

Torsional shear oscillations in the neutron star crust driven by restoring force of elastic stresses

S. I. Bastrukov,^{1,2} H.-K. Chang,¹ J. Takata,³ G.-T. Chen¹ and I. V. Molodtsova²

¹ *Department of Physics and Institute of Astronomy, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, 30013, Taiwan*

² *Laboratory of Informational Technologies, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

³ *ASIAA/National Tsing Hua University - TIARA, Hsinchu, 30013, Taiwan*

21 February 2019

ABSTRACT

We present several examples of exact solution of the eigenfrequency problem for elastic torsional vibrations in the homogeneous and non-homogeneous models of the neutron star crust. Particular attention is given to the large lengthscale regime of nodeless axisymmetric differentially rotational vibrations, presumably about magnetic axis of the star. Highlighted is the distinction between analytic forms and numerical estimates for the frequency, computed as a function of multipole degree of nodeless torsional oscillations and fractional depth of peripheral seismogenic layer, caused by different boundary conditions imposed on the toroidal field of material displacements being the general solution to equation of Newtonian elastodynamics in the spherical polar coordinates. The relevance of considered models to quasiperiodic oscillations recently detected during the flare of SGR 1806-20 and SGR 1900+14 is discussed.

Key words: stars: neutron – stars: oscillations – stars.

1 INTRODUCTION

Ever since identification of pulsars with neutron stars, the non-radial torsional shear oscillations restored by different in physical nature forces of internal stresses have been and still are among the most important issues in the study of pulsar asteroseismology (e.g. Ruderman 1969, van Horn 1980; Hansen and Cioffi 1980; Schumaker and Torn 1983; McDermott, van Horn & Hansen 1988, Strohmayer 1991; Bastrukov, Papoyan, Molodtsova, Podgainsky, 1997;

Bastrukov, Weber, & Podgainsy, 1999; Messios, Papadopoulos, Stergioulas 2001; Bastrukov, Podgainsy, Yang & Weber, 2002; Bastrukov, Chang, Mişicu Ş, Molodtsova & Podgainsy 2007). Recently, an increasing interest to this domain of research has been prompted by the discovery of quasiperiodic oscillations (QPOs) on the lightcurve tail of SGR 1806-20 (Izrael et al 2005) and SGR 1900+14 (Strohmayer & Watts 2005; 2006) and suggestion that detected variability can be explained as set by quake induced torsional shear oscillations (Piro 2005, Glampedakis, Samuelsson & Andersson 2006, Watts & Reddy 2007, Lee 2007, Watts & Strohmayer 2007; Levin 2007; Sotani, Kokkotas, & Stergioulas 2007; Samuelssen & Andersson 2007; Vavoulidis, Stavridis, Kokkotas, & Beyer, H. 2007). An extensive survey of observational data and theoretical works devoted to this issue can be found in (Watts and Strohmayer 2007).

Motivated by the above interest, we focus here on one important, from viewpoint of mathematical physics, issue of the eigenfrequency problem for the torsional mode of non-radial shear differentially rotational oscillations governed by equation of Newtonian solid mechanics with restoring force of Hookean elastic stresses and trapped in the peripheral seismogenic layer of neutron star. Emphasis is laid on the regime of large lengthscale nodeless axisymmetric differentially rotational oscillations, presumably about the magnetic axis of the star, which are considered within the framework of homogeneous and non-homogeneous models of the neutron star crust. The main purpose is to elucidate the distinctions between spectral formulae and numerical values for the frequency of nodeless torsional mode caused by different boundary condition for the toroidal field of material displacements which are imposed at edges of seismogenic layer (the core-crust interface and the star surface) of the quaking neutron star. These frequency spectra are derived from exact dispersion equations of the standing wave regime of torsional oscillations and presented in analytic form convenient for application to more wider class of celestial objects capable of sustaining torsional shear oscillations driven by restoring force of shear elasticity.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Sec.2, a brief outline is given of governing elastodynamical equation, underlying standard core-crust model of quaking neutron star with homogeneous crust, with emphasis on general solution to the Helmholtz equation for toroidal field of material displacements describing standing-wave regime of torsional oscillations in the spherical polar coordinates relevant to real geometry of the neutron star crust. Also, we regain here the frequency spectrum for global torsional elastic mode in the entire volume of homogeneous solid star model that has been obtained in our previous investigations by

Rayleigh energy method. In Sec.3, we derive two exact dispersion equations for the standing-wave regime of torsional shear vibrations under different boundary conditions at the core-crust interface and the star surface. Particular attention is given to the long wavelength limit of obtained dispersion equations, corresponding to the regime of nodeless differentially rotational oscillations. Detailed analytic derivation of asymptotic spectral formulas for the frequency of nodeless torsional modes trapped in the crust is presented followed by numerical analysis of the obtained frequency spectra. In Sec.4, we compare the frequency spectra for nodeless torsional vibration computed from homogeneous and non-homogeneous models of the crustal matter by use of general solution to Laplace equation for nodeless torsional oscillations and identical boundary conditions. The obtained results are summarized in Sec.5.

