

ROM2F/2007/19  
to appear on Phys. Rev. D

## Investigating electron interacting dark matter

R. Bernabei, P. Belli, F. Montecchia, F. Nozzoli

*Dip. di Fisica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata” and INFN, sez. Roma “Tor Vergata”, I-00133 Rome, Italy*

F. Cappella, A. Incicchitti, D. Prosperi

*Dip. di Fisica, Università di Roma “La Sapienza” and INFN, sez. Roma, I-00185 Rome, Italy*

R. Cerulli

*Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, INFN, Assergi, Italy*

C.J. Dai, H.L. He, H.H. Kuang, J.M. Ma, X.H. Ma, X.D. Sheng, Z.P. Ye<sup>1</sup>, R.G. Wang, Y.J. Zhang

*IHEP, Chinese Academy, P.O. Box 918/3, Beijing 100039, China*

### Abstract

Some extensions of the Standard Model provide Dark Matter candidate particles which can have a dominant coupling with the lepton sector of the ordinary matter. Thus, such Dark Matter candidate particles ( $\chi^0$ ) can be directly detected only through their interaction with electrons in the detectors of a suitable experiment, while they are lost by experiments based on the rejection of the electromagnetic component of the experimental counting rate. These candidates can also offer a possible source of the 511 keV photons observed from the galactic bulge. In this paper this scenario is investigated. Some theoretical arguments are developed and related phenomenological aspects are discussed. Allowed intervals and regions for the characteristic phenomenological parameters of the considered model and of the possible mediator of the interaction are also derived considering the DAMA/NaI data.

*Keywords:* Dark Matter; underground Physics

*PACS numbers:* 95.35.+d

## 1 Introduction

Dark Matter particles with dominant interaction on electrons have been considered in literature [1, 2, 3, 4]. In particular, from a phenomenological point of view, Dark Matter (DM) candidates with electron interactions can offer possible sources for the 511 keV positron annihilation line observed from the galactic bulge [5, 6]. These

---

<sup>1</sup>also: University of Jing Gangshan, Jiangxi, China

candidates can be either light (MeV scale) [1] or heavy (GeV or larger scale) [2, 3]. They are expected to interact with electrons both through neutral light (MeV scale) U or Z' bosons or through heavy charged mediators  $\chi^\pm$  (which can eventually be nearly degenerate with  $\chi^0$ ) [3]. Recently data collected by some accelerator experiments have been analyzed in terms of a  $\sim 200$  MeV neutral boson which couples to quarks with flavour changing transition:  $s \rightarrow d\mu^+\mu^-$  [7, 8]. Other results showing some resonances at energies lower than the two-muon [7] and the two-pion [9] disintegration thresholds have been associated with a Goldstone neutral boson of  $\sim 20$  MeV mass. Moreover, some excess has been achieved in dedicated experiments on low energy nuclear reactions searching for possible  $e^+ - e^-$  pairs driven by the presence of a neutral boson with a mass around 10 MeV [10].

Let us remark that – in the frameworks where the mediator is either a  $\pm 1$  charged boson or a neutral boson providing a flavour changing transition among quarks – the elastic scatterings of the DM candidate  $\chi^0$  particles on nuclei would be either forbidden or suppressed; hence, the scattering on electrons would remain the unique possibility for the direct detection of the  $\chi^0$  particles.

On the other hand, from a pure theoretical point of view, it is also conceivable that the mediator of the DM particle interactions can be coupled only to the lepton sector of the ordinary matter. Thus, in this case the DM particles can just interact with electrons and cannot with nuclei. This is suggested in ref. [4] for the U boson and can also be the case of some extensions <sup>2</sup> of the Standard Model providing a quark-lepton discrete symmetry  $SU(3)_l \times SU(3)_q \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ . In these latter models, leptons (as well as quarks) are assumed to have three "leptonic ( $l$ ) colours" and to interact through the gauge group  $SU(3)_l$ , analogously as the QCD colour group  $SU(3)_q$ . Moreover, at some high energy scale a symmetry breaking  $SU(3)_l \rightarrow SU(2)'$  is expected, giving high mass to the "exotic" leptonic degree of freedom and leaving light the "standard" leptons [13]. In these scenarios, the heavy "exotic" leptonic degree of freedom provides both heavy charged  $\pm 1/2$  fermions, which are expected to be confined into exotic *leptonic hadrons* by the unbroken gauge group  $SU(2)'$  [13], and heavy neutrinos [12, 13]; hence, they can be considered as Dark Matter candidates with dominant interaction on electrons.

Moreover, it is worth to note that other possibilities can exist. For example, supersymmetric (SUSY) theories can offer configurations in the general SUSY parameter space where the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) has an interaction with electron dominant with respect to that with quark.

These DM candidate particles can be directly detected only through their interaction with electrons in the detectors of a suitable experiment, while they are lost by experiments based on the rejection of the electromagnetic component of the experimental counting rate.

In the present paper this kind of DM candidates are investigated, some theoretical arguments are developed and related phenomenological aspects are discussed. In particular, the impact of these DM candidates will also be discussed in a phenomenological framework on the basis of the  $6.3 \sigma$  C.L. DAMA/NaI model independent evidence for particle Dark Matter in the galactic halo [14, 15]. We remind that various corollary

---

<sup>2</sup>For example from the extended Pati-Salam gauge group  $SU(6) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  [11] or from  $[SU(3)]^4$  quartification [12].

analyses, considering some of the many possible astrophysical, nuclear and particle Physics scenarios, have been analysed by DAMA itself both for some WIMP/WIMP-like candidates and for light bosons [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19], while several others are also available in literature, such as e.g. refs. [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. Many other scenarios can be considered as well. At present the new second generation DAMA/LIBRA set-up is running at the Gran Sasso Laboratory.

