

Local dynamics for fibered holomorphic transformations

Mario PONCE
 Université Paris SUD-XI

September 9, 2021

Abstract

Fibered holomorphic dynamics are skew-product transformations $F(\theta, z) = (\theta + \alpha, f_\theta(z))$ over an irrational rotation, whose fibers are holomorphic functions. In this paper we study such a dynamics on a neighborhood of an invariant curve. We obtain some results analogous to the results in the non fibered case.

1 Introduction

Let α be a rationally independent vector in \mathbb{T}^d , which will be fixed for the rest of this work. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open simply connected neighborhood of the origin. We consider fibered continuous injective transformations

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbb{T}^d \times U &\longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{C} \\ (\theta, z) &\longmapsto (\theta + \alpha, f_\theta(z)). \end{aligned}$$

We will assume that the functions $f_\theta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$; we call F a fibered holomorphic dynamics, and we denote it by *fhd*.

The *fhd*'s are a special class of the well-known *skew-product* transformations. A closely related class of skew-product transformations over irrational rotations are fibered circle homeomorphisms, where the fiber is a circle and the f_θ are circle homeomorphisms. In [4] M.Herman establishes the basis for the study of the fibered circle homeomorphisms, defining the fibered rotation number. Recently this study has been relaunched mainly by the works of G.Keller, J.Stark and T.Jäger (see [5], [6]). They have established in particular a Poincaré-like classification of these transformations by means of the fibered rotation number and the presence of invariant graphs. In his doctoral thesis, O.Sester [14] (see also [15]) has studied hyperbolic fibered polynomials, successfully generalizing the classical notions of Julia set, Green's function and the principal cardioid of the Mandelbrot set in the parameter space. Other important contributions to this subject are the works of M.Jonsson [7], [8].

The notion of periodic or fixed points for F has no sense, since the irrational rotation in the base is minimal. We are interested in the local dynamics of F in a neighborhood of an invariant curve, that is, a continuous curve $u : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow U$ such that

$$F(\theta, u(\theta)) = (\theta + \alpha, u(\theta + \alpha)) \tag{1}$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, or equivalently $f_\theta(u(\theta)) = u(\theta + \alpha)$. These objects play the role of a center around which the dynamics of F is organized, generalizing thus the role of a fixed point for the local dynamics of an holomorphic germ $g : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, the so-called *non fibered case*. The rest of this work is devoted to precise and prove this last assertion. A complete survey on the non fibered case can be found in [2].

2 Definitions

Let F be a *fhd* and u an invariant curve for F . We define the infinitesimal characteristics of the curve u by

Definition 2.1 The fibered multiplier $\kappa(u)$ of the curve is the real number

$$\kappa(u) = \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \log |\partial_z f_\theta(u(\theta))| d\theta\right).$$

We recall that F is injective and so the differential $\partial_z f_\theta$ is always non zero. We say u is an attracting curve if $\kappa(u) < 1$, u is a repelling curve if $\kappa(u) > 1$ and an indifferent curve if $\kappa(u) = 1$.

Definition 2.2 Suppose $\kappa(u) = 1$ and the application

$$\theta \mapsto \partial_z f_\theta(u(\theta))$$

is homotopic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ to a constant. We refer to this situation as the indifferent zero degree case. We define a number which represents the average rotation speed of the dynamics around the invariant curve,

$$\varrho_{tr}(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \log \partial_z f_\theta(u(\theta)) d\theta.$$

We call this number the fibered rotation number. Note the log above is well defined mod $2\pi i$ and the number $\varrho_{tr}(u)$ is well defined mod 1.

In general, we will consider the invariant curve as being the zero section curve $\{z \equiv 0\}_{\mathbb{T}^d}$. We obtain this situation by conjugating F with the change of coordinates given by $H(\theta) = (\theta, z + u(\theta))$. In this way the resulting transformation $\tilde{F} = H^{-1} \circ F \circ H$ has the zero section as an invariant curve with the same infinitesimal characteristics as the original curve u for F . More generally, we will need to consider continuous change of coordinates \tilde{H} defined on a tubular neighborhood of the invariant curve (the zero section), say

$$(\theta, z) \xrightarrow{\tilde{H}} (\theta, h_\theta(z)).$$

The functions h_θ will be biholomorphic transformations between two topological discs fixing the origin, that is $h_\theta(0) = 0$. When conjugating our transformation F we will get a new transformation $\tilde{F} = H^{-1} \circ F \circ H$ having the zero section $\tilde{u} = \{z \equiv 0\}_{\mathbb{T}^d}$ as an invariant curve and $\kappa(\tilde{u}) = \kappa(u)$. That is, the fibered multiplier is invariant by conjugacy. In the indifferent zero degree case we will admit only those changes of coordinates which have themselves zero degree, that is, the application $\theta \mapsto h_\theta(0)$ is homotopic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ to a constant. In that case we get also $\varrho_{tr}(\tilde{u}) = \varrho_{tr}(u)$. In the indifferent zero degree case the fibered rotation number is also invariant by zero degree conjugacy.

We say F is linearizable if we can conjugate it on a tubular neighborhood of the invariant curve to a transformation Λ_A of the form

$$\Lambda_A(\theta, z) = (\theta + \alpha, A(\theta)z),$$

for a continuous complex function $A : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. When the absolute value $|A(\theta)| = \kappa(u)$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we say F is *modulus linearizable*. When $|A(\theta)| < 1$ for every $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ ($|A(\theta)| > 1$ for every $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$) we say that F is *weakly linearizable* to an attractive (repelling) linear *fhd*. In the indifferent zero degree case we say F is *strongly linearizable* if $A(\theta) = e^{2\pi i \varrho_{tr}(u)}$.

We say an invariant curve u is *stable* if there exists an open invariant and bounded tubular neighborhood \mathcal{U} , $F(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U}$. We always suppose that the fibers \mathcal{U}_θ are topological discs and that \mathcal{U} contains the curve. We call those neighborhoods an *open invariant tube*.

3 Statement of the results

In this paper we prove the following results concerning the local dynamics of a *fhd* F around an invariant curve u :

Proposition 3.1 *If $\kappa(u) < 1$ then there exist an open tubular neighborhood of the curve which is attracted to the curve by positive iteration. Moreover, F is weakly linearizable.*

When $\kappa(u) > 1$ we obtain the analogous result for the repelling case by considering the inverse F^{-1} . When the curve is indifferent ($\kappa(u) = 1$) we generalize to fibered dynamics a very well-known fact in the non fibered case

Lyapounov stability is equivalent to linearization.

