty$, where $x_0 = |x - [x + 1 - \alpha]|$. In this case we will also say that x satisfies the (α, u) -Brjuno condition.

Remark 2. The first assumption in (5) guarantees that the (α, u) -Brjuno condition is not verified by all irrational numbers. The second is necessary in order to ensure that the set of (α, u) -Brjuno numbers is nonempty. The third assumption is technical.

Remark 3. We remark here that in the case of the α -Brjuno functions, we can replace $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(a_{\alpha, n+1})}{q_{\alpha, n}}$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(q_{\alpha, n+1})}{q_{\alpha, n}}$ since the two series have the same set of convergence and their difference is bounded. In fact, the series $\sum \frac{\log(q_{\alpha, n})}{q_{\alpha, n}}$ is uniformly bounded for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, see [6]. However, it is *not* possible in general to replace $u(a_{\alpha, n+1}^{-1})$ with $u(q_{\alpha, n+1}^{-1})$, since the series $\sum \frac{u(q_{\alpha, n}^{-1})}{q_{\alpha, n}}$ might not be bounded.

Let $B_{\alpha, u}^N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{\alpha, n} u(x_{\alpha, n})$ be the truncated (α, u) -Brjuno series.

Proposition 1. For any α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and for any u satisfying the conditions (5), there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha, 1}$, depending on u , such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, $\forall N > 0$,

$$\left| B_{\alpha, u}^N(x) - \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{u(a_{\alpha, n+1}^{-1})}{q_{\alpha, n}} \right| < C_{\alpha, 1}$$

where $q_{\alpha, n}$ are the denominators of the α -convergents of x .




Figure 1: A computer simulation of the graph of $B_{(1,u)}$ when $u(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$.

Proof of Proposition 1. We choose a positive constant $\delta (= \delta_\alpha)$ sufficiently small. Let

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(u) &= \sup_{(\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}, 1)} u(x), \\ M_2(u) &= \sup_{(0, \delta)} xu(x), \\ M_3(u) &= \sup_{(0, \delta)} x^2 u'(x). \end{aligned}$$

We split the estimate in two cases: when $x_{\alpha, n} > \delta$, using Lemma 2 we get

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq N, \\ x_{\alpha, n} > \delta}} \beta_{\alpha, n-1} u(x_{\alpha, n}) < M_1 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha} \rho_\alpha^{n-1} + 1 \right) = M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{1 - \rho_\alpha} + 1 \right)$$

Moreover, observing that

$$a_{\alpha, n+1} = \left[\frac{1}{x_{\alpha, n}} \right]_\alpha > \frac{1}{x_{\alpha, n}} - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{a_{\alpha, n+1}} > \frac{x_{\alpha, n}}{1 + x_{\alpha, n}} > \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}$$

we find, from Lemma 2:

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq N, \\ x_{\alpha, n} > \delta}} \frac{u(a_{\alpha, n+1}^{-1})}{q_{\alpha, n}} \leq M_1(u) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \alpha) \bar{\alpha} \rho_\alpha^{n-1} \right) = M_1(u) \left(1 + \frac{(1 + \alpha) \bar{\alpha}}{1 - \rho_\alpha} \right)$$

Suppose $x_{\alpha, n} < \delta$, which implies that $a_{\alpha, n+1}$ is not small, indeed $a_{\alpha, n+1} \geq 3$ is enough. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \beta_{\alpha, n-1} u(x_{\alpha, n}) - \frac{u(a_{\alpha, n+1}^{-1})}{q_{\alpha, n}} \right| &< \\ &< \beta_{\alpha, n-1} |u(x_{\alpha, n}) - u(a_{\alpha, n+1}^{-1})| + \left| \beta_{\alpha, n-1} - \frac{1}{q_{\alpha, n}} \right| u(a_{\alpha, n+1}^{-1}). \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