2 GENERAL BACKGROUND

In two component model of quaking neutron star (Franco, Link & Epstein 2000), its interior is thought of as composed of dense core (in which self-gravity is brought to equilibrium by the degeneracy pressure of baryon, neutron-dominated, matter) covered by highly conducting metal-like crustal matter possessing mechanical property of elastically deformable solid. The microscopic composition of crust matter is dominated by nuclei (basically of iron, $^{56}_{26}\text{Fe}$) dispersed in homogeneous Fermi-gas of relativistic electrons. The gravitational stability of the crust is supported by degeneracy pressure p_e of homogeneous Fermi-gas of relativistic electrons related with the shear modulus of crustal matter as $\mu = \kappa(\rho)p_e$ (Blaes, Blandford, Madau, Koonin, 1990) with fiducial value of ratio¹ $\mu/p_e = 10^{-2}$ (Strohmayer et al 1991; Cutler, Ushomirsky, Link 2003). It is presumed that in the approximation of continuous medium, the linear regime of quake induced fluctuations of crustal matter can be properly modeled by equation of solid mechanics for solenoidal field of material displacement u_i (e.g. Graff 1991; Lapwood & Usami 1981; Aki & Richards 2003)

$$\rho \ddot{u}_i = \nabla_k \sigma_{ik} \quad \sigma_{ik} = 2\mu u_{ik} \quad (1)$$

$$u_{ik} = \frac{1}{2}[\nabla_i u_k + \nabla_k u_i] \quad u_{kk} = \nabla_k u_k = 0 \quad (2)$$

¹ In this connection, it may be appropriate to note that the Local Density Approximation of microscopic theory of metals developed over the past three decades leads to the conclusion that transport coefficients of solid-mechanical elasticity, like bulk modulus and shear modulus μ are proportional to the pressure of degenerate Fermi-gas of conducting electrons p_e squeezed between ions (Maruzzi, Janak & Williams 1978). The fact that crustal matter possesses metal-like properties supports currently used parametrization for shear modulus as $\mu = k(\rho) p_e$.

expressing the second law of Newtonian elastodynamics (McDermott, Van Horn & Hansen 1988). The restoring force is determined as divergence of elastic shear stresses σ_{ik} related to shear strains u_{ik} by Hooke's laws implying that shear deformations are not accompanied by fluctuations in the density: $\delta\rho = -\rho u_{kk} = 0$. Consider homogeneous model of crustal matter with constant density ρ and shear modulus μ (e.g. Franco, Link & Epstein 2000). On substituting of σ_{ik} in the equation of elastodynamics one has

$$\ddot{\mathbf{u}} - c_t^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad c_t^2 = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \quad (3)$$

This equation describes transverse wave of elastic shear traveling with the speed, c_t , less than the speed of acoustic wave. The extensive study of acoustic progressive waves in the neutron star crust on the basis of equations of solid mechanics can be found elsewhere (e.g. Epstein 1988; Blaes, Blandford, Madau & Koonin 1990; Bildsten & Cutler 1995). For harmonic in time fluctuations of material displacements

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) \alpha(t) \quad \alpha(t) = \alpha_0 \exp(i\omega t) \quad (4)$$

substitution (4) in (3) leads to the vector Helmholtz equation for the standing wave of elastic shear

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + k^2 \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad k^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c_t^2} \quad (5)$$

All the below presented calculations are carried out in the spherical coordinates with fixed polar axis z , in which the general solution to (5) describing axisymmetric differentially rotational oscillations of the material displacement \mathbf{u} preserving the spherical shape of star is given by the toroidal vector field (e.g. Chandrasekhar 1961) which can be conveniently represented in the form

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{r}U(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla U(\mathbf{r}, t) \times \mathbf{r} \quad U(\mathbf{r}, t) = f_\ell(kr) P_\ell(\cos\theta) \exp(i\omega t) \quad (6)$$

$$f_\ell(kr) = [A_\ell j_\ell(kr) + B_\ell n_\ell(kr)]. \quad (7)$$

Hereafter by $j_\ell(kr)$ and $n_\ell(kr)$ are denoted the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions, respectively, and by $P_\ell(\cos\theta)$ the Legendre polynomial of multipole degree ℓ (Abramowitz & Stegun 1964). The components of \mathbf{u} are given by

$$u_r = 0, \quad u_\theta = 0, \quad u_\phi = f_\ell(kr) P_\ell^1(\zeta) \exp(i\omega t) \quad (8)$$

$$P_\ell^1(\zeta) = (1 - \zeta^2)^{1/2} \frac{dP_\ell(\zeta)}{d\zeta} \quad \zeta = \cos\theta. \quad (9)$$