## 2 Detectable energy in $\chi^0$ - electron elastic scattering

The practical possibility to detect electron interacting DM candidates (hereafter  $\chi^0$  with mass  $m_{\chi^0}$  and 4-momentum  $k_\mu$ ) is based on the detectability of the energy released in  $\chi^0$  - electron elastic scattering processes (see Fig. 1).




Figure 1: The  $\chi^0 - e^-$  elastic scattering and definition of the momentum variables in the laboratory frame. In the text a contact interaction has been assumed (also see Appendix B) as suitable approximation of the process.

Generally, these processes are not taken into account in the DM field since the electron is assumed at rest and, therefore, considering the  $\chi^0$  particle velocity  $|\vec{v}_{\chi^0}| \sim 300$  km/s, the released energy is of the order of few eV, well below the detectable energy in any considered detector in the field. However, the electron is bound in the atom and, even if the atom is at rest, the electron can have not negligible momentum,  $p$ . For example, the bound electrons in NaI(Tl) offer a probability equal to  $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$  to have  $p \gtrsim 0.5$  MeV/c; such a probability is quite small, but not zero. Hence, interactions of  $\chi^0$  particles with these high-momentum electrons in an atom at rest can give rise to detectable signals in suitable detectors. In particular, after the interaction the final state can have – beyond the scattered  $\chi^0$  particle – either a prompt electron and an ionized atom or an excited atom plus possible X-rays/Auger electrons. Therefore, the process produces X-rays and electrons of relatively low energy, which are mostly contained with efficiency  $\sim 1$  in a detector of a suitable size. Thus, the total detected energy,  $E_d = k_0 - k'_0 = p'_0 - p_0$  (where  $k_0$ ,  $k'_0$ ,  $p'_0$  and  $p_0$  are the time components of the respective 4-vectors in the laboratory frame, see Fig. 1), can be evaluated considering the energy conservation in the centre of mass (CM) frame of the  $\chi^0 - e^-$  system. Defining  $\vec{\beta} = \frac{\vec{k} + \vec{p}}{k_0 + p_0}$  as the velocity of the CM frame with the respect to the

laboratory frame and  $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$  Lorentz boost factor, one can write the energies of the electron before and after the scattering by using the variables in the CM frame through the Lorentz transformations:

$$p_0 = \gamma(p_{0,CM} + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{p}_{CM}) \quad \text{and} \quad p'_0 = \gamma(p'_{0,CM} + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{p}'_{CM}). \quad (1)$$

Since we are dealing with elastic scattering,  $p_{0,CM} = p'_{0,CM}$  and  $|\vec{p}_{CM}| = |\vec{p}'_{CM}|$ , so that, by subtraction, one obtains:

$$E_d = \gamma \left( \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{p}'_{CM} - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{p}_{CM} \right) = \gamma \beta p_{CM} (\cos\theta' - \cos\theta) \quad (2)$$

where  $\theta'$  is the angle between  $\vec{\beta}$  and  $\vec{p}'_{CM}$ ,  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\vec{\beta}$  and  $\vec{p}_{CM}$  and  $\vec{p}_{CM} = \gamma(\vec{p} - \vec{\beta}p_0)$ .

Therefore, fixing the input momenta of the  $\chi^0$  particle ( $\vec{k}$ ) and of the electron ( $\vec{p}$ ), the maximum detected energy is given by:  $E_+ = \gamma \beta p_{CM}(1 - \cos\theta)$ . Few examples of the dependence of  $E_+$  on the  $\chi^0$  mass are given in Fig. 2 as function of the electron's momentum and of the  $\chi^0$  velocities for head-on collisions ( $\theta = \pi$ ). The Fig. 2 also points out that  $\chi^0$  particles with  $m_{\chi^0}$  larger than few GeV can provide sufficient energy to be detected in a suitable detector.




Figure 2: Few examples of the dependence of the maximum released energy,  $E_+$ , on the  $\chi^0$  mass for electron's momenta of 0.1, 1 and 5 MeV/c, for  $v_{\chi^0}$  ranging in the interval  $1 \div 2 \times 10^{-3}c$  and for head-on collisions ( $\theta = \pi$ ).

It is interesting to explore two limit cases (remind that owing to the typical  $\chi^0$  velocities,  $k_0 \simeq m_{\chi^0}$  and  $\vec{k} \simeq m_{\chi^0} \cdot \vec{v}_{\chi^0}$ ; hereafter  $c = 1$ ):

- a)  $p \ll \beta m_e \sim \text{keV}$ , that is target nearly at rest<sup>3</sup>:  $E_+ \simeq 2\beta^2 m_e \sim \text{eV}$ .

<sup>3</sup>We note that in general for a target of mass  $m_T$  nearly at rest:  $E_+ \simeq 2\beta^2 m_T = \frac{1}{2} m_{\chi^0} v_{\chi^0}^2 \cdot \frac{4m_{\chi^0} m_T}{(m_{\chi^0} + m_T)^2}$ , that is one gets the formula describing for example the WIMP-nucleus elastic scattering.

- b)  $k \gg p \gg \beta p_0 \sim \text{keV}$ ; in this case one obtains  $\vec{p}_{CM} \simeq \vec{p}$ ,  $\vec{\beta} \simeq \vec{v}_{\chi^0}$  and, therefore,  $\theta$  is also the angle between  $\vec{p}$  and  $\vec{k}$ . Hence:  $E_+ \simeq v_{\chi^0} p (1 - \cos\theta)$ . This is the case of interest for the direct detection; in fact, for  $m_{\chi^0}$  larger than few GeV  $k$  is larger than the maximum momentum of a bound electron in the atom due to the finite size of the nucleus ( $\sim 15$  MeV in Iodine).

In conclusion,  $\chi^0$  particles with mass  $\gtrsim$  few GeV, interacting on bound electrons with momentum up to  $\simeq$  few MeV/c (see case b), can provide signals in the keV energy region detectable by low background and low energy threshold detectors, such as those of DAMA/NaI (see later).

