

# The soft X-ray properties of AGN from the CJF sample <sup>★</sup>

## A correlation analysis between soft X-ray and VLBI properties

S. Britzen<sup>1,2,3</sup>, W. Brinkmann<sup>4</sup>, R.M. Campbell<sup>5</sup>, M. Gliozzi<sup>4,6</sup>, A.C.S. Readhead<sup>7</sup>, I.W.A. Browne<sup>8</sup>, and P. Wilkinson<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

e-mail: sbritzen@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de

<sup>2</sup> Landessternwarte, Königstuhl, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>3</sup> ASTRON, Oude Hoogeveensedijk 4, 7991 PD Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Joint Institute for VLBI in Europe, Oude Hoogeveensedijk 4, NL-7991 PD Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, MS 3F3, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA

<sup>7</sup> California Institute of Technology, Department of Astronomy, 105-24, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

<sup>8</sup> University of Manchester, Nuffield Radio Astronomy Laboratories, Jodrell Bank, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK11 9 DL, England UK

Received ; accepted

### ABSTRACT

**Context.** We present the soft X-ray properties obtained in the ROSAT All-Sky survey and from pointed PSPC observations for the AGN in the complete flux-density limited Caltech-Jodrell Bank flat spectrum sample (hereafter CJF). CJF is a VLBI survey (VLBA observations at 5 GHz) of 293 AGN with detailed information on jet component motion.

**Aims.** We investigate and discuss the soft X-ray properties of this AGN sample and examine the correlations between X-ray and VLBI properties, test beaming scenarios, and search for the discriminating properties between the sub-samples detected and not detected by ROSAT.

**Methods.** Comparing the observed and the predicted X-ray fluxes by assuming an Inverse Compton (IC) origin for the observed X-rays, we compute the beaming or Doppler factor,  $\delta_{IC}$ , for the CJF sources and compare it with the equipartition Doppler factor,  $\delta_{EQ}$ . We further contrast the Doppler factors with other beaming indicators derived from the VLBI observations, such as the value of the expansion velocity, and the observed and intrinsic brightness temperature. We calculate two different core dominance parameters ( $R$ ): the ratio of total VLBI flux to single-dish flux,  $R_V$ , and the ratio of the VLBI core-component flux to single-dish flux,  $R_C$ . In addition, we investigate the large-scale radio structure of the AGN and the difference between the pc- and kpc-scale structure (misalignment) with regard to the X-ray observations.

**Results.** We find a nearly linear relation between X-ray and radio luminosities, and a similar but less stringent behaviour for the relation between optical and X-ray luminosities. The CJF-quasars show faster apparent motions and larger values of  $\delta_{IC}$  than the radio galaxies do. The quasars detected by ROSAT have a different  $\beta_{app}$ -redshift relationship compared to the non-detected ones. We find no significant difference in  $R$  between the quasars detected and not detected by ROSAT. We find evidence that  $R$  is smaller for quasars and BL Lac objects than it is for radio galaxies, in accordance with unification scenarios. ROSAT-detected sources tend to reveal extended large-scale radio structures more often.

**Conclusions.** We conclude that beaming alone cannot explain the observed dichotomy of ROSAT detection or non-detection and assume that the large-scale jet structure plays a decisive role.

**Key words.** Radio sources: general; Galaxies: active; X-rays: general

## 1. Introduction

The investigation of the origin and nature of radiation processes in AGN requires the combination of multifrequency flux-density observations and morphological information to pinpoint the emission regions. Only recently have *CHANDRA* observations been able to clarify the dominant X-ray emission mechanism for a number of individual sources. 78 radio galaxies, quasars, and BL Lac objects with known X-ray emission from jets or hotspots are now known (<http://hea-www.harvard.edu/XJET/>). The nature of the X-ray emission processes in most of the remaining AGN is a matter of debate and a statistical treatment of this phenomenon for a larger sample of AGN is still lacking. The CJF survey has recently provided a kinematic database

suited for an improved statistical treatment of such questions related to AGN. All CJF sources have been monitored in at least three epochs at 5 GHz with the VLBA. Detailed information concerning the observations, the data reduction, and the source parameters resulting from the model-fitting procedure can be found in Britzen et al. 2007a (hereafter Paper I). The kinematic analysis of the sources is described in Britzen et al. 2007b (hereafter Paper II). Most (57%) of these sources have been detected in the ROSAT All-Sky Survey. Although we lack simultaneous observations when comparing the radio and the ROSAT data, we do have the possibility to check the basic concepts of beaming with this largest uniform sample so far.

The discovery of well-collimated, one-sided, apparently superluminal jets on parsec scales by VLBI has revealed the dominant effects of relativistic beaming on the appearance of these objects (e.g., Witzel et al. 1988; Readhead 1993; Zensus & Pearson

Send offprint requests to: S. Britzen

<sup>★</sup> Tables 3–6 are only available in the online edition of the Journal.

1987), and has motivated the development of the so-called “unified theories” for quasars and radio galaxies (Orr & Browne 1982; Barthel 1989; Urry & Padovani 1995). The viewing angles are expected to differ among the source classes, with quasars and BL Lacertae objects being radio galaxies seen with their jets forming a small angle with respect to the line of sight. The observed superluminal motions strictly require that some “phase” or “pattern” speed of a wave traveling along the jet is relativistic, but there are strong arguments also for the bulk velocity of the radiating plasma to be relativistic, with associated forward beaming of the emitted radiation (e.g., Witzel et al. 1988; Eckart et al. 1989). The comparison of Doppler factors calculated on the basis of velocity and X-ray information may answer the question whether the pattern and the bulk velocities are different.

Since part of the X-ray emission is isotropic and part is beamed Inverse Compton radiation from the radio jet, we can place limits on the IC Doppler factor  $\delta_{IC}$ . The Doppler factors can be derived via the standard synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) argument, from equipartition arguments ( $\delta_{EQ}$ ), and from the apparent velocities determined from VLBI observations.

Most models, accounting for the observed broadband spectra of blazars, attribute the radio through optical emission to synchrotron radiation, and X-ray through  $\gamma$ -ray emission to Compton scattering (e.g., Marscher 1980; Königl 1981). The models differ in the location and structure of the acceleration and emission region(s). However, in the case of the so-called High Peaked BL Lac objects (HBL), even the X-ray emission is thought to be due to synchrotron radiation (e.g., Padovani & Giommi 1995). The X-ray emission from knots in radio jets can mainly be attributed to synchrotron emission. Convincing evidence for this has been found in the optical polarization of M87, suggesting that the optical emission as well as the radio emission are produced via the synchrotron process (Harris et al. 1998; Biretta et al. 1991). On the other hand, X-ray intensities that lie well above the extrapolation of the radio/optical synchrotron spectrum are taken to be strong evidence against the “simple” synchrotron model. In addition, every synchrotron source must also produce IC emission from at least the CMB and the synchrotron photons themselves.

In this paper we combine and correlate information from different parts of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e. the radio and the X-ray regime. We test the beaming and unification scenario on the basis of data obtained in the CJF survey and the *ROSAT* All-Sky Survey (RASS).

The RASS was the first soft X-ray survey of the whole sky using an imaging telescope (Trümper 1983). It was performed from August 1, 1990 to February 1, 1991 and yielded  $\sim 125000$  X-ray sources with a positional accuracy such that 68% of the sources are found within  $20''$  from their corresponding optical counterparts (Voges et al. 2000) and a limiting sensitivity of a few times  $10^{-13}$  erg/cm<sup>2</sup>/s in the 0.1–2.4 keV energy band, depending on the spectral form and the amount of galactic absorption. The survey was followed by a period of pointed observations lasting until February 12, 1999; the PSPC (Position Sensitive Proportional Counter) detector (Pfeffermann et al. 1986) exhausted its gas supply earlier, in September 1994.

This paper is organized as follows: sections 2 and 3 introduce the CJF data and the *ROSAT* X-ray data respectively; section 4 presents the results of the correlation analysis with regard to the X-ray properties of the CJF survey, the  $\beta_{app}$ -relation, the IC Doppler factor, the equipartition Doppler factor, the brightness temperature, the core dominance parameter, the misalignment, and the relation between the large scale structure of AGN and

the observed X-ray emission. Finally, section 5 briefly discusses our results.

## 2. The CJF data

The CJF, defined by Taylor et al. (1996), is a complete flux-limited sample of 293 flat-spectrum radio sources, drawn from the 6 cm and 20 cm Green Bank Surveys (Gregory & Condon 1991; White & Becker 1992) with selection criteria as follows:  $S(6\text{ cm}) \geq 350$  mJy,  $\alpha_{20}^6 \geq -0.5$ ,  $\delta(1950) \geq 35^\circ$ , and  $|b^{\text{II}}| \geq 10^\circ$ . This sample is mostly a superset of the flat-spectrum sources in the Pearson-Readhead Survey (Pearson & Readhead 1988) based on the 6 cm MPI-NRAO 5 GHz surveys (Kühr et al. 1981), the First Caltech-Jodrell Bank Survey (CJ1: Polatidis et al. 1995; Thakkar et al. 1995; Xu et al. 1995) and the Second Caltech-Jodrell Bank Survey (CJ2: Taylor et al. 1994; Henstock et al. 1995). Continued VLBI observations of the CJF sources have been performed since 1990. For the unambiguous determination of the jet component position and motion parameters at least three observing epochs (spread over roughly 4 years) have been obtained for all of the 293 objects. All epochs for all sources have been analyzed in the same systematic way in order to create a homogeneous, statistically valid database. A reanalysis of “early” epochs has been done in parallel with the acquisition and analysis of new observational epochs, which led to a simultaneous completion of the observation, reduction, and reanalysis parts. For details on the observations and data reduction see Paper I.


The redshifts of the identified quasars in CJF range from  $z=0.227$  to  $z=3.889$ , with an average of 16 quasars per redshift interval of 0.2 in the range  $z=0.6$ –2.6. This provides us with the opportunity to investigate possible correlations over a broad range of redshifts and to address important cosmological questions, such as AGN evolution with cosmic epoch. The CJF is now known to contain some 25 radio galaxies and 11 BL Lac objects at  $z > 0.6$ , enough to allow a meaningful comparison of the properties of these source classes at the same redshift and luminosity.

Preliminary results have already been discussed in Britzen et al. (2001) and Britzen (2002). The kinematics of the complete sample is investigated and discussed in detail in Paper II.

The results presented here are based on a careful identification of the jet components across the epochs and multiple checks of the resulting motions in the xy-plane (see Paper I and II). It turned out that not all jet components can yield proper motion estimates of equal significance. We assigned a quality factor to each component that takes the following properties of each jet component into account:

- the jet component has to be clearly separated from other components and the core
- the component should be unambiguously identifiable in each epoch in which it is detected (i.e., it should not appear to merge with other components nor appear to split into two components at any epoch)
- the component should be visible in at least three epochs

Single, bright jet components that are clearly separate in all epochs were given a quality 1, while all sources that merge or split were assigned a quality 3. With these additional quality criteria, we can additionally select only the most reliable jet component proper motions for further consideration. For a detailed discussion of these quality factors please see Paper II. For source-based comparisons in this paper, e.g., between  $\beta_{app}$  and  $\delta_{IC}$ , we use a “representative” subsample of jet components. In several tests we determined these jet components to be representative for jet component motion in this source. The so-called “brightest”



**Fig. 1.** (a) shows the distribution of CJF sources with redshift. The source sample that has been detected by *ROSAT* is indicated by the solid line whereas the distribution of the non-detected source sample is shown in grey. The histograms (b-d) show the different  $z$ - $N$  distributions for the three classes of objects: (b) quasars, (c) BL Lac objects, (d) radio galaxies; the detected objects are shown by the black line, the non-detections are shown in grey.

subsample – described in detail in Paper II – fulfills these criteria and will be used as comparison VLBI-sample for the correlation analysis between *ROSAT*- and VLBI-information presented in this paper. Wherever possible, e.g., the calculation of the core dominance parameter  $R$  or the misalignment, the complete sample of CJF sources has been used.

### 3. The *ROSAT* X-ray data

For each of the sample sources a  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  field centered on the radio position was extracted from the RASS and analyzed using a procedure based on standard routines within the EXSAS environment (Zimmermann et al. 1994). This procedure uses a maximum-likelihood source detection algorithm (Crudace et al. 1988), which returns the likelihood of the existence of an X-ray source at the specified radio position, the number of source photons within 5 times the FWHM of the PSPC’s point spread function, and the error in the number of source photons (Crudace et al. 1988). For the RASS, the FWHM of the PSPC point spread function is estimated to be  $\sim 60$  arcsec (Zimmermann et al. 1994). Since it is known that an AGN is present at the position of the radio source, we considered a radio source to be detected in X-rays if the likelihood of existence is greater than 5.91, which corresponds to  $3\sigma$ . If no X-ray source is detected above the specified significance level, we determined the  $2\sigma$  upper limit on the number of X-ray photons. To calculate the corresponding count rates we used the vignetting-corrected RASS exposure averaged over a circle with radius 5 arcmin centered on the radio position.

Several of the sources, mostly well-studied radio sources of the CJF, had been targets of pointed observations. We extracted the data for these from the *ROSAT* archive and used them in the following analysis, as they are in general of superior statistical significance. In particular, if a source is not detected in the survey but is a target of a pointed observation or found serendipitously in a pointed observation, we used the pointed data in the analysis.