Proposition 3.2 *If the curve is indifferent then stability of the curve is equivalent to modulus linearization.*

As we will see, linearizability alone does not implies stability. Then we look at non stable situation in the indifferent case. We show that there are still some nearby points with a complete orbit (past and future) which stays near the invariant curve. Indeed, we prove a fibered version of the Continua's Theorem by R.Pérez-Marco [11]

Theorem 3.3 (Fibered Pérez-Marco's Continua) *Let F be a fhd with an indifferent invariant curve u . Let \mathcal{U} be an open neighborhood of the curve whose fibers are Jordan domains (the interior of a Jordan curve). We also assume that $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_\theta$ depends continuously on θ and that F, F^{-1} define fhd's which are injective in some neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Then there exists a compact connected set $K \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ such that*

- i) *Fibers K_θ are connected full compact sets for every $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$.*
- ii) *$\text{graph}(u) \subset K$.*
- iii) *$F(K) = F^{-1}(K) = K$, that is, K is completely invariant by F .*
- iv) *$K \cap \partial\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$.*

We also consider fhd's which are analytic with respect to the θ variable. Let δ be a positive real number. An analytic fhd is a transformation $(\theta, z) \mapsto F(\theta, z)$ that is defined and holomorphic as a $d + 1$ variables function on the product $B_\delta^d \times U$, where $B_\delta = \{\theta \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \mid |\text{Im}(\theta)| < \delta\}$. For this class of regularity and under the indifferent zero degree hypothesis one can show a fibered version of the Siegel's linearisation theorem [16]. The Siegel's theorem for holomorphic germs state that under some arithmetical condition on the rotation number, the dynamics is linearizable without additional hypothesis on the stability of the fixed point. More precisely one has

Theorem 3.4 (Siegel's theorem for fhd) *Let $\delta > 0$, F be a fhd analytic in the product $B_\delta^d \times \mathbb{D}$. Let $u : \mathbb{B}_\delta^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an indifferent invariant analytic curve with zero degree. If the fibered rotation number $\varrho_{\text{tr}}(u) = \beta$ is such that the pair (α, β) verifies the arithmetical condition $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{\geq 1}(c, \tau)$ for some $c > 0, \tau \geq 0$, then F is strongly linearizable on a tubular neighborhood of the curve.*

The arithmetical condition $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{\geq 1}(c, \tau)$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{\geq 1}(c, \tau) = \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{T}^1 \mid \|n \cdot \alpha - j\beta\| > \frac{c}{(|n| + j)^{2+\tau}} \forall j \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\},$$

where for an integer vector $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we put $|n| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |n_i|$. The proof of this theorem is a straightforward adaptation of the classical proof due to Moser for the Siegel's theorem (see [9]), and can be found in [13].

4 Proofs

We recall that the invariant curve is supposed to be the zero section curve $u = \{z \equiv 0\}_{\mathbb{T}^1}$. We use the standard notation in the skew-product dynamics

$$f_\theta^n(z) := \Pi_2 F^n(\theta, z) = f_{\theta+(n-1)\alpha} \left(f_{\theta+(n-2)\alpha} \left(\dots \left(f_\theta(z) \right) \dots \right) \right)$$

for the holomorphic second coordinate. We put $\rho_1(\theta) = \partial_z f_\theta(0)$, and in this way the transformation F has the form

$$F(\theta, z) = \left(\theta + \alpha, \rho_1(\theta)z + z^2 \rho(\theta, z) \right). \quad (2)$$

The function ρ is a continuous function, holomorphic in each fiber and $\rho_1 : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is a continuous function.

4.1 Proof of proposition 3.1

Previous to the main proof, we want to point at the following simple complex analysis result

Lemma 4.1 *For any constants $A \in (0, 1), B > 0$ there exists constants $R = R(A, B), r = r(A, B) \in (0, 1)$ such that if $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an holomorphic function verifying*

- i) $f(0) = 0$,
- ii) $\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} := \sum_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)| \leq B$,
- iii) $A < |\partial_z f(0)| < A^{-1}$,

then there exists an open set $U_f \supset D(0, r)$ such that $f : U_f \rightarrow D(0, R)$ is a biholomorphism ■

As a corollary we obtain

Corollary 4.2 *Let $F : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{C}$ be a fhd, $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}^d$ its rotation number on the base \mathbb{T}^d . Let $u : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an invariant curve whose multiplier is $\kappa = \kappa(u)$. Then there exists a positive radius $R \in (0, 1)$ such that the inverse transformation F^{-1} is a fhd which is well defined in the tube \mathcal{U}_R , of radius R and centered on the invariant curve. The rotation number of F^{-1} on the base is $-\alpha$. The curve u is invariant by F^{-1} and its multiplier as an invariant curve for F^{-1} is κ^{-1} ■*

We now begin the proof of proposition 3.1. We assume $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \log |\rho_1(\theta)| d\theta < 0$ and we put $\kappa = \kappa(u) \in (0, 1)$. We introduce a first conjugacy in order that the absolute value $|\rho_1(\theta)|$ becomes closer to his mean κ . Let $l : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a trigonometric polynomial, $l(\theta) = \sum_{0 \leq |n| < N} e^{2\pi i n \cdot \theta} \hat{l}(n)$, verifying

- i) $\hat{l}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} l(\theta) d\theta = \log \kappa$.
- ii) $\left| \log |\rho_1(\theta)| - l(\theta) \right| < \log \frac{1 + \kappa^{1/2}}{2}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$.

Let $\tilde{u}_1 : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the zero-mean solution to the cohomological equation

$$\tilde{u}_1(\theta) - \tilde{u}_1(\theta + \alpha) = \log \kappa - l(\theta).$$

The Fourier series method gives that $\tilde{u}_1(\theta) = \sum_{0 < |n| < N} \frac{\hat{l}(n)}{e^{2\pi i n \cdot \alpha} - 1} e^{2\pi i n \theta}$. We put $u_1(\theta) = e^{\tilde{u}_1(\theta)}$. We conjugate F by the fibered re-scaling $H(\theta, z) = (\theta, u_1(\theta)z)$ getting

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_z (H^{-1} \circ F \circ H)_\theta(0)| &= \frac{u_1(\theta)}{u_1(\theta + \alpha)} |\rho_1(\theta)| \\ &= \kappa \frac{|\rho_1(\theta)|}{e^{l(\theta)}} < \frac{\kappa + \kappa^{1/2}}{2} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

We thus may suppose that $|\rho_1(\theta)| < \frac{\kappa + \kappa^{1/2}}{2} < 1$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, and the holomorphic functions f_θ are defined in a disc D_R for some $R > 0$. The following proof is an adaptation of the classical proof of the non fibered corresponding result (see [2]).