### 3 Cross section and counting rate

#### 3.1 The cross section at fixed electron momentum

The differential cross section for  $\chi^0$  - electron elastic scattering can be written as:

$$d\sigma = \frac{\overline{|M|^2}}{v_{(\chi^0 e)}} \frac{1}{2k_0 2p_0} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(k + p - k' - p') \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3 2p'_0} \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3 2k'_0}. \quad (3)$$

There  $\overline{|M|^2}$  is the averaged squared matrix element and  $v_{(\chi^0 e)}$  is the relative velocity between  $\chi^0$  and the electron.

Integrating over  $d^3 k'$  and over the  $p'$  solid angle and considering that  $p' dp' = p'_0 dp'_0 = p'_0 dE_d$ , one can write:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_d} = \frac{\overline{|M|^2}}{32\pi v_{(\chi^0 e)} k_0 p_0} \cdot \frac{1}{|\vec{k} + \vec{p}|} \cdot \theta(E_+ - E_d). \quad (4)$$

The Heaviside theta function defines the domain of the differential cross section.

It is useful in the following to define the  $\chi^0$  cross section on the electron at rest ( $p = 0$ ); thus, one can write:

$$\left. \frac{d\sigma}{dE_d} \right|_{(p=0)} = \frac{\overline{|M|^2}_{(p=0)}}{32\pi v_{\chi^0} k_0 m_e k} \theta(E_+ - E_d) = \frac{\sigma_e^0}{E_+} \theta(E_+ - E_d), \quad (5)$$

where  $E_+(p=0) = 2m_e v_{\chi^0}^2 \sim eV$  and  $\sigma_e^0 = \frac{\overline{|M|^2}_{(p=0)}}{16\pi m_{\chi^0}^2}$ . In the following, for simplicity, we define  $\sigma_e = \frac{\overline{|M|^2}}{16\pi m_{\chi^0}^2}$ , then  $\sigma_e(p=0) = \sigma_e^0$ .

#### 3.2 The cross section for atomic electrons

Let us now introduce in the previous evaluations the momentum distribution of the electrons in the atom,  $\rho(\vec{p})$  (see Appendix A). In particular, from eq. (4) – that is for a fixed  $\vec{p}$  value – one can write for the atomic case:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_d} = \frac{\overline{|M|^2}}{32\pi v_{(\chi^0 e)} k_0 p_0} \frac{1}{|\vec{k} + \vec{p}|} \theta(E_+ - E_d) \rho(\vec{p}) d^3 p. \quad (6)$$

Introducing the  $\sigma_e$  definition and replacing  $E_+$  with its expression, it is possible to write for the relevant case of direct detection ( $k \gg p \gg m_e v_{\chi^0}$ ):

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_d} \simeq \frac{\sigma_e p^2}{2v_{(\chi^0 e)} v_{\chi^0} p_0} \rho(\vec{p}) d\phi d\cos\theta \theta [v_{\chi^0} p(1 - \cos\theta) - E_d] dp; \quad (7)$$

here the polar axis has been chosen in the direction of  $\vec{k}$ .

The integration over  $\phi$  simply gives  $2\pi$  considering that  $|\overline{M}|^2$  does not depend on  $\phi$  and that atoms with full shells (as  $Na^+$  and  $I^-$ ) have isotropic distributions  $\rho(p)$ .

### 3.3 The counting rate

The expected interaction rate of  $\chi^0$  particle impinging on the electrons of an atom can be derived as:

$$\frac{dR}{dE_d} = \frac{\rho_{\chi^0}}{m_{\chi^0}} \eta_e \int \frac{d\sigma}{dE_d} v_{(\chi^0 e)} f(\vec{v}_{\chi^0}) d^3 v_{\chi^0}, \quad (8)$$

where: i)  $\rho_{\chi^0} = \xi \rho_0$  with  $\rho_0$  local halo density and  $\xi \leq 1$  fractional amount of  $\chi^0$  density in the halo; ii)  $f(\vec{v}_{\chi^0})$  is the  $\chi^0$  velocity ( $v_{\chi^0}$ ) distribution in the Earth frame; iii)  $\eta_e$  is the electron's number density in the target material.

In the reasonable hypothesis that  $\sigma_e$  does not depend on  $\cos\theta$ , the integrand in eq. (8) can be evaluated considering that:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_d} \cdot v_{(\chi^0 e)} = \frac{2\pi\sigma_e p^2}{v_{\chi^0}^2 p_0} \rho(p) (v_{\chi^0} - v_{min}) \theta(v_{\chi^0} - v_{min}) dp, \quad (9)$$

where  $v_{min} = \frac{E_d}{2p}$  is the minimal  $\chi^0$  particle velocity in order to provide an energy  $E_d$  released in the detector.

The matrix element  $|M|^2$  – as well as  $\sigma_e$  in eq. (9) – can generally depend on  $p$  and  $v_{\chi^0}$ . Thus, in order to evaluate it, it is necessary to consider a specific particle interaction model (see Appendix B).

For simplicity, we will consider a 4-fermion contact interaction (e.g. a mediator with mass larger than many MeV, neglecting the 4-momentum transferred into the propagator). Thus, for the cases of pure  $V \pm A$  and pure scalar interactions – which are addressed in the following – one gets:  $\sigma_e \simeq \sigma_e^0 \frac{p_0^2}{m_e^2}$ . Other interaction models are possible and can be investigated in the future. It is worthwhile to stress that – although the calculations are made for the  $V \pm A$  and for the scalar 4-fermion contact interactions – same results can be achieved for any kind of DM candidate interacting with electrons and with cross section  $\sigma_e$  having a weak dependence on  $p$  and  $v_{\chi^0}$ , that is  $\sigma_e \sim \sigma_e^0$ .