From Lagrange's Theorem we get

$$|u(x_{\alpha,n}) - u(a_{\alpha,n+1}^{-1})| < |u'(\xi)| \left| x_{\alpha,n} - \frac{1}{a_{\alpha,n+1}} \right|$$

for some ξ between $x_{\alpha,n}$ and $a_{\alpha,n+1}^{-1}$. Since

$$x_{\alpha,n}, \frac{1}{a_{\alpha,n+1}}, \xi \in \left(\frac{1}{a_{\alpha,n+1} + \alpha}, \frac{1}{a_{\alpha,n+1} - 1 + \alpha} \right)$$

we have

$$\left| x_{\alpha,n} - \frac{1}{a_{\alpha,n+1}} \right| < \frac{1}{(a_{\alpha,n+1} - 1 + \alpha)(a_{\alpha,n+1} + \alpha)} < \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi} < 2\xi^2$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq N \\ x_{\alpha,n} < \delta}} \beta_{\alpha,n-1} |u(x_{\alpha,n}) - u(a_{\alpha,n+1}^{-1})| < 2M_3(u) \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{1 - \rho_\alpha} + 1 \right).$$

For the second term in (6), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \beta_{\alpha,n-1} - \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n}} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n}} - \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n}} \right| = \frac{q_{\alpha,n-1}x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}(q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1}x_{\alpha,n})} = \\ &= \beta_{\alpha,n-1} \frac{q_{\alpha,n-1}x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}} < \beta_{\alpha,n-1}x_{\alpha,n} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \beta_{\alpha,n-1} - \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n}} \right| u(a_{\alpha,n+1}^{-1}) &< \\ &< \beta_{\alpha,n-1} (x_{\alpha,n}u(x_{\alpha,n}) + x_{\alpha,n} |u(x_{\alpha,n}) - u(a_{\alpha,n+1}^{-1})|) < \\ &< \beta_{\alpha,n-1} (M_2(u) + 2M_3(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq N \\ x_{\alpha,n} < \delta}} \left| \beta_{\alpha,n-1} - \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n}} \right| u(a_{\alpha,n+1}^{-1}) < (M_2(u) + 2M_3(u)) \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{1 - \rho_\alpha} + 1 \right). \quad \square$$

Remark 4. If $B_{\alpha,u}(x) < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u(a_{\alpha,n+1}^{-1})}{q_{\alpha,n}}$ is away from $B_{\alpha,u}(x)$ at most $C_{\alpha,1}$.

Theorem 1. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and assume that u satisfies the conditions (5). Then there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha,2}$, depending on u , such that

$$|B_{\alpha,u}(x) - B_{1,u}(x)| < C_{\alpha,2}$$

whenever $B_{1,u}(x) < \infty$.

Proof. It has been proved in [10] that for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the α -continued fraction expansion admits a *Legendre constant*, that is, there exists a constant $k_\alpha > 0$ such that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < k_\alpha \frac{1}{q^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists n : \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}}. \quad (7)$$

In particular, if $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_{1,l}}{q_{1,l}}$, there exists $n = n(x, l)$ such that $\frac{p_{1,l}}{q_{1,l}} = \frac{p_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}}$. On the other hand, it is well-known that the Legendre constant when $\alpha = 1$ is $\frac{1}{2}$; therefore, if for some $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\left| x - \frac{p_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}} \right| < \frac{1}{2q_{\alpha,n}^2}, \quad (8)$$

then there exists $l = l(x, n)$ such that $\frac{p_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}} = \frac{p_{1,l}}{q_{1,l}}$.

We suppose that condition (8) holds (the case when condition (7) holds for $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_{1,l}}{q_{1,l}}$ is similar.) Since equation (7) is verified for all constants k_α smaller than the Legendre constant, we can suppose without any loss of generality that k_α is small, for example $k_\alpha < \frac{1}{10}$, which implies that $x_{\alpha,n}$ is also small:

$$\begin{aligned} |q_{\alpha,n}x - p_{\alpha,n}| &= \frac{x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n}} < \frac{k_\alpha}{q_{\alpha,n}} \\ \Rightarrow x_{\alpha,n} &< \frac{k_\alpha(q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n})}{q_{\alpha,n}} < 2k_\alpha < \frac{1}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case $\beta_{\alpha,n} = |q_{\alpha,n}x - p_{\alpha,n}| = |q_{1,l}x - p_{1,l}| = \beta_{1,l}$; moreover,

$$\beta_{\alpha,n} = \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n}\frac{1}{x_{\alpha,n}} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}}, \quad \beta_{1,l} = \frac{1}{q_{1,l}\frac{1}{x_{1,l}} + q_{1,l-1}}$$

