Effect of pressure on the quantum spin ladder material IPA-CuCl₃.

Tao Hong, V. O. Garlea, A. Zheludev, and J. Fernandez-Baca

Neutron Scattering Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6393, USA.

H. Manaka

Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Kagoshima University, Korimoto, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan.

S. Chang, J. B. Leao, and S. J. Poulton

NIST Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA.

(Dated: October 25, 2018)

Inelastic neutron scattering and bulk magnetic susceptibility studies of the quantum S=1/2 spin ladder system IPA-CuCl₃ are performed under hydrostatic pressure. The pressure dependence of the spin gap Δ is determined. At P = 1.5 GPa it is reduced to $\Delta = 0.79$ meV from $\Delta = 1.17$ meV at ambient pressure. The results allow us to predict a soft-mode quantum phase transition in this system at $P_c \sim 4$ GPa. The measurements are complicated by a proximity of a structural phase transition that leads to a deterioration of the sample.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.25.+z, 75.50.Ee

I. INTRODUCTION

Phase transitions in quantum spin liquids have recently been attracting a great deal of attention.¹ Such systems remain disordered at T = 0 due to zero point quantum spin fluctuations and have an energy gap Δ in the magnetic excitation spectrum. If changing some external parameter, such as magnetic field or hydrostatic pressure, reduces the gap energy, a soft-mode quantum phase transition can be expected at the point where $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. Beyond the phase transition the system typically develops long-range magnetic order in the ground state. Fieldinduced ordering transitions are the easiest to realize experimentally and the most extensively studied.^{2,3,4,5,6} In that scenario one of the three lowest energy S = 1 gap excitations is driven to zero energy by virtue of Zeeman effect. In a Heisenberg spin gap system such a transition breaks SO(2) symmetry and is famously described as a Bose-Einstein condensation of magnons (BEC).⁷

Less studied are transitions driven by external pressure. These may occur in materials in which pressureinduced lattice distortions modify the exchange constants or magnetic anisotropy in such a way, as to reduce the gap energy.⁸ The transition is distinct from BEC in that it leads to a spontaneous violation of SO(3) symmetry in a Heisenberg system. To date, only one experimental realization of this latter mechanism has been found, namely in the S = 1/2 spin-dimer system TlCuCl₃.^{9,10} This compound is extremely sensitive to the effect of pressure, and the transition is observed at a critical value as low as $P_{\rm c} = 107$ MPa.

The present work focuses on another prototypical quantum spin liquid, namely the S = 1/2 quantum spin ladder material IPA-CuCl₃.^{11,12,13} The crystal structure and topology of magnetic interactions in this Heisenberg antiferromagnet were discussed in detail in Ref. 11. Cu²⁺-based S = 1/2 ladders with antiferromagnetic leg coupling run along the **a** axis of the triclinic $P\overline{1}$ crys-

tal structure. Spin correlations along the ladder rungs are ferromagnetic. Additional non-frustrating AF interactions are along the ladder diagonals. The ground state is a spin singlet with a spin gap $\Delta = 1.17$ meV. Small but measurable interactions between ladders account for a weak dispersion of gap excitations along the crystallographic **c** axis. Interactions along the **b** axis are negligible, as is magnetic anisotropy. The global minimum of the 3D dispersion is located at the magnetic zone-center (0.5, 0, 0). It is at this point where a magnetic Bragg peak appears when BEC of magnons and long-range ordering are induced in IPA-CuCl₃ by an external field exceeding $H_c = 9.7 \text{ T.}^{6,14}$ The main purpose of the present experiments is an attempt to suppress the gap and potentially induce an ordering transition in IPA-CuCl₃ by applying hydrostatic pressure, rather than a magnetic field.

II. BULK MEASUREMENTS

While less straightforward to interpret, bulk magnetic measurements are typically much easier to perform under pressure than neutron scattering experiments. For $IPA-CuCl_3$ we investigated the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility down to T = 1.5 K, using a superconducting quantum-interference device (SQUID) magnetometer in a DC field of 1 T and single crystal samples of a typical size $2 \times 6 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$. Pressures of up to 810 MPa were achieved using a TiCu piston cylinder clamp cell¹⁵ that was loaded at room temperature. The pressure medium was a mixture of two types of fluid fluorinert (FC70 : FC77 = 1 : 1). The produced pressure around 4.2 K was calibrated as a function of the applied load by means of the Meissner effect of Sn. Sample data are shown in Fig. 1. At ambient pressure (open circles) the susceptibility curve is consistent with that reported in Ref. 12 and has a activated character at $T \rightarrow 0$, which is a signature of a spin gap. At an applied pressure