Finally, the expected interaction rate can be written as:

$$\frac{dR}{dE_d} = \frac{\xi \sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}} \cdot \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{m_e^2} \eta_e \int_0^\infty p^2 p_0 \rho(p) \cdot I(v_{min}) dp, \quad (10)$$

where – pointing out the time dependence of  $f(\vec{v}_{\chi^0})$  – we have introduced the useful function:

$$I(v_{min}) = \int_{v_{min}}^{\infty} \frac{f(\vec{v}_{\chi^0})}{v_{\chi^0}^2} (v_{\chi^0} - v_{min}) d^3 v_{\chi^0} \simeq I_0(v_{min}) + I_m(v_{min}) \cdot \cos\omega(t - t_0). \quad (11)$$

Here roughly  $t_0 \simeq 2^{nd}$  June and  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T}$  with  $T = 1$  yr. The cut-off of the halo escaping velocity is included into the  $f(\vec{v}_{\chi^0})$  function distribution.

Therefore, the expected counting rate accounting for the energy resolution of the detector can be written as:

$$\frac{dR}{dE} = \int G(E, E_d) \frac{dR}{dE_d} dE_d = S_0 + S_m \cdot \cos\omega(t - t_0), \quad (12)$$

where  $S_0$  and  $S_m$  are the unmodulated and the modulated part of the expected signal, respectively. The  $G(E, E_d)$  kernel generally has a gaussian behaviour.

Finally, we note that – since  $m_{\chi^0}$  is larger than few GeV (so that  $k \gg p$ ) – the expected counting rate has a simple dependence upon  $\sigma_e^0$  and  $m_{\chi^0}$ ; therefore, the ratio  $\frac{\xi\sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}}$  is a normalization factor of the expected energy distribution.

The momentum distribution of the electrons in NaI(Tl),  $\rho(p)$ , has been depicted in Fig. 3a); it has been calculated from the corresponding Compton profile,  $J(p)$ , reported in ref. [32]. For this purpose, due to the isotropic distributions of  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{I}^-$  (ions with full shells) the relation  $J(p) = 2\pi \int_p^\infty \rho(q) q dq$  has been used [33, 34]. At high momentum the  $\rho(p)$  function follows the hydrogenic behaviour of the 1s internal shell of the Iodine atom:  $\rho(p) \propto (p_I^2 + p^2)^{-4}$  with  $p_I \simeq 200$  keV.




Figure 3: a) Behaviours of  $\rho(p)$  (solid black line) for NaI(Tl) and  $I_0$  and  $I_m$  for  $E_d = 3$  keV in the considered halo model, A5 of ref. [31, 14]; see also text. The functions  $I_0$  and  $I_m$  are in arbitrary units. b) Behaviours of  $p^2 p_0 \rho(p) I_m$  for NaI(Tl) at three different values of the released energy:  $E_d = 3, 6$  and  $12$  keV in the considered halo model, A5 of ref. [31, 14]; they show as the main contribution to the counting rate in NaI(Tl) detectors with energy threshold at 2 keV comes from electrons with momenta around few MeV/c.

As an example, in Fig. 3a) the behaviours of  $I_0(v_{min})$ ,  $I_m(v_{min})$  and  $\rho(p)$  are compared as function of the electron's momentum,  $p$ , for NaI(Tl) as target material and for the given released energy:  $E_d = 3$  keV. In this figure as template the considered halo model is the A5 model of ref. [31, 14], that is a NFW halo model with local velocity equal to 220 km/s and density equal to the maximum value ( $\rho_0 = 0.74$  GeV cm $^{-3}$ ).




Figure 4: An example of the shapes of expected energy distributions in NaI(Tl) due to  $\chi^0$  interactions with electrons for the scenario given in the text; the solid line gives the behaviour of the unmodulated part of the expected signal,  $S_0$ , while the dashed line is the behaviour of the modulated part,  $S_m$ . In this example the normalization factor is  $\frac{\xi\sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}} = 7 \times 10^{-3}$  pb/GeV. The vertical line indicates the energy threshold of the DAMA/NaI experiment.

It is possible to see that – due to the behaviour of the momentum distribution of the electrons,  $\rho(p)$ , at high  $p$  and due to the behaviour of the  $I$  function at low  $p$  (related to the  $f(\vec{v}_{\chi^0})$  behaviour at high velocity) – the main contribution to the counting rate in NaI(Tl) detectors with energy threshold at 2 keV comes from electrons with momenta around few MeV/c (see Fig. 3b). It is worthwhile to note that similar behaviours can also be obtained by using other choices of the halo model.

Finally, an example of the shapes of expected energy distributions in NaI(Tl) due to  $\chi^0$  interactions with electrons for the A5 halo model (a NFW halo model with local velocity equal to 220 km/s and density equal to the maximum value, see ref. [31, 14]) is reported in Fig. 4. In this example the normalization factor is  $\frac{\xi\sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}} = 7 \times 10^{-3}$  pb/GeV.

## 4 Data analysis and results for electron interacting DM candidate in DAMA/NaI

The  $6.3 \sigma$  C.L. model independent evidence for Dark Matter particles in the galactic halo achieved over seven annual cycles by DAMA/NaI [14, 15] (total exposure  $\simeq 1.1 \times 10^5$  kg  $\times$  days) can also be investigated for the case of an electron interacting DM candidate (in addition to the other corollary quests already mentioned in the previous footnote 4).



In the analysis presented here, the same dark halo models and related parameters given in table VI of ref. [14] have been used; the related DM density is given in table VII of the same reference. Moreover, here  $\eta_e = 2.6 \times 10^{26} \text{ kg}^{-1}$  and the halo escaping velocity has been taken equal to 650 km/s.