Hence we have

$$q_{\alpha,n} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{\alpha,n}} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n} = q_{1,l} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{1,l}} + q_{1,l-1}.$$

and so, dividing by $q_{1,l} = q_{\alpha,n}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{x_{\alpha,n}} - \frac{q_{\alpha,n-1}}{q_{\alpha,n}} \leq \frac{q_{1,l-1}}{q_{1,l}} + \frac{1}{x_{1,l}} \leq \frac{1}{x_{\alpha,n}} + \frac{q_{\alpha,n-1}}{q_{\alpha,n}}$$

which implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{x_{\alpha,n}} - \frac{1}{x_{1,l}} \right| \leq \frac{q_{\alpha,n-1}}{q_{\alpha,n}} + \frac{q_{1,l-1}}{q_{1,l}} < 2.$$

Then $|x_{1,l} - x_{\alpha,n}| < 2x_{1,l}x_{\alpha,n} < 2x_{\alpha,n} < \frac{2}{5}$ and $x_{1,l} < 3x_{\alpha,n}$, $x_{\alpha,n} < 3x_{1,l}$. Suppose for example that $x_{\alpha,n} \leq x_{1,l}$ (the other case is similar); we want to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_{\alpha,n-1}u(x_{\alpha,n}) - \beta_{1,l-1}u(x_{1,l})| &\leq \\ &\leq \beta_{\alpha,n-1}|u(x_{\alpha,n}) - u(x_{1,l})| + |\beta_{\alpha,n-1} - \beta_{1,l-1}|u(x_{1,l}). \end{aligned}$$

Since we are assuming that $x_{\alpha,n}$ is small, we have

$$\beta_{\alpha,n-1}|u(x_{\alpha,n}) - u(x_{1,l})| \leq \beta_{\alpha,n-1}u'(\xi)|x_{1,l} - x_{\alpha,n}| \leq 2\beta_{\alpha,n-1}u'(\xi)x_{1,l}x_{\alpha,n}$$

with $\xi \in (x_{\alpha,n}, x_{1,l})$. Then

$$\beta_{\alpha,n-1}|u(x_{\alpha,n}) - u(x_{1,l})| \leq 6\beta_{\alpha,n-1}u'(\xi)\xi^2 \leq 6\beta_{\alpha,n-1}M_3(u).$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\beta_{\alpha,n-1} - \beta_{1,l-1}|u(x_{1,l}) &= \left| \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n}} - \frac{1}{q_{1,l} + q_{1,l-1}x_{1,l}} \right| u(x_{1,l}) = \\
&= \frac{1}{q_{1,l}} \left| \frac{1}{1 + \frac{q_{\alpha,n-1}}{q_{\alpha,n}}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n}} - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{q_{1,l-1}}{q_{1,l}}x_{1,l}} \right| u(x_{1,l}) \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{q_{1,l}^2} \frac{q_{1,l-1}x_{1,l} + x_{\alpha,n}q_{\alpha,n-1}}{\left(1 + \frac{q_{\alpha,n-1}}{q_{\alpha,n}}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{q_{1,l-1}}{q_{1,l}}x_{1,l}\right)} u(x_{1,l}) \leq \frac{x_{1,l} + |x_{\alpha,n}|}{q_{1,l}} u(x_{1,l}) < \\
&< \frac{2x_{1,l}}{q_{1,l}} u(x_{1,l}) \leq 2M_2(u)(1 + \alpha)\bar{\alpha}\rho_\alpha^{l-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds for $l \geq 1$.

If neither (8) nor (7) hold, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| x - \frac{p_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}} \right| &= \frac{x_{\alpha,n}}{q_{\alpha,n}(q_{\alpha,n} + q_{\alpha,n-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha,n}x_{\alpha,n})} \geq \frac{k_\alpha}{q_{\alpha,n}^2} \\
\Rightarrow x_{\alpha,n} &\geq k_\alpha \left(1 - \frac{q_{\alpha,n-1}}{q_{\alpha,n}}x_{\alpha,n} \right) \geq k_\alpha(1 - x_{\alpha,n})
\end{aligned}$$

and so $x_{\alpha,n} \geq \frac{k_\alpha}{1+k_\alpha}$ is bounded away from 0; the same is true for $x_{1,l}$. In this case for $l, n \geq 1$ we have

$$|\beta_{\alpha,n-1}u(x_{\alpha,n}) - \beta_{1,l-1}u(x_{1,l})| \leq M_4(u)(\bar{\alpha}\rho_\alpha^{n-1} + g^{l-1}),$$

where

$$M_4(u) = \max_{\left[\frac{k_\alpha}{1+k_\alpha}, 1\right]} u(x). \quad \square$$