We define a continuous function $\phi : \mathbb{T}^d \times D_R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic in each fiber, by the equality

$$f_\theta(z) = \rho_1(\theta)z \{1 + \phi(\theta, z)z\}.$$

Let $\{g^n : \mathbb{T}^d \times D_R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence of continuous functions defined by

$$g_\theta^n(z) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho_1(\theta + i\alpha) \right)^{-1} f_\theta^n(z).$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} f_\theta^{n+1}(z) &= \rho_1(\theta + n\alpha) f_\theta^n(z) \{1 + \phi(\theta + n\alpha, f_\theta^n(z)) f_\theta^n(z)\} \\ g_\theta^{n+1}(z) &= g_\theta^n(z) \{1 + \phi(\theta + n\alpha, f_\theta^n(z)) f_\theta^n(z)\} \\ &= z \prod_{j=0}^n \{1 + \phi(\theta + j\alpha, f_\theta^j(z)) f_\theta^j(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define another continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{T}^d \times D_R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\log(1 + \phi(\theta, z)z) = z\psi(\theta, z).$$

The function ψ is bounded. We also observe that the g^n and ψ are holomorphic in each fiber. We want to show that the sequence $\{g^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is uniformly convergent on a product $\mathbb{T}^d \times D_r$, $0 < r \leq R$ to a (continuous, holomorphic in each fiber) function $g : \mathbb{T}^d \times D_R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. To do that is sufficient to show that the following series are an absolutely and uniformly convergent series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(\theta + j\alpha, f_{\theta}^j(z)) f_{\theta}^j(z). \quad (3)$$

From the bound $|\rho_1(\theta)| < \frac{\kappa + \kappa^{1/2}}{2} < 1$ we get a constant $c \in (0, 1)$ and a positive radius r such that $|f_{\theta}^n(z)| < c^n |z|$ for $|z| < r$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and for all n large enough. This implies the desired convergence. Thus, the limit function $g : \mathbb{T}^d \times D_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, holomorphic in each fiber and verifies $g_{\theta}(0) = 0$, $\partial_z g_{\theta}(0) = 1$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$. Lemma 4.1 applies and give us a tubular neighborhood of the zero section where g is invertible. There, the inverse g^{-1} is a continuous function; defining

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(\theta, z) &= (\theta, g_{\theta}^n(z)) \\ \Phi(\theta, z) &= (\theta, g_{\theta}(z)), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n \circ F &= \left(\theta + \alpha, \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho_1(\theta + \alpha + i\alpha) \right)^{-1} f_{\theta+\alpha}^n(f_{\theta}(z)) \right) \\ &= \left(\theta + \alpha, \rho_1(\theta) \left(\prod_{i=0}^n \rho_1(\theta + i\alpha) \right)^{-1} f_{\theta}^{n+1}(z) \right) \\ &= \Lambda_{\rho_1} \circ \Phi_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in the limit

$$F = \Phi^{-1} \circ \Lambda_{\rho_1} \circ \Phi \quad \blacksquare$$

The corresponding result in the repelling case ($\kappa(u) > 1$) is an easy consequence of the attractive one, by considering the curve as an invariant attractive curve for the inverse F^{-1} , which is a well defined fhd on a tubular neighborhood of the curve.

4.2 Proof of proposition 3.2

If F is modulus linearizable then an open invariant tube is found by the image of $\mathbb{T}^d \times D_r$ by the conjugacy function, for a small $r > 0$. We must prove then the direct implication. We will call *domain* to any simply connected open set in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , containing the origin and different of \mathbb{C} . We need to recall some definitions about domains and their uniformizations. We refer the reader to the book of C.Pommerenke [12] for further references about the facts below.

Conformal Radius. Let Ω be a domain and let $h : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ be the uniformization (biholomorphic function) verifying $h(0) = 0$ and $h'(0) > 0$. We call the positive real number $h'(0)$ the *conformal radius* of Ω and we denote it by $R(\Omega)$. The following monotonicity property holds: If $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ are domains, then one has $R(\Omega') \leq R(\Omega)$ and equality occurs only in the case $\Omega' = \Omega$. We associate to a domain Ω a sequence $\{\Omega^n\}_{n \geq 2}$ of domains defined by $\Omega^n = h(D_{1-\frac{1}{n}})$. This sequence is a monotone exhausting sequence and the conformal radius verify $R(\Omega^n) \nearrow R(\Omega)$.

Caratheodory's Kernel. Let $\{O_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of domains. The Kernel $\nabla(\{O_n\})$ of this sequence consists of the union of the origin and those points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy the following property: There exists a domain Ω_z containing z , such that $\Omega_z \subset O_n$ for n large enough. The kernel is either reduced to the origin, a domain, or \mathbb{C} .

Kernel convergence. We say the sequence $\{O_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is kernel convergent to a domain O if every subsequence of $\{O_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ has the domain O as Kernel.

Theorem 4.3 (Caratheodory) *Let $\{f_n : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of univalent functions, with $f_n(0) = 0$ and $f'_n(0) > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let $O_n = f_n(\mathbb{D})$ and O be domains. Then the sequence $\{O_n\}$ is kernel convergent to O iff the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is convergent uniformly on compacts of \mathbb{D} . Moreover, in this case the limit function f is a conformal representation of O . Finally, if $K \subset O$ is a compact set, then K is contained in O_n for large n and $f_n^{-1}|_K$ converges uniformly to $f^{-1}|_K$.*

For $n \geq 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we define the Birkhoff sums associated to the invariant curve as being

$$S_u^n(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log |\partial_z f_{\theta+i\alpha}(u(\theta+i\alpha))|.$$

In the indifferent case we have the uniform limit

$$\log \kappa(u) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_u^n(\theta)$$

due to the unique ergodicity of the irrational rotation $\theta \mapsto \theta + \alpha$. Let \mathcal{U} be an invariant open tube containing the invariant curve (the zero section). Each fiber \mathcal{U}_θ is a domain. We associate to the each fiber \mathcal{U}_θ the uniformization $h_\theta : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\theta$ as before.

Lemma 4.4 *There exist constants $B_+ > 0$, $B_- < 0$ and a point $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ such that $B_- < S_u^n(\tilde{\theta}) < B_+$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since the open set \mathcal{U} is bounded, there exists a positive constant $W > 0$ such that $W^{-1} < R(\mathcal{U}_\theta) < W$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and $n \geq 0$. We define the functions $\tilde{f}_\theta^n : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_\theta^n(z) = h_{\theta+n\alpha}^{-1} \left(f_\theta^n(h_\theta(z)) \right).$$

The Schwartz Lemma yields $|\partial_z \tilde{f}_\theta^n(0)| \leq 1$, or in other words

$$h'_{\theta+n\alpha}(0)^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |\rho_1(\theta+i\alpha)| \right) h'_\theta(0) \leq 1.$$

From this inequality the existence of B_+ is evident for any choice of $\tilde{\theta}$. We show now the existence of $\tilde{\theta}$ and B_- . We proceed by contradiction. Let $l < 0$. There would exist a smallest natural number $n_l(\theta)$ verifying $S_u^{n_l(\theta)}(\theta) < l$. There exists an uniform upper bound N_l for $n_l(\theta)$. Indeed, otherwise, there should exist a sequence $\{\theta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{T}^d$ such that $n_l(\theta_j) > j$ for all $j \geq 1$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an accumulation point of this sequence. Since the Birkhoff's sums are continuous functions in the θ variable, we know that in an open neighborhood of that point $\hat{\theta}$ one has $S_u^{n_l(\hat{\theta})}(\theta) < l$, a contradiction.

