

Supersymmetric Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Super Chern-Simons-matter theory

Bin Chen

*Department of Physics,
and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology,
Peking University,
Beijing 100871, P.R. China
bchen01@pku.edu.cn*

Jun-Bao Wu

*International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA),
via Beirut 2-4, I-34014 Trieste, Italy
and INFN, Trieste section, Via Valerio 2, I - 34127 Trieste, Italy
wujunbao@sissa.it*

ABSTRACT: We study supersymmetric Wilson loop operators in ABJM theory from both sides of the AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence. We first construct some supersymmetric Wilson loops. The perturbative computations are performed in the field theory side at the first three orders. We also obtain the sum of a class of Feynman diagrams to all orders using a matrix model. The fundamental string solution ending on a circular loop is also studied.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Supersymmetric Wilson loops in super Chern-Simons-matter theory	3
3. Perturbative computations	5
3.1 The calculations at the order of λ	6
3.2 The calculations at the order of λ^2	6
3.3 The calculations at the order of λ^3	10
3.4 The results from the matrix model	12
4. Holographic description	13
5. Conclusion and Discussions	14
A. The Feynman rules	15
B. The consequence of parity invariance	16

1. Introduction

Four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is one of the most remarkable field theories. Among other things, this theory appears as low energy effective theory of multi D3-branes. This leads to the famous AdS_5/CFT_4 correspondence [1, 2, 3, 4]. Besides the local operators, supersymmetric Wilson loops play an important role in this correspondence. The vacuum expectation value (VEV) of half-BPS straight Wilson lines equals to unit due to the global supersymmetries they preserve. But the vacuum expectation value of half-BPS circular Wilson loop depends on the coupling constant in a nontrivial way. The computation of this VEV can be reduced to a computation via a Gaussian matrix model. Evidence of this reduction was found first by direct perturbative calculations [5]: in the Feynman gauge, the contributions from the diagrams which are not ladder/rainbow diagrams cancel each other. Later, this reduction was obtained from the conformal transformation linking the circular loop and the straight line [6]. Recently this reduction was obtained through localization technique [7]. For the Wilson loop in the fundamental representation of the gauge group, the VEV computed via this matrix model has the same large N , large λ behavior as the one from the string theory dual description in terms of fundamental strings in AdS_5 ending on this loop [8, 9, 10, 11]. Similar conclusion was found for Wilson loops in symmetric (anti-symmetric) representation, in which case a better dual

description is in terms of $D3$ ($D5$) branes [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Various aspects of Wilson loops with less supersymmetries were discussed in [17]-[24].

The low energy effective theory of multi M2-branes and M5-branes is one of the most important subjects in M-theory. For multi M2-branes in flat eleven dimensional spacetime, the low energy effective theory is the IR limit of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ three dimensional super Yang-Mills theory. The construction of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ three dimensional conformal field theory for multi-membranes has been a vexing question for more than ten years. Recently, based on early works [25], this question was addressed [26, 27] with the help of a novel 3-algebra. The theory based on seemingly the only unitary 3-algebra was found to be a theory for two M2-branes on an orbifold [28, 29]. More interestingly it could be rewritten as a super-Chern-Simons theory of two gauge groups with opposite level[30]. This fact, combined with the earlier attempts of constructing three-dimensional super-conformal field theory as Chern-Simons theory [31, 32], inspired people to search for the Chern-Simons-matter theory with higher supersymmetry. In [33], the one with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry has been constructed. Very recently, a $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super Chern-Simons-matter theory was proposed in [34] to describe arbitrary number of M2-branes on the orbifold C^4/Z_k ¹. In [34], the dual M/string description of large N limit of three-dimensional conformal theory was discussed. Depending on different values of the parameters in the field theory, this so-called ABJM theory can be dual to M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$ or IIA theory on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$.

The subject of this paper is to study the supersymmetric Wilson loops in the ABJM theory. The pure Chern-Simons theory is a topological theory and the correlation functions of Wilson loops in this theory give various knot invariants [40]. The ABJM theory includes matters and is no more a topological theory. We construct 1/6-BPS Wilson loops which involve the matter fields. Both the dimensional considerations and the supersymmetries lead us to include the product of two matter fields in these operators.

As a first step to study these BPS Wilson loops, we perform the perturbative computations up to the order of λ^3 in the planar limit with possible reduction to matrix model in mind. We find that for one kind of 1/6-BPS Wilson loops, the perturbative calculations indicate the existence of this reduction while for another kind of 1/6-BPS Wilson loops, it seems not the case. As can be seen later, there are some aspects quite different from the perturbative calculations in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. One of them is that we need a special generalization of ladder/rainbow diagrams. Taking only the generalized ladder/rainbow diagrams into account, the perturbative calculation could be reduced to a matrix model calculation. We conjectured that the contribution from other diagrams cancel with each other so that the matrix model capture all-order information of VEV of Wilson loop operator.

