

**\*\*FULL TITLE\*\***  
*ASP Conference Series, Vol. \*\*VOLUME\*\*, \*\*YEAR OF PUBLICATION\*\**  
**\*\*NAMES OF EDITORS\*\***

## A Conceptual Analysis of Mass Loss and Frequency Redistribution in Wolf-Rayet Winds

Andrew J. Onifer

*Los Alamos National Laboratory, X-2, MS-T087, Los Alamos, NM 87545*

Kenneth G. Gayley

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 5 2242*

**Abstract.** To better understand Wolf-Rayet stars as progenitors of gamma-ray bursts, an understanding of the effect metallicity has on Wolf-Rayet mass loss is needed. Using simple analytic models, we study the  $\dot{M} - Z$  relation of a WN star and compare the results to similar models. We find that  $\dot{M}$  roughly follows a power law in  $Z$  with index 0.88 from  $-2.5 \leq \log Z/Z_{\odot} \leq -1$  and appears to flatten by  $\log Z/Z_{\odot} \sim -0.5$ .

### 1. Introduction

As a complement to detailed simulations of Wolf-Rayet (WR) winds (e.g., Hillier & Miller 1998; Gräfener & Hamann 2005), we have developed a set of simple analytic models using diffusive CAK-type line driving with frequency redistribution (Onifer & Gayley 2006). In this paper, we apply these models to a preliminary study of the  $\dot{M} - Z$  relation for WR stars, which is important for the study of WR stars as progenitors of long-duration gamma-ray bursts (Galama et al. 1998; Iwamoto et al. 1998; Woosley & Heger 2006).

### 2. Model and Results

The details of the basic model are discussed in Onifer & Gayley (2006). We compare our results with those from Vink & de Koter (2005) (hereafter VdK) using their WN star parameters (see figure 1). The metal abundances were provided by A. Heger (private communication) from a  $25 M_{\odot}$  evolution model based on solar abundances from Lodders (2003). While the  $Z$  from these abundances is smaller than the traditional  $Z \approx 0.02$ , uncertainties in other model parameters are expected to dominate over uncertainties in abundance.

Figure 1 shows the metallicity dependence of our WNL model, as compared to the model in VdK. A least-squares fit to our result over  $-2.5 \leq \log Z/Z_{\odot} \leq -1$  gives  $\dot{M}(Z) \propto Z^m$ , where  $m = 0.88$ , very close to the VdK result of  $m = 0.86$ . It appears that our  $\dot{M}$  flattens more quickly than those of VdK, perhaps because Fe saturates more quickly with our more complete line list. Calculations to higher  $Z$  need to be done to confirm that there is indeed a flattening, however.




Figure 1.  $\log \dot{M}$  vs.  $\log Z/Z_{\odot}$  for a typical WNL star ( $M = 20M_{\odot}$ ,  $\log L/L_{\odot} = 5.62$ ,  $X = 0.15$ ). Plusses and lines are from Vink & de Koter (2005), figure 2. Crosses are from this paper.

### 3. Conclusions

We have applied analytic WR wind models to the study of  $\dot{M}$  as a function of  $Z$ . Our preliminary results for a WNL-type star show a power-law dependence of  $\dot{M}$  with  $Z$  over  $-2.5 \leq \log Z/Z_{\odot} \leq -1$ , with index  $m = 0.88$ , similar to the findings VdK. However, our  $\dot{M}$  seems to flatten more quickly. We plan to cover a wider range in  $Z$  to confirm this and to perform the same analysis for WC stars.

**Acknowledgments.** A. Onifer would like to thank Alexander Heger for helpful discussions and valuable data. Portions of this work were performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Los Alamos National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-ENG-36.

### References

- Galama, T. J., et al. 1998, *Nat*, 395, 670
- Gräfener, G., & Hamann, W.-R. 2005, *A&A*, 432, 633
- Hillier, D. J., & Miller, D. L. 1998, *ApJ*, 496, 407
- Iwamoto, K., et al. 1998, *Nat*, 395, 672
- Lodders, K. 2003, *ApJ*, 591, 1220
- Onifer, A. J., & Gayley, K. G. 2006, *ApJ*, 636, 1054
- Vink, J. S., & de Koter, A. 2005, *A&A*, 442, 587
- Woosley, S. E., & Heger, A. 2006, *ApJ*, 637, 914