The results are calculated by taking into account the time and energy behaviours of the *single-hit* experimental data through the standard maximum likelihood method<sup>4</sup>. In particular, they are presented in terms of the allowed interval of the  $\frac{\xi\sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}}$  parameter, obtained as superposition of the configurations corresponding to likelihood function values *distant* more than  $4\sigma$  from the null hypothesis (absence of modulation) in each one of the several (but still a very limited number) of the considered model frameworks. This allows us to account for at least some of the existing theoretical and experimental uncertainties (see e.g. in ref. [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19] and in literature).

For these scenarios the DAMA/NaI annual modulation data gives for the considered  $\chi^0$  candidate:  $1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ pb/GeV} < \frac{\xi\sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}} < 42.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ pb/GeV}$  at  $4\sigma$  from null hypothesis. In particular, Fig. 5 shows the DAMA/NaI region allowed in the  $(\xi\sigma_e^0 \text{ vs } m_{\chi^0})$  plane for the same dark halo models and related parameters described in ref. [14].




Figure 5: The DAMA/NaI region allowed in the  $(\xi\sigma_e^0 \text{ vs } m_{\chi^0})$  plane for the same dark halo models and related parameters described in ref. [14]. The region encloses configurations corresponding to likelihood function values *distant* more than  $4\sigma$  from the null hypothesis (absence of modulation). We note that, although the mass region in the plot is up to 2 TeV,  $\chi^0$  particles with larger masses are also allowed.

We would like to stress that – although the above mentioned calculations have been

---

<sup>4</sup>Shortly, the likelihood function is:  $\mathbf{L} = \prod_{ijk} e^{-\mu_{ijk}} \frac{\mu_{ijk}^{N_{ijk}}}{N_{ijk}!}$ , where  $N_{ijk}$  is the number of events collected in the  $i$ -th time interval, by the  $j$ -th detector and in the  $k$ -th energy bin.  $N_{ijk}$  follows a Poissonian distribution with expectation value  $\mu_{ijk} = [b_{jk} + S_{0,k} + S_{m,k} \cdot \cos\omega(t_i - t_0)] M_j \Delta t_i \Delta E \epsilon_{jk}$ . The unmodulated and modulated parts of the signal,  $S_{0,k}$  and  $S_{m,k} \cos\omega(t_i - t_0)$ , respectively, are here functions of the only free parameter of the fit: the  $\frac{\xi\sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}}$  ratio. The  $b_{jk}$  is the background contribution;  $\Delta t_i$  is the detector running time during the  $i$ -th time interval;  $\epsilon_{jk}$  is the overall efficiency and  $M_j$  is the detector mass.

made for the  $V \pm A$  and for the scalar 4-fermion contact interactions – the results given here hold for every kind of DM candidate interacting with electrons and with cross section  $\sigma_e$  having a weak dependence on  $p$  and  $v_{\chi^0}$ , that is  $\sigma_e \sim \sigma_e^0$ ; in such a case, the DAMA/NaI annual modulation data gives:  $1.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ pb/GeV} < \frac{\xi \sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}} < 53.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ pb/GeV}$  at  $4\sigma$  from null hypothesis.

Let us now comment some phenomenological implications about the possible mediator of the interaction (hereafter U boson). The hypothesis of 4-fermion contact interaction still holds for U boson masses,  $M_U$ , larger than the transferred momentum ( $M_U \gtrsim 10 \text{ MeV}$ ). In the pure  $V \pm A$  and pure scalar scenario, the cross section is given by (see Appendix B):

$$\sigma_e^0 = \frac{|M|^2}{16\pi m_{\chi^0}^2} = \frac{16G^2 m_{\chi^0}^2 m_e^2}{16\pi m_{\chi^0}^2} = \frac{G^2 m_e^2}{\pi} = \frac{c_e^2 c_{\chi^0}^2 m_e^2}{\pi M_U^4}. \quad (13)$$

The effective coupling constant,  $G$ , depends on the couplings,  $c_e$  and  $c_{\chi^0}$ , of the U boson with the electron and the  $\chi^0$  particle, respectively. We note that limits on  $c_e$  have been achieved by the experimental constraints on the possible U boson coupling to electron arising from the  $g_e - 2$  measurements:  $c_e \lesssim 10^{-4} \frac{M_U}{\text{MeV}}$  [4]. Moreover, more restrictive limits have been obtained under the assumption of universality ( $c_\mu \sim c_e \sim c_\nu$ ) by considering the  $g_\mu - 2$  and  $\nu - e$  scattering data:  $\lesssim 3 \times 10^{-6} \frac{M_U}{\text{MeV}}$  [4].

The DAMA/NaI allowed region of Fig. 5 requires values of  $c_e$  well in agreement with these experimental upper limits. In fact, from Fig. 5 and reminding that  $\xi \leq 1$  and  $m_{\chi^0} \gtrsim \text{few GeV}$  (see above), we obtain that  $\sigma_e^0 \gtrsim 10^{-2} \text{ pb}$ . Requiring that the theory remains perturbative (that is,  $c_{\chi^0} < \sqrt{4\pi}$ ) and for  $M_U \sim 10 \text{ MeV}$ , the values of  $c_e$  allowed by DAMA/NaI data are (see eq. (13)):  $c_e \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{-7}$ , in agreement with the experimental upper limits.




Figure 6: Region of U boson mass allowed by present analysis and by the  $g_e - 2$  constrain [4] considering that  $\xi \leq 1$  and that the theory is perturbative ( $c_{\chi^0} < \sqrt{4\pi}$ ). See text. There U boson with  $M_U$  masses in the sub-GeV range required by the analyses of ref. [1, 4, 7, 8] is well allowed for a large interval of  $m_{\chi^0}$ .