The unabsorbed X-ray fluxes are calculated from the measured count rates by assuming power laws for the X-ray spectra with average photon indices of  $\Gamma = 1.8$  for radio galaxies,  $\Gamma = 2.2$  for quasars, and  $\Gamma = 2.1$  for all other objects and Galactic absorption (Dickey & Lockman 1990, Stark et al. 1992; for details see Brinkmann et al. 1994). For correlations with the recent radio data we used for the luminosity determination a cosmology with  $h = 0.71$ ,  $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.135$ , and  $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1.02$  (for details see sect.4.2.7). It should be noted that a slightly incorrect value of the power law slope does not influence the flux determination dramatically; the main source of uncertainty is the amount of absorption of the soft X-rays. The stated errors merely reflect the errors in the counting statistics of the survey sources and do not incorporate deviations from the assumed power law slope, additional absorption, or other systematic errors. Therefore, for weaker sources a total error of the X-ray flux of the order of  $\lesssim 25\%$  must be regarded as a conservative estimate.

## 4. Results

In Table 1 we present the relevant data for all 293 sources. Column 1 and 2 give the IAU designation of the radio source, followed by a common name of the object, if available, and the JVAS J2000 name (Wilkinson et al. 1998, Browne 1998). In case the source is not observed within JVAS, we used the Véron-Cetty & Véron (2001) edition of *A Catalogue of Quasars and Active Nuclei*, or the CLASS source name (Myers et al. 2003). Column 3 lists the type of the object: Quasars (Q), BL Lac objects (B), radio galaxies (G), High Polarized Quasars (HPQ), Low Polarized Quasars (LPQ), Compact Symmetric Objects (CSO), Seyfert galaxies Type 1 (Sy 1), Seyfert galaxies Type 2 (Sy 2), sources with peculiar properties (pec) or sources belonging to a cluster of objects (cluster). The next three columns give the redshift, its optical magnitude (mostly obtained from NED) and the 5 GHz Green Bank flux. It should be noted that in several cases different data exist for one source, mainly for the type and the magnitude, and we were not always able to resolve the discrepancies. The next column (7, EXML) gives the likelihood of the existence of an X-ray source at the radio position in the RASS, as described in section 3, followed in column (8) by the 0.1–2.4 keV X-ray flux density with its statistical error in units of  $10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . If the source is not detected at a  $3\sigma$  level and no pointed observations are available, we give the  $2\sigma$  upper limit to the flux density and no uncertainty in column (8). We further want to caution that for a couple of sources the given X-ray flux may not originate exclusively from the radio/optical object: there are sources with another prominent object nearby (which is not the radio source) or which reside in a cluster of radio galaxies (like NGC 1275) where the X-ray emission must be primarily attributed to the cluster.

Column 9 indicates whether a source has been found by the standard analysis of the *ROSAT* Survey data (“s”), and thus the data have been published already elsewhere, or whether it was found in the field of a pointed PSPC or HRI observation (“p”). Further radio data follow in columns 10 to 13. Column 10 introduces a system of comments to describe the VLA structure of the sources. In addition, references for the VLA maps are given. The abbreviations are explained explicitly in Table 2. In addition, this column lists in boldface a value describing jet structure on large (VLA) scales in order to quantify the complexity of the extended emission. This is the complexity-factor (see 4.3.2 for a more detailed description). Its maximum value is 5, describing the most complex extended structure.

Some individual sources merit comment before we proceed. We searched ADS and NED for large-scale information on the sources. For the following sources no VLA map could be found in the literature: 0344+405, 0615+820, 0800+618, 1305+804, 1306+360, 1818+356, 2116+818. The X-ray/radio source 0450+844 appears to be associated with a background/foreground galaxy and can be understood as the active nucleus of a galaxy (17–18 mag) possibly dominating a cluster; there are several faint objects in the vicinity of the optical galaxy on the POSS plate (Johnston et al. 1984). The same seems to be true for the source 1010+350: it is point-like in VLA observations, and its X-ray emission seems to come from a surrounding cluster.

We wish to mention that the information on the extended structure has been collected from the literature (cited in Table 2) and due to the different map qualities results in an inhomogeneous data base. Point-like structures seen in these maps do not necessarily imply that these sources have no large-scale structure;

any such putative large-scale structure may fall below the surface-brightness threshold of the VLA maps. The large-scale position angles have been measured from the published maps.

In column 11 we give the position angle of the VLA jet ( $\theta_{\text{VLA}}$ ), followed by the position angle of the VLBI jet (column 12,  $\theta_{\text{VLBI}}$ ). Column 13 lists the difference between the two position angles ( $\Delta\text{PA}$ ). A “/” in column 10 or 11 denotes that no information is available on the large-scale structure, and in column 12, on a point-like structure in the VLBI-scale structure. In several cases two values are given in columns 11, 12, or 13 indicating jet and counter-jet position angles. For further calculations we used the position angle difference determined for the one of these with higher total flux-density. For more complete information on the pc-scale radio properties of the sources we refer to Paper II for details on the jet-component kinematics and to Paper I for details on the VLBI maps and model-fits.


### 4.1. X-ray properties of the sample

Before discussing the broad-band properties of individual subclasses of objects we will give a general overview of the source content of the sample. In total, the CJF-survey consists of 293 sources; 167 have been detected by *ROSAT* and 126 have not been detected. The CJF contains 198 quasars (including Seyfert 1s), of which 93 were not detected in the RASS survey, 53 radio galaxies (including Seyferts) of which 33 were not detected, 32 BL Lacs (8 non-detections), and 10 objects which have not yet been classified. The highest rate of non-detection is among the radio galaxies, whereas most of the BL Lacs have been found as strong X-ray emitters. In Fig. 1(a) we show the redshift distributions of the CJF sources detected (solid black line) and not detected (shaded) by *ROSAT*. The biggest difference between the two distributions is among the nearby objects: lower  $z$  objects ( $0 < z < 0.7$ ) seem to have a higher likelihood to be detected by *ROSAT*.

Figs. 1(b)–(d) show the distribution of Fig. 1(a) separately for the three different classes of objects. In the case of the quasar distribution, higher- $z$  quasars are (preferentially) detected. BL Lac objects show the highest rate of detections among the low- $z$  objects. However, BL Lac objects are less numerous and only appear in the CJF at smaller redshifts than the quasars. The number of low- $z$  detected and non-detected radio galaxies is identical.


The count rates determined individually as described above are generally consistent (inside the mutual errors) with the results of the standard processing of the RASS data. Of particular interest is the group of 54 objects for which pointed observations have been performed in the years after the All Sky Survey. Fig. 2 shows the count rate during the pointed observations as a function of the count rates obtained from the survey data. One object, the BL Lac object Mrk 421 is outside the upper plot boundary. Arrows at the symbols indicate  $2\sigma$  upper limits for sources non-detected in the survey observations. The agreement between the two count rates is excellent; larger differences between the individual observations must be attributed mainly to variability of the objects. It should be noted that some quasars and BL Lac objects, observed repeatedly in pointed observations, show variations of their count rates by a factor of two to three, often accompanied by spectral changes as well. For example, both the two extreme BL Lac objects Mrk 421 (1101+384) and Mrk 501 (1652+398) are known to show flux variations of about 50% in different *ROSAT* observations.

In Fig. 3 we plot the K-corrected monochromatic X-ray luminosities (at 2 keV) as a function of the 5 GHz radio luminosities




**Fig. 2.** Comparison of count rates obtained in pointed observations with count rates from the All Sky Survey. The arrows denote upper limits. The equality line is shown dotted.

for different classes of objects in the sample. The arrows denote upper limits of non detected objects. Three sources – the radio galaxies 0651+410 and 1146+596 and the BL Lac object 1357+769 – have upper limits below the plot boundary and thus the tentative identification of 1357+769 as BL Lac object might have to be revised.




**Fig. 3.** The monochromatic X-ray luminosity (at 2 keV) as a function of the radio luminosity. The arrows denote the upper limits of non-detected objects.

Interestingly, most of the objects (the radio galaxies included) exhibit a nearly linear relation between X-ray and radio luminosities suggesting a common mechanism for the production of X-ray and radio photons. In addition, we find a trend for the quasars to collect preferentially towards the upper part




**Fig. 4.** The monochromatic X-ray luminosity (at 2 keV) as a function of the optical luminosity. The arrows denote the upper limits of non-detected objects.



**Fig. 5.** The X-ray loudness  $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$  of the quasars as a function of the redshift  $z$ . The arrows denote the lower limits of non-detected objects.

of the linear distribution while the radio galaxies seem to collect at the lower part. Far above this general trend in Fig. 3 we find the three extreme BL Lac objects Mrk 421, Mrk 501 (at low radio luminosities), and 3C 66A. These three sources belong to the class of HBL where the X-ray emission is thought to be due to synchrotron radiation. The three Seyfert galaxies 2116+818, 0402+379, and 0309+411 show excess X-ray emission at low radio luminosities. 0251+393 was classified as quasar by Marcha et al. (1996) and appears even as quasar over-luminous in X-rays.



**Fig. 6.** The  $\beta_{\text{app}}-z$  relation is shown for those sources (source selection explained in the text) detected by *ROSAT* in panel (a) and those that are non detected in panel (b) (symbols identical but filled with grey). The figures (c)–(e) show the  $\beta_{\text{app}}$ -distribution of the sources in quasars, radio galaxies, and BL Lac objects. The non-detections are shown in grey.

Similar behaviour is seen in the plot of the optical vs. the X-ray luminosities (Fig. 4). The source 1357+769 appears to be extremely under-luminous, both in X-rays and in the optical and falls outside the lower plot boundaries. Thus, either its classification as BL Lac object or its redshift might be incorrect. Further, the upper limit for the X-ray luminosity of the optically rather bright galaxy 1456+375 falls below the plot boundary of Fig. 4. The remarkably strong correlation between radio and X-ray luminosity and the weaker correlation between the radio and the optical luminosity have been discussed earlier by e.g., Browne & Murphy (1987).

#### 4.1.1. Quasars

Flat-spectrum radio quasars are brighter in X-rays than are steep-spectrum objects of comparable optical luminosities (Brinkmann et al. 1997). The X-ray loudness  $\alpha_{\text{ox}} = -0.384 \log(l_{2 \text{ keV}}/l_{2500 \text{ \AA}}) - l_x$  and  $l_o$ , respectively, in Fig. 4 – has been used frequently in the past for the discussion of the relative fraction of X-ray to optical emission in an evolving quasar source population. The average value  $\langle \alpha_{\text{ox}} \rangle = 1.28$  found for the CJF quasars is nearly identical to the result of Brinkmann et al. (1997) for much larger sample of flat spectrum quasars. However, the dispersion in Fig. 5 is rather large and the lower limits with  $\alpha_{\text{ox}} > 1.7$  indicate that these quasars are either highly variable or X-ray quiet, like BAL quasars (Brinkmann et al. 1999). A further study of these sources is highly desirable. First results from XMM-Newton observations (Brinkmann et al.

2003) indicate that internal absorption is the main cause for the X-ray quiescence of these objects.

#### 4.2. The determination of beaming parameters based on X-ray and radio data

##### 4.2.1. The $\beta_{\text{app}}$ -relation for *ROSAT* detected and non-detected objects

The apparent velocities observed in the core regions of AGN are thought to be caused by relativistic outflows. Relativistic motion of synchrotron-emitting plasma will result in the Doppler boosting of the synchrotron radiation.

We concentrate in this section on those sources where we have obtained the most reliable kinematic information (for details see Paper II). This is a subsample of 150 AGN with 89 sources detected by *ROSAT* (59 Q, 10 B, 10 G, 9 LPQ, 1 HPQ) and 61 non-detected sources (33 Q, 2 B, 19 G, 4 LPQ, 3 HPQ). In order to investigate the evolution of the apparent velocities with redshift for the detected and non-detected sources, we plot this relation in Fig. 6 in panels (a) and (b), respectively. At higher redshifts ( $z \geq 1.5$ ), we find some evidence for a lack of apparently slow sources for the non-detected sources and a tendency towards higher apparent velocities when compared to the detected sources. We plot histograms of  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  for the quasars (together with LPQ and HPQ), radio galaxies, and BL Lac objects in panels (c)–(e). The following median values for  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  are found for these three classes of objects in the detected sample: 3.33c, 0.97c, and 1.83c, respectively. For the LPQs we find 5.64c. For the non-detections the values are: 4.47c (Q), 0.51c (G), and 2.72c (B), respectively. For the LPQs and HPQs we find 6.20c and


3.29c, respectively. The numbers of sources are small, nevertheless there is evidence in both samples for higher values for the quasars compared to the radio galaxies. We performed a K-S test comparing the distributions of  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  for both the quasars and the radio galaxies. Here and subsequently when we refer to K-S test results, the number quoted is the probability of observing a value the K-S statistic  $D$  from two distributions drawn from the same parent that would be higher than the one actually computed; thus a low number means that we can reject the null hypothesis of two similar distributions with high significance. For the comparison of the *ROSAT*-detected subsample, the K-S test yields 0.059, and for the non-detected subsample 0.00013. Radio galaxies show the slowest apparent motions. This is in agreement with the results for the kinematic analysis of the complete survey CJF (see Paper II). The quasars show fastest apparent motions, faster than the BL Lac objects. We find evidence that the detected and non-detected quasars show a different  $\beta_{\text{app}}$ -distribution (K-S test: 0.0095) We list the median values in Table 7.

#### 4.2.2. Synchrotron Self-Compton Limit

A fundamental parameter describing relativistic motion in AGN is the Doppler factor of the flow,

$$\delta = [\Gamma(1 - \beta \cos \phi)]^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta$  is the speed (in units of the speed of light),  $\Gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$  is the Lorentz factor of the flow, and  $\phi$  is the angle between the direction of the flow and the line of sight. Various Doppler factors can be calculated, based on different physical assumptions. Assuming that the observed X-rays are of IC origin, one can compute the IC Doppler factor  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  (e.g., Jones et al. 1974, Marscher 1987); this equals the real Doppler factor  $\delta$  of the source flow only if all of the observed X-ray flux is produced through IC scattering. If part of the X-ray flux is produced by some other mechanism, then  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  is a lower limit to  $\delta$ . Ghisellini et al. (1993) and Güijosa & Daly (1996) make use of almost the same formalism to derive this Doppler factor for a sample of 105 sources for which the radio and X-ray data were collected from



**Fig. 7.** The distribution of the observed spectral indices is shown (data have been taken from Taylor et al. 1996). The sign convention in Taylor et al. (1996) is different from the sign convention adopted in this paper.

the literature. Here we apply the same formalism to the CJF-data and compare the results with those of Ghisellini et al. and Güijosa & Daly.