Remark 5. From Proposition 1 and Theorem 1, we see that, similarly to what happens in the case $u(x) = -\log x$, the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : B_{\alpha,u}(x) < \infty\}$ coincides with the set of $(1, u)$ -Brjuno numbers for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

It is known [1] [4] that the maps A_α admit a unique absolutely continuous invariant density $\frac{d\mu_\alpha(x)}{dx}$ which is bounded from above and from below by constants depending on α .¹

Following [5], we consider the linear space

$$\begin{aligned}
X_\alpha &= \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} \mid f(x+1) = f(x) \forall x, \\
&\quad f(x) = f(-x) \text{ for } x \in (0, \min(\alpha, 1-\alpha))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We call $X_{\alpha,p}$ the space X_α endowed with the L^p norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^{\bar{\alpha}} |f|^p d\mu_\alpha(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For $f \in X_{\alpha,p}$, and for $x \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$, we define

$$(T_\alpha f)(x) = xf(A_\alpha(x))$$

¹The density is known explicitly when $\alpha \in [\gamma, 1]$, see [9] and [5].

and we extend the domain of $T_\alpha f$ with the same periodicity and parity conditions in the definition of X_α . Then $T_\alpha f \in X_{\alpha,p}$. It is easy to check that for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, for all $x \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$ one has

$$(1 - T_\alpha)B_{\alpha,u}(x) = u_\alpha(x),$$

where u_α is the extension of u to the whole real line which coincides with u on $(0, \bar{\alpha})$ and belongs to X_α . Similarly, for all $x \in (0, 1 - \alpha)$ and for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ one has

$$(1 - T_\alpha I)B_{\alpha,u}(x) = u_\alpha(x),$$

where I denotes the involution $(If)(x) = f(-x)$. The spectral radius of T_α in $X_{\alpha,p}$ is bounded by ρ_α , and so $1 - T_\alpha$ is invertible [5]. As a consequence, we have the following

Proposition 2. *For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, if $u_\alpha \in L^p(0, \bar{\alpha})$, then $B_{\alpha,u} \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^1)$.*

Remark 6. Since $x \in C^1(0, \bar{\alpha})$ the assumption $u_\alpha \in L^p$ is really a growth assumption as $x \rightarrow 0$. If $u(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}$, $\sigma > 1$ then $u_\alpha \in L^p$ for all $p < \sigma$. In this case the set of (α, u) -Brjuno numbers includes the set of diophantine numbers with exponent $\tau < \sigma$ and is included in the set of diophantine numbers with exponent $\tau = \sigma$ [8].

2 The semi-Brjuno function

2.1 Some definitions

In the sequel we focus on the relations between the standard Brjuno function B_1 and the Brjuno function B_0 associated to the by excess continued fraction approximation $A_0(x) : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$, $A_0(x) = [\frac{1}{x} + 1] - \frac{1}{x}$. We recall some basic properties of these expansions: let $x^n = A_0^n(x)$ for $n \geq 0$, $x_{-1} = 1$, and let

$$b_n = \left[\frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + 1 \right] \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

be the elements of the “-” continued fraction expansion of x :

$$x = \frac{1}{|b_1|} - \frac{1}{|b_2|} - \frac{1}{|b_3|} - \dots \quad (9)$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote by $\frac{p_n^*}{q_n^*} = \frac{p_{0,n}}{q_{0,n}}$ the 0-convergents of x defined in equations (1) and (2), so that

$$\frac{p_n^*}{q_n^*} = \frac{1}{|b_1|} - \frac{1}{|b_2|} - \frac{1}{|b_3|} - \dots - \frac{1}{|b_n|}$$

and $p_n^* q_{n-1}^* - p_{n-1}^* q_n^* = 1$. Moreover we have

$$x = \frac{p_n^* - p_{n-1}^* x_n}{q_n^* - q_{n-1}^* x_n}, \quad x - \frac{p_n^*}{q_n^*} = \frac{x_n}{q_n^* (q_n^* - q_{n-1}^* x_n)} > 0,$$

that is, the approximation by A_0 is *one-sided*. Let

$$\beta_n^* = q_n^* x - p_n^* = x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n \quad (10)$$