More in general, considering the limit on  $c_e$  from  $g_e - 2$  data and the obtained lower bound  $\frac{\xi\sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}} > 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$  pb/GeV from the DAMA/NaI data, the allowed U boson masses are:  $M_U(\text{GeV}) \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{3700}{m_{\chi^0}(\text{GeV})}}$ , as reported in Fig. 6. There U boson with  $M_U$  masses in the sub-GeV range required by the analyses of ref. [1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10] is well allowed for a large interval of  $m_{\chi^0}$ .

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, the scenario of a DM particle  $\chi^0$  with dominant interaction with electrons has been investigated. This candidate can be directly detected only through its interaction with electrons in suitable detectors. Theoretical arguments have been developed and related phenomenological aspects have been discussed. In particular, the impact of these DM candidates has also been analysed in a phenomenological framework on the basis of the DAMA/NaI data.

For the considered dark halo models the DAMA/NaI data support for the  $\chi^0$  candidate:  $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$  pb/GeV  $< \frac{\xi\sigma_e^0}{m_{\chi^0}} < 42.7 \times 10^{-3}$  pb/GeV at  $4\sigma$  from null hypothesis. Allowed regions for the characteristic phenomenological parameters of the model have been presented. The obtained allowed interval for the mass of the possible mediator of the interaction is well in agreement with the typical requirements of the phenomenological analyses available in literature.

Finally, we further remind that the U boson interpretation is not the unique one since, for example, there are domains in general SUSY parameter space where LSP-electron interaction can dominate LSP-quark one.

# APPENDIX

## A $\chi^0$ interaction with atoms

The inclusive scattering of  $\chi^0$  particle on an atom  $A$  is here analyzed:  $\chi^0 A \rightarrow \chi^0 X$ , where  $X$  denotes the final state of the atom. The cross section of the process is obtained by summing over the possible contributions of all the  $X$  final states:

$$d\sigma_{\chi^0 A} \propto \sum_X |T_{AX}|^2 = \sum_X \langle A, \chi^0(k) | \chi^0(k'), X \rangle \langle X, \chi^0(k') | \chi^0(k), A \rangle ; \quad (14)$$

here  $T_{AX}$  is the transition amplitude when the final state is  $X$ .

Since it has been assumed that the interaction of  $\chi^0$  with the electrons is dominant, we can use a full set of electronic plane wavefunctions,  $e(p)$ , and rewrite:

$$\langle A, \chi^0(k) | = \sum_p \langle A | e(p) \rangle \langle e(p), \chi^0(k) | \quad (15)$$

$$| \chi^0(k'), X \rangle = \sum_{p'} \langle e(p') | X \rangle | \chi^0(k'), e(p') \rangle . \quad (16)$$

Therefore:

$$T_{AX} = \sum_{p,p'} \langle A|e(p)\rangle T_{(p+k-p'-k')} \langle e(p')|X\rangle \quad (17)$$

where  $T_{(p+k-p'-k')} = \langle e(p), \chi^0(k) | \chi^0(k'), e(p') \rangle \propto M \times \delta(p+k-p'-k')$  is the transition amplitude for free electron  $-\chi^0$  elastic scattering and  $M$  is the matrix element reported in eq. (3).

Since  $X$  is whatever final state:  $\sum_X \langle e(p')|X\rangle \langle X|e(p'')\rangle = \delta(p' - p'')$ ; therefore, eq. (14) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_X T_{AX}^2 &= \sum_{p,p',p''} \langle A|e(p)\rangle T_{(p+k-p'-k')} T_{(p''+k-p'-k')}^* \langle e(p'')|A\rangle \\ &\propto \sum_{p,p'} \rho(p) |M|^2 \delta(p+k-p'-k') \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $\rho(p) = |\langle A|e(p)\rangle|^2$  is the momentum distribution function of the electrons in the atom  $A$ . Finally, we can deduce  $d\sigma_{\chi^0 A} = d\sigma_{\chi^0 e} \cdot \rho(p) d^3p$ , where  $d\sigma_{\chi^0 e}$  is the  $\chi^0 - e^-$  elastic scattering cross section given in eq. (3).

## B The invariant amplitude for $\chi^0 - e^-$ elastic scattering

In the following we consider the elastic scattering of the  $\chi^0$  fermion on electron by using a Fermi-like 4-fermion contact interaction.

### B.1 The VA subcase

The squared matrix element, averaged over the initial spins and summed over the final ones, can be written as:

$$\overline{|M_{VA}|^2} = G^2 L_{(\chi^0)}^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}^{(e)}, \quad (19)$$

where:

$$L_{(\chi^0)}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{spin} [\bar{U}_{\chi^0}(k') \gamma^\mu (g_V + g_A \gamma^5) U_{\chi^0}(k)] [\bar{U}_{\chi^0}(k) \gamma^\nu (g_V + g_A \gamma^5) U_{\chi^0}(k')] \quad (20)$$

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(e)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{spin} [\bar{U}_e(p') \gamma_\mu (c_V + c_A \gamma^5) U_e(p)] [\bar{U}_e(p) \gamma_\nu (c_V + c_A \gamma^5) U_e(p')] . \quad (21)$$

Let us focus just on eq. (20), since eq. (21) has the same structure. One can write:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(\chi^0)}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} Tr [(\not{k}' + m_{\chi^0}) \gamma^\mu (g_V + g_A \gamma^5) (\not{k} + m_{\chi^0}) \gamma^\nu (g_V + g_A \gamma^5)] \\ &= T^{AA} + T^{VA} + T^{AV} + T^{VV} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The four terms can be explicited as:

$$T^{AA} = \frac{1}{2}Tr [(k' + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\mu g_A \gamma^5 (k + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\nu g_A \gamma^5] \quad (23)$$

$$T^{VV} = \frac{1}{2}Tr [(k' + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\mu g_V (k + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\nu g_V] \quad (24)$$

$$T^{AV} = \frac{1}{2}Tr [(k' + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\mu g_A \gamma^5 (k + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\nu g_V] \quad (25)$$

$$T^{VA} = \frac{1}{2}Tr [(k' + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\mu g_V (k + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\nu g_A \gamma^5] \quad (26)$$