Function f_ℓ obey the following recurrence relations

$$\frac{df_\ell}{dz} = f_{\ell-1} - \frac{\ell+1}{z}f_\ell \quad f_{\ell-1} + f_{\ell+1} = \frac{2\ell+1}{z}f_\ell \quad (10)$$

$$\ell f_{\ell-1} - (\ell+1)f_{\ell+1} = (2\ell+1)\frac{df_\ell}{dz} \quad z = rR. \quad (11)$$

that holds for both $j_\ell(kr)$ and $n_\ell(kr)$ which in the long wavelength limit are approximated by

$$j_\ell(z) \approx \frac{z^\ell}{(2\ell+1)!!} \quad j_{\ell+1}(z) = \frac{z}{2\ell+3}j_\ell(z) \quad (12)$$

$$n_\ell(z) \approx -\frac{(2\ell-1)!!}{z^{\ell+1}} \quad n_{\ell+1}(z) = \frac{2\ell+1}{z}n_\ell(z). \quad (13)$$

As a first step, we consider torsional oscillations in the entire volume of homogeneous neutron star model. This is the case when singular in origin solution for the displacement field must be excluded by putting $B_\ell = 0$. Then, one has

$$u_r = 0, \quad u_\theta = 0, \quad u_\phi = A_\ell j_\ell(kr) P_\ell^1(\zeta) \exp(i\omega t). \quad (14)$$

The standard boundary condition of stress free surface $n_k \sigma_{ik}|_{r=R} = 0$, where n_k are components of the unit vector normal to the star surface leads to

$$n_r \sigma_{r\phi}|_{r=R} = \mu \left[\frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial r} - \frac{u_\phi}{r} \right]_{r=R} = 0 \rightarrow \quad (15)$$

$$\left[\frac{dj_\ell(z)}{dz} - \frac{j_\ell(z)}{z} \right] = \left[j_{\ell+1}(z) - \frac{j_\ell(z)}{z}(\ell-1) \right] = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$z = kR = (\omega/c_t)R. \quad (17)$$

To integrate this transcendent equation one must have recourse to numerical methods. In what follows we focus, however, on the long wavelength limit of the last equation, when $z \ll 1$. In this limit the latter dispersion equation is reduced, with help of approximate formulae (12) and (13), to simple algebraic relation

$$z^2 - (2\ell+3)(\ell-1) = 0 \quad z^2 = k^2 R^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c_t^2} R^2. \quad (18)$$

Thus, it follows that the frequency spectrum of global long wavelength torsional modes in a homogeneous solid star model is given by (Bastrukov et al 2007)

$$\omega^2({}_0t_\ell) = \omega_0^2[(2\ell+3)(\ell-1)] \quad \omega_0^2 = \frac{c_t^2}{R^2} \quad c_t^2 = \frac{\mu}{\rho}. \quad (19)$$

The fluctuating material displacements in the long wavelength limit are described characterized by toroidal field

$$u_r = 0, \quad u_\theta = 0, \quad u_\phi = A_\ell r^\ell P_\ell^1(\zeta) \exp(i\omega t) \quad (20)$$




Figure 1. The artist view of nodeless toroidal field on material displacements in the neutron star undergoing global torsional oscillations in quadrupole and quadrupole overtones.

showing that this field has no nodes in the star volume, that is, in the interval $0 < r < R$. From this the term nodeless torsional shear vibrations is derived and pictured in Fig.1. (see, also, Bastrukov et al 2002).

Equation (19) can be represented in the following equivalent form

$$\frac{\nu^2({}_0t_\ell)}{\nu_0^2} = 2(\ell + 2)(\ell - 1) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2(\ell + 2)} \right] \quad (21)$$

$$\nu({}_0t_\ell) = \frac{\omega({}_0t_\ell)}{2\pi} \quad \nu_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \quad (22)$$

showing that at large values of multipole degree ℓ , it can be approximated by equation

$$\frac{\nu({}_0t_\ell)}{\nu_0} \approx [2(\ell + 2)(\ell - 1)]^{1/2} \quad \ell \gg 1.$$

For $\ell \geq 20$ the last equation yields practically the same numerical values of ν/ν_0 as it does the exact spectral formula (21). In the following sections it is implied $\nu({}_0t_\ell) = \nu$.

3 TORSIONAL ELASTIC VIBRATIONS IN THE HOMOGENEOUS MODEL OF THE NEUTRON STAR CRUST

In this section we consider two examples demonstrating distinctions in dispersion relations and spectral formulas for the frequency of torsion vibration mode computed in the homogeneous model of the neutron star crust under different boundary conditions imposed on the

toroidal field of differentially rotational oscillations trapped in the peripheral seismogenic layer.

3.1 No-slip boundary condition on the core-crust interface and no-stress on the star surface

Torsional oscillations trapped in the crust are described by the general solution for u_i given by equation (6). To eliminate arbitrary constants A_ℓ and B_ℓ , two boundary conditions, one on the core-crust interface, at $r = R_c$, and second on the star surface, at $r = R$ must be used.