for $n \geq 1$. From the definition, it follows that $q_n^* > q_{n-1}^*$ for $n \geq 0$ and $q_n^* > 2q_{n-1}^*$ if $b_n \geq 3$. It is easy to see that $b_1 = k$ if and only if $x \in \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k-1}\right]$. If $x_{n-1} \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}, 1 - \frac{1}{l+1}\right]$ for $l \geq 2$, then $b_n = 2$ and $x \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{l-1}, 1 - \frac{1}{l}\right]$. Thus,

$$b_n = b_{n+1} = \cdots = b_{n+l-2} = 2$$

when $x_{n-1} \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}, 1 - \frac{1}{l+1}\right]$ for $l \geq 2$. In this case,

$$q_{n+k}^* = q_{n-1}^* + (k+1)(q_{n-1}^* - q_{n-2}^*)$$

for $0 \leq k \leq l-2$, and we may have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_n^*} = \infty$$

when we have “long” consecutive sequences of “2” infinitely often in the sequence (b_n) , as opposed to the case of the α -continued fraction expansions with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, for which we always have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_{\alpha,n}} < \infty$$

for any irrational number x .

As we will see, a precise “dictionary” between the coefficients $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$ of the regular continued fraction expansion of x and the by excess coefficients $\{b_n : n \geq 1\}$ is available (see [3]). To begin with, we recall how to obtain the 1-expansion of x given the 1-expansion of $1-x$:

Lemma 3. *Suppose $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ irrational. Then*

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_3} + \frac{1}{a_4} + \cdots$$

implies

$$1-x = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{a_1-1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_3} + \cdots$$

Lemma 4. *Let $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ whose 0-expansion is of the form (9), and $\{n_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ a sequence such that*

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= b_2 = \cdots = b_{n_1-1} = 2 \\ b_{n_1} &> 2 \\ b_{n_1+1} &= \cdots = b_{n_1+n_2-1} = 2 \\ b_{n_1+n_2} &> 2 \\ b_{n_1+n_2+1} &= \cdots = b_{n_1+n_2+n_3-1} = 2 \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

($n_i = 1$ is possible for $i \geq 2$). Then the 1-expansion of x is

$$1-x = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{b_{n_1}-2} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{b_{n_1+n_2}-2} + \frac{1}{n_3} + \cdots$$

Lemma 3 and 4 imply the following [3]

Proposition 3. *Suppose $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. If (11) holds, then*

$$x = \frac{1}{|1|} + \frac{1}{|n_1 - 1|} + \frac{1}{|b_{n_1} - 2|} + \frac{1}{|n_2|} + \frac{1}{|b_{n_1+n_2} - 2|} + \frac{1}{|n_3|} + \dots.$$

In the case $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then $n_1 = 1$ in (11) and a similar result holds:

Proposition 4. *If $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then*

$$x = \frac{1}{|b_{n_1} - 1|} + \frac{1}{|n_2|} + \frac{1}{|b_{n_1+n_2} - 2|} + \frac{1}{|n_3|} + \dots.$$

2.2 Semi-Brjuno numbers

An irrational number $x \in (0, 1)$ is said to be a *semi-Brjuno number* if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} < \infty.$$

For an irrational number x , x is said to be a semi-Brjuno number when its fractional part $x - [x]$ is a semi-Brjuno number. For $x \in (0, 1)$ irrational, we consider the 0-Brjuno function²

$$B_0(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n-1}^* \log \frac{1}{x_n}$$

where the coefficients β_n^* are defined as in (10). Equivalently, we can write

$$B_0(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n-1}^* \log \frac{\beta_{n-1}^*}{\beta_n^*}.$$

We extend the definition to all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ by putting $B_0(x) \doteq B_0(x - [x])$. We call B_0 the *semi-Brjuno function*. It is easy to see that for $x \in (0, 1)$ irrational, B_0 satisfies the functional equation

$$B_0(x) = \log \frac{1}{x} + x B_0\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Proposition 5. *An irrational number x is a semi-Brjuno number if and only if $B_0(x) < \infty$.*

²Remark that the finiteness of B_0 on the rationals depends on the choice of the value of A_0 on the negligible set $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \geq 1}$. If instead of A_0 we consider another version of the by-excess map (see [2]), that is

$$S(x) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{x},$$

then $S(\frac{1}{n}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, and the iterates of any rational number would be all zero after a certain index, so that B_0 would diverge on all the rationals. However, with our definition $A_0(\frac{1}{n}) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and B_0 is finite on \mathbb{Q} . We also note that under the first assumption the by-excess expansion of a rational number is finite, while under the second it terminates in an infinite sequence of “2”.