We put $l = -2B_+$, $N = N_l$, $n(\theta) = n_l(\theta)$. Then we have

$$S_u^N(\theta) = S_u^{n(\theta)}(\theta) + S_u^{N-n(\theta)}(\theta + n(\theta)\alpha) < l + B_+ = \frac{l}{2} < 0$$

but $\int_{\mathbb{T}^1} S_u^N(\theta) d\theta = 0$, a contradiction \blacksquare

Bounded Birkhoff's sums are an important tool when solving cohomological equations, as showed by the well-known

Theorem 4.5 (Gottschalk-Hedlund, see [9]) *Assume \mathcal{X} is a compact metric space, $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a continuous minimal transformation. Let $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function such that their Birkhoff's sums verify*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g \circ f^i(x_0) \right| < \infty$$

for some $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a continuous solution $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for the cohomological equation

$$h \circ f - h = g.$$

Remark 4.6 As shown by Furstenberg, there exists analytic minimal non-ergodic diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{T}^2 . The key of this result is the existence of a real irrational number ω and an analytic function $\phi : \mathbb{T}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}^1} \phi d\theta = 0$ and the cohomological equation

$$\psi(\theta + \omega) - \psi(\theta) = \phi(\theta) \quad (4)$$

has measurable but not continuous solution (see [9]). Let $F(\theta, z) = (\theta + \omega, e^{\phi(\theta)}z)$. For this linear fdh the invariant curve $\{z \equiv 0\}_{\mathbb{T}^1}$ is indifferent but not stable. Otherwise, lemma 4.4 and theorem 4.5 provide a continuous solution to (4).

Lemma 4.4 allows us to apply the Gottschalk-Hedlund Theorem to the cohomological equation

$$\tilde{u}_1(\theta + \alpha) - \tilde{u}_1(\theta) = \log |\rho_1(\theta)|.$$

We obtain a zero-mean continuous solution $\tilde{u}_1 : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. With $u_1(\theta) = \exp(\tilde{u}_1(\theta))$ and the change of variables $H(\theta, z) = (\theta, u_1(\theta)z)$ one has that the conjugacy $\tilde{F} = H^{-1} \circ F \circ H$ verifies $|\partial_z \tilde{f}_\theta(0)| = 1$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$. The open tube $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = H^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is still an invariant open tube for \tilde{F} . Thus we may suppose that $|\rho_1(\theta)| = 1$ for every $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$. We put $R(\theta) = R(\mathcal{U}_\theta)$.

Lemma 4.7 The function $R : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lower semi continuous function.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ in \mathbb{T}^d . Let $n^* \geq 2$ such that $R(\Omega_{n^*}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}})) > R(\tilde{\theta}) - \varepsilon$. The set \mathcal{U} is open, therefore there exists an open neighborhood $I_{\tilde{\theta}}$ around $\tilde{\theta}$ such that for s in such a neighborhood, the open set \mathcal{U}_s contains the compact set $\overline{\Omega_{n^*}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}})}$ (since this compact set is far away from the complementary set of \mathcal{U}). One has then

$$R(s) > R(\Omega_{n^*}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}})) > R(\tilde{\theta}) - \varepsilon \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 4.8 The conformal radius $R(\theta)$ is independent of θ in \mathbb{T}^d .

Proof. The function $R : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is l.s.c., thus there exists a point $\tilde{\theta}$ in \mathbb{T}^d where R reaches his minimum. From the inclusion

$$f_{\tilde{\theta}-\alpha}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}-\alpha}) \subset \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}}$$

we deduce that

$$R(f_{\tilde{\theta}-\alpha}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}-\alpha})) \leq R(\tilde{\theta}).$$

The function f_θ preserves the conformal radius since $|\rho_1(\theta)| = 1$ for every θ in \mathbb{T}^d . Then we have

$$R(f_{\tilde{\theta}-\alpha}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}-\alpha})) = R(\tilde{\theta} - \alpha)$$

and the inequality

$$R(\tilde{\theta} - \alpha) = R(\tilde{\theta})$$

from the minimality of the value $R(\tilde{\theta})$. The same argument tells us that $R(\tilde{\theta} - n\alpha) = R(\tilde{\theta})$ for all $n \geq 0$. We have a l.s.c. function with a dense set of minimal points, therefore a constant function \blacksquare

We define $R_F^{\mathcal{U}}$ as the conformal radius of some (any) domain \mathcal{U}_θ .

Lemma 4.9 Let $\{\theta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence in the torus converging to a point $\tilde{\theta}$. The kernel of the sequence of domains $\{\mathcal{U}_{\theta_n}\}$ is $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}}$. In particular the sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_{\theta_n}\}$ kernel converges to $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}}$.

Proof. Let ∇ be the kernel of the sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_{\theta_n}\}$. We first show $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}} \subset \nabla$. Let z be in $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}}$. Let $n^* \geq 2$ such that z belongs to $\Omega_{n^*}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}})$. The set \mathcal{U} is open, thus there exists an open interval $I_{\tilde{\theta}}$ around $\tilde{\theta}$ such that if $s \in I_{\tilde{\theta}}$ then $\overline{\Omega_{n^*}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}})} \subset \mathcal{U}_s$. One concludes that the domain $\Omega_{n^*}(\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}})$ is contained in \mathcal{U}_{θ_n} for n large enough. This shows that z belongs to the kernel ∇ of the domains \mathcal{U}_{θ_n} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}} \subset \nabla. \quad (5)$$

In particular we see that the kernel ∇ is not reduced to the complex origin. The kernel is bounded since the domains \mathcal{U}_θ are uniformly bounded. Therefore ∇ is a domain. Its conformal radius verifies

$$R(\nabla) \geq R(\tilde{\theta}) = R_F^{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (6)$$

Now we show that $\nabla = \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\tilde{n} \geq 2$ such that

$$R(\nabla) > R(\Omega_{\tilde{n}}(\nabla)) > R(\nabla) - \varepsilon.$$

From the definition of the kernel, for all z which belongs to the compact set $\overline{\Omega_{\tilde{n}}(\nabla)}$ there exists a domain O_z containing the point z and contained in the domains \mathcal{U}_{θ_n} for n large enough. Considering a finite cover of $\overline{\Omega_{\tilde{n}}(\nabla)}$ by domains O_z , we see that for n large enough the compact set $\overline{\Omega_{\tilde{n}}(\nabla)}$ is contained in \mathcal{U}_{θ_n} since each O_z has this property. This implies that for n large enough

$$R_F^{\mathcal{U}} = R(\theta_n) > R(\Omega_{\tilde{n}}(\nabla)) > R(\nabla) - \varepsilon$$

and we conclude that the conformal radius $R_F^{\mathcal{U}} \geq R(\nabla)$, since the last inequality holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Using (6), (5) we have the equalities

$$R_F^{\mathcal{U}} = R(\nabla) \quad , \quad \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}} = \nabla.$$

Any sub-sequence from $\{\theta_n\}$ also converges to $\tilde{\theta}$, and therefore all sub-sequence of domains from $\{\mathcal{U}_{\theta_n}\}$ has the same kernel $\nabla = \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ ■