One the other hand, we find a fundamental string solution ending on a circular loop on the boundary. The dual fundamental string solution here is quite similar to the one in AdS_5 case. This leads us to expect that this $F1$ -string solution is half-BPS, and it should be dual to a half-BPS Wilson loop which is to be constructed.

¹For some other discussions on three-dimension $\mathcal{N} = 4, 5, 6$ super Chern-Simons-Matter theories, see [35]-[39].

The other part of this paper is organized as following: in the next section, we construct some supersymmetric Wilson loops in ABJM theory and discuss the supersymmetries they preserve. In section 3, we first perform the perturbative calculations at the first three orders, then we give the all-order results from the matrix model. In section 4, we will discuss the string theory dual of the to-be-constructed half-BPS Wilson loops. The conclusion and discussions are put in the last section. We also include some needed Feynman rules and the consequence of parity invariance in two appendices.

Note added in proof

When this project was in progress, we learnt that this subject was also studied by Nadav Drukker, Jan Plefka and Donovan Young, whose paper [41] has overlap with ours.

2. Supersymmetric Wilson loops in super Chern-Simons-matter theory

In this paper, we study supersymmetric Wilson loop operators in three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super Chern-Simons-matter theory proposed in [34]. The gauge group of this theory is $SU_1(N) \times SU_2(N)$. The matters include bifundamental superfields A_1, A_2 and anti-bifundamental superfields B_1, B_2 . The Chern-Simons part of the action is:

$$S_{CS} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int (A_{(1)} \wedge dA_{(1)} + \frac{2}{3}A_{(1)}^3 - A_{(2)} \wedge dA_{(2)} - \frac{2}{3}A_{(2)}^3). \quad (2.1)$$

Notice that the levels of these two group are opposite. The superpotential of this theory reads:

$$W = \frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Tr}(A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 - A_1 B_2 A_2 B_1). \quad (2.2)$$

We denote $(A_1, A_2, \bar{B}_1, \bar{B}_2)$ as $Y^A, A = 1, \dots, 4$ which is in the fundamental representation of the R-symmetry group $SU_R(4)$.

The supersymmetry transformation of the gauge fields and the scalar fields are² [42]:

$$\delta A_\mu^{(1)} = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \left(Y^A \psi^{B\dagger} \gamma_\mu \omega_{AB} + \omega^{AB} \gamma_\mu \psi_A Y_B^\dagger \right), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\delta A_\mu^{(2)} = \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\psi^{A\dagger} Y^B \gamma_\mu \omega_{AB} + \omega^{AB} \gamma_\mu Y_A^\dagger \psi_B \right), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\delta Y^A = i\omega^{AB} \psi_B, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\delta Y_A^\dagger = i\psi^{B\dagger} \omega_{AB}, \quad (2.6)$$

where ψ_A is the supersymmetry partner of Y^A and $\omega_{AB} = -\omega_{BA}$ are the supersymmetry parameters and they are 6 Majorana spinors in three dimensional spacetime. The ω^{AB} is defined as

$$\omega^{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABCD} \omega_{CD}, \quad (2.7)$$

which has the following relation:

$$(\omega^{AB})_\alpha = ((\omega_{AB})_\alpha)^*. \quad (2.8)$$

²We don't present the supersymmetry transformations of fermions, which are not essential to our study.

We will search for supersymmetric Wilson loop operators in the following class:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}_{SU_1(N)} P \exp \left[i \int d\tau \left(A_\mu^{(1)} \dot{x}^\mu + s_A^B(x) Y^A Y_B^\dagger \right) \right] \\ & \times \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}_{SU_2(N)} P \exp \left[\pm i \int d\tau \left(A_\mu^{(2)} \dot{x}^\mu + \tilde{s}_B^A(x) Y_A^\dagger Y^B \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where s_A^B and \tilde{s}_B^A are Hermitian matrix, $A_\mu^{(1)} = A_{\mu a}^{(1)} (T^a)_i^j$, $A_\mu^{(2)} = A_{\mu \tilde{a}}^{(2)} (T^{\tilde{a}})_{\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{j}}$. We have also omitted the indices of Y^A, Y_A^\dagger which are $(Y^A)_{\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{j}}$ and $(Y_A^\dagger)_{\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{j}}$ ³.