Assuming the ideal case of a uniform spherical source of angular diameter  $\theta_d$ , and a power-law energy distribution of the radiating particles moving in a tangled homogeneous magnetic field (in their rest frame), one can predict the expected IC X-ray flux density, given the relevant radio and X-ray data. Using the observed fluxes this can in turn be used to determine the Doppler factor as

$$\delta_{\text{IC}} = f(\alpha) S_m \left[ \frac{\ln(\nu_b/\nu_m) \nu_x^\alpha}{f_x \theta_d^{6-4\alpha} \nu_m^{5-3\alpha}} \right]^{1/(4-2\alpha)} (1+z). \quad (2)$$

Here  $f_x$  is the observed X-ray flux density (in Jy) at frequency  $\nu_x$  (keV),  $\nu_m$  is the frequency at the radio peak (in GHz),  $\theta_d$  is the angular diameter of the source (in milliarcseconds),  $\nu_b$  is the synchrotron high-frequency cutoff (assumed to be  $10^5$  GHz), and  $f(\alpha) \approx -0.08\alpha + 0.14$  according to Ghisellini et al. (1987). To convert  $f_x$  (see Table 1) from  $\text{erg/cm}^2/\text{s}$  to Jy we multiplied  $f_x$  by a factor of  $0.2066 \times 10^{-6}$  taking emission at 2 keV into account ( $2 \text{ keV} \sim 4.84 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ Hz}$ ). The flux density  $S_m$ , is the value that would be obtained at  $\nu_m$  by extrapolating the optically thin spectrum (Marscher 1987). For  $\alpha = -0.75$ , this is about a factor of 2 larger than the observed peak flux density  $S_{\text{op}}$  (Marscher 1977, 1987).


Derivation of this formula assumes a single, spherical source. For most AGN, we observe instead a chain of jet components. In this case, according to Ghisellini et al. (1993):

$$\delta_{\text{continuous}} = \delta_{\text{sphere}}^{(4-2\alpha)/(3-2\alpha)}. \quad (3)$$

All values for the Doppler factors have been calculated twice: once for a uniform spectral index  $\alpha = -0.75$  in order to enable a comparison with results presented in the literature, and once for the observationally determined individual spectral indices (Taylor et al. 1996, please see Fig. 7 for the spectral index distribution of the CJF sources). All values are listed in the two tables 3 and 4. Median values are listed in Table 7.

In Fig. 8 we compare the apparent velocities for the CJF objects with the values for  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$  derived from the SSC argument for the same components per source. Panel (a) shows  $\delta$  calculated using the same spectral index for all sources, and panel (b) using the individual spectral indices taken from Taylor et al. (1996). From this figure and Table 7 we expect to see whether beaming, i.e., the bulk velocity, is sufficient to explain the observed X-ray flux, since the pattern velocity does not contribute to this  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$ .

According to Lind & Blandford (1985) and Cohen & Vermeulen (1992), the bulk velocity responsible for the boosting of the radiation could be smaller than the pattern velocity responsible for the superluminal motion (see also Ghisellini et al. 1993). In this case, the average Doppler factor of a sufficiently large sample of sources should be smaller compared to the average apparent velocity. The presented numbers of sources are too small to allow any statistically significant conclusion. For either method of incorporating the spectral indices, we find some evidence for larger values of  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{sp}}$  and  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$  for the quasars compared to the radio galaxies (K-S test: 0.002). This is consistent with Ghisellini et al. (1993), who derived Doppler factors for about 100 sources with known VLBI structures by comparing predicted and observed X-ray flux in the synchrotron self-Compton model. The derived Doppler factors are largest for core-dominated quasars, intermediate for BL Lac objects, and smallest for lobe-dominated quasars and radio galaxies. For a subsample of 39 superluminal sources, Ghisellini et al. (1993) find that apparent expansion speeds and Doppler factors correlate and have similar average numerical values.



**Fig. 8.** The panels show the relation between  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  and  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$  for a uniform spectral index (a) and for the individually determined spectral indices (b) in those CJF sources detected by *ROSAT*, including only the most reliably determined jet component motions. In both images, the same symbols as in Fig. 6 have been used for the individual source classes.

**Table 7.** Median values calculated for a set of parameters for those sources that have been detected by *ROSAT*.

	Source class	Q	B	G	LPQ	HPQ
	Number of objects	59	10	10	9	1
	median $\beta_{\text{app}}$	3.33 $c$	1.83 $c$	0.97 $c$	5.64 $c$	4.29 $c$
$\alpha = -0.75$	median $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{sp}}$	1.3	1.0	0.2	1.9	1.4
	median $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$	1.4	0.9	0.2	2.2	1.5
observed $\alpha$	median $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{sp}}$	3.1	2.1	0.6	3.7	4.8
	median $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$	4.4	2.6	0.5	5.3	8.5

We find a better match between the median  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  and both  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  calculated on the basis of the observed spectral indices compared to a uniformly  $\alpha = -0.75$ . Although not statistically significant we find a similar median value of  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$  and  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  for the quasars and of  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$  and  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  for the BL Lac objects. This might support the conclusion that  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  and  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  are of similar value and that there is no need to invoke other scenarios. For a statistically significant investigation of this question clearly a higher number of objects is required, as well as a significantly larger number of VLBI observations for the individual sources.

#### 4.2.3. Equipartition Doppler Factors

The equipartition Doppler factors measure the ratio of the particle and magnetic energy densities. By definition is  $\delta_{\text{EQ}} = \delta_{\text{IC}}$  if the source is at equipartition. Otherwise, the ratio  $\delta_{\text{EQ}}/\delta_{\text{IC}}$  measures the source's deviation from equipartition.  $\delta_{\text{EQ}}$  can be calculated from single-epoch radio observations by assuming that the particles and magnetic field are in equipartition (Readhead 1994). Güijosa & Daly (1996) find a strong correlation between  $\delta_{\text{EQ}}$  and  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  and suggest that they both represent reliable estimates of the true Doppler factor. We use the formula for the equipartition Doppler factor given by Readhead (1994) and Güijosa & Daly (1996):


$$\delta_{\text{EQ}} = \{ [10^3 F(\alpha)]^{34} [(1 - (1+z)^{-1/2})/2h]^{-2} (1+z)^{(15-2\alpha)} \} \quad (4)$$

$$\times S_{\text{op}}^{16} \theta_d^{-34} (v_{\text{op}} \times 10^3)^{-(2\alpha+35)} \}^{1/(13-2\alpha)}$$

The equation as well as a graph for  $F(\alpha)$  are given in Scott & Readhead (1977). Here we only need  $F(-0.75) = 3.4$ . The calculated values for  $\delta_{\text{EQ}}$  are listed in Table 3 and 4.

In Fig. 9 (a) we show the relation between  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  and  $\delta_{\text{EQ}}$ , and we calculate  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  for both sphere-like and continuous jets. We find a good correlation between both Doppler factors. The probability that the correlation is spurious is  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  for the continuous jet case and  $5 \times 10^{-7}$  for the sphere-like jet case.  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  and  $\delta_{\text{EQ}}$  are about equal as shown in the histogram displaying the ratio between these two values in Fig. 9 (b). Both seem to present reliable estimates of the true Doppler factor, as found by Güijosa & Daly (1996). We list the values in Table 3 and 4. We wish to stress that the calculation of both Doppler factors depends critically on  $\theta_d$  which is raised to the biggest exponent in equations (2) and (4) when each is re-factored into products of powers of the individual observables, considering the case of uniform  $\alpha = -0.75$ .





**Fig. 9.** The relation between the equipartition  $\delta_{EQ}$  and IC Doppler  $\delta_{IC}$  factor for spherical and continuous jets is shown in (a). The continuous-jet case is displayed in black symbols (same symbol forms as in Fig. 6). The solid lines represent linear regressions to the data (black: continuous jets, grey: spherical jets). In (b) a histogram shows the ratio between  $\delta_{IC}$  and  $\delta_{EQ}$  for continuous jets (black) and spherical jets (grey).

#### 4.2.4. Bulk Lorentz Factor and Viewing angle

In the ballistic model of knot motion ( $\Gamma_{\text{pattern}} = \Gamma_{\text{bulk}}$ ), the Lorentz factor  $\Gamma$  and the viewing angle  $\phi$  can be calculated with the help of  $\delta_{IC}$  and  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  (e.g., Ghisellini et al. 1993):

$$\Gamma = \frac{\beta_{\text{app}}^2 + \delta_{IC}^2 + 1}{2\delta_{IC}} \quad (5)$$

$$\tan(\phi) = \frac{2\beta_{\text{app}}}{\beta_{\text{app}}^2 + \delta_{IC}^2 - 1}. \quad (6)$$


Although we do not find any significant trend with regard to these two parameters, we include the calculated values for  $\Gamma$  and  $\phi$  for completeness in Table 3 and 4.

#### 4.2.5. Brightness temperature

We observationally determine the brightness temperature via the following relation:

$$T_B = 1.77 \times 10^{12} \frac{F_m}{\theta_d^2 v_m^2} (1+z) \quad (7)$$


for continuous jets (taken from Ghisellini et al. 1993). The intrinsic brightness temperature can be determined from the observed one using the relation  $T_B = T_{Bi}\delta$  for the case of the moving sphere or  $T_B \propto \delta^{(4-2\alpha)/(5-2\alpha)} T_{Bi}$  for the continuous case. In Fig. 10 the distributions of the brightness temperatures (observed and intrinsic) for continuous jets are shown.



**Fig. 10.** The brightness temperature distribution for the observed brightness temperatures (solid line) and the intrinsic brightness temperatures (hatched area). In both cases the values for continuous jets have been determined.

#### 4.2.6. Core dominance parameter

Within the currently accepted scenario of relativistic beaming, the emission of AGN is composed of two components. The p-scale emission arises from Doppler-boosted jet emission while the extended emission from mainly isotropic radiation. The ratio of the two emissions, in the sense compact/extended, is defined as the core dominance parameter (hereafter:  $R$ ; e.g., Orr & Brown 1982). In the literature,  $R$  is either calculated as ratio of flux densities or as ratio of luminosities (e.g., Punsly 1995).  $R$  is defined here as the ratio of the flux densities. In both cases,  $R$  gives a measure for the role beaming plays in the appearance of this source. In Fig. 11 we show the  $R$ -distributions for the three optical classes of objects. We calculate and compare two core dominance parameters, both using the Green Bank 5 GHz flux density as the denominator:  $R_V$  uses the total VLBI flux density from Taylor et al. (1996), and  $R_C$  uses the VLBI core flux density taken from the model-fit parameters of Paper I. The value for  $R$  is expected to be smaller than 1 since the Green Bank flux contains the complete flux of the source while the 'compact' portion only contains the flux from the central region of the source. The reason for calculating and comparing both values is that we expect to see an even clearer trend in using the VLBI core flux, although usually the total VLBI flux is used. Optically thin components contribute to the total VLBI flux while these components do not contribute to  $R$  calculated with the core flux



**Fig. 11.** The distribution of the core dominance parameter  $R$  is shown for different classes of objects. We show the distributions of  $R$  defined as ratio between the VLBI core flux-density ( $R_C$ , solid line) and the total VLBI flux-density ( $R_V$ , grey), and the Green Bank 5 GHz flux-density.


**Table 8.** Median values for the core dominance parameter  $R$  based on two sorts of VLBI flux-density measures and the Green Bank 5 GHz flux-densities. The Green Bank and total VLBI flux-densities have been taken from Taylor et al. (1996). For those sources where no total VLBI flux-densities were given in Taylor et al., we obtained the values from Paper I. The VLBI core flux-densities have been taken from Paper I.

	Sample	Q	G	B	LPQ	HPQ
$R_C$ (VLBI core flux)	All	0.52	0.33	0.54	0.39	0.52
$R_V$ (total VLBI flux)	All	0.92	0.84	0.90	0.90	1.06
$R_C$ (VLBI core flux)	detections	0.50	0.35	0.54	0.38	0.53
$R_C$ (VLBI core flux)	non-detections	0.54	0.33	0.56	0.48	0.52

only. In Table 8 we list the median values for the different types of objects and mark the different types of  $R$ . We find in general smaller median values for those  $R$  that have been calculated based on the VLBI core flux for all classes of objects. In addition  $R_C$  shows larger differences between the values for the individual classes. We find significantly higher values of  $R_C$  for the quasars compared to the radio galaxies (K-S test: 0.0001) and for the BL Lac objects compared to the radio galaxies (K-S test: 0.009) when considering all sources, detected by *ROSAT* or not. This finding also applies both for the detected sample (KS-Test Q/G: 0.032; B/G: 0.050) as for the non-detected subsample (Q/G: 0.006, B/G: 0.056). Fan & Zhang (2003) find smaller  $R$  for radio galaxies than for quasars, which are even smaller than for BL Lac objects. This is in agreement with our results presented here. The distributions of  $R$  for all the detected and non-detected objects per source class are shown in Fig. 12. We find no significant differences between the detected and non-detected subsamples of classes of objects. In Fig. 13 we show the relation between the logarithms of  $\delta_{IC}^{con}$  and  $R_C$ . A correlation coefficient of 0.28 is not significant.