Figure 2: A computer simulation showing the graph of B_0 .

Actually we will prove a stronger result:

Theorem 2. *There exists a fixed constant C_0 such that $\forall x \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\left| B_0(x) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} \right| < C_0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We split the series in the following way:

$$B_0(x) = \sum_{n \in I_*(x)} \beta_{n-1}^* \log \frac{1}{x_n} + \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \beta_{n-1}^* \log \frac{1}{x_n},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_*(x) &= \left\{ n \mid x_n \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right] \right\} = \{ n \mid b_{n+1}(x) = 2 \}, \\ I_{**}(x) &= \left\{ n \mid x_n \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \right\} = \{ n \mid b_{n+1}(x) > 2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} = \sum_{n \in I_*(x)} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} + \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*}.$$

It is trivial that

$$\sum_{n \in I_*(x)} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} = 0.$$

We will show that $\sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \beta_{n-1}^* \log \frac{1}{x_n} < \infty$ is uniformly bounded by a fixed constant. We consider $m \geq 1$ such that

$$x_m \leq \frac{1}{2}, x_{m+1} > \frac{1}{2}, x_{m+2} > \frac{1}{2}, \dots, x_{m+l} > \frac{1}{2}, x_{m+l+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In this case,

$$x_{m+l} \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{3} \right], x_{m+l-1} \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}, 1 - \frac{1}{4} \right], \dots, x_{m+1} \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{l+1}, 1 - \frac{1}{l+2} \right]$$

For $0 < t \leq l-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_mx_{m+1} \cdots x_{m+t} \log \frac{1}{x_{m+t+1}} < \\ & < (x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_m) \left(1 - \frac{1}{l+2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{l+1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{l+3-t}\right) \frac{1}{l+1-t} = \\ & = (x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_m) \frac{1}{l+2} \left(\frac{l+2-t}{l+1-t}\right) < (x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_m) \frac{1}{l+2}; \end{aligned}$$

for $t = 0$,

$$x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_m \log \frac{1}{x_{m+1}} < x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_m \frac{1}{l}.$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{t=0}^{l-1} x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_{m+t} \log \frac{1}{x_{m+t+1}} < 2(x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_m).$$

We define

$$\begin{cases} s_1 = \min\{n \geq 0 : x_n \leq \frac{1}{2}, x_{n+1} > \frac{1}{2}\} \\ s_{t+1} = \min\{n > s_t : x_n \leq \frac{1}{2}, x_{n+1} > \frac{1}{2}\}, \quad t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in I_*(x)} x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \log \frac{1}{x_n} & < 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{-1}x_0x_1 \cdots x_{s_j} \leq \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{s_1} \cdots x_{s_j} < 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to show that

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \beta_{n-1}^* \log \frac{1}{x_n} - \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} \right|$$

is bounded by a constant which does not depend on x . Let

$$t_j = \sum_{i=1}^j n_i - 1, \quad (12)$$

so that $I_{**}(x) = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots\}$. Then $\forall k \geq 1$, $q_{t_{k+1}}^* \geq q_{t_k+1}^* = b_{t_k+1}q_{t_k}^* - q_{t_k-1}^* \geq 2q_{t_k}^*$, and so $q_{t_k}^* \geq 2^k$, and

$$\sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{1}{q_n^*} < 2, \quad \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{\log(q_n^*)}{q_n^*} < \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_n^*}} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}-1}. \quad (13)$$

Then, observing that

$$\frac{\log q_n^*}{q_n^*} + \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} \leq \frac{\log q_{n+1}^*}{q_n^*} < \frac{\log q_n^*}{q_n^*} + \frac{\log b_{n+1}}{q_n^*}$$

this is equivalent to showing that

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \beta_{n-1}^* \log \frac{1}{x_n} - \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{\log(q_{n+1}^*)}{q_n^*} \right| \quad (14)$$

is uniformly bounded. The proof is very similar to its analogue for the standard Brjuno function [6]: from the relation