FIG. 1: Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility in IPA-CuCl₃ is measured at various pressures in a DC field of 1 T. Samples previously pressurized to 810 MPa (solid circles) show a behavior different from that of never pressurized ones (open circles), but similar to that of those that have gone a structural transition at T = 323 K at ambient pressure (half-filled circles). The error bars are smaller than the data points. The arrow indicates a non-activated contribution at $T \to 0$.

of P = 410 MPa the low-temperature behavior changes qualitatively. Rather than dropping to zero, the $\chi(T)$ tends to a finite value at the lower end of the measurement range. The trend continues up to 810 MPa, with the low-temperature value steadily increasing. An unusual observation is the irreversibility of this effect: releasing the external pressure *does not return the susceptibility to its original values* (open circles in Fig. 1). Pressurizing the samples also has an irreversible effect on their visual appearance. As-grown crystals are dark red/brown, while those previously pressurized to 810 MPa acquire a pale beige color.

The irreversibility is clearly caused by structural damage to the samples, which in turn is likely due to a pressure-induced crystallographic transition. Indeed, IPA-CuCl₃ is known to be close to a structural phase boundary. At ambient pressure it undergoes a structure phase transformation at T = 323 K.¹⁶ This transition induces the same kind of color change in IPA-CuCl₃ single crystals as the application of pressure. The crystal structure at high temperature phase consists of linear chains and three Cu-Cl-Cu superexchange paths.¹⁶ The $\chi(T)$ curves for crystals previously taken through the T = 323 K transition (Fig. 1, half-filled circles) are also qualitatively similar to those collected in previously pressurized samples.

One can expect that the microscopic fracturing induced by the phase transition will have an effect on the spin ladders in IPA-CuCl₃ similar to what microfine grinding has on the Haldane spin chain mate-

rial NINAZ.¹⁷ A fragmentation of the ladders will release S = 1/2 degrees of freedom on the ends of every finitelength fragment. These free spins will constitute the dominant contribution to susceptibility and specific heat at low temperatures, where the contribution of uninterrupted ladder sections is exponentially small. Thus, any effect of the applied pressure on the spin gap is masked by the appearance of end-chain spins, and therefore can not be conclusively investigated by bulk susceptibility or calorimetric techniques. In this case, furthermore, pressure dependence of $\chi(T)$ curves do not show a systematic behavior because the sign as well as the absolute value of the rung exchange interaction is sensitively not only to the Cu-Cl-Cu bonding angle but also to the dihedral folding angle and twisting angle of the Cu_2Cl_6 dimer planes.¹⁸

III. NEUTRON SCATTERING MEASUREMENTS

The end-chain spins that interfere with bulk measurements have no intrinsic dynamics and therefore do not severely affect inelastic neutron experiments. In general, it is difficult to carry out inelastic neutron scattering under high pressure because of the limited sample space and the attenuation of the neutron beam due to the thick walls needed to contain the high pressure.

The gap excitations in IPA-CuCl₃ were studied under pressure in two separate runs on the SPINS 3-axis cold-neutron spectrometer at NIST. A pyrolytic graphite PG(002) monochromator was used in conjunction with a flat (Setup 1) or horizontally focusing (Setup 2) PG analyzer. The incident beam divergence was controlled by the ⁵⁸Ni guide before the monochromator. For setup 1 an 80' Soller collimator was placed between the sample and analyzer while a 120' radial collimator was used for setup 2. The final energy was fixed at $E_f = 5$ meV (Setup 1) or 3.7 meV (Setup 2) and a Be (Setup 1) or BeO (Setup 2) low-pass filter was placed after the sample.

In the first series of measurements (Setup 1) we utilized an aluminium He-gas cell that delivered pressure of up to 650 MPa. This cell has a neutron transmission of 65%, and the pressure can be changed *in situ*, without warming the sample up to room temperature, though the *P*-*T* curve for helium must be considered. Five fully deuterated single crystals of a total mass ≈ 150 mg were co-aligned to an irregular mosaic spread of 2° full width at half maximum (FWHM) at ambient pressure (each individual crystal had a mosaic of about 0.5° FWHM). Increasing the pressure to 620 MPa had no effect on sample mosaic.

The second setup (Setup 2) utilized the Al_2O_3 clamptype pressure cell as described in detail in Ref. 19. For this cell neutron transmission is strongly energydependent and can be as low as 10%.²⁰ Due to the cell design, it can only be loaded incrementally, each pressure change requiring its removal from the cryostat at room



FIG. 2: A typical constant- \mathbf{q} scan (raw data) collected at the magnetic zone-center in IPA-CuCl₃ at P = 620 MPa using Setup 1 (symbols). The line is a model for the background contribution, as described in the text.