On the star surface we impose the standard boundary condition of the absence of stresses normal to surface $n_k \sigma_{ik}|_{r=R} = 0$

$$\mu \left[\frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial r} - \frac{u_\phi}{r} \right]_{r=R} = 0 \quad (23)$$

and on the core-layer interface the "no-slip" condition (e.g. Bildsten & Ushomirsky 2000)

$$u_\phi|_{r=R_c} = 0. \quad (24)$$

On inserting (8) in (23) and (24) we obtain

$$\left[f_{\ell+1}(z) - \frac{f_\ell(z)}{z}(\ell - 1) \right] = 0 \quad z = kR \quad (25)$$

$$f_\ell(z_c) = 0 \quad z_c = \lambda z \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \quad (26)$$

Note, the notation $z_c = \lambda z$ means that the radius of the core R_c can be represented as $R_c = \lambda R$ with λ from the interval $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. It is worth emphasizing that λ is strongly less than unit. In terms of Bessel and Neumann functions these latter boundary conditions read

$$A_\ell \left[j_{\ell+1}(z) - \frac{j_\ell(z)}{z}(\ell - 1) \right] + B_\ell \left[n_{\ell+1}(z) - \frac{n_\ell(z)}{z}(\ell - 1) \right] = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$A_\ell j_\ell(\lambda z) + B_\ell n_\ell(\lambda z) = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$z = kR \quad \lambda z = kR_c \quad R_c = \lambda R. \quad (29)$$

Casting these equations as homogeneous matrix equation whose Wronskian must be equal zero we arrive at the dispersion equation of the form

$$W(z) = \left[j_{\ell+1}(z) - \frac{j_\ell(z)}{z}(\ell - 1) \right] n_\ell(\lambda z) - \left[n_{\ell+1}(z) - \frac{n_\ell(z)}{z}(\ell - 1) \right] j_\ell(\lambda z) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Computations of roots of this transcendent equation in which spherical Bessel and Neumann functions are defined in different points is non-trivial numerical problem (e.g. Pexton & Stiger 1977). However, our prime purpose here is to evaluate the frequency spectrum for long wavelength differentially rotational oscillations, when $z = kR \ll 1$. Taking into account

that in the long wavelength limit

$$j_\ell(z)n_\ell(\lambda z) = \beta \lambda^{-(\ell+1)} \quad j_\ell(\lambda z)n_\ell(z) = \beta \lambda^\ell \quad (31)$$

$$\beta = -z^{-1} \frac{(2\ell - 1)!!}{(2\ell + 1)!!} \quad (32)$$

one finds that exact dispersion equation (30) is replaced by

$$z^2 = (2\ell + 3)[(\ell - 1) + (\ell + 2)\lambda^{2\ell+1}] \quad (33)$$

$$z^2 = k^2 R^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c_t^2} R^2 \quad (34)$$

and, finally we obtain

$$\frac{\nu_1^2}{\nu_0^2} = (2\ell + 3)(\ell - 1) \left[1 + \frac{\ell + 2}{\ell - 1} \lambda^{2\ell+1} \right] \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1 \quad (35)$$

$$\nu_1 = \frac{\omega_1}{2\pi} \quad \nu_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \quad \lambda = \frac{R_c}{R} = 1 - h \quad h = \frac{\Delta R}{R}. \quad (36)$$

One sees that in the limit of zeroth radius of the core, $\lambda = (R_c/R) \rightarrow 0$, we regain spectral equation (19) for the frequency of global nodeless torsional vibrations of the a highly idealized homogeneous model of solid star. Hereafter the suffice in expressions for ν and ω denotes the example number of boundary condition. The considered in this subsection is marked by suffice 1, and two another conceivable boundary conditions are regarded in the reminder of this work.

3.2 Stress free boundary conditions on both core-crust interface and the neutron star surface

Now we adopt the boundary conditions of the free from stresses surfaces for both the surface of the star and the core-crust boundary $n_k \sigma_{ik}|_{r=R, R_c} = 0$:

$$n_r \sigma_{r\phi}|_{r=R, R_c} = \mu \left[\frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial r} - \frac{u_\phi}{r} \right]_{r=R, R_c} = 0 \quad (37)$$

which in terms of $f_\ell(z)$ are given by

$$\left[\frac{df_\ell(z)}{dz} - \frac{f_\ell(z)}{z} \right]_{z=kR} = 0 \quad (38)$$

$$\left[\frac{df_\ell(z_c)}{dz_c} - \frac{f_\ell(z_c)}{z_c} \right]_{z_c=\lambda kR} = 0 \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1. \quad (39)$$

For a non-vanishing solution of these equations to exist, the following dispersion equation

$$\left[j_{\ell+1}(z) - \frac{j_\ell(z)}{z}(\ell - 1) \right] \left[n_{\ell+1}(\lambda z) - \frac{n_\ell(\lambda z)}{\lambda z}(\ell - 1) \right] - \left[j_{\ell+1}(\lambda z) - \frac{j_\ell(\lambda z)}{\lambda z}(\ell - 1) \right] \left[n_{\ell+1}(z) - \frac{n_\ell(z)}{z}(\ell - 1) \right] = 0 \quad (40)$$