#### 4.2.7. Tabulated values


All values calculated in this and the following sections are listed in Tables 3–6 (these tables are only available in the online edition of the Journal). The values shown in the plots of this paper are listed in Table 3 (for the sources not detected by *ROSAT*), and in Table 4 (for the sources detected by *ROSAT*). Not all of the CJF sources could be included in the correlation analysis since  $\beta_{app}$  could not be determined for all the sources. A detailed overview of the sources and jet components that have been used for the kinematic analysis, and the reasons for source elimination from this kinematic analysis, is given in Paper II. For completeness we list in Table 5 and Table 6 values for those sources without  $\beta_{app}$ . These values are not shown in the plots of this paper. Tables 3 and 4 list the source name (1) and  $\beta_{app}$  (2). Columns (3)–(9) list parameters obtained under the assumption of a uniform spectral index  $\alpha = -0.75$ :  $\delta_{IC}$  (3), the continuous  $\delta_{IC}$  (4),  $\delta_{EQ}$  (5), the logarithm of the observed brightness temperature  $T_B$  (6), the logarithm of the intrinsic brightness temperature  $T_{Bi}^{con}$  (7), the bulk Lorentz factor  $\Gamma_{sl}$  (8), and the angle to the line of sight  $\phi$  (9). Columns (10)–(16) list the same



**Fig. 12.** The core dominance parameter  $R$  (based on the CJF core flux-density) is shown for the *ROSAT* detected objects and for the non-detections (grey) for the main three classes of objects.

parameters computed using each source’s observed spectral index (Taylor et al. 1996). Continuing, the remainder of the columns list the VLBI flux (17, taken from Taylor et al. 1996), the flux of the core determined from the model-fit parameters (18, taken from Paper I), the logarithm of the core dominance  $R_C$  calculated on the basis of the VLBI core flux-density (19), and the core dominance  $R_V$  calculated on the basis of the total VLBI flux-density (20). We used the 1-year WMAP data (Spergel et al., 2003) to obtain values for the cosmological parameters ( $h = 0.71$ ,  $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.135$ , and  $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1.02$ ); differences in the apparent velocities due to differences between the 1-year and 3-year WMAP parameters are negligible with respect to the formal measurement errors of the velocities. This is discussed in more detail in Paper II.



Tables 5 and 6 list the source name in column (1). Columns (2)–(6) list parameters obtained under the assumption of a uniform spectral index  $\alpha = -0.75$ :  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  (2), the observed brightness temperature  $T_B$  (5), and the logarithm of the intrinsic brightness temperature  $T_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{con}}$  (6). Columns (7)–(11) list the same parameters computed using each source’s observed spectral index. Continuing, the remainder of the columns list the VLBI flux (12,



**Fig. 13.** The relation between the logarithms of  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$  and the core dominance parameter  $R_C$  is shown.

taken from Taylor et al. 1996), the flux of the core determined from the model-fit parameters (13, taken from Paper I), the logarithm of the core dominance  $R_C$  (14), and the core dominance  $R_V$  (15).

#### 4.3. kpc-scale morphologies of CJF-AGN and the misalignment between pc- and kpc-scale structure



(b)

**Fig. 14.** The panels (a)–(b) show histograms of the misalignment distribution. Figure (a) is taken from Appl et al. (1996) for a compilation of 155 sources. The superimposed smooth line shows the best fit by simple bend models performed by Appl et al. In (b) we show for the complete CJF sample (all sources with VLA- and VLBI-information) the misalignment distribution for the three main classes of sources (quasars: 114 sources, radio galaxies: 17 sources, and BL Lac objects: 22).

### 4.3.1. Misalignment

Pearson & Readhead (1988) found in the distribution of position angle differences between pc- and kpc-scales ( $\Delta PA$ ) a highly unexpected bimodal pattern of relatively well aligned and roughly orthogonal jets. More current investigations of larger samples by, e.g., Conway & Murphy (1993) proved this excess to be statistically significant compared to the predictions of simple models. The so-called “misaligned population” of core-dominated AGN reveals a  $\Delta PA$  of  $70^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$  (termed the “secondary peak” by Appl et al. 1996). The position angle distributions of large samples of AGN have been studied in detail by e.g., Pearson & Readhead 1988; Wehrle et al. 1992; Conway & Murphy, 1993; Appl et al. 1996. In Fig. 14(a) we show the histogram of misalignment angle for a sample of 155 radio sources together with the best fit by simple bend models by Appl et al. (1996). A K-S test (0.016) suggests that it is unlikely for the misalignment data to have been drawn from a parent population represented by the maximum likelihood model.


Small apparent misalignments can be explained by small random bends. Small intrinsic bends between pc- and kpc-scales will give the large  $\Delta PA$ s that are observed if sources are viewed almost along the direction of the VLBI jet. Assuming similar intrinsic bends, sources in which the VLBI jet is oriented closer to the line of sight should show more extreme misalignment angles. The orthogonal misalignments, however cannot be explained by these processes (Conway & Murphy 1993).

Several  $\Delta PA$ -distributions of different AGN-samples have been published; however, no complete compilation of  $\Delta PA$  values is currently available. We performed a literature search for kpc-scale morphological information on the CJF sources. In Table 1 (column 10) we describe the VLA structure of the sources. The major part of the information on the large scale structures has been derived by T. Pearson in VLA observations of the CJ-sources (<http://www.astro.caltech.edu/~tjp/cj/>). However, VLA maps were not available for all sources; this is indicated for such cases in the table. To build up a homogeneous database for this kind of analysis, we redetermined the orientation of the large-scale structures from published maps and compared them with the pc-scale orientation derived directly from the CJF VLBI survey results (Paper I). This sample is the largest homogeneous sample for this kind of misalignment study in AGN being so far available.

114 of the 293 CJF-sources reveal a point-like VLA structure and do not contribute to this analysis. In Fig. 14(b) we display the distribution of  $\Delta PA$  for those CJF sources that reveal kpc-scale extended emission. The figure clearly shows the expected peak around  $0^\circ$  (and a smaller peak around  $150^\circ$ - $180^\circ$ ) for the aligned objects and an indication for the secondary peak around  $75^\circ$  for the misaligned objects.

In Fig. 14(c)–(e) we show the  $\Delta PA$ -distribution for the three classes of objects: quasars, BL Lac objects, and radio galaxies, respectively. The quasar distribution (c) contains more objects and is broader, covering the complete range of misalignment angles. Here we find some indication for the secondary peak. The radio galaxies (e) show primarily aligned kpc- and pc-scale jets: the distribution peaks around  $0^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$ , while no misaligned objects have been observed. A fraction of the BL Lac objects (d) seems to be misaligned.

In Fig. 15 we compare the distributions of the misalignment angle for the *ROSAT*-detected and non-detected sources. Based on a K-S test the distributions are not significantly different (0.260).




**Fig. 15.** The distribution of the misalignment angle for the *ROSAT*-detected (solid line) and non-detected (grey) subsample.

### 4.3.2. Investigating the relation between the large scale structure of AGN and X-ray emission

At least part of the X-ray emission from radio-loud AGN is thought to arise from the jet, because radio-loud objects have stronger X-ray emission and rather different X-ray spectra than do radio-quiet objects (e.g., Mushotzky 1993 and references therein). Surveys of extended radio jets with *CHANDRA* yield evidence that the X-ray emission is related to the kpc-scale morphology (e.g., Sambruna et al. 2004; Gelbord et al. 2004). In this section we therefore contrast the kpc-scale radio morphology of the *ROSAT* detected and non-detected objects to search for signs of this assumed correlation.

114 of the 293 CJF-sources reveal a point-like VLA structure. Among those, 65 have not been detected by *ROSAT*, but 49 have been detected. Except for two objects (0014+813, 1246+586), the point-like sources have relatively low X-ray fluxes. We find significant evidence that *ROSAT*-detected sources tend to show extended radio emission on large scales. In order to be able to classify the extended morphology of the CJF kpc-scale jets, we adopted a classification scenario. The large-scale structures appear to be either unresolved, slightly resolved, jet-like and extended, double, or more complex. Sometimes complex jet- and counter-jets are visible in the large-scale maps; halo emission can appear along with jets or be the only large-scale component. To quantify the large-scale structure, we adopted complexity-factors, where the number increases with the complexity of the morphology: the unresolved sources were classified as **0**, the slightly resolved sources as **1**, sources with a clearly resolved jet as **2**, double-source morphologies as **3**, jet- counter-jet structures as **4**, and the most complex morphologies as **5**. Examples for the differently complex structures and the assignment of the complexity-factors are shown in Fig. 16.

In Table 9 we list the distribution of these complexity-factors for the detected CJF sources and the non-detections. There are significant differences between the two distributions: the non-detected objects tend to show less complex kpc-scale structure, while the *ROSAT* detected CJF sources tend to have more complex kpc-scale structures. A K-S test (0.005) comparing the binned detected and non-detected complexity factors allows us to reject strongly the hypothesis that these two distributions are the same. Sources with the most complex large-scale structures (**4**, **5**) are almost always ( $\sim 97\%$ ) detected by *ROSAT*. However,



**Fig. 16.** Six maps (images have been taken from <http://www.astro.caltech.edu/~tjp/cj/>) showing examples for the structures described by the complexity-factor (from a–f and 0 to 5, respectively).

there may be a redshift-dependent effect at work here. The average redshift of *ROSAT*-detected sources that have the most complex large-scale radio morphologies is 0.67, whereas for all sources detected by *ROSAT* it is 1.20, and for non-*ROSAT*-detected sources it is 1.34.

The large-scale jet structure might play an important role in contributing to the X-ray emission. Jets are very likely relativistic on kiloparsec scales as well. Large-scale relativistic proper motions have been directly observed in the nearby Radio Galaxy M87 (Biretta & Junor 1995). The most plausible explanation of some of the newly discovered extended X-ray jets requires that the plasma have bulk relativistic motions on scales of hundreds of kiloparsecs (e.g., Tavecchio et al. 2000; Celotti et al. 2001; Sambruna et al. 2002). More observations are definitely required to search for and confirm large scale motion in these *ROSAT* detected quasars and BL Lac objects.

**Table 9.** The numbers of objects with the complexity-factors describing the kpc-scale structure.

complexity factor	0	1	2	3	4	5
detected by <i>ROSAT</i>	49	12	35	27	20	15
not detected by <i>ROSAT</i>	65	10	32	11	1	0

## 5. Discussion

Although AGN form the ideal class of objects for multi-wavelength studies – as their emission can cover almost 20 orders of magnitude in frequency from the radio to the  $\gamma$ -ray band – our knowledge about their physics is limited by observational constraints and the inherent complex physical processes. Studies with multifrequency coverage for a single object are rare (e.g., NGC 3783, 3C273, 3C279). While *CHANDRA*

observations determined the X-ray production mechanisms in a growing number of AGN (e.g., Harris & Krawczynski 2002), this information is not available for a substantial number of sources in any large survey such as the CJF. However, assuming that the dominant mechanism is IC emission, we can place lower limits on beaming parameters derived from *ROSAT* observations for the complete CJF. To date this kind of analysis has relied on smaller samples and/or data taken from the literature. With the *ROSAT* observations of a complete and homogeneous VLBI survey, beaming indicators relying on radio and X-ray data can be estimated on an improved statistical basis. We draw the following conclusions:

- More than half of the CJF sources have been detected by *ROSAT*. The good correlation between the radio- and X-ray luminosities of the CJF sources on the one hand and between the optical- and the X-ray luminosities on the other hand support the explanation that a common origin of the radiation can be derived, whereas differences exist between radio galaxies and quasars, beyond their different luminosities, which might be explained by an additional cluster emission in the case of the radio galaxies.
- We find higher apparent velocities for the *ROSAT*-detected and non-detected quasars compared to the radio galaxies. Radio galaxies reveal the lowest values in both subsamples. We find some evidence for different apparent velocity distributions between those quasars detected by *ROSAT* and those not detected. A statistically significant analysis of the apparent motions of the BL Lac objects is hampered by small numbers of objects.
- The calculation of the Doppler factors making use of the observationally determined spectral indices leads to different distributions compared to the calculations based on a uniform spectral index. We find a better match between the median  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  and both  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  calculated with the observed spectral indices compared to the uniformly assumed spectral index for all classes of objects. The comparison of the IC Doppler factor with  $\beta_{\text{app}}$  (for those sources detected by *ROSAT*) seems to indicate that the bulk relativistic motion without any additional pattern speeds can explain the observations for quasars and BL Lac objects. However, larger source numbers (especially for the BL Lac objects) would be beneficial. The quasars have significantly larger values of  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{SP}}$  and  $\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{CON}}$  compared to radio galaxies.
- We find a good agreement between the Doppler factors derived from equipartition arguments and from IC calculations, especially in the case of a continuous jet model.  $\delta_{\text{IC}}$  is about 2-2.5 times  $\delta_{\text{EQ}}$  in the case of the continuous and the sphere-like jet case.
- By investigating the distribution of the core dominance parameter  $R$  calculated on the one hand by the ratio between the total VLBI flux-density at 5 GHz and the Green Bank 5 GHz flux-density, and on the other hand by the ratio between the VLBI core flux-density and the Green Bank flux-density, we find that the latter is better suited to discriminate between differently beamed objects. We find evidence for stronger beaming in quasars and BL Lac objects compared to the radio galaxies for all samples investigated. Within

the individual classes, we find no significant differences between the *ROSAT*-detected and non-detected subsamples.