Proof of proposition 3.2. Let $\{h_\theta : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d}$ be the family of uniformizing functions associated to the open tube \mathcal{U} , with $h'_\theta(0) > 0$, and let $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be the bijective function defined by

$$(\theta, z) \mapsto (\theta, h_\theta(z)). \quad (7)$$

From lemma 4.9 and theorem 4.3, Φ is an homeomorphism between $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{D}$ and \mathcal{U} . Let θ in \mathbb{T}^d . The function $l_\theta : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ defined by

$$l_\theta(z) = \Pi_2\left(\Phi^{-1} \circ F \circ \Phi(\theta, z)\right) = h_{\theta+\alpha}^{-1}\left(f_\theta(h_\theta(z))\right) \quad (8)$$

is an holomorphic function, is univalent and verifies

$$\begin{aligned} l_\theta(0) &= 0 \\ l'_\theta(0) &= \left(h'_{\theta+\alpha}(0)\right)^{-1} \rho_1(\theta) h'_\theta(0) \\ &= \left(R_F^{\mathcal{U}}\right)^{-1} \rho_1(\theta) R_F^{\mathcal{U}} \\ &= \rho_1(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\rho_1(\theta)| = 1$, the Schwartz Lemma implies $l_\theta(z) = \rho_1(\theta)z$, and the result follows ■

4.3 Proof of theorem 3.3

We follow the original proof of R.Pérez-Marco. We will need a finite version of the Pérez-Marco's theorem which will allows us to show the theorem for a fibered holomorphic dynamics having a rational (periodic) rotation on the base; an argument of continuity for the Hausdorff distance on compact sets will conclude the proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a p -tuple of holomorphic transformations (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) is a *good chain* for the p -tuple of sets (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_p) if for every $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ we have

- i) U_i is an open Jordan domain (the interior of a Jordan curve) containing the origin.
- ii) f_i is a local holomorphic diffeomorphism fixing the origin, $\partial_z f_i(0) = e^{2\pi i \beta_i}$, $\beta_i \in \mathbb{T}^1$.
- iii) f_i is defined and univalent in a neighborhood of $\overline{U_i}$ and f_i^{-1} is defined and univalent in a neighborhood of $\overline{U_{i+1}}$, with $U_{p+1} = U_1$.

In order to fix ideas we will perform the proofs for the case $p = 2$, even if the arguments also hold in the general case. Let (f_1, f_2) be a good chain for the pair of sets (U_1, U_2) . We put $f = f_2 \circ f_1$. The function f is a local holomorphic diffeomorphism with a fixed point in the origin and multiplier equals to $e^{2\pi i(\beta_1 + \beta_2)}$.

Remark 4.10 *Since the function $f = f_2 \circ f_1$ is an holomorphic function, it may happen that its maximal domain of definition is bigger than (a neighborhood of) $\overline{U_1} \cap f_1^{-1}(\overline{U_2})$, the set where the composition is a priori defined, because of analytic continuations. In the arguments that follow, we will consider the compositions as being defined only on (a neighborhood of) $\overline{U_1} \cap f_1^{-1}(\overline{U_2})$.*

It is a particular case of a simple but important result of Kerekjarto (see [10], [1]) that any connected component of $U_1 \cap f_1^{-1}(U_2)$ is a Jordan domain. We will denote by U_{12} (resp. U_{21}) the connected component of $U_1 \cap f_1^{-1}(U_2)$ (resp. $U_2 \cap f_2^{-1}(U_1)$) which contains 0.

Fundamental construction. Let $K_1 \subset \overline{U_1}$ and $K_2 \subset \overline{U_2}$ be compact, connected and full sets containing the origin and verifying

$$f_1(K_1) = K_2 = f_2^{-1}(K_1), \quad f_2(K_2) = K_1 = f_1^{-1}(K_2)$$

We will associate to these sets an analytical diffeomorphism of the circle. Indeed, let $h_1 : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1$ be an uniformization with $h_1(\infty) = \infty$ and h_2 be defined in an analogous way for K_2 . The composition

$$h_1^{-1} \circ f_2 \circ h_2 \circ h_2^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ h_1 = h_1^{-1} \circ f \circ h_1$$

is an holomorphic diffeomorphism g from an open annular set contained in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, which has $\mathbb{T}^1 = \partial\mathbb{D}$ as one of its boundaries, onto a similar domain. The Carathéodory's extension theorem tell us that this diffeomorphism extends continuously to a homeomorphism up to the boundary \mathbb{T}^1 . The Schwartz's reflection principle allows us to extend g to an annular neighborhood of \mathbb{T}^1 , producing in this manner an holomorphic diffeomorphism defined on this set. This diffeomorphism preserves the circle and then results in an analytic circle diffeomorphism.

The linearizable case. Assume $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ is a real number verifying a diophantine condition. The Siegel linearization theorem (see [16]) implies f is linearizable in an open neighborhood of the fixed point $z = 0$. Let $S(f) \subset U_{12}$ be the maximal linearization domain for f in U_{12} , let $K_1 = \overline{S(f)}$ be the compact set obtained by filling the closure of $S(f)$ and let $K_2 = f_1(K_1)$. As f_1 is an homeomorphism on a neighborhood of K_1 , K_2 is the filling of the closure of $f_1(S(f))$. We apply the fundamental construction to K_1 and K_2 in order to get an analytic circle diffeomorphism g .

Lemma 4.11 *Under the above hypothesis the analytic circle diffeomorphism g has rotation number $\varrho(g) = \beta$.*

Proof. We follow an argument from [11]. Let be $\{\Omega_n^1\}_{n \geq 0}$ a sequence of regions in $S(f)$ such that

- i) $\overline{\Omega_n^1} \subset \Omega_{n+1}^1$ for every $n \geq 0$.
- ii) $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \Omega_n^1 = S(f)$.
- iii) $\overline{\Omega_n^1}$ is completely invariant under f .

Such a sequence may be obtained as the image of radius increasing radii discs by the linearizing application of f . We also define a sequence $\{\Omega_n^2\}_{n \geq 0}$ by $\Omega_n^2 = f_1(\Omega_n^1)$ which verify analogous exhausting properties for the set $f_1(S(f))$. Let $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of circle analytic diffeomorphisms resulting from the application of the fundamental construction to $\overline{\Omega_n^1}$ and $\overline{\Omega_n^2}$. Since g_n is linearizable for all $n \geq 0$ (because of $\overline{\Omega_n^1} \subset S(f)$) we have $\varrho(g_n) = \beta$ for every $n \geq 0$. Let h_i^n be the uniformizations $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\Omega_n^i}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and i in $\{1, 2\}$. From the definition of K_1 , the sequence $\{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\Omega_n^i}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is kernel convergent to $\nabla(\{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\Omega_n^i}\}_{n \geq 0}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_i$, for i in $\{1, 2\}$. This implies that the holomorphic diffeomorphisms g_n are defined simultaneously in some annular neighborhood A of \mathbb{T}^1 for every n large enough. Let $C \subset A$ be a curve, which is homotopic and exterior to \mathbb{T}^1 . Let C' be its reflection which respect to \mathbb{T}^1 . The Kernel Carathodory's theorem gives

$$g_n|_C = (h_1^n)^{-1} \circ f \circ h_1^n|_C \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} g|_C = h_1 \circ f \circ h_1$$

uniformly on C . We have the same result on C' , and by the maximum principle applied to the compact annulus A' , bounded by C and C' , we have $g_n|_{A'} \rightarrow g|_{A'}$ uniformly. Therefore we get that $\varrho(g) = \beta$ since