$$q_n^* \beta_{n-1}^* - q_{n-1}^* \beta_n^* = 1,$$

which can be checked easily, we get $\frac{1}{q_n^*} = \beta_{n-1}^* - \frac{q_{n-1}^* \beta_n^*}{q_n^*}$. Then expression (14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \left(\beta_{n-1}^* \log \frac{\beta_n^*}{\beta_{n-1}^*} + \log q_{n+1}^* \left(\beta_{n-1}^* - \frac{q_{n-1}^* \beta_n^*}{q_n^*} \right) \right) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \left| \beta_{n-1}^* \log(\beta_n^* q_{n+1}^*) - \beta_{n-1}^* \log \beta_{n-1}^* - \frac{q_{n-1}^* \beta_n^*}{q_n^*} \log(q_{n+1}^*) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, observe that when $b_{n+1} > 2$, $x_n < \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{n-1}^* &= q_{n-1}^* x - p_{n-1}^* = \frac{x_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}^* - q_{n-2}^* x_{n-1}} = \frac{1}{q_n^* - q_{n-1}^* x_n} < \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} q_n^*} = \frac{2}{q_n^*}, \\ \beta_n^* &= \frac{1}{q_{n+1}^* - q_n^* x_{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{q_{n+1}^* - q_n^*} \leq \frac{2}{q_n^*} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \beta_{n-1}^* \log(\beta_n^* q_{n+1}^*) &\leq \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{2 \log 2}{q_n^*} \leq 4 \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

From equation (13), it follows easily that

$$\sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \beta_{n-1}^* \log \beta_{n-1}^* \leq \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{2 \log(q_n^*/2)}{q_n^*} \leq \sqrt{2} \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_n^*}} < \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}-1}.$$

Finally, remarking that the function $\frac{\log(x)}{x}$ is decreasing for $x \geq e$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{q_{n-1}^* \beta_n^*}{q_n^*} \log(q_{n+1}^*) &\leq \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \beta_n^* \log q_{n+1}^* \leq \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{2 \log q_{n+1}^*}{q_{n+1}^*} \leq \\ &\leq \log 2 + \sum_{n \in I_{**}(x)} \frac{2 \log q_n^*}{q_n^*} \leq \log 2 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}-1} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Now we show the following

Proposition 6. *For any irrational number x , x is a Brjuno number if and only if x and $-x$ are semi-Brjuno numbers.*

Again, we will actually prove a stronger result:




Figure 3: The graphs of the functions $x \mapsto B_0(x) + B_0(-x)$ (black) and $x \mapsto B_1(x)$ (grey).

Theorem 3. *Let $B_0^+(x) = B_0(x) + B_0(-x)$ be the even part of B_0 . Then the function $B_1(x) - B_0^+(x)$ is bounded.*

For the proof of this theorem, we use the following

Lemma 5. *There exists a constant C_1 such that for any irrational number $x \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{q_n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{n+1}}{q_n} \right| < C_1.$$

Proof. Since $q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}$, we see

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1})}{q_n} < 2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{n+1}}{q_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_n}{q_n} \right).$$

It is known [6] that for any x irrational,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_n}{q_n} \leq \frac{2}{e} \left(3 + \frac{\sqrt{2}g}{1 - \sqrt{g}} \right)$$

where g denotes as usual the small Golden ratio. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{n+1}}{q_n} < \infty \iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{2n+1}}{q_{2n}} < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{2n+2}}{q_{2n+1}} < \infty.$$

We will show that $\exists C_2 > 0$ such that $\forall x$ irrational,

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{2n+1}}{q_{2n}} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} \right| < C_2.$$




Figure 4: The graph of the function $x \mapsto B_1(x) - (B_0(x) + B_0(-x))$.

Suppose that $\frac{1}{2} < x < 1$. Recall the definition of the sequence $\{t_j\}$ in (12). We have seen in the proof of Theorem 2 that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{t_j+1} - 1)}{q_{t_j}^*}.$$

Moreover, because of the estimate (13), we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{t_j+1} - 1)}{q_{t_j}^*} - \sum_{b_{t_j+1} \geq 4} \frac{\log(b_{t_j+1})}{q_{t_j}^*} \right| = \sum_{b_{t_j+1}=3} \frac{\log 2}{q_{t_j}^*} \leq 2 \log 2.$$

When $b_{t_j+1} \geq 4$, since $x_{t_j} < \frac{1}{3}$, we get

$$0 < x - \frac{p_{t_j}^*}{q_{t_j}^*} = \frac{x_{t_j}}{q_{t_j}^*(q_{t_j}^* - q_{t_j-1}^* x_{t_j})} < \frac{1}{3q_{t_j}^*} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}q_{t_j}^*} = \frac{1}{2(q_{t_j}^*)^2}.$$