- Pronounced extended radio structure is detected for most of the CJF sources. Roughly only one-third of the sources are strongly core-dominated and do not reveal kpc-scale structure. Misalignments between the preferential direction on pc-scales and the direction of the overall structure have been found to be more common than expected in “simple” beaming models for some investigated AGN samples by e.g., Conway & Murphy (1993) and Appl et al. (1996). Whereas radio galaxies do not show misaligned sources in our CJF sample, quasars and BL Lac objects show this effect. This can be explained by amplification of small intrinsic bends due to projection effects (e.g., Conway & Murphy 1993, Conway & Wrobel 1995).
- 114 out of 293 sources from the complete CJF show no extended radio emission. For these objects the probability of *ROSAT* detectable X-ray emission is significantly lower than for the whole sample. There exist clear correlations between the extended radio structure and the likelihood of *ROSAT* detection. Sources detected by *ROSAT* show a higher degree in the complexity of their large-scale radio morphologies. However, we cannot exclude that a redshift-dependent effect influences this relation. The average redshift of *ROSAT*-detected sources with most complex large-scale radio structure is 0.67 compared to 1.20 for all sources detected by *ROSAT*.

*Acknowledgements.* We wish to thank A. Witzel and T.P. Krichbaum for thought-provoking discussions and many useful comments. The *ROSAT* project is supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF) and the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft. Part of this work was supported by the European Commission, TMR Programme, Research Network Contract ERBFMRXCT97-0034 CERES and by the DLR, project 50QD0101. S. Britzen acknowledges support by the Claussen-Simon Stiftung. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Data Base (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## References

- Antonucci, R.R.J., & Ulvestad, J.S., 1985, *ApJ* 294, 158  
 Antonucci, R.R.J., 1986, *ApJ* 304, 634  
 Appl, S., Sol, H., Vicente, L., 1996, *A&A* 310, 419  
 Barthel, P.D., 1989, *ApJ* 336, 606  
 Barthel, P.D., Pearson, T.J., Readhead, A.C.S., Canzian, B.J., 1986, *ApJ* 310, L7  
 Baum, S.A., O’Dea, C.P., Murphy, D.W., de Bruyn, A.G., 1990, *A&A* 232, 19  
 Biretta, J.A., Stern, C.P., & Harris, D.E., 1991, *AJ* 101, 1632  
 Biretta, J.A. & Junor, W., 1995, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 11364  
 Bridle, A.H., & Fomalont, E.B., 1978, *AJ* 83, 704  
 Brinkmann W., Siebert, J., Boller, Th., 1994, *A&A* 281, 355  
 Brinkmann W., Yuan W., Siebert J., 1997, *A&A* 319, 413  
 Brinkmann W., Wang T., Matsuoka M., Yuan W., 1999, *A&A* 345, 43  
 Brinkmann, W., Grupe, D., Branduardi-Raymont, G., Ferrero, E., 2003, *A&A* 398, 81  
 Britzen, S., Vermeulen, R. C., Taylor, G.B., et al., 2001. In F.A. Aharonian & H.J. Völk High Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy, *AIP Proceedings* 558, 721  
 Britzen, S., 2002, *Reviews in Modern Astronomy* 15, 199  
 Britzen, S., Vermeulen, R.C., Taylor, G.B., et al. 2007a, *A&A*, 472, 763, Paper I  
 Britzen, S., Vermeulen, R.C., Campbell, R.M., et al., 2007b, *subm. to A&A*, Paper II  
 Browne, I.W.A., & Perley, R.A., 1986, *MNRAS* 222, 149  
 Browne, I.W.A., & Murphy, D.W., 1987, *MNRAS* 226, 601  
 Browne, I.W.A., Wilkinson, P.N., Patnaik, A.R., Wrobel, J.M. 1998, *MNRAS*, 293, 257  
 Cassaro, P., Stanghellini, C., Bondi, M., et al., 1999, *A&AS* 139, 601  
 Celotti, A., Ghisellini, G., Chiaberge, M., 2001, *MNRAS* 321, L1  
 Cohen & Vermeulen (1992)

- Conway, J.E. & Murphy, D.W. 1993, *ApJ* 411, 89
- Cruddace, R.G., Hasinger, G.R., Schmitt, J.H., 1988, in: *Proc. of the Workshop: Astronomy from large data bases*, eds. Murtagh F., Heck A., ESO Conf. Proc. No. 28, p.177
- Dickey, J.M. & Lockman, F.J., 1990, *ARAA* 28, 215
- Eckart, A., Hummel C.A., Witzel, A., 1989, *MNRAS* 239, 381
- Fan, J.H. & Zhang, J.S., 2003, *A&A* 407, 899
- Garrington, S.T., Conway, R.G., Leahy, J.P., 1991, *MNRAS* 250, 171
- Gelbord, J.M., Marshall, H.L., Schwartz, D.A., et al., 2004. *Proceedings of X-Ray and Radio Connections*, L.O. Sjouwerman & K.K Dyer (eds.), published electronically by NRAO, <http://www.aoc.nrao.edu/events/xraydio>, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA
- Ghisellini, G., 1987, Ph.D. thesis, SISSA, Trieste
- Ghisellini, G., Padovani, P., Celotti, A., Maraschi, L., 1993, *ApJ* 407, 75
- Giovannini, G., Taylor, G.B., Arbizzani, E., et al., 1999, *ApJ* 522, 101
- Gregory, P.C., & Condon, J.J., 1991, *ApJS* 75, 1011
- Güijosa, A., & Daly, R.A., 1996, *ApJ* 461, 600
- Harris, D.E., Leighly, K.M., & Leahy, J.P., 1998, *ApJ* 499, 149
- Harris, D.E., & Krawczynski, H., 2002, *ApJ* 565, 244
- Henstock, D.R., Browne, I.W.A., Wilkinson, P.N., et al., 1995, *ApJS* 100, 1
- Hummel, C.A., Krichbaum, T.P., Witzel, A., et al., 1997, *A&A* 324, 857
- Johnston, K.J., Biermann, P., Eckart, A., et al., 1984, *ApJ* 280, 542
- Jones, T.W., O'Dell, S.L., & Stein, W.A., 1974, *ApJ* 428, 130
- Kim, K.-T., Kronberg, P.P., Tribble, P.C., 1991, *ApJ* 379, 80
- Königl, A., 1981, *ApJ* 243, 700
- Kühr, H., Witzel, A., Pauliny-Toth, I.I.K., Nauber, U., 1981, *A&AS* 45, 367
- Kühr, H., Stocke, J.T., Strittmatter, P.A., et al., 1986, *ApJ* 302, 52
- Lind, K.R., & Blandford, R.D. 1985, *ApJ*, 295, 358
- Machalski, J., Maslowski, J., Condon, J.J., Condon, M.A., 1982, *AJ* 87, 1150
- Machalski, J., & Condon, J.J., 1983, *AJ* 88, 143
- Machalski, J., & Brandt, W.N., 1996, *MNRAS* 282, 1305
- Machalski, J., 1998, *A&AS* 128, 153
- Marcha, M.J.M., Browne, I.W.A., Impey, C.D., Smith, P.S., 1996, *MNRAS* 281, 425
- Marscher, A.P., 1977, *ApJ* 216, 244
- Marscher, A.P., 1980, *ApJ* 235, 386
- Marscher, A.P., 1987. In A. Zensus & T.J. Pearson (eds.) *Superluminal Radio Sources*. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 280
- Murphy, D.W., Browne, I.W.A., Perley, R.A., 1993, *MNRAS* 264, 298
- Mushotzky, R.F., 1993, *ARA&A* 31, 717
- Myers, S.T., Jackson, N.J., Browne, I.W.A., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, 341, 1
- Neff, S.G., & Hutchings, J.B., 1990, *AJ* 100, 1441
- Orr, M.J.L., & Browne, I.W.A., 1982, *MNRAS* 200, 1067
- Owen, F.N., & Puschell, J.J. 1984, *AJ* 89, 932
- Padovani, P. & Giommi, P. 1995, *ApJ*, 444, 567
- Patnaik, A.R., Browne, I.W.A., Wilkinson, P.N., Wrobel, J.M., 1992, *MNRAS* 254, 655
- Patnaik, A.R., Browne, E.W.A., King, L.J., et al., 1993, *MNRAS* 261, 435
- Peacock, J.A., & Wall, J.V., 1982, *MNRAS* 198, 843
- T. Pearson (<http://www.astro.caltech.edu/~tjp/cjf/>)
- Pearson, T.J., & Readhead, A.C.S., 1988, *ApJ* 328, 114
- Pedlar, A., Booler, R.V., Davies, R.D., 1983, *MNRAS* 203, 667
- Pedlar, A., Ghataure, H.S., Davies, R.D., et al., 1990, *MNRAS* 246, 477
- Perley, R.A., 1982, *AJ* 87, 859
- Perley, R.A., Fomalont, E.B., Johnston, K.J., 1982, *ApJ* 255, 93
- Pfeffermann E., Briel U.G., Hippmann H., et al., 1986, *Proceedings SPIE* 733, 519
- Polatidis, A.G., Wilkinson, P.N., Xu, W., et al., 1995, *ApJS* 98, 1
- Price, R., Gower, A.C., Hutchings, J.B., et al., 1993, *ApJS* 86, 365
- Punsly, B., 1995, *AJ* 109, 4, 1555
- Readhead, A.C.S., 1993. In R.J. Davis, R.S. Booth (eds.) *Sub-Arcsecond Radio Astronomy*. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 173
- Readhead, A.C.S., 1994, *ApJ* 426, 51
- Reid, A., Shone, D.L., Akujor, C.E., et al., 1995, *A&AS* 110, 213
- Sambruna, R.M., Maraschi, L., Tavecchio, F., et al., 2002, *ApJ* 571, 206
- Sambruna, R.M., Gambill, J.K., Maraschi, L., et al., 2004, *ApJ* 608, 698
- Scott, M.A., & Readhead, A.C.S., 1977, *MNRAS* 215, 383
- Slee, O.B., & Siegman, B.C., 1983, *PASAu* 5, 114
- Spergel, D.N., Verde, L., Peiris, H.V., et al. 2003, *ApJS*, 148, 175
- Stark A.A., Gammie C.F., Wilson R.W., 1992, *ApJS* 79, 77
- Tavecchio F., Maraschi, L., Sambruna, R.M., & Urry, C.M., 2000, *ApJ* 544, L23
- Taylor, G.B., Vermeulen, R.C., Pearson, et al., 1994, *ApJS* 95, 345
- Taylor G.B., Vermeulen R.C., Readhead A.C.S., et al., 1996, *ApJS* 107, 37
- Thakkar, D.D., Xu, W., Readhead, A.C.S., et al. 1995, *ApJS*, 98, 33
- Thean, A., Pedlar, A., Kukulula, M.J., et al., 1999, *MNRAS* 314, 573
- Trümper J., 1983, *Adv. Space Res. Vol. 2, No. 4*, 241
- Ulvestad, J.S., Johnston, K.J., Weiler, K.W., 1983, *ApJ* 266, 18
- Ulvestad, J.S., 1985, *ApJ* 288, 514
- Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P., 1995, *PASP* 107, 803
- Véron-Cetty, M.-P., Véron, P. 2001, *A&A*, 374, 92
- Vigotti, M., Grueff, G., Perley, R., et al., 1989, *AJ* 98, 419
- Voges W., Aschenbach B. Boller Th., et al., 2000, *IAU Circ* 7432, 3
- Wagner, S.J., Witzel, A., Heidt, J., 1996, *AJ* 111, 2187
- Wehrle, A.E., Cohen, M.H., Unwin, S.C., et al., 1992, *ApJ* 391, 589
- White, R.L. & Becker, R.H., 1992, *ApJS* 79, 331
- Wilkinson, P.N., Browne, I.W.A., Patnaik, A.R., et al. 1998, *MNRAS*, 300, 790
- Witzel, A., Schalinski, C.J., Biermann, P.L., et al., 1988, *A&A* 206, 245
- Xu, W., Readhead, A.C.S., Pearson, T.J., et al., 1995, *ApJS* 99, 297
- Zensus, J.A. & Pearson, T.J., 1987, *Superluminal radio sources*, Cambridge and New York, Cambridge University Press
- Zimmermann H.U., Becker W., Belloni T., et al., 1994, *MPE Report* 257