$\varrho(g_n) = \beta$ for all $n \geq 0$ ■

The global linearization theorem for analytic circle diffeomorphisms (see [3], [17]) implies thus that g is linearizable in an annular neighborhood of \mathbb{T}^1 . If $S(f)$ was relatively compact in U_{12} , we would get a larger linearization domain in U_{12} by gluing K_1 to the image of h_1 of a small g -invariant neighborhood of \mathbb{T}^1 . As $S(f)$ was the maximal linearization domain, we must have $\partial S(f)$ meets $\partial U_{12} \subset (\partial U_1 \cup f_1^{-1}(\partial U_2))$. Thus, either K_1 meets ∂U_1 or K_2 meets ∂U_2 (or both). We have showed

Proposition 4.12 *Let (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) be a good chain for the open sets (U_1, \dots, U_p) . Assume the sum of the rotation numbers $\beta = \sum_i \beta_i$ verifies a diophantine condition and let $S(f) \subset U_1$ be the maximal linearisation domain for $f = f_p \circ \dots \circ f_1$ in $U_1 \cap f_1^{-1}(U_2) \cap \dots \cap (f_{p-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1)^{-1}(U_p)$. We consider the compact, connected full sets containing the origin given by $K_1 = \widehat{S(f)}$, $K_2 = f_1(K_1), \dots, K_p = f_{p-1}(K_{p-1})$. There exists an index i in $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ such that $K_i \cap \partial U_i \neq \emptyset$.*

In the following paragraphs we want to eliminate the arithmetical hypothesis on f . We will show indeed

Proposition 4.13 *Let (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) be a good chain for the open sets (U_1, \dots, U_p) . There exists compact, connected full sets containing the origin $K_1 \subset \overline{U_1}, K_2 \subset \overline{U_2}, \dots, K_p \subset \overline{U_p}$ verifying*

$$f_i(K_i) = K_{i+1} \quad , \quad f_i^{-1}(K_{i+1}) = K_i$$

for all i in $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, and an index i^* such that $K_{i^*} \cap \partial U_{i^*} \neq \emptyset$.

The proof of this proposition is obtained by showing that the conclusion is a dense and closed property in an adequate space. We will need some topology about compact sets.

Hausdorff distance. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \subset X$. We denote by $V_\varepsilon(A)$ the ε -neighborhood of A in X

$$V_\varepsilon(A) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$$

The Hausdorff distance between two non-empty compact sets K_1, K_2 contained in X is defined by

$$d_H(K_1, K_2) = \inf \{\varepsilon > 0 \mid K_1 \subset V_\varepsilon(K_2) \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 \subset V_\varepsilon(K_1)\}$$

The d_H is a distance on the space $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of compact non-empty subsets of X . Moreover, $(\mathcal{K}(X), d_H)$ is a compact metric space. The space $\mathcal{K}_c(X)$ of connected, non-empty compact sets is a compact subspace of $\mathcal{K}(X)$. Let (Y, d') be another compact metric space. We denote by $C^0(X, Y)$ the space of continuous functions from X to Y and we endow it with the topology of the uniform convergence.

Lemma 4.14 (see [11]) *The application*

$$\begin{aligned} C^0(X, Y) \times \mathcal{K}(X) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y) \\ (f, K) &\longmapsto f(K) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous ■

Lemma 4.15 *Let $\{A^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of compact sets of X and A be a compact set such that $A^n \rightarrow A$ in the Hausdorff distance. Let $x \in X$. There exists a sequence $x_n \in A^n$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ iff $x \in A$ ■*

Lemma 4.16 *Let $A \subset \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set, whose fibers are connected. Let \widehat{A}_θ be the filling of the fiber A_θ , for every $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^1$. The set $\widehat{A} = \bigcup_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}^1} \{\theta\} \times \widehat{A}_\theta$ is compact.*

Proof. We must to show that \widehat{A} is closed. Otherwise, suppose $(\theta_n, z_n) \in \{\theta_n\} \times \widehat{A}_{\theta_n}$ is a sequence converging to a point $(\theta, z) \notin \{\theta\} \times \widehat{A}_\theta$. The set \widehat{A}_θ is a compact full set, therefore there exists a continuous path $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ which joints z to ∞ and that does not touches \widehat{A}_θ . Define a sequence of continuous paths γ_n joining z_n to ∞ by $\gamma_n = [z_n, z] \cup \gamma$. We have $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ in the Hausdorff topology on $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Since $z_n \in \widehat{A}_{\theta_n}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a point $\tilde{z}_n \in A_{\theta_n} \cap \gamma_n$. Choose a sub-sequence $\{\tilde{z}_{n_i}\}_{i \geq 0}$ and a point \tilde{z} such that

$\tilde{z}_{n_i} \rightarrow \tilde{z}$. Thus $\tilde{z} \in A_\theta \cap \gamma$, but $\gamma \cap \widehat{A}_\theta = \emptyset$, a contradiction \blacksquare

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all the p -tuples (f_1, \dots, f_p) verifying the hypothesis of proposition 4.13 for the open sets (U_1, \dots, U_p) . We embed \mathcal{F} in the product space

$$C(\overline{U_1}, \mathbb{C}) \times C(\overline{U_2}, \mathbb{C}) \times C(\overline{U_3}, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times C(\overline{U_p}, \mathbb{C}) \times C(\overline{U_1}, \mathbb{C})$$

by the inclusion

$$(f_1, \dots, f_p) \mapsto (f_1, f_1^{-1}, f_2, f_2^{-1}, \dots, f_p, f_p^{-1}).$$

The topology on \mathcal{F} is the induced topology from the uniform convergence topology in the product.

Lemma 4.17 *The set of p -tuples in \mathcal{F} verifying the conclusions of proposition 4.13 is closed.*

Proof. Let $\{(f_1^n, \dots, f_p^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of p -tuples in \mathcal{F} verifying the conclusions of proposition 4.13 and converging to the p -tuple (f_1, \dots, f_p) in \mathcal{F} . So, there exists a sequence of connected full compact sets containing the origin $\{K_i^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{K}_c(\overline{U_i})$, $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^n(K_i^n) &= K_{i+1}^n \\ (f_i^n)^{-1}(K_{i+1}^n) &= K_i^n. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all $n \geq 0$ one has $K_i^n \cap \partial U_i \neq \emptyset$ for at least one integer $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Since $\mathcal{K}_c(\overline{U_i})$ is compact for every i , passing to a subsequence if necessary, we get compact connected sets \tilde{K}_i in $\mathcal{K}_c(\overline{U_i})$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ verifying for every i that

$$K_i^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{K}_i$$

in the Hausdorff distance. Furthermore, there exists an index i^* in $\{1, \dots, p\}$ such that $K_{i^*}^n \cap \partial U_{i^*} \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely many values of n and therefore $\tilde{K}_{i^*} \cap \partial U_{i^*} \neq \emptyset$. In the limit we have $f_i(\tilde{K}_i) = \tilde{K}_{i+1}$ and $f_i^{-1}(\tilde{K}_{i+1}) = \tilde{K}_i$ for all i in $\{1, \dots, p\}$, mod p . The set \tilde{K}_1 must be contained in the connected component of the origin in $\overline{U_1} \cap f_1^{-1}(\overline{U_2}) \cap \dots \cap (f_{p-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1)^{-1}(\overline{U_p})$, which is the closure of a Jordan domain, and similarly for \tilde{K}_i . Therefore, the filled-in sets $K_i = \widehat{\tilde{K}_i}$ verify the conclusions of proposition 4.13 for the p -tuple (f_1, \dots, f_p) \blacksquare