Figure 2. Fractional frequencies of nodeless torsional shear oscillations as functions of multipole degrees $1 < \ell < 20$ plotted at $h = \Delta R/R = 0.05, 0.1$ and 0.3 . ν/ν_0 for global nodeless torsional mode in entire volume of homogeneous neutron star model; ν_1/ν_0 and ν_2/ν_0 for the frequency of torsional modes trapped in the peripheral layer of homogenous model of crust computed with boundary conditions of first and second examples.

must hold. Following the line of argument of foregoing subsection, consider the limit of long wavelengths, $z = kR \ll 1$. This yields

$$\frac{\nu_2^2}{\nu_0^2} = (2\ell + 3)(\ell - 1) \left[\frac{1 + \lambda^{2\ell+1}}{1 - \lambda^{2\ell+3}} \right] \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1. \quad (41)$$

In the limit $\lambda = (R_c/R) \rightarrow 0$, the last equation is reduced to spectral formula (19) for the frequency of global torsional oscillations. Fig.2 illustrates the general trends of fractional frequencies - ν/ν_0 , ν_1/ν_0 and ν_2/ν_0 as functions of multipole degrees $1 < \ell < 20$ plotted at the values of fractional depth given by $h = \Delta R/R = 0.05, 0.1$ and 0.3 . The difference caused by boundary conditions is notable in the low- ℓ domain, when the depth of seismogenic layer $\Delta R = 0.5$ and 1.0 km and practically vanishes when the thickness of layer is about $\Delta R = 3.0$ km and larger. Generally, the thicker this depth the less difference.




Figure 3. Frequency of torsional nodeless vibrations computed in the homogeneous model of peripheral seismic layer of second example of this work in juxtaposition with Pekeris (1965) asymptotic spectral formula for torsional geoseismic vibrations.

At this point it seem worthy of noting that analogous, from mathematical side, problem of torsional vibration mode trapped in the peripheral solid layer has been considered long ago by Pekeris (1965) in the geoseismic context. The obtained in this latter work spectral formula with boundary conditions identical to considered in this subsection reads

$$\frac{\nu_p}{\nu_0} = [(\ell - 1)(\ell + 2)]^{1/2} p_\ell^{-1} \quad \ell \geq 10 \quad (42)$$

where dimensional quantity p_ℓ , has been numerically computed from integral equation establishing "relation between geometrical optics and terrestrial spectroscopy" and tabulated for $1 \geq \ell \geq 50$. Remarkably, that equation (41) can be represented in the following equivalent form

$$\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_0} = [(\ell + 2)(\ell - 1)]^{1/2} p_2^{-1} \quad (43)$$

$$p_2^{-1} = \left[2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(\ell + 2)} \right) \frac{1 + \lambda^{2\ell+1}}{1 - \lambda^{2\ell+3}} \right]^{1/2} \quad (44)$$

which in appearance is identical to the above Pekeris spectral formula. In Fig.3 we plot ν_p/ν_0 with use of data for p_ℓ taken from (Pekeris 1965, Table 2) as a function of ℓ in juxtaposition with our spectral formula (43) with fractional depth of seismogenic layer $h = 0.1$.

While equation (42) has been obtained in the model with non-uniform profile for the speed of transverse wave of elastic shear $c_t(r)$, this figure, in view of that, can be considered no more than juxtaposition, not a comparison, of our and Pekeris spectral equations whose similarity is clearly traced. This latter circumstance suggests that presented in this paper analysis can be utilized for assessing frequencies of torsional seismic vibration modes in the solid Earth-like planets too.

4 NODELESS TORSIONAL OSCILLATIONS IN HOMOGENEOUS AND NON-HOMOGENEOUS MODELS OF THE NEUTRON STAR CRUST

From above it follows that in the long wavelength limit the toroidal field of displacements (6)-(7) is reduced to the form that can be conveniently represented as follows

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) \alpha(t) \quad \alpha(t) = \alpha_0 \exp(i\omega t) \quad (45)$$

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \chi(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{r} \quad \chi(\mathbf{r}) = f_\ell(\mathbf{r}) P_\ell(\zeta) \quad (46)$$

$$f_\ell(\mathbf{r}) = [\mathcal{A}_\ell r^\ell + \mathcal{B}_\ell r^{-(\ell+1)}] \quad (47)$$

The toroidal field (45)-(47) is the general solution to the vector Laplace equation

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 \quad \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 \quad (48)$$

which in turn can too be thought of as the long wavelength limit of the Helmholtz equation $\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + k^2 \mathbf{u} = 0$, because in the limit of long wavelengths, $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the wave vector $k = (2\pi/\lambda) \rightarrow 0$. This suggests that the vector Laplace equation can be regarded as fundamental equation defining regime of nodeless shear vibrations ². The practical usefulness of this attitude is that it allows to asses the difference between predictions for the frequency spectra computed on equal footing within homogeneous and inhomogeneous models of the neutron star crust, that is regardless of form of density and shear modulus as functions of position in the star. Most efficiently it can be done by Rayleigh's energy method (Bastrukov et al 2007). The point of departure is the integral equation of energy conservation which is obtained from equation of elastodynamics (1) by its scalar multiplication with u_i and integration over the volume of seismogenic matter