CJF name		type	z	$m_v$	$S_m$	EXML	$f_x \times 10^{-12}$	OBS	VLA structure	$\theta_{\text{VLA}}$	$\theta_{\text{VLBI}}$	$\Delta\text{PA}$
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	[mJy] (6)	(7)	[erg/cm <sup>2</sup> /s] (8)	(9)	(10)	[deg] (11)	[deg] (12)	[deg] (13)
2253+417	B3 2253+417, JVAS J2255+4202	Q	1.476	18.8	1120	10.67	1.06±0.36	s	U <sup>1,27</sup> , <b>0</b>	/	~40	/
2255+416	4C +41.45, JVAS J2257+4154	Q	2.15	20.9	1111	3.95	0.39		R <sup>1</sup> , <b>1</b>	180	179	1
2259+371	JVAS J2301+3726	Q	2.179	20.4	406	1.91	0.22		JCJ <sup>1</sup> , <b>3</b>	19	7	12
2309+454	JVAS J2311+4543	Q	1.447	20.0? <sup>r</sup>	597	1.23	0.18		U <sup>1</sup> , <b>0</b>	/	122	/
2310+385	B3 2310+385, JVAS J2312+3847	Q	2.181	17.5	484	3.03	0.23		U <sup>1</sup> , <b>0</b>	/	238	/
2319+444	JVAS J2322+4445	G <sup>r</sup>	1.251 <sup>r</sup>	19.9	366	0.31	0.17		J <sup>1</sup> , <b>2</b>	109	344	125
2346+385	B3 2346+385, JVAS J2349+3849	Q	1.032	19.1	640	21.63	1.97±0.57	s	J <sup>1</sup> , <b>2</b>	263	329	66
2351+456	4C +45.51, JVAS J2354+4553	LPQ	1.986	20.6	1145	5.49	0.47		J <sup>1,27</sup> , <b>2</b>	200	288	88
2352+495	JVAS J2355+4950	G	0.237	20.1	1552	0.44	0.14		U <sup>1</sup> , <b>0</b>	/	163	/
2353+816	S5 2353+81, JVAS J2356+8152	B	1.344	20.3	476	2.66	0.31		JCJ <sup>1</sup> , <b>2</b>	63	341	82
2356+390	B3 2356+390, JVAS J2358+3922	Q, C.g.l.	1.198	20.6	371	10.0	0.71±0.03	s	J <sup>1,27</sup> , <b>2</b>	336	230	106
2356+385	S4 2356+38, JVAS J2359+3850	Q	2.704	19.0	449	7.97	1.27±0.48	s	R <sup>1</sup> , <b>1</b>	90	180	90

continued on next page

**Table 2.** References and abbreviations for Table 1.

/ no VLA information found

- |                                  |  |                                      |                                       |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <sup>1</sup> T. Pearson          | <sup>8</sup> Peacock & Wall 1982       | <sup>15</sup> Browne & Perley 1986   | <sup>22</sup> Machalski & Condon 1983 |
| <sup>2</sup> Price et al. 1993   | <sup>9</sup> Antonucci & Ulvestad 1985 | <sup>16</sup> Reid et al. 1995       | <sup>23</sup> Perley 1982             |
| <sup>3</sup> Murphy et al. 1993  | <sup>10</sup> Neff & Hutchings 1990    | <sup>17</sup> Machalski et al. 1982  | <sup>24</sup> Bridle & Fomalont 1978  |
| <sup>4</sup> Vigotti et al. 1989 | <sup>11</sup> Wagner et al. 1996       | <sup>18</sup> Garrington et al. 1991 | <sup>25</sup> Kühr et al. 1986        |
| <sup>5</sup> Baum et al. 1990    | <sup>12</sup> Pedlar et al. 1983       | <sup>19</sup> Barthel et al. 1986    | <sup>26</sup> Hummel et al. 1997      |
| <sup>6</sup> Cassaro et al. 1999 | <sup>13</sup> Pedlar et al. 1990       | <sup>20</sup> Giovannini et al. 1999 | <sup>27</sup> Patnaik et al. 1992     |
| <sup>7</sup> Antonucci 1986      | <sup>14</sup> Patnaik et al. 1993      | <sup>21</sup> Machalski 1998         | <sup>28</sup> Thean et al. 1999       |

<sup>29</sup> Owen & Puschell 1984<sup>30</sup> Machalski et al. 1996<sup>31</sup> Perley et al. 1982<sup>32</sup> Ulvestad et al. 1983<sup>m</sup> : Marcha et al. 1996<sup>r</sup> : priv. comm. R. Vermeulen<sup>n</sup> : NED information

- |                  |                                       |        |                              |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|
| U:               | unresolved component                  | R:     | slightly resolved component  |
| (c)J:            | (complex) jet-like extended component | (c)D:  | (complex) double source      |
| (c)JCJ:          | (complex) jet- and counter-jet        | H:     | halo emission                |
| G <sub>L</sub> : | gravitational lens system             | C.g.l. | Candidate gravitational lens |

<sup>#</sup> Kim et al. 1991<sup>§</sup> Slee & Siegman 1983

**Table 3.** Calculated values for those sources that have not been detected by *ROSAT*. This table is only available in the online edition of the Journal.

Source	$\alpha = -0.75$								observed $\alpha$								VLBI flux [mJy]	VLBI core flux [mJy]	log( $R_C$ )	$R_V$
	$\beta_{app}$ [c]	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\Gamma_{sl}$	$\phi$ [deg]	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\Gamma_{sl}$	$\phi$ [deg]					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	
0016+731	15.893	0.12	0.07	0.02	-0.93	-0.14		7.20	0.15	0.09	0.00	-0.93	-0.23	860.16	7.20	1056	1673	-0.21	0.98	
0108+388	0.460	0.27	0.21	0.05	-0.62	-0.15	2.35	-52.22	0.23	0.17	0.01	-0.62	-0.07	2.79	-51.29	456	1714	-0.46	1.30	
0133+476	3.287	4.78	6.77	2.41	0.85	0.28	3.63	11.37	9.07	17.26	0.80	0.85	0.07	5.18	4.09	1686	1795	-0.03	0.99	
0227+403	3.531	0.34	0.27	0.10	-0.40	0.00	19.73	31.36	1.04	1.05	0.01	-0.40	-0.41	7.01	29.38	332	550	-0.12	1.26	
0444+634	10.927	2.60	3.22	1.56	0.66	0.30	24.44	9.90	3.72	5.27	0.58	0.66	0.18	18.03	9.38	368	654	-0.22	1.08	
0554+580	1.124	0.19	0.13	0.04	-0.74	-0.13	6.00	82.40	0.20	0.14	0.01	-0.74	-0.15	5.78	82.32	146	293	-0.79	0.32	
0627+532	10.199	1.85	2.12	1.38	0.59	0.37	29.27	10.85	10.84	32.34	0.15	0.59	-0.19	10.26	5.28	67	485	-0.86	1.00	
0641+393	7.066	3.42	4.49	1.53	0.66	0.21	9.16	13.13	7.09	12.73	0.39	0.66	-0.03	7.14	8.11	458	577	0.01	1.27	
0642+449	9.845	9.98	16.64	4.47	1.12	0.27	9.90	5.75	12.20	22.39	2.12	1.12	0.21	10.11	4.60	1568	1652	0.12	1.39	
0646+600	0.192	1.49	1.62	0.55	0.25	0.10	1.09	17.11	1.76	2.01	0.20	0.25	0.04	1.17	10.23	475	1055	-0.29	1.15	
0651+410	0.054	0.18	0.13	0.07	-0.67	-0.05	2.80	-6.40	0.33	0.24	0.01	-0.67	-0.28	1.68	-6.94	179	341	-0.38	0.80	
0711+356	3.015	2.74	3.43	1.93	0.72	0.35	3.21	21.13	15.37	51.48	0.26	0.72	-0.19	8.01	1.41	157	1245	-0.76	1.38	
0714+457	2.920	2.83	3.57	2.05	0.73	0.35	3.10	20.57	5.70	9.72	0.58	0.73	0.12	3.69	8.31	397	524	-0.08	1.09	
0724+571	4.588	1.04	1.05	0.48	0.17	0.16	11.09	23.46	3.07	4.49	0.08	0.17	-0.21	5.13	17.31	349	556	-0.05	1.42	
0800+618	7.941	9.86	16.40	5.59	1.19	0.34	8.18	5.69	17.25	38.75	2.01	1.19	0.17	10.48	2.53	961	1187	-0.01	1.21	
0900+520	9.389	0.10	0.06	0.01	-1.13	-0.27	465.40	12.16	0.23	0.15	0.00	-1.13	-0.62	190.77	12.15	225	302	-0.24	0.77	
0930+493	13.929	0.16	0.11	0.03	-0.87	-0.21	597.27	8.21	0.93	0.90	0.00	-0.87	-0.85	105.34	8.18	61	559	-0.97	0.97	
0942+468	1.513	0.10	0.06	0.02	-1.06	-0.21	16.72	66.77	0.22	0.14	0.00	-1.06	-0.53	7.59	66.15	224	353	-0.20	1.00	
0949+354	6.103	2.87	3.63	1.32	0.61	0.22	8.10	15.35	6.31	11.11	0.28	0.61	-0.04	6.19	9.12	256	374	-0.20	0.93	
0950+748	0.515	0.23	0.16	0.03	-0.80	-0.25	2.91	-56.43	2.63	4.06	0.00	-0.80	-1.12	1.56	9.43	266	662	-0.44	0.90	
1030+398	1.241	0.33	0.26	0.05	-0.59	-0.18	4.05	75.39	0.50	0.42	0.01	-0.59	-0.34	2.78	72.30	254	692	-0.41	1.07	
1030+415	4.186	1.33	1.42	0.56	0.26	0.16	7.62	24.59	9.51	25.88	0.05	0.26	-0.48	5.73	4.48	250	390	-0.29	0.80	
1031+567	0.472	0.27	0.20	0.05	-0.68	-0.20	2.41	-53.26	2.30	3.26	0.00	-0.68	-0.96	1.42	11.83	314	1090	-0.58	0.91	
1106+380	3.320	0.27	0.20	0.08	-0.49	-0.01	22.27	33.33	2.24	3.22	0.00	-0.49	-0.76	3.80	23.83	76	681	-1.06	0.79	
1124+571	8.056	0.93	0.91	0.22	-0.04	-0.02	36.02	13.97	5.01	9.36	0.02	-0.04	-0.59	9.08	10.26	325	424	-0.26	0.71	
1143+590	6.965	1.38	1.48	0.57	0.31	0.19	18.68	15.75	1.42	1.53	0.20	0.31	0.18	18.18	15.71	357	581	-0.28	0.86	
1144+542	3.426	1.99	2.32	0.80	0.41	0.16	4.20	25.00	4.38	6.87	0.14	0.41	-0.11	3.64	12.89	194	387	-0.40	0.80	
1146+596	0.155	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.80	-0.60	200.13	-17.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.80	-0.88	107.42	-17.62	72	501	-0.94	0.80	
1151+408	4.392	0.07	0.04	0.01	-1.26	-0.29	142.53	25.65	1.21	1.33	0.00	-1.26	-1.32	9.00	23.98	269	546	-0.15	1.44	
1155+486	9.743	2.95	3.75	1.49	0.66	0.26	17.75	10.75	9.05	19.53	0.25	0.66	-0.10	9.82	6.32	258	351	-0.24	0.79	
1216+487	6.684	1.87	2.14	0.63	0.33	0.10	13.17	15.83	8.72	19.90	0.08	0.33	-0.41	6.98	6.37	295	618	-0.36	0.91	
1223+395	0.144	0.36	0.29	0.09	-0.44	-0.06	1.60	-18.71	1.70	2.07	0.01	-0.44	-0.62	1.15	8.57	200	506	-0.34	1.16	
1321+410	0.297	0.11	0.07	0.02	-1.08	-0.28	4.95	-33.44	0.30	0.21	0.00	-1.08	-0.66	1.95	-35.90	189	413	-0.34	1.00	
1323+800	13.881	2.56	3.16	1.12	0.55	0.20	39.10	7.97	4.88	7.74	0.25	0.55	-0.01	22.27	7.34	242	543	-0.28	1.19	
1325+436	4.676	1.28	1.36	0.51	0.24	0.15	9.54	22.56	6.04	12.22	0.05	0.24	-0.37	4.91	9.26	140	595	-0.58	1.12	
1337+637	8.546	0.29	0.22	0.04	-0.69	-0.24	126.92	13.33	1.45	1.66	0.00	-0.69	-0.82	26.23	12.98	173	389	-0.40	0.90	
1356+478	0.146		0.01		-1.18			0.00		0.00		-1.18			0.00	237	446	-0.26	1.04	
1424+366	4.670	1.02	1.02	0.25	-0.02	-0.02	11.72	23.15	1.15	1.19	0.07	-0.02	-0.07	10.46	22.87	623	759	0.16	1.77	
1438+385	2.566	1.03	1.03	0.21	-0.08	-0.08	4.21	37.71	4.11	6.73	0.02	-0.08	-0.56	2.98	12.86	239	582	-0.60	0.62	
1448+762	4.475	0.31	0.24	0.05	-0.62	-0.19	34.17	25.08	0.57	0.48	0.01	-0.62	-0.42	18.85	24.83	272	406	-0.40	0.59	

continued on next page

Source	$\alpha = -0.75$								observed $\alpha$								VLBI flux [mJy]	VLBI core flux [mJy]	log( $R_C$ )	$R_V$
	$\beta_{\text{app}}$ [c]	$\delta_{\text{IC}}$	$\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$	$\delta_{\text{EQ}}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{con}}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\Gamma_{\text{sl}}$	$\phi$ [deg]	$\delta_{\text{IC}}$	$\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$	$\delta_{\text{EQ}}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{con}}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\Gamma_{\text{sl}}$	$\phi$ [deg]	(17)				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)					
1456+375	2.920	0.67	0.61	0.20	-0.19	-0.04	7.45	36.22	0.96	0.95	0.04	-0.19	-0.18	5.45	34.66	705	782	0.08	1.32	
1459+480	0.771	0.71	0.65	0.22	-0.08	0.05	1.48	86.55	1.55	1.77	0.03	-0.08	-0.23	1.29	37.74	405	526	-0.08	1.08	
1504+377	10.547	1.34	1.43	0.37	0.12	0.01	42.44	10.66	5.90	11.31	0.05	0.12	-0.48	12.46	8.27	331	685	-0.48	0.68	
1543+480	2.265	0.40	0.33	0.08	-0.47	-0.14	7.89	46.56	3.43	5.80	0.00	-0.47	-0.89	2.61	15.93	313	494	-0.15	1.12	
1732+389	5.104	11.64	20.08	8.36	1.29	0.38	6.98	3.64	54.51	275.95	2.21	1.29	-0.06	27.50	0.20	377	1228	-0.17	2.19	
1734+508	1.102	0.59	0.52	0.13	-0.28	-0.08	2.17	75.71	1.71	2.04	0.01	-0.28	-0.47	1.50	34.95	162	828	-0.69	1.04	
1812+412	6.689	0.95	0.94	0.30	0.05	0.06	24.61	16.68	3.99	6.65	0.03	0.05	-0.43	7.73	12.64	250	331	-0.33	0.62	
1826+796	0.685	0.06	0.03	0.01	-1.45	-0.38	13.33	-68.93	0.09	0.05	0.00	-1.45	-0.58	8.12	-69.12	138	597	-0.62	1.03	
1843+356	0.000	0.14	0.09	0.02	-0.97	-0.24	3.73	0.00	0.83	0.77	0.00	-0.97	-0.90	1.02	0.00	174	842	-0.66	1.06	
1850+402	4.390	0.36	0.29	0.06	-0.53	-0.15	28.42	25.51	1.27	1.38	0.00	-0.53	-0.61	8.60	23.82	182	657	-0.47	1.23	
1910+375	1.476	0.45	0.38	0.14	-0.27	0.02	3.74	64.90	2.05	2.69	0.01	-0.27	-0.52	1.80	28.78	191	374	-0.32	0.93	
1943+546	0.183	0.01	0.00	0.00	-2.30	-0.43	83.79	-20.74	0.09	0.03	0.00	-2.30	-1.50	6.01	-20.89	34	967	-1.44	1.03	
2007+659	0.645	5.47	7.98	3.88	0.99	0.37	2.86	2.52	23.40	82.48	0.78	0.99	-0.07	11.73	0.14	228	526	-0.52	0.70	
2021+614	0.318	0.91	0.89	0.29	-0.01	0.03	1.06	-83.97	1.90	2.30	0.05	-0.01	-0.23	1.24	13.19	1219	2171	-0.35	0.79	
2136+824	4.464	1.87	2.15	1.29	0.57	0.34	6.53	21.71	13.79	49.29	0.12	0.57	-0.29	7.65	2.44	93	285	-0.74	0.56	
2235+731	3.389	0.37	0.30	0.10	-0.35	0.01	17.12	32.54	0.66	0.59	0.01	-0.35	-0.21	9.75	31.82	214	319	-0.30	0.75	
2255+416	1.735	0.75	0.71	0.26	0.00	0.10	3.04	53.38	6.36	14.95	0.02	0.00	-0.61	3.50	4.67	220	1132	-0.70	1.02	
2310+385	4.459	0.06	0.03	0.01	-1.34	-0.32	165.62	25.28	0.52	0.39	0.00	-1.34	-1.12	20.51	24.97	142	511	-0.53	1.06	
2319+444	7.690	2.28	2.73	0.96	0.48	0.17	14.35	13.65	4.95	8.05	0.21	0.48	-0.09	8.55	10.54	499	552	0.14	1.51	
2351+456	9.380	1.31	1.40	0.38	0.17	0.07	34.52	11.94	10.92	31.74	0.03	0.17	-0.62	9.53	5.20	589	791	-0.29	0.69	
2352+495	0.362	0.01	0.01	0.00	-2.07	-0.52	38.59	-39.81	0.16	0.07	0.00	-2.07	-1.45	3.62	-40.64	138	1088	-1.05	0.70	