By using trace theorems one gets:

$$T^{AA} = \frac{1}{2}g_A^2 Tr [k'\gamma^\mu k\gamma^\nu - m_{\chi^0}^2 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = 2g_A^2 (k'^\mu k^\nu + k'^\nu k^\mu - k'k g^{\mu\nu} - m_{\chi^0}^2 g^{\mu\nu}) \quad (27)$$

$$T^{VV} = \frac{1}{2}g_V^2 Tr [k'\gamma^\mu k\gamma^\nu + m_{\chi^0}^2 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = 2g_V^2 (k'^\mu k^\nu + k'^\nu k^\mu - k'k g^{\mu\nu} + m_{\chi^0}^2 g^{\mu\nu}) \quad (28)$$

$$T^{AV} = \frac{1}{2}Tr [(k' + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\mu (k - m_{\chi^0})\gamma^\nu g_A \gamma^5 g_V] \quad (29)$$

$$T^{VA} = \frac{1}{2}g_V g_A Tr [\gamma^5 k'\gamma^\mu k\gamma^\nu] = T^{AV} \quad (30)$$

$$T^{VA} + T^{AV} = -g_V g_A 4i\varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} k'_\alpha k_\beta \quad (31)$$

Thus, one can write:

$$L_{(\chi^0)}^{\mu\nu} = 2(g_V^2 + g_A^2) [k'^\mu k^\nu + k'^\nu k^\mu - k'k g^{\mu\nu}] + 2(g_V^2 - g_A^2) m_{\chi^0}^2 g^{\mu\nu} - 4g_V g_A i\varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} k'_\alpha k_\beta \quad (32)$$

Finally, the matrix element for the process can be written as:

$$\overline{|M_{VA}|^2} = 8G^2 [A(p'k')(pk) + B(p'k)(pk') - C(kk')m_e^2 - D(pp')m_{\chi^0}^2], \quad (33)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (g_V^2 + g_A^2)(c_V^2 + c_A^2) + 4g_V g_A c_V c_A = (c_V g_V + c_A g_A)^2 + (c_V g_A + c_A g_V)^2 \\ B &= (g_V^2 + g_A^2)(c_V^2 + c_A^2) - 4g_V g_A c_V c_A = (c_V g_V - c_A g_A)^2 + (c_V g_A - c_A g_V)^2 \\ C &= (g_V^2 + g_A^2)(c_V^2 - c_A^2) \\ D &= (g_V^2 - g_A^2)(c_V^2 + c_A^2) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

In the case of  $V \pm A$  interaction ( $|c_V| = |c_A|$  and  $|g_V| = |g_A|$ ) the matrix element is:

$$\overline{|M_{V\pm A}|^2} = 8G^2 [A(p'k')(pk) + B(p'k)(pk')] \quad (35)$$

knowing that  $\chi^0$  is not relativistic (see text), one obtains:  $(p'k')(pk) \simeq p'_0 k'_0 p_0 k_0$  and  $(p'k)(pk') \simeq p'_0 k'_0 p_0 k_0$ ; moreover for  $E_d \sim keV$  one has  $p'_0 \simeq p_0$ , giving:

$$\overline{|M_{V\pm A}|^2} \simeq 16G_{V\pm A}^2 m_{\chi^0}^2 p_0^2, \quad (36)$$

where the Fermi effective coupling constant is:  $G_{V\pm A}^2 = G^2(c_V^2 + c_A^2)(g_V^2 + g_A^2)$ . For this particular case, the dependence on  $v_{\chi^0}$  can be neglected, while the dependence on  $p$  are included in:  $p_0^2 = p^2 + m_e^2$ .

## B.2 The SP subcase

Similarly as above, one has:

$$\overline{|M_{SP}|^2} = G^2 L_{(\chi^0)} L_{(e)} \quad (37)$$

$$L_{(\chi^0)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{spin} [\bar{U}_{\chi^0}(k')(g_S + ig_P \gamma^5) U_{\chi^0}(k)] [\bar{U}_{\chi^0}(k)(g_S + ig_P \gamma^5) U_{\chi^0}(k')] \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(\chi^0)} &= \frac{1}{2} Tr [(\not{k}' + m_{\chi^0})(g_S + ig_P \gamma^5)(\not{k} + m_{\chi^0})(g_S + ig_P \gamma^5)] \\ &= T^{SS} + T^{SP} + T^{PS} + T^{PP} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

There:

$$T^{SS} = \frac{1}{2} g_S^2 Tr [(\not{k}' + m_{\chi^0})(\not{k} + m_{\chi^0})] = 2g_S^2(k'k + m_{\chi^0}^2) \quad (40)$$

$$T^{PP} = -\frac{1}{2} g_P^2 Tr [(\not{k}' + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^5(\not{k} + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^5] = 2g_P^2(k'k - m_{\chi^0}^2) \quad (41)$$

$$T^{PS} = \frac{1}{2} ig_P g_S Tr [(\not{k}' + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^5(\not{k} + m_{\chi^0})] \quad (42)$$

$$T^{SP} + T^{PS} = ig_P g_S Tr [(\not{k}' + m_{\chi^0})\gamma^5 m_{\chi^0}] = 0 \quad (43)$$

Hence:

$$L_{(\chi^0)} = 2 [(g_S^2 + g_P^2)k'k + (g_S^2 - g_P^2)m_{\chi^0}^2] = 2(g_+ k'k + g_- m_{\chi^0}^2), \quad (44)$$

where  $g_+ = g_S^2 + g_P^2 > 0$  and  $g_- = g_S^2 - g_P^2$ . Finally:

$$\overline{|M_{SP}|^2} = 4G^2 [g_+ c_+ (k'k)(p'p) + g_+ c_- (k'k)m_e^2 + g_- c_+ (p'p)m_{\chi^0}^2 + g_- c_- m_{\chi^0}^2 m_e^2] \quad (45)$$