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \frac{\rho \dot{u}^2}{2} d\mathcal{V} = - \int \sigma_{ik} \dot{u}_{ik} d\mathcal{V} = -2 \int \mu u_{ik} \dot{u}_{ik} d\mathcal{V}. \quad (49)$$

On inserting in (49), the separable form of the displacement field (45), we arrive at the set of well-known equations of normal vibrations for temporal amplitude $\alpha(t)$ oscillations

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = 0 \quad E = \frac{M \dot{\alpha}^2}{2} + \frac{K \alpha^2}{2} \quad (50)$$

$$\ddot{\alpha} + \omega^2 \alpha = 0 \quad \omega^2 = \frac{K}{M} \quad (51)$$

$$M = \int \rho a_i a_i d\mathcal{V} \quad K = \frac{1}{2} \int \mu a_{ik} a_{ik} d\mathcal{V} \quad a_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla_i a_k + \nabla_k a_i]. \quad (52)$$

² The axisymmetric, odd parity, toroidal vector field represents one of two fundamental mutually orthogonal and solutions to (45) describing nodeless torsional vibrations. The second fundamental solution is given by even parity poloidal vector field (Bastrukov et al 2007) which describe nodeless spheroidal vibration mode, in accord with canonical Lamb's classification of vibrational modes in an elastically deformable solid sphere (e.g. Lapwood & Usami 1981; Aki & Richards 2003).

The analytic form of the inertia M and stiffness K shows that method can be utilized for computing frequency $\omega^2 = K/M$ of shear vibrations (both spheroidal and torsional) for wide class of models with non-uniform density and shear modulus profiles in the crust which are the input parameters of the method. The parameters of inertia computed with toroidal field of instantaneous displacements (46), integrated over the solid angle is given by

$$M = \frac{4\pi \ell(\ell+1)}{2\ell+1} \mathcal{A}_\ell^2 \left[\int_{R_c}^R \rho(r) r^{2\ell+2} dr + 2 \mathcal{B}_\ell \int_{R_c}^R \rho(r) r dr + \frac{\mathcal{B}_\ell^2}{\mathcal{A}_\ell^2} \int_{R_c}^R \rho(r) r^{-2\ell} dr \right]. \quad (53)$$

In a similar fashion, for parameter of rigidity of nodeless torsional oscillations K we obtain

$$K = 4\pi \mathcal{A}_\ell^2 (\ell^2 - 1) \left[\int_{R_c}^R \mu(r) r^{2\ell} dr + \frac{\mathcal{B}_\ell^2 \ell(\ell+2)}{\mathcal{A}_\ell^2 (\ell-1)} \int_{R_c}^R \mu(r) r^{-2\ell-2} dr \right]. \quad (54)$$

This form of M and K exhibit the fact that choice of boundary conditions does matter for the problem under consideration. As a representative example, consider torsional oscillations in the crust with constants \mathcal{A}_ℓ and \mathcal{B}_ℓ eliminated from the following boundary conditions

$$u_\phi|_{r=R_c} = 0 \quad u_\phi|_{r=R} = [\boldsymbol{\phi}_R \times \mathbf{R}]_\phi \quad (55)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_R = \exp(i\omega t) \nabla_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} P_\ell(\zeta) \quad \nabla_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} = \left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right). \quad (56)$$

First is the no-slip condition on the core-layer interface, $r = R_c$, and the form of second boundary conditions on the star surface, at $r = R$, is dictated by symmetry of general form of toroidal solution. The solutions of resultant algebraic equations steaming from boundary conditions (55) can be conveniently represented as

$$\mathcal{A}_\ell = \mathcal{N}_\ell \quad \mathcal{B}_\ell = -\mathcal{N}_\ell R_c^{2\ell+1} \quad \mathcal{N}_\ell = \frac{R^{\ell+2}}{R^{2\ell+1} - R_c^{2\ell+1}}. \quad (57)$$

In the homogeneous crust model, with constant values of ρ and μ , we get

$$M(\ell, \lambda) = \frac{4\pi \ell(\ell+1)}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)} \frac{\rho R^5}{(1 - \lambda^{2\ell+1})^2} \times \left[1 - (2\ell+3)\lambda^{2\ell+1} + \frac{(2\ell+1)^2}{2\ell-1} \lambda^{2\ell+3} - \frac{2\ell+3}{2\ell-1} \lambda^{2(2\ell+1)} \right] \quad (58)$$

$$K(\ell, \lambda) = \frac{4\pi(\ell-1)}{2\ell+1} \frac{\mu R^3}{(1 + \lambda^{2\ell+1})} \left[1 - \frac{(\ell+2)}{(\ell-1)} \lambda^{2\ell+1} \right]. \quad (59)$$

Note, in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we again recover the spectral formula for the global torsional oscillations in the entire volume of the homogeneous solid star model (19).