Now we can conclude the proof of proposition 4.13. If (f_1, \dots, f_p) belongs to \mathcal{F} , and has multipliers $(e^{2\pi i \beta_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \beta_p})$, for i in $\{1, \dots, p\}$, there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{\eta_n^i\}_{n \geq 0}$ converging to zero such that the $\sum_i (\beta_i + \eta_n^i)$ is a real number verifying a diophantine condition for all $n \geq 0$. The p -tuple

$$(e^{2\pi i \eta_n^1} f_1, \dots, e^{2\pi i \eta_n^p} f_p)$$

belongs to \mathcal{F} for n large enough and converges to (f_1, \dots, f_p) . Moreover, they verify the conclusions of proposition 4.13 by proposition 4.12. The precedent lemma allows us to conclude \blacksquare

Remark 4.18 *Proposition 4.13 can be obtained in an alternative way as a direct application of the Pérez-Marco's theorem (which corresponds to the case $p = 1$). Indeed, suppose $p = 2$. Under the hypothesis of the proposition, the composition $f = f_2 \circ f_1$ and its inverse $f^{-1} = f_1^{-1} \circ f_2^{-1}$ are well defined and univalent in a neighborhood of the set*

$$\mathcal{V} = \odot \left\{ U_1 \cap f_1^{-1}(U_2) \cap (f_2^{-1})^{-1}(U_2) \right\},$$

where \odot denote the connected component containing the origin. Then \mathcal{V} is a Jordan domain and the Pérez-Marco's theorem applies. We have thus a connected, compact set K containing the origin, completely invariant by f , touching the boundary of \mathcal{V} . Suppose for instance that $K \cap \partial f_1^{-1}(U_2) \neq \emptyset$. The sets $K_1 = K$, $K_2 = f_1(K)$ satisfy the inclusions properties and $K_2 \cap \partial U_2 \neq \emptyset$ \blacksquare

We will show theorem 3.3 as a consequence of proposition 4.13. The actual proof is done in a very analogous way to the proof of proposition 4.13 (and also very analogous to the original proof of Pérez-Marco's theorem). We will allow fhd 's having a base rotation vector different of α on the torus \mathbb{T}^d (in

fact, a rational rotation vector). We recall that the invariant curve is supposed to be the zero section curve $u = \{z \equiv 0\}_{\mathbb{T}^d}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the set of all *fhd* F (with free rotation vector over \mathbb{T}^d), having the zero section as an invariant curve and such that F, F^{-1} define injective *fhd*'s in a neighborhood of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$. Thus, if a *fhd* F belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$ then it has the form

$$F(\theta, z) = (\theta + \alpha_F, \rho_1(\theta)z + z^2\rho(\theta, z)), \quad (9)$$

where α_F is a vector in \mathbb{T}^d , ρ_1 is a non-vanishing continuous function and ρ is a continuous function holomorphic in each fiber. We endow this set with the topology of the uniform convergence of F and F^{-1} on $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$.

Lemma 4.19 *The set of fhd's in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$ verifying the conclusions of theorem 3.3 is closed.*

Proof. Let $\{F_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$ whose elements verify the conclusions of theorem 3.3. We suppose the sequence converges to the *fhd* F . Let $\{K^i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be an associated sequence of compact connected sets verifying the conclusions of the theorem. For every $i \geq 0$ the invariant curve is included in the compact set K^i , and there exist a point (θ_i, z_i) in $K^i \cap \partial\mathcal{U}$. The set $\partial\mathcal{U}$ is a compact set and the space $\mathcal{K}_c(\bar{\mathcal{U}})$, formed by all connected compact sets of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$, is also compact. Considering a subsequence if necessary, there exists a connected compact set $K \subset \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ containing the invariant curve and a point $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{z})$ such that

$$d_H(K^i, K) < \frac{1}{i} \quad (10)$$

$$(\theta_i, z_i) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{z}) \in K \cap \partial\mathcal{U}. \quad (11)$$

Lemma 4.14 implies that K is completely invariant. Let's show the fibers K_θ are connected compact sets. We will use the following notation: let $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \subset \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ a compact set. We define a compact set $A_{[\tilde{\theta}; \varepsilon]}$ by

$$A_{[\tilde{\theta}; \varepsilon]} = \bigcup_{|\theta - \tilde{\theta}| \leq \varepsilon} \{\theta\} \times A_\theta.$$

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$. Inequality (10) implies

$$\{\theta\} \times K_\theta \subset V_{\frac{1}{i}}(K_{[\theta; \frac{1}{i}]}) \quad (12)$$

$$K_{[\theta; \frac{1}{i}]} \subset V_{\frac{1}{i}}(K_{[\theta; \frac{2}{i}]}). \quad (13)$$

The sets $K_{[\theta; \frac{1}{i}]}$ are compact connected sets, therefore there exists a subsequence $\{K_{[\theta; \frac{1}{i_n}]}^{i_n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ converging in the Hausdorff topology to a connected compact set $\{\theta\} \times \tilde{K}_\theta$. We will show that $K_\theta = \tilde{K}_\theta$. From (12), we have that $K_\theta \subset \tilde{K}_\theta$. Conversely, let $z \in \tilde{K}_\theta$. There exists a sequence $(\theta_{i_n}, z_{i_n}) \in K_{[\theta_{i_n}; \frac{1}{i_n}]}$ such that $\theta_{i_n} \rightarrow \theta$ and $z_{i_n} \rightarrow z$. From (13) we obtain a sequence $(\tilde{\theta}_n, \tilde{z}_n) \in K_{[\theta_{i_n}; \frac{2}{i_n}]}$ such that $|(\tilde{\theta}_n, \tilde{z}_n) - (\theta_{i_n}, z_{i_n})| < \frac{1}{i_n}$. Therefore $(\tilde{\theta}_n, \tilde{z}_n)$ converges to (θ, z) and $z \in K_\theta$. Finally, the compact set \hat{K} , obtained filling the fibers of K as defined in lemma 4.16, is still completely invariant and verifies all the conclusions of theorem 3.3 ■

The proof of theorem 3.3 follows from

Lemma 4.20 *Let F be a fhd verifying the hypothesis of theorem 3.3. There exists a sequence in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$ converging to F , such that its elements verify the conclusions of theorem 3.3.*