In the particular pure scalar case ( $g_P = c_P = 0$ ) one obtains:

$$\overline{|M_S|^2} = 4G^2 g_S^2 c_S^2 [(k'k) + m_{\chi^0}^2] [(p'p) + m_e^2] \simeq 8G_S^2 m_{\chi^0}^2 [p'_0 p_0 - \vec{p}' \vec{p} + m_e^2] \quad (46)$$

Thus, considering the momentum distribution of atomic electron, for  $E_d \sim keV$  practically  $\vec{p}' \sim -\vec{p}$  and, therefore:

$$\overline{|M_S|^2} \sim 16G_S^2 m_{\chi^0}^2 p_0^2 \quad (47)$$

where the Fermi effective coupling constant is:  $G_S^2 = G^2 c_S^2 g_S^2$ .

Also in this case there is a negligible dependence from  $v_{\chi^0}$  and a weak dependence from  $p$ .

## References

- [1] Y. Ascasibar, P. Jean, C. Boehm and J. Knoedlseder, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 368 (2006) 1695; C. Jacoby and S. Nussinov, *JHEP* 05 (2007) 017.
- [2] D.P. Finkbeiner and N. Weiner, *Phys. Rev. D* 76 (2007) 083519.
- [3] M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, *Phys. Lett. B* 651 (2007) 208, hep-ph/0703128.
- [4] P. Fayet, *Phys. Rev. D* 75 (2007) 115017.
- [5] J. Knoedlseder et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* 513 (2005) 441; P. Jean et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* L55 (2003) 407; J. Knoedlseder et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* L457 (2003) 411.
- [6] C. Boehm and Y. Ascasibar, *Phys. Rev. D* 70 (2004) 115013; G. Weidenspointner et al., astro-ph/0702621.
- [7] B. Tatischeff and E. Tomasi-Gustafsson, arXiv:0710.1796 and arXiv:0710.1798.
- [8] N.G. Deshpande, G.Eilam and J. Jiang, *Phys. Lett. B* 632 (2006) 212; D.S. Gorbunov and V.A. Rubakov, *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2006) 035002; C.H. Chen et al., arXiv:0708.0937.
- [9] T. Walcher, hep-ph/0111279.
- [10] F.W.N. de Boer et al., *J. Phys. G* 27 (2001) L29; *J. Phys. G* 23 (1997) L85; *Nucl. Phys. B* 72 (1999) 189; M. El-Nadi and O.E. Badawy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 61 (1988) 1271; K. Asakimori et al., *J. Phys. G* 25 (1999) L133.
- [11] R. Foot, H. Lew and R.R. Volkas, *Phys. Rev. D* 44 (1991) 859.
- [12] K.S. Babu, E. Ma and S. Willenbrock, *Phys. Rev. D* 69 (2004) 051301(R); S.L. Chen and E. Ma, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 19 (2004) 1267; A. Demaria, C.I. Low, R.R. Volkas, *Phys. Rev. D* 72 (2005) 075007.
- [13] R. Foot and H. Lew, *Phys. Rev. D* 41 (1990) 3502; R. Foot, H. Lew and R.R. Volkas, *Phys. Rev. D* 44 (1991) 1531; R. Foot and R.R. Volkas, *Phys. Lett. B* 645 (2007) 345; K.S. Babu, T.W. Kephart, H. Pas, arXiv:0709.0765 [hep-ph].
- [14] R. Bernabei et al., *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento* 26 n.1 (2003) 1-73.
- [15] R. Bernabei et al., *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 13 (2004) 2127.
- [16] R. Bernabei et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C.* 47 (2006) 263.
- [17] R. Bernabei et al., *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 22 (2007) 3155-3168.
- [18] R. Bernabei et al., *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 21 (2006) 1445.
- [19] R. Bernabei et al., to appear on *Eur. Phys. J. C*, arXiv:0710.0288.
- [20] A. Bottino et al., *Phys. Rev. D* 67 (2003) 063519; A. Bottino et al., *Phys. Rev. D* 68 (2003) 043506.
- [21] A. Bottino et al., *Phys. Rev. D* 69 (2004) 037302.
- [22] A. Bottino et al., *Phys. Lett. B* 402 (1997) 113; *Phys. Lett. B* 423 (1998) 109; *Phys. Rev. D* 59 (1999) 095004; *Phys. Rev. D* 59 (1999) 095003; *Astrop. Phys.* 10 (1999) 203; *Astrop. Phys.* 13 (2000) 215; *Phys. Rev. D* 62 (2000) 056006; *Phys. Rev. D* 63 (2001) 125003; *Nucl. Phys. B* 608 (2001) 461.
- [23] K. Belotsky, D. Fargion, M. Khlopov and R.V. Konoplich, hep-ph/0411093.
- [24] D. Smith and N. Weiner, *Phys. Rev. D* 64 (2001) 043502; D. Tucker-Smith and N. Weiner, *Phys. Rev. D* 72 (2005) 063509.

- [25] R. Foot, hep-ph/0308254.
- [26] S. Mitra, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 121302(R).
- [27] E.M. Drobyshevski et al., arXiv:0704.0982
- [28] E.M. Drobyshevski, arXiv:0706.3095
- [29] C. Arina and N. Fornengo, arXiv:0709.4477
- [30] A. Bottino et al., arXiv:0710.0553
- [31] P. Belli et al., Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 043503.
- [32] F. Biggs et al., Atomic data and nuclear data tables 16 (1975) 201.
- [33] D. Brusa et al., Nucl. Inst. & Meth. A 379 (1996) 167.
- [34] R. Ribberfors et al., Phys. Rev. A 26 (1982) 3325; Phys. Rev. B 12 (1975) 2067.