The extensive discussion of wide class of neutron star models whose gravitational equilibrium is computed from Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation with account for realistic EOS and presuming non-homogeneous distribution of density can be found else-




Figure 4. Density profile for realistic non-homogeneous neutron star model built on the TOV equation and EOS given in (Wiringa et al 1988). Insertion depicts density distribution in the peripheral crustal region.

where (e.g. Weber 1999; Lattimer & Prakash 2001). We adopt one of such models presented in Wiringa, Fiks & Fabrocini (1988) and will use parameters for the crust matter given in Douchin & Haensel (2001). The density profiles in the star as a whole and in the crust of the neutron star model with mass of $M = 1.43M_{sun}$, are pictured in Fig.3 as functions of radial distance from the star center and from the crust-core interface to the star surface, respectively. The position of the core-crust interface is placed at the fiducial density $\rho = 1.5 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ in accord with arguments of works (Cutler, Ushomirsky, Link 2003; Pethick, Ranenhall & Lorentz 1995).

The effect of non-homogeneous density in the crust on the speed of transverse wave of elastic shear in this model is illustrated in Fig.5. In Fig.6 we compare the fractional frequency of torsional oscillations trapped in the crust of fixed depth $\Delta R = 0.6 \text{ km}$ (that has been normalized to the value of $\nu_0 = 15 \text{ Hz}$) computed in the homogeneous and inhomogeneous crust models.

One sees that predictions of homogeneous model for frequency of nodeless torsional mode are drastically different from those for non-homogeneous one. This difference is manifested in both the overall trends of frequency as a function of multipole degree and absolute values. One of sources of uncertainties is parametrization of shear modulus profile. In the curve labeled as computed with $\mu = k(\rho)p_e$ we have used parametrization of work (Cutler,




Figure 5. Profile of speed of transverse shear wave in the crust of non-homogeneous model with density pictured in Fig.4.




Figure 6. Frequency of nodeless torsional shear oscillations as a function of multipole degree computed in the homogeneous and inhomogeneous models.

Ushomirsky, Link 2003) and curve with $\mu = c_t^2 \rho$ from (Strohmayer et al 1991). Also noteworthy is that prediction of homogenous crust model with boundary conditions of this section are quite different from that inferred in previous section from homogeneous model too but for different boundary conditions.

5 SUMMARY

By now there are quite solid arguments showing that Soft Gamma Repeaters are isolated, non-accreting, seismically active magnetars – quaking neutron stars endowed with ultra-strong magnetic fields whose outbursts are manifestations of starquakes cracking of the neutron star crust by the magnetic field stresses, the magnetars (Thompson & Duncan 1995). Evidence in favor of seismic nature of the magnetar flares is provided by striking similarity between statistics of SGR’s bursts and earthquakes (Cheng, Epstein, Guyer & Young 1996). The common belief is that the dynamics of internal elastic and magnetic field stresses stress in the crust of quaking neutron star can be properly understood within the framework of two-component core-crust model of quaking neutron star (Franco, Link & Epstein 2000) provided that the core-crust coupling is dominated by strong magnetic field. The simple, from physical side, explanation of magnetic core-crust coupling provides the model of paramagnetic neutron star in which the magnetar core is regarded as a spherical permanent magnet [composed of spin-polarized by frozen-in magnetic field baryon (basically of poorly conducting neutron) matter in the state of Pauli’s paramagnetic saturation] whose magnetic coupling with crustal matter possessing properties of ordinary metals is similar to the well-familiar magnetic adhesion between metallic specimen and bar magnet (Bastrukov et al 2002). The role of magnetic The magnetic field frozen in the core introduces strong anisotropy in the fast process of postquake recovering of the magnetar (the central role in which plays the bulk force of gravitational pool) setting a highly conducting crustal matter perturbed by starquakes (as well as the less dense matter of magnetar corona (Beloborodov & Thompson 2007) composed of plasma expelled from the star surface by SGR’s flares) in axisymmetric torsional oscillations about axis of ultrastrong magnetic field. It is these oscillations are observed, as is currently believed, as quasiperiodic oscillations of x-ray luminosity with high signal-to-noise ratio (Israel et al 2005; Strohmayer & Watts 2005 2006). In (Watts & Strohmayer 2007) arguments have been given that the available today data favors the idea on seismic origin of the detected QPOs, that is, as set by quake induced torsional shear vibrations of the magnetar matter. Adhering to this latter attitude, we have investigated several scenario of torsional shear vibrations restored by elastic shear stresses of shear elasticity (the problem from which very notion of torsion shear vibrations of an elastic sphere came into existence). While some of considered examples yield frequency spectra that properly match the general trends and probably fall in the realm of observable QPOs frequency,

the information obtained from only these models is less than necessary to draw definite physical conclusions. Nonetheless, the considered exact solutions for the toroidal field of material displacements are interesting in its own right because they can be utilized in the study torsional shear vibrations driven by restoring Lorentz force of Maxwellian magnetic field stresses (e.g. Franco, Link, Epstein 2000)

$$\delta T_{ik} = \frac{1}{4\pi} [B_i \delta B_k + B_k \delta B_i - B_j \delta B_j \delta_{ik}]$$

$$\delta \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}] \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.$$

The canonical form of magneto-solid-mechanical equations governing Alfvénic torsional shear oscillations in a perfectly conducting elastically deformable solid, regarded as material continuum reads

$$\rho \ddot{u}_i = \nabla_k \delta T_{ik} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \frac{\rho \dot{u}^2}{2} d\mathcal{V} = - \int \delta T_{ik} \dot{u}_{ik} d\mathcal{V}.$$

The equation of energy conservation shows that fluctuations of the magnetic field stresses are accompanied by shear deformations too, as is the case of considered theory of elastic torsional shear vibrations. We shall turn to this problem in a forthcoming paper.