FIG. 3: Background-subtracted constant-q scans collected in IPA-CuCl₃ at the magnetic zone center at various pressures (symbols). The solid lines are fits to the data based on a model cross section function convoluted with the spectrometer resolution, as described in the text. The arrow indicates a broadening of the inelastic peak or extra intensity inside the gap.



FIG. 4: Pressure dependence of the spin gap in IPA-CuCl₃ measured using inelastic neutron scattering at T = 1.5 K. A linear extrapolation suggests a soft mode quantum phase transition at $P_c \approx 4$ GPa.

temperature. In the experiment we utilized a 300 mg deuterated single crystal IPA-CuCl₃ sample with an initial mosaic spread of about 0.5°. The pressure medium was fluid fluorinert FC-75. All data were collected at P = 1.5 GPa where the mosaic of the crystal was Gaussian in shape, but irreversibly broadened to as much as 5.5°. The actual value of the applied pressure was determined by measuring the d-spacing in a single crystal of NaCl loaded in the same cell, following Ref. 19. Attempting to pressurize the sample beyond 1.5 GPa resulted in collapse of the sample. In both experiments the sample environment was a He-flow cryostat, enabling data collection at T = 1.5 K.

All the data were taken in constant-q scans at the magnetic zone-center (0.5, 0, 0). The data obtained using Setup 2 were corrected for the energy dependence of neutron absorbtion using the results of Ref. 20. The following procedure was used to determine the background. Beyond 0.8 meV energy transfer it was measured away from the magnetic zone-center at wave vectors (0.3, 0, 0)and (0.75, 0, 0), and fit to a straight line. In the range 0.2-0.8 meV it was taken directly from the (0.5, 0, 0) scan at ambient pressure, where no magnetic scattering is expected due to a 1.2 meV spin gap, and fit to an additional Gaussian profile to account for elastic incoherent scattering in the sample environment and thermal diffuse scattering in the monochromator. Fig. 2 shows raw data measured using Setup 1 at 620 MPa (symbols) along with the estimated background contribution (solid line). Fig. 3 shows typical background-subtracted scans obtained using Setups 1 and 2.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The data were analyzed by least-squares fitting to a parameterized model cross section function that was numerically convoluted with the calculated resolution of the spectrometer. We utilized the same two-Lorentzian representation of the Damped Harmonic Oscillator cross section for IPA-CuCl₃, as previously employed in the study of finite-temperature effects on gap excitations.²¹ At each pressure, the parameters of this model are the gap energy Δ , the excitation width (inverse lifetime) Γ and an overall intensity prefactor:

$$S(\mathbf{q},\omega) \propto (n(\omega)+1) \left[\frac{\Gamma}{(\omega-\omega_{\mathbf{q}})^2 + \Gamma^2} + \frac{\Gamma}{(\omega+\omega_{\mathbf{q}})^2 + \Gamma^2} \right], \qquad (1)$$

where $n(\omega) + 1$ is the Bose factor and the dispersion relation $\omega_{\mathbf{q}}$ is given by Eq. (2) in Ref. 11.

For Setup 1 good fits to the data are obtained in the entire scan range at all pressures, assuming resolutionlimited excitations with $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$. The results are plotted in solid lines in Fig. 3a and b. For the 1.5 GPa data set measured using Setup 2, however, the best fit corresponds to $\Gamma = 0.13(0.02)$ meV (solid line in Fig. 3c). This intrinsic width primarily accounts for the additional scattering present at low energies (arrow in Fig. 3c). For all experimental pressures the gap energies extracted from the fits are plotted vs. pressure in Fig. 4.

Despite the technical challenges, our neutron results established a steady decrease of the gap energy in IPA-CuCl₃ under applied hydrostatic pressure. While it is technically not possible to reach the quantum critical

- ¹ S. Sachdev, Nature Physics **4**, 173 (2008).
- ² W. Shiramura, K. Takatsu, H. Tanaka, K. Kamishima, M. Takahashi, H. Mitamura, and T. Goto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 1900 (1997).
- ³ K. Kodama, M. Takigawa, M. Horvatic, C. Berthier, H. Kageyama, Y. Ueda, S. Miyahara, F. Becca, and F. Mila, Science **298**, 395 (2003).
- ⁴ C. Ruegg, N. Cavadini, A. Furrer, H.-U. Gudel, K. Kramer, H. Mutka, A. Wildes, K. Habicht, and P. Vorderwisch, Nature **423**, 62 (2003).
- ⁵ S. E. Sebastian, P. A. Sharma, M. Jaime, N. Harrison, V. Correa, L. Balicas, N. Kawashima, C. D. Batista, and I. R. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 100404(R) (2005).
- ⁶ V. O. Garlea, A. Zheludev, T. Masuda, H. Manaka, L.-P. Regnault, E. Ressouche, B. Grenier, J.-H. Chung, Y. Qiu, K. Habicht, K. Kiefer, and M. Boehm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 167202 (2007).
- ⁷ T. Giamarchi, C. Ruegg, and O. Tchernyshev, Nature Physics 4, 198 (2008).
- ⁸ D. Schmeltzer and A. R. Bishop, Phys. Rev. **58**, R5905 (1998).
- ⁹ C. Ruegg, A. Furrer, D. Sheptyakov, T. Strassle, K. W.