**Table 4.** Calculated values for those sources that have been detected by *ROSAT*. This table is only available in the online edition of the Journal.

Source	$\beta_{\text{app}}$ [c]	$\alpha = -0.75$							observed $\alpha$							VLBI flux [mJy]	VLBI core flux [mJy]	$\log(R_C)$	$R_V$
		$\delta_{\text{IC}}$	$\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$	$\delta_{\text{EQ}}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{con}}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\Gamma_{\text{sl}}$	$\phi$ [deg]	$\delta_{\text{IC}}$	$\delta_{\text{IC}}^{\text{con}}$	$\delta_{\text{EQ}}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{con}}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\Gamma_{\text{sl}}$	$\phi$ [deg]				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
0014+813	0.431	3.49	4.61	2.02	0.81	0.35	1.92	4.33	12.02	30.41	0.30	0.81	-0.04	6.06	0.34	851	1093	0.19	1.98
0035+413	5.430	6.42	9.71	5.90	1.16	0.47	5.58	8.85	6.43	9.73	4.73	1.16	0.47	5.59	8.83	302	1014	-0.57	0.91
0110+495	0.788	3.27	4.25	3.84	0.95	0.51	1.88	8.70	4.81	7.44	1.82	0.95	0.38	2.57	3.96	561	891	-0.10	1.25
0212+735	4.291	1.43	1.54	0.58	0.34	0.21	7.52	23.81	4.83	8.51	0.07	0.34	-0.20	4.42	11.91	1230	2066	-0.27	0.91
0219+428	5.810	0.47	0.40	0.25	-0.04	0.23	36.86	19.41	1.23	1.32	0.03	-0.04	-0.11	14.73	18.73	629	923	-0.11	1.15
0248+430	3.329	0.36	0.29	0.13	-0.27	0.10	16.89	33.10	0.51	0.43	0.02	-0.27	-0.03	12.06	32.77	134	1280	-1.02	0.91
0251+393	1.089	0.50	0.43	0.37	0.05	0.31	2.44	78.73	0.81	0.76	0.08	0.05	0.13	1.76	68.95	309	494	-0.12	1.21
0454+844	0.768	0.59	0.52	0.39	0.03	0.23	1.64	-87.66	0.85	0.82	0.11	0.03	0.09	1.36	78.21	229	1030	-0.79	0.74
0537+531	5.592	0.86	0.83	0.31	0.06	0.12	19.15	19.83	2.40	3.20	0.04	0.06	-0.24	7.93	17.25	478	747	-0.14	1.12
0546+726	0.860	0.25	0.18	0.09	-0.42	0.09	3.59	-83.46	0.96	0.95	0.01	-0.42	-0.41	1.38	68.72	99	262	-0.61	0.65
0600+442	5.562	0.07	0.04	0.02	-1.02	-0.05	225.93	20.38	0.63	0.50	0.00	-1.02	-0.87	25.85	20.14	150	461	-0.67	0.65
0609+607	5.657	1.43	1.55	0.45	0.23	0.10	12.25	18.90	4.26	6.90	0.05	0.23	-0.27	6.00	12.97	236	849	-1.65	0.08
0620+389	1.201	1.18	1.22	0.52	0.27	0.21	1.62	52.60	6.63	14.84	0.04	0.27	-0.36	3.50	3.09	351	574	-0.36	0.71
0633+734	16.892	1.41	1.52	0.57	0.30	0.17	102.34	6.73	8.10	19.48	0.05	0.30	-0.40	21.72	5.51	390	732	-0.28	0.98
0710+439	0.894	0.17	0.12	0.05	-0.65	-0.01	5.30	-84.54	0.61	0.51	0.00	-0.65	-0.48	1.79	84.65	161	1505	-1.00	0.92
0731+479	2.005	2.48	3.03	2.50	0.80	0.47	2.25	23.67	4.34	6.73	0.81	0.80	0.29	2.75	10.42	244	511	-0.34	0.96
0733+597	0.212	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.74	-0.48	249.19	-23.94	0.02	0.00	0.00	-2.74	-1.43	27.26	-23.95	23	132	-1.19	0.37
0743+744	9.740	0.85	0.82	0.28	0.03	0.08	56.72	11.64	1.60	1.84	0.04	0.03	-0.14	30.69	11.42	307	473	-0.19	0.99
0805+410	3.987	1.36	1.45	0.54	0.29	0.18	6.91	25.48	1.61	1.80	0.16	0.29	0.12	6.06	24.53	902	1050	0.08	1.41
0806+573	1.848	0.17	0.11	0.06	-0.61	0.05	13.20	56.53	0.50	0.40	0.00	-0.61	-0.37	4.66	54.20	315	400	-0.11	0.99
0814+425	1.617	2.29	2.76	1.47	0.59	0.29	1.93	25.22	4.27	6.55	0.47	0.59	0.08	2.56	9.25	630	1699	-0.48	0.90
0820+560	2.151	1.87	2.14	0.84	0.45	0.22	2.44	31.18	5.55	10.02	0.13	0.45	-0.14	3.28	7.12	1056	1585	-0.06	1.32
0821+621	1.795	0.10	0.06	0.02	-0.94	-0.08	21.84	58.14	0.32	0.21	0.00	-0.94	-0.55	6.79	57.09	562	583	-0.04	0.95
0831+557	0.396	0.05	0.02	0.01	-1.36	-0.23	12.41	-43.28	0.28	0.17	0.00	-1.36	-0.92	2.23	-45.93	903	4092	-0.81	0.71
0833+416	3.499	1.39	1.49	1.12	0.50	0.38	5.46	27.99	3.69	5.85	0.19	0.50	0.05	3.64	15.71	79	321	-0.69	0.83
0833+585	14.048	0.23	0.17	0.04	-0.66	-0.12		8.14	0.61	0.53	0.00	-0.66	-0.49	161.71	8.13	441	642	-0.18	0.96
0836+710	4.108	3.95	5.35	3.98	1.05	0.54	4.24	14.64	20.76	88.12	0.57	1.05	0.05	10.81	1.05	489	2408	-0.69	0.99
0850+581	1.353	2.86	3.61	1.53	0.66	0.28	1.93	16.70	10.22	24.11	0.26	0.66	-0.13	5.25	1.47	481	1023	-0.39	0.86
0859+470	1.791	2.04	2.39	1.08	0.53	0.27	2.05	29.38	16.52	63.34	0.11	0.53	-0.39	8.39	0.75	435	1151	-0.47	0.90
0859+681	4.550	1.60	1.78	0.76	0.39	0.21	7.57	22.23	3.12	4.36	0.15	0.39	-0.01	5.04	17.19	373	673	-0.30	0.90
0902+490	1.168	1.38	1.49	0.46	0.24	0.12	1.55	45.74	5.23	9.52	0.05	0.24	-0.32	2.84	4.82	476	620	-0.06	1.13
0917+449	2.098	2.00	2.33	1.02	0.53	0.28	2.35	29.56	3.60	5.21	0.22	0.53	0.08	2.55	14.40	777	1407	-0.12	1.36
0917+624	0.547	3.26	4.24	1.33	0.63	0.20	1.83	6.30	8.30	16.35	0.28	0.63	-0.11	4.23	0.92	822	1302	-0.21	0.98

continued on next page





Source	$\alpha = -0.75$								observed $\alpha$								VLBI flux [mJy]	VLBI core flux [mJy]	log( $R_C$ )	$R_V$
	$\beta_{app}$ [°]	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\Gamma_{sl}$	$\phi$ [deg]	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\Gamma_{sl}$	$\phi$ [deg]					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	
2017+745	0.963	5.79	8.55	5.42	1.13	0.49	3.06	3.30	12.39	27.95	1.59	1.13	0.25	6.27	0.72	141	312	-0.55	0.62	
2116+818	1.947	0.06	0.03	0.04	-0.82	0.20	38.70	54.33	0.21	0.11	0.00	-0.82	-0.29	11.58	53.95	61	143	-0.79	0.38	
2214+350	0.152	0.64	0.58	0.25	-0.06	0.10	1.12	-28.02	2.03	2.59	0.03	-0.06	-0.31	1.27	5.54	534	600	0.05	1.26	
2253+417	7.415	0.42	0.35	0.17	-0.17	0.14	66.26	15.31	1.67	2.03	0.01	-0.17	-0.35	17.60	14.64	292	1099	-0.58	0.98	
2346+385	5.617	0.26	0.20	0.08	-0.44	0.05	61.70	20.15	0.32	0.25	0.01	-0.44	-0.03	50.37	20.13	565	655	-0.05	1.02	
2356+385	2.439	1.19	1.23	0.54	0.29	0.23	3.52	37.50	5.90	12.27	0.05	0.29	-0.31	3.54	6.99	207	382	-0.34	0.85	
2356+390	2.346	0.71	0.65	0.35	0.08	0.21	4.96	43.17	2.29	3.09	0.04	0.08	-0.20	2.57	25.71	220	314	-0.23	0.85	

**Table 5.** Calculated values for those sources that have not been detected by *ROSAT* and are not shown in the plots of this paper. This table is only available in the online edition of the Journal.

Source	$\alpha = -0.75$					observed $\alpha$					VLBI flux [mJy]	VLBI core flux [mJy]	log( $R_c$ )	$R_v$
	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
0003+380	0.21	0.14	0.05	-0.68	-0.10	0.76	0.70	0.00	-0.68	-0.59	335	721	-0.21	1.31
0022+390	1.23	1.29	0.45	0.21	0.14	4.47	7.67	0.05	0.21	-0.30	130	600	-0.71	0.91
0145+386	0.24	0.18	0.03	-0.76	-0.24	0.58	0.49	0.00	-0.76	-0.57	296	458	-0.10	1.24
0151+474	7.91	12.53	5.58	1.13	0.37	13.07	26.80	2.58	1.13	0.21	717	798	0.15	1.58
0205+722	1.89	2.18	1.42	0.59	0.35	9.11	23.38	0.20	0.59	-0.15	109	272	-0.71	0.49
0249+383	0.31	0.24	0.06	-0.53	-0.10	3.72	6.92	0.00	-0.53	-0.96	216	361	-0.32	0.80
0307+380	3.72	4.99	2.01	0.76	0.27	2.17	2.47	3.43	0.76	0.46	516	521	-0.17	0.69
0340+362	0.59	0.52	0.19	-0.11	0.08	1.11	1.15	0.03	-0.11	-0.15	475	559	0.10	1.49
0604+728	0.20	0.14	0.06	-0.58	0.01	1.42	1.66	0.00	-0.58	-0.69	109	427	-0.78	0.65
0615+820	0.34	0.26	0.06	-0.57	-0.17	1.24	1.33	0.00	-0.57	-0.64	709	782	-0.15	0.78
0636+680	1.07	1.09	0.21	-0.04	-0.06	0.77	0.73	0.08	-0.04	0.06	481	482	-0.02	0.97
0650+371	0.97	0.96	0.38	0.14	0.16	1.41	1.54	0.08	0.14	0.02	334	1310	-0.47	1.34
0650+453	0.95	0.94	0.33	0.07	0.09	5.36	10.62	0.03	0.07	-0.49	415	467	-0.01	1.11
0707+476			0.49	0.23				0.06	0.23		272	854	-0.52	0.94
0730+504	4.83	6.85	3.81	0.97	0.39	5.16	7.53	2.67	0.97	0.37	561	828	-0.20	0.93
0738+491	4.31	5.97	2.18	0.81	0.27	3.31	4.18	1.73	0.81	0.36	677	691	0.28	1.96
0749+426	0.78	0.74	0.19	-0.10	-0.01	6.05	13.14	0.01	-0.10	-0.70	274	424	-0.23	0.92
0803+452	3.46	4.56	2.84	0.88	0.42	7.55	14.48	0.69	0.88	0.17	87	384	-0.68	0.93
0824+355	1.09	1.11	0.16	-0.16	-0.19	5.59	10.42	0.01	-0.16	-0.75	453	659	-0.22	0.88
0929+533	1.04	1.05	0.46	0.16	0.15	5.42	10.77	0.05	0.16	-0.40	133	270	-0.46	0.70
1053+704	3.20	4.14	1.40	0.64	0.21	7.70	14.68	0.27	0.64	-0.08	363	575	-0.27	0.85
1144+402	3.86	5.22	2.13	0.79	0.29	14.63	40.11	0.40	0.79	-0.13	507	639	-0.16	0.86
1218+444	1.65	1.85	0.64	0.32	0.13	7.31	15.57	0.08	0.32	-0.36	390	541	-0.09	1.13
1239+376	0.34	0.27	0.04	-0.70	-0.30	2.07	2.71	0.00	-0.70	-0.95	166	420	-0.43	0.94
1342+663	1.35	1.44	0.29	0.06	-0.05	17.39	67.30	0.02	0.06	-0.88	688	698	0.13	1.37
1355+441	0.03	0.02	0.00	-1.71	-0.44	0.36	0.23	0.00	-1.71	-1.36	195	537	-0.38	1.16
1421+482	0.35	0.28	0.07	-0.51	-0.13	0.63	0.56	0.01	-0.51	-0.35	235	398	-0.36	0.74