Because $\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}$ implies $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ for some $n \geq 0$ and $0 < x - \frac{p}{q} < \frac{1}{q^2}$ implies $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_m^*}{q_m^*}$ for some $m \geq 0$, we have that all the 0-convergents $\frac{p_{t_j}}{q_{t_j}}$ with $b_{t_j+1} \geq 4$ are even standard convergents, with possibly some 0-convergents $\frac{p_{t_j}}{q_{t_j}}$ with $b_{t_j+1} = 3$ in between, while the 0-convergents with $b_{t_j+1} = 2$ are not standard convergents. In conclusion, there exists a sequence $\{T_j\} \subset I_{**}(x)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} q_{T_j}^* & = & q_{2j} \\ a_{2j+1} & = & b_{T_j+1} - 2 \end{cases}$$

for $j \geq 1$ (see Proposition 3). Clearly adding or omitting some indices t_j with $b_{t_j+1} = 3$ does not change the finiteness of the series:

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{t_j+1})}{q_{t_j}^*} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{2j+1}}{q_{2j}} \right| < 2 \log 2.$$

Finally we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(b_{n+1} - 1)}{q_n^*} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{2j+1}}{q_{2j}} \right| < 4 \log 2.$$

The same method holds for $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$. If $-x$ is a semi-Brjuno number, then so is $1 - x$. By Lemma 3, it is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log a_{2j+2}}{q_{2j+1}} < \infty.$$

Thus we have the assertion of the theorem. \square

Remark 7. We prefer not to extend the notion of the (α, u) -Brjuno functions to the case $\alpha = 0$, because for general u , the divergence of $B_{(0,u)}(x)$ does not imply that x has good by-excess approximations with respect to u as in the case when $u(x) = \log x$. We have

$$\beta_n^* = q_n^* x - p_n^* = \frac{x_n}{q_n^* - q_{n-1}^* x_n} > \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(q_n^* - q_{n-1}^* x_n)} > \frac{1}{2q_n^*}$$

whenever $x_n > \frac{1}{2}$ and $b_n = 2$. Suppose that $u(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$, for example. Then it turns out that $\sum_{n \in I_*(x)} \frac{1}{q_n^*} = \infty$ implies $\sum_{n: x_n > 1/2} \beta_{n-1}^* u(x_n) = \infty$, which means that there exist good approximations from the *right-hand side*, and not from the left-hand side. Moreover, the divergence is independent of this particular choice of u .

References

- [1] J. Bourdon, B. Daireaux, B. Vallée, “Dynamical analysis of α -Euclidean algorithms”, *J. Algorithms* 44 (2002), 246-285
- [2] S. Ito, “On a Diophantine approximation of real numbers from below”, in “Prospects of mathematical science”, World Sci. Publishing (1988), 41–62
- [3] K. Kraaikamp, H. Nakada, “On normal numbers for continued fractions”, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 20 (2000), no. 5, 1405–1421
- [4] L. Luzzi, S. Marmi, “On the entropy of Japanese continued fractions”, preprint available at [arXiv:math/0601576v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0601576v2)
- [5] P. Moussa, A. Cassa, S. Marmi, “Continued fractions and Brjuno functions”, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 105 (1999), 403-415
- [6] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, J-C. Yoccoz, “The Brjuno functions and their regularity properties”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 186 (1997), 265-293
- [7] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, J-C. Yoccoz, “Complex Brjuno functions”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 14 (2001), 783–841
- [8] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, J-C. Yoccoz, “Some properties of real and complex Brjuno functions”, in “Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry”, I, P. Cartier, B. Julia, P. Moussa and P. Vanhove editors, Springer-Verlag (2006), 601–623

- [9] H. Nakada, “Metrical theory for a class of continued fraction transformations and their natural extensions”, *Tokyo J. Math.* 4, 1981
- [10] R. Natsui, “On the Legendre constant of α -continued fractions”, preprint
- [11] J-C. Yoccoz, “Théorème de Siegel, polynômes quadratiques et nombres de Brjuno”, *Astérisque* 231 (1995) 3–88
- [12] J-C. Yoccoz, “Analytic linearization of circle diffeomorphisms”, in “Dynamical Systems and Small Divisors”, Lecture Notes in Math. 1784 (2002), 125–173