Proof. We write F as in (9). Let $\{l_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of zero-mean trigonometric polynomials, converging uniformly to the function $\log |\rho_1|$ (Féjér's theorem). Since α is a rationally independent vector we can pick a sequence of vectors α_n with rational components $(p_n/q_n)_{1, \dots, d}$ converging to α . Furthermore, we may assume that this sequence verifies that the denominators $(q_n)_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ are different prime numbers bigger than the degree of the trigonometric polynomial l_n , for every $n \geq 0$. We put

$$\rho_1^n = \frac{\rho_1}{|\rho_1|} e^{l_n}. \quad (14)$$

These functions converge uniformly to ρ_1 . We define a sequence of *fhds* $\{F_n\}_{n>0}$ by

$$F_n(\theta, z) = \left(\theta + \alpha_n, \rho_1^n(\theta)z + z^2\rho(\theta, z) \right). \quad (15)$$

For every n large enough the transformation F_n belongs to \mathcal{F}_U and moreover F_n converges to F . We can solve the cohomological equation

$$\tilde{u}_1^n(\theta + \alpha_n) - \tilde{u}_1^n(\theta) = l_n(\theta) \quad (16)$$

since the conditions over the denominators avoids to fall in a resonance using the Fourier coefficients method. We put $u_1^n = \exp(\tilde{u}_1^n)$. This function satisfies

$$\frac{u_1^n(\theta + \alpha_n)}{u_1^n(\theta)} = e^{l_n(\theta)}. \quad (17)$$

The change of coordinates $\tilde{F}_n = H_n^{-1} \circ F_n \circ H_n$, with

$$H_n(\theta, z) = (\theta, u_1^n(\theta)z)$$

gives us the following normal form for F_n

$$\tilde{F}_n(\theta, z) = \left(\theta + \alpha_n, \tilde{\rho}_1^n(\theta)z + z^2\tilde{\rho}^n(\theta, z) \right),$$

with $|\tilde{\rho}_1^n(\theta)| = 1$ for every θ in \mathbb{T}^1 . We put $\mathcal{U}^n = H_n^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$. Let $\tilde{\theta}$ in \mathbb{T}^d and $Q_n = \prod_1^d (q_n)_j$. We consider the holomorphic transformations

$$\gamma_i^n(z) = \tilde{f}_n(\tilde{\theta} + i\alpha_n, z)$$

for i in $\{0, \dots, Q_n - 1\}$. Thus, the Q_n -tuple $(\gamma_0^n, \dots, \gamma_{Q_n-1}^n)$ is a good chain for the fibers $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}+i\alpha_n}^n$ respectively. Applying proposition 4.13 we get connected, full and compact sets $K_i^n \subset \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}+i\alpha_n}^n$, $i \in \{0, \dots, Q_n - 1\}$, containing the origin and verifying

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i^n(K_i^n) &= K_{i+1}^n \\ (\gamma_{i+1}^n)^{-1} &= K_i^n. \end{aligned}$$

We also have an index $i = i_n$ in $\{0, \dots, Q_n - 1\}$ such that $K_{i_n}^n \cap \partial\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta}+i\alpha_n}^n \neq \emptyset$. The set

$$K^n = H_n \left(\{z \equiv 0\}_{\mathbb{T}^1} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{Q_n-1} \{\tilde{\theta} + j\alpha_n\} \times K_j^n \right) \right)$$

verifies the conclusions of theorem 3.3 for F_n ■

Corolary 4.21 *Let F be a *fhds* and u be an indifferent invariant curve. Then there exists orbits, other to those situated on the curve, that are in past and future completely contained in a neighborhood of the curve. In fact, actually there exists such an orbit in any tubular neighborhood of the invariant curve.*

Proof. As usual we suppose $u = \{z \equiv 0\}_{\mathbb{T}^d}$ and $F(\theta, z) = (\theta + \alpha, \rho_1(\theta)z + z^2\rho(\theta, z))$, with $\rho_1(\theta) \neq 0$ for every θ in \mathbb{T}^d . There exists a constant $C > 1$ such that $C > |\rho_1(\theta)| > C^{-1}$ for every θ in \mathbb{T}^d . Applying lemma 4.1 we get an uniform positive radius \bar{r} such that f_θ and f_θ^{-1} are well defined and univalent in some neighborhood of $\overline{D_r}$ for every $r \in (0, \bar{r}]$. Taking $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{T}^d \times D_r$, theorem 3.3 applies ■

Question. We had showed that the invariant continua K has at least (and so infinitely many) one fiber that is different of the origin alone. One can ask if this property holds for every fiber. We conjecture that this is not always the case and that there should exists examples where singular fibers (reduced to the origin alone) arise.

References

- [1] P. Le Calvez and J.-C. Yoccoz. Un théorème d'indice pour homeomorphismes du plan au voisinage d'un point fixe. *Ann. Math.*, (146):241–293, 1997.
- [2] L. Carleson and T. Gamelin. *Complex Dynamics*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [3] M. Herman. Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, 49:5–233, 1979.
- [4] M. Herman. Une méthode pour minorer les exposants de Lyapounov et quelques exemples montrant le caractère local d'un théorème d'arnold et de moser sur le tore de dimension 2. *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, 58:453–502, 1983.
- [5] T. Jäger and G. Keller. The Denjoy type of arguments for quasiperiodically forced circle diffeomorphisms. *Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys.*, 26:447–465, 2006.
- [6] T. Jäger and J. Stark. Towards a classification for quasiperiodically forced circle homeomorphisms. *Journal of the LMS*, 73(3):727–744, 2006.
- [7] M. Jonsson. Dynamics of polynomial skew products on C^2 . *Math. Annalen*, 314:403–447, 1999.
- [8] M. Jonsson. Ergodic properties of fibered rational maps. *Ark. Mat.*, 38:281–317, 2000.
- [9] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*, volume 54 of *Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [10] B. Kerekjarto. *Topologie*, (1), 1923.
- [11] R. Pérez-Marco. Fixed points and circle maps. *Acta Math.*, 179:243–294, 1997.
- [12] C. Pommerenke. *Univalent functions*. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975. With a chapter on quadratic differentials by Gerd Jensen, *Studia Mathematica/Mathematische Lehrbücher*, Band XXV.
- [13] M. Ponce. *Courbes invariantes pour des dynamiques holomorphes fibrées*. PhD thesis, Université Paris-Sud, 2007.
- [14] O. Sester. *Étude dynamique des polynômes fibrés*. PhD thesis, Université de Paris-Sud, 1997.
- [15] O. Sester. Hyperbolicité des polynômes fibrés. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 127(3):393–428, 1999.
- [16] C.L. Siegel. Iteration of analytic functions. *Ann. of Math.*, 43:807–812, 1942.
- [17] J.-C. Yoccoz. Analytic linearization of circle diffeomorphisms. In *Dynamical systems and small divisors (Cetraro, 1998)*, volume 1784 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 125–173. Springer, Berlin, 2002.