continued on next page

Source	$\alpha = -0.75$					observed $\alpha$					VLBI flux [mJy]	VLBI core flux [mJy]	log( $R_C$ )	$R_V$
	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
1432+422	1.63	1.81	0.62	0.30	0.12	3.30	4.70	0.12	0.30	-0.12	242	298	-0.16	0.84
1534+501	0.15	0.10	0.02	-0.96	-0.27	0.26	0.19	0.00	-0.96	-0.49	180	315	-0.30	0.88
1645+635	2.33	2.81	0.68	0.37	0.06	4.05	5.95	0.14	0.37	-0.13	128	178	-0.54	0.40
1818+356	0.01	0.00	0.00	-2.46	-0.55	0.09	0.03	0.00	-2.46	-1.67	70	70	-0.91	0.12
1839+389	2.56	3.16	1.12	0.55	0.21	4.59	7.08	0.24	0.55	0.01	219	202	-0.34	0.42
1851+488	1.05	1.07	0.31	0.05	0.03	2.41	3.15	0.05	0.05	-0.26	276	273	-0.10	0.78
1936+714	0.90	0.88	0.20	-0.09	-0.06	8.58	22.22	0.01	-0.09	-0.81	227	422	-0.24	1.08
1946+708	0.01	0.00	0.00	-2.20	-0.49	0.08	0.03	0.00	-2.20	-1.36	107	629	-0.78	0.97
2054+611	1.08	1.10	0.55	0.25	0.22	2.95	4.24	0.08	0.25	-0.13	182	360	-0.36	0.87
2229+695	0.52	0.45	0.12	-0.29	-0.04	0.48	0.41	0.03	-0.29	-0.02	273	983	-0.70	0.72
2238+410	1.21	1.26	0.62	0.29	0.22	2.59	3.48	0.12	0.29	-0.05	272	293	-0.40	0.43
2259+371	1.07	1.09	0.34	0.11	0.08	6.88	15.45	0.03	0.11	-0.54	95	341	-0.63	0.84
2309+454	1.31	1.39	0.42	0.18	0.08	1.66	1.88	0.11	0.18	-0.01	375	503	-0.20	0.84
2353+816	2.02	2.36	0.94	0.47	0.21	4.45	7.06	0.19	0.47	-0.05	318	484	-0.17	1.02

**Table 6.** Calculated values for those sources that have been detected by *ROSAT* but are not shown in the plots of this paper. This table is only available in the online edition of the Journal.

Source	$\alpha = -0.75$					observed $\alpha$					VLBI flux [mJy]	VLBI core flux [mJy]	$\log(R_C)$	$R_V$
	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
0010+405	0.55	0.48	0.29	-0.03	0.19	4.12	8.02	0.02	-0.03	-0.49	346	481	-0.48	0.46
0035+367	0.18	0.12	0.06	-0.61	0.03	1.82	2.44	0.00	-0.61	-0.81	91	113	-0.72	0.23
0109+351	3.55	4.70	3.66	0.94	0.47	8.00	15.97	1.16	0.94	0.21	261	379	-0.14	1.05
0153+744	0.07	0.04	0.01	-1.17	-0.21	0.45	0.33	0.00	-1.17	-0.90	87	1264	-1.25	0.82
0309+411	0.17	0.11	0.09	-0.48	0.17	0.37	0.27	0.01	-0.48	-0.14	334	462	-0.19	0.90
0316+413	0.15	0.10	0.15	-0.36	0.34	0.17	0.11	0.04	-0.36	0.28	3080	22410	-1.14	0.53
0402+379	0.02	0.01	0.01	-1.38	0.03	0.13	0.05	0.00	-1.38	-0.70	18	706	-1.72	0.75
0602+673	1.59	1.76	0.62	0.35	0.18	1.79	2.05	0.20	0.35	0.14	244	678	-0.43	1.03
0727+409	2.65	3.30	2.33	0.80	0.44	5.22	8.74	0.54	0.80	0.22	135	417	-0.54	0.89
0746+483	0.54	0.47	0.13	-0.24	-0.01	1.10	1.14	0.01	-0.24	-0.27	472	841	-0.26	0.98
0804+499	1.67	1.87	0.72	0.39	0.20	2.99	4.09	0.16	0.39	0.00	511	1494	-0.38	1.22
0812+367	1.40	1.50	0.44	0.20	0.08	4.54	7.62	0.06	0.20	-0.32	671	950	-0.17	0.97
0821+394	1.86	2.14	1.03	0.53	0.30	7.99	18.34	0.14	0.53	-0.18	673	1063	-0.18	1.05
0847+379	0.60	0.54	0.28	-0.04	0.15	4.13	7.77	0.02	-0.04	-0.51	190	245	-0.30	0.64
0945+408	1.71	1.93	0.59	0.32	0.12	4.52	7.35	0.10	0.32	-0.20	1439	1109	-0.04	0.70
0954+556	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.33	-0.81	0.01	0.00	0.00	-3.33	-1.74	584	1394	-0.59	0.61
0955+476	4.05	5.53	1.93	0.78	0.27	8.38	15.92	0.48	0.78	0.03	1022	1073	0.09	1.29
1038+528	0.19	0.13	0.04	-0.67	-0.07	0.59	0.49	0.00	-0.67	-0.49	627	749	-0.05	1.06
1044+719	2.10	2.47	0.69	0.38	0.11	1.56	1.70	0.44	0.38	0.22	577	972	-0.62	0.40
1053+815	0.62	0.56	0.25	-0.05	0.12	4.16	7.77	0.02	-0.05	-0.53	522	564	-0.17	0.73
1058+726	1.25	1.31	0.72	0.37	0.29	6.51	14.59	0.07	0.37	-0.26	75	430	-1.10	0.45
1105+437	2.88	3.65	2.07	0.74	0.35	4.72	7.30	0.67	0.74	0.19	253	312	-0.17	0.83
1125+596	2.04	2.39	1.01	0.50	0.24	4.88	8.11	0.19	0.50	-0.05	332	330	-0.07	0.84
1128+385	17.68	33.48	17.06	1.58	0.52	58.91	276.16	5.69	1.58	0.19	592	1005	-0.10	1.35
1213+350	0.02	0.01	0.00	-1.72	-0.29	0.17	0.08	0.00	-1.72	-1.12	31	1002	-1.57	0.87
1226+373	1.39	1.49	0.52	0.26	0.14	0.91	0.90	0.37	0.26	0.30	710	742	-0.13	0.78
1254+571	0.06	0.03	0.01	-1.29	-0.27	0.12	0.06	0.00	-1.29	-0.52	187	188	-0.35	0.45
1300+580	0.61	0.54	0.16	-0.17	0.01	0.62	0.55	0.04	-0.17	0.00	888	903	0.07	1.19
1306+360	6.99	10.77	5.82	1.15	0.43	8.34	13.98	3.79	1.15	0.38	378	418	-0.06	0.96
1347+539	0.29	0.22	0.08	-0.46	-0.01	3.32	5.95	0.00	-0.46	-0.85	427	784	-0.17	1.24
1413+373	0.83	0.80	0.35	0.09	0.15	2.14	2.74	0.04	0.09	-0.18	104	390	-0.57	1.02
1417+385	3.45	4.54	1.21	0.62	0.16	7.44	13.58	0.27	0.62	-0.09	621	619	-0.15	0.71
1427+543	1.95	2.26	0.73	0.40	0.16	8.44	18.99	0.08	0.40	-0.32	317	489	-0.35	0.68
1442+637	1.83	2.09	0.92	0.46	0.24	10.27	27.70	0.11	0.46	-0.32	301	502	-0.18	1.10
1526+670	1.41	1.52	0.46	0.22	0.10	4.32	7.09	0.05	0.22	-0.28	261	414	-0.20	0.99
1531+722	0.27	0.20	0.06	-0.55	-0.07	1.88	2.45	0.00	-0.55	-0.76	170	352	-0.42	0.78
1550+582	2.20	2.62	1.82	0.69	0.40	3.06	4.11	0.64	0.69	0.29	215	287	-0.23	0.78

continued on next page

Source	$\alpha = -0.75$					observed $\alpha$					VLBI flux [mJy]	VLBI core flux [mJy]	$\log(R_C)$	$R_V$
	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]	$\delta_{IC}$	$\delta_{IC}^{con}$	$\delta_{EQ}$	$\log(T_B/10^{11})$ [K]	$\log(T_{Bi}^{con}/10^{11})$ [K]				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
1622+665	2.45	2.99	2.29	0.72	0.39	2.45	2.98	1.70	0.72	0.39	197	242	-0.42	0.47
1629+495	2.10	2.47	1.72	0.64	0.37	3.92	5.92	0.51	0.64	0.16	322	447	-0.09	1.14
1636+473	2.37	2.88	1.32	0.59	0.27	4.04	6.00	0.40	0.59	0.09	340	750	-0.59	0.56
1638+398	1.94	2.25	0.47	0.25	0.01	2.49	3.12	0.13	0.25	-0.08	1766	1787	0.14	1.39
1638+540	0.18	0.12	0.02	-1.01	-0.38	0.51	0.41	0.00	-1.01	-0.77	205	286	-0.26	0.78
1641+399	2.79	3.50	1.64	0.70	0.33	6.50	11.90	0.41	0.70	0.05	3420	7400	-0.39	0.89
1642+690	0.64	0.58	0.22	-0.07	0.09	1.83	2.24	0.03	-0.07	-0.28	371	1870	-0.61	1.23
1645+410	1.46	1.59	0.70	0.34	0.20	2.96	4.10	0.15	0.34	-0.04	492	570	0.10	1.47
1656+477	1.46	1.59	0.70	0.36	0.22	1.93	2.28	0.20	0.36	0.12	729	1554	-0.29	1.09
1656+571	1.07	1.08	0.54	0.25	0.23	2.60	3.56	0.08	0.25	-0.08	279	417	-0.48	0.49
1719+357	0.87	0.85	0.83	0.33	0.38	1.88	2.31	0.18	0.33	0.11	212	454	-0.61	0.52
1722+401	0.96	0.95	0.48	0.20	0.22	2.65	3.68	0.07	0.20	-0.14	220	391	-0.38	0.73
1739+522	0.92	0.90	0.34	0.11	0.14	8.29	22.67	0.02	0.11	-0.59	1075	965	-0.02	0.85
1745+624	4.29	5.94	2.48	0.87	0.33	17.65	54.24	0.35	0.87	-0.11	329	472	-0.25	0.81
1749+701	0.19	0.14	0.06	-0.60	0.00	2.00	2.80	0.00	-0.60	-0.82	246	1268	-0.47	1.74
1751+441	0.33	0.26	0.09	-0.40	0.01	0.67	0.60	0.01	-0.40	-0.26	717	953	-0.14	0.95
1758+388	2.50	3.06	1.26	0.62	0.28	4.20	6.28	0.31	0.62	0.11	625	919	-0.06	1.27
1800+440	2.25	2.69	1.53	0.64	0.34	4.00	5.99	0.45	0.64	0.15	387	557	-0.47	0.48
1807+698	1.12	1.15	1.11	0.40	0.36	2.72	3.81	0.26	0.40	0.06	579	1604	-0.58	0.73
1834+612	1.64	1.83	0.71	0.37	0.19	3.09	4.28	0.14	0.37	-0.03	368	551	-0.20	0.93
1842+681	4.41	6.14	4.73	1.04	0.49	6.29	10.35	2.49	1.04	0.38	401	851	-0.37	0.91
1928+738	0.31	0.24	0.12	-0.31	0.12	0.96	0.94	0.01	-0.31	-0.30	1383	2835	-0.41	0.80
1950+573	0.52	0.45	0.25	-0.07	0.17	1.82	2.27	0.03	-0.07	-0.27	205	323	-0.37	0.68
2005+642	0.58	0.52	0.13	-0.24	-0.04	0.40	0.34	0.05	-0.24	0.10	805	802	0.04	1.08
2007+777	4.10	5.62	4.24	0.98	0.46	4.23	5.87	3.49	0.98	0.45	1234	2100	-0.02	1.64
2200+420	0.13	0.08	0.15	-0.40	0.35	0.38	0.26	0.01	-0.40	-0.08	838	1988	-0.63	0.55