This work is partly supported by NSC of Taiwan, Republic of China, under grants NSC 96-2811-M-007-001 and NSC-95-211-M-007-050.

REFERENCES

- Aki K., Richards P. G., 2002, *Quantitative Seismology*. University Science Books, Sausalito, California
- Abramowitz M., Stegun I. A., 1964, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, New York
- Bastrukov S. I., Molodtsova I. V., Papoyan V. V., Podgainy, D. V., 1997, *Ap* 40, 46
- Bastrukov S. I., Weber F., Podgainy D. V., 1999, *J. Phys. G*, 25, 107
- Bastrukov S. I., Podgainy D. V., Yang J., Weber F., 2002, *JETP*, 95, 789
- Bastrukov S. I., Yang J., Podgainy D. V., Weber F., 2003, *J. Phys. G*, 29, 683
- Bastrukov S. I., Chang H.-K., Mişicu Ş, Molodtsova I. V., Podgainyi D. V., 2007, *IJMP A*, in press (astro-ph/0704.1732v3)
- Beloborodov A. M., Thompson C., 2007, *Ap& SS*, 308, 631
- Bildsten L., Ushomirsky G., 2000, *ApJ*, 529, L33
- Blaes O., Blandford R., Goldreich P., Madau P., 1989, *ApJ*, 343, 839

- Blaes O., Blandford R., Madau P., Koonin S., 1990, ApJ, 363, 612
- Chandrasekhar S., 1961, Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic stability. Clarendon Press, Oxford
- Cheng B., Epstein R. I., Guyer R. A., Young A. C., 1996, Nature, 382, 518
- Cutler C., Ushomirsky G., Link B., 2003, ApJ, 588, 975
- Douchin F., Haensel P., 2001, A&A, 380, 151
- Glampedakis K., Samuelsson L., Andersson, N., 2006, MNRAS, 371, L74
- Graff K.F., 1991, Wave Motions in Elastic Solids. Clarendon Press, Oxford
- Epstein R. I., 1988, ApJ, 333, 880
- Franco L. M., Link B., Epstein R. I., 2000, ApJ, 543, 987
- Hansen C. J., Cioffi D. F., 1980, ApJ, 238, 740
- Israel G. L., Belloni T., Stella L., Rephaeli Y., Gruber D. E., Casella P., Dall’Osso S., Rea N., Persic M., Rothschild R.E., 2005, ApJ, 628, L53
- Lapwood R. R., Usami T., 1981, Free Oscillations of the Earth. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Lattimer J. M., Prakash M., 2002, ApJ, 550, 426
- Levin Yu., 2007, MNRAS, 377, 159
- Maruzzi V. L., Janak J. F., Williams A. R., 1978, Calculated Electron Properties of Metals. Pergamon Press, New York
- McDermott P. N., Van Horn H. M., Hansen C. J., 1988, ApJ, 325, 725
- Pekeris C. L., 1965, PNAS, 53, 1254
- Pethick C. J., Ranenhall D. J., Lorentz C. P., 1995, Nucl. Phys. A, 584, 675
- Pexton R. L., Stiger A. D., 1977, Comp. Math., 31 1000
- Piro A. L., 2005, ApJ, 634, L153
- Ruderman M. A., 1968, Nature, 218, 1128
- Samuelsson L., Andersson N., 2007, MNRAS, 374, 256
- Strohmayer T. E., 1991, ApJ, 372, 573
- Strohmayer T. E., Ogata S., Ietomi H., Ichimaru S., Van Horn H. M., 1991, ApJ, 375, 679
- Strohmayer T. E., Watts A. L., 2005, ApJ, 632, L111
- Strohmayer T. E., Watts A. L., 2006, ApJ, 653, 593
- Sotani H., Kokkotas K. D., Stergioulas N., 2007, MNRAS, 375, 261
- Thompson C., Duncan R.C., 1995, MNRAS, 275, 255
- Van Horn H. M., 1980, ApJ, 236, 899

Vavoulidis M., Stavridis A., Kokkotas K. D., Beyer H., 2007, MNRAS, 377, 1553

Watts A. L., Raddy S., 2007, MNRAS Lettrs 54, in press (astro-ph/0609364)

Watts A. L., Strohmayer T. E., 2006, ApJ, 637, L117

Watts A. L., Strohmayer T. E., 2007, (astro-ph/0612252)

Weber F., 1999, Pulsars as astrophysical laboratory for nuclear and particle physics. IOP Publishing

Wiringa R. B., Fiks V., Fabrocini A., 1988, Phys. Rev. C, 38, 1010