point in our experiments, a linear extrapolation of the pressure dependence of Δ suggests that P_c will be close to 4 GPa. Such a high pressure is currently unavailable for inelastic neutron scattering at NCNR. An alternative technique may be high-field magnetization studies that probe the gap indirectly, by detecting the *field-induced* quantum phase transition under applied pressure. In IPA-CuCl₃ the corresponding critical field can be expected to decrease, and to reach zero at the pressure-induced critical point.

The broadening of the inelastic peak at P = 1.5 GPa in Setup 2 is to be linked with its drastically increased mosaic spread. It is most likely due to the fractioning of the crystals upon going through the structural phase transition discussed previously. As established theoretically and experimentally, finite lengths of ladder fragments in a 1D gapped quantum antiferromagnet will induce a broadening of gap the excitations similar to that at finite temperature.²² Note, that neither the sample mosaic, nor the inelastic peaks, are affected by pressure in Setup 1. The key difference is that in Setup 1 the sample was never warmed up above $T \approx 200$ K under pressure, and presumably never went through a phase transition. Any future studies aiming at exploring the incipient quantum phase transition in IPA-CuCl₃ should take heed of this, and aim to pressurize the samples at low temperature.

Research at ORNL was funded by the United States Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences-Materials Science, under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC. The work at NIST is supported by the National Science Foundation under Agreement Nos. DMR-9986442, -0086210, and -0454672.

Kramer, H.-U. Gudel, and L. Melesi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 257201 (2004).

- ¹⁰ C. Ruegg, B. Normand, M. Matsumoto, A. Furrer, D. F. McMorrow, K. W. Kramer, H.-U. Gudel, S. N. Gvasaliya, H. Mutka, and M. Boehm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 205701 (2008).
- ¹¹ T. Masuda, A. Zheludev, H. Manaka, L.-P. Regnault, J.-H. Chung, and Y. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 047210 (2006).
- ¹² H. Manaka, I. Yamada, and K. Yamaguchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 564 (1997).
- ¹³ H. Manaka, I. Yamada, Z. Honda, H. A. Katori, and K. Katsumata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 3913 (1998).
- ¹⁴ A. Zheludev, V. O. Garlea, T. Masuda, H. Manaka, L.-P. Regnault, E. Ressouche, B. Grenier, J.-H. Chung, Y. Qiu, K. Habicht, K. Kiefer, and M. Boehm, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 054450 (2007).
- ¹⁵ K. Koyama, T. Goto, T. Kanomata, and R. Note, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **68**, 1693 (1998).
- ¹⁶ S. A. Roberts, D. R. Bloomquist, R. D. Willett, and H. W. Dodgen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **103**, 2603 (1981).
- ¹⁷ G. E. Granroth, S. Maegawa, M. W. Meisel, J. Krzystek, L.-C. Brunel, N. S. Bell, J. H. Adair, B. H. Ward, G. E.

Fanucci, L.-K. Chou, and D. R. Talham, Phys. Rev. B 58, 9312 (1998).

- ¹⁸ H. Manaka and I. Yamada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 1908 (1997).
- ¹⁹ A. Onodera, Y. Nakai, N. Kumitomi, O. A. Pringle, H. G. Smith, R. M. Nicklow, R. M. Moon, F. Amita, N. Yamamoto, S. Kawano, N. Achiwa, and Y. Endoh, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 1 **26**, 152 (1987). ²⁰ I. A. Zaliznyak, D. C. Dender, C. Broholm, and D. H.

Reich, Phys. Rev. B 57, 5200 (1998).

- ²¹ A. Zheludev, V. O. Garlea, L.-P. Regnault, H. Manaka, A. Tsvelik, and J.-H. Chung, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 157204 (2008).
- ²² G. Xu, C. Broholm, Y.-A. Soh, G. Aeppli, J. F. DiTusa, Y. Chen, M. Kenzelmann, C. D. Frost, T. Ito, K. Oka, and H. Takagi, Science **317**, 1049 (2007).