For the above Wilson line operators to be supersymmetric, the supersymmetry transformation of each factor should vanish by itself. The transformation of the first factor is proportional to

$$-\frac{2\pi}{k} (Y^A \psi^{B\dagger} \gamma_\mu \omega_{AB} + \omega^{AB} \gamma_\mu \psi_A Y_B^\dagger) \dot{x}^\mu + i\omega^{AC} \psi_C Y_B^\dagger s_A^B + iY^A \psi^{C\dagger} \omega_{BC} s_A^B. \quad (2.10)$$

Then we get the following condition for the operator to be supersymmetric:

$$-\frac{2\pi}{k} \dot{x}^\mu \gamma_\mu \omega_{AB} + i s_A^C \omega_{CB} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

$$-\frac{2\pi}{k} \omega^{AB} \gamma_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + i\omega^{CA} s_C^B = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

In fact, eq. (2.12) is complex conjugation of eq. (2.11). Notice that ω_{AB} is antisymmetric, we get from eq. (2.11):

$$-\frac{2\pi}{k} \dot{x}^\mu \gamma_\mu \omega_{BA} + i s_A^C \omega_{BC} = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

from which and the following equation from relabelling the indices in eq. (2.11)

$$-\frac{2\pi}{k} \dot{x}^\mu \gamma_\mu \omega_{BA} + i s_B^C \omega_{CA} = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

we have

$$s_A^C \omega_{BC} = s_B^C \omega_{CA}. \quad (2.15)$$

Since the general case is not easy to study, now we consider the special case in which s and \tilde{s} are diagonal matrices:

$$s_A^B = s_A \delta_A^B, \tilde{s}_A^B = \tilde{s}_A \delta_A^B. \quad (2.16)$$

In this case, from eq. (2.15), we get:

$$(s_A - s_B) \omega_{AB} = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

this is to say that if $s_A \neq s_B$, $\omega_{AB} = 0$.

Now we turn to consider the second factor:

$$\frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}_{SU_2(N)} P \exp \left[\pm i \int d\tau \left(A_\mu^{(2)} \dot{x}^\mu + \tilde{s}_A Y_A^\dagger Y^A \right) \right]. \quad (2.18)$$

³The indices i, j are the color indices of $SU_1(N)$ and the indices \tilde{i}, \tilde{j} are the color indices of $SU_2(N)$. The normalization of the generators of the Lie algebra is chosen as $(T^a)_i^j (T^a)_j^k = \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k$, $(T^{\tilde{a}})_{\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{j}} (T^{\tilde{a}})_{\tilde{j}}^{\tilde{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{k}}$.

Similarly the supersymmetric condition for this factor gives:

$$\frac{2\pi}{k}\dot{x}^\mu\gamma_\mu\omega_{AB} + i\tilde{s}_B\omega_{BA} = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

and its complex conjugation. As before, we can get

$$(\tilde{s}_A - \tilde{s}_B)\omega_{AB} = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

From eqs. (2.11) and (2.19-2.20), we get

$$(s_A - \tilde{s}_A)\omega_{AB} = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

So if $s_A \neq \tilde{s}_A$, ω_{AB} must be zero.

Using all of the above results, and also taking the following condition into account:

$$\omega_{AB}^* = \omega^{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ABCD}\omega_{CD}, \quad (2.22)$$

we find the 1/6-BPS Wilson loops which is defined by $s_{1,2} = \tilde{s}_{1,2} = -s_{3,4} = -\tilde{s}_{3,4} = \pm\frac{2\pi}{k}|\dot{x}|$. Choose the positive sign for s_1 , the Wilson loop is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N}Tr_{SU_1(N)}P\exp\left(i\int d\tau(A_\mu^{(1)}\dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k}(A_i\bar{A}_i - \bar{B}_iB_i)|\dot{\vec{x}}|)\right) \\ & \times \frac{1}{N}Tr_{SU_2(N)}P\exp\left(\pm i\int d\tau(A_\mu^{(2)}\dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k}(\bar{A}_iA_i - B_i\bar{B}_i)|\dot{\vec{x}}|)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Here for straight Wilson line, the preserved supercharges are global. The Wilson loops preserve two supercharges in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 3$ Chern-Simons-matter theory was studied in [32]. Our 1/6-BPS operators is the generalization to ABJM theory of these operators. It is quite interesting to see if one can find other BPS Wilson loops within and/or out of the class eq. (2.9) we begin with. We also note we can change the \pm signs in the second factor into a phase factor without changing the supersymmetries. The meaning of this large class of Wilson loops is to be studied.

3. Perturbative computations

In this section, we compute the vacuum expectation values of these supersymmetric Wilson loops in perturbative Chern-Simons theory in the large N limit, so we will do expansion in powers of $\lambda = N/k$. Some needed Feynman rules are listed in Appendix A and we will choose the Landau gauge and use the regularization by dimensional reduction in [43]. We will mainly focus on the circular Wilson loops. We parameterize the circle as ⁴

$$x^\mu(\tau) = (L\cos\tau, L\sin\tau, 0), \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 2\pi. \quad (3.1)$$

We will do the computation up to the order of λ^3 . We find that the results up to this order indicate that the computations of the VEV for the operator in eq. (2.23) with $-$ sign

⁴The x^3 direction is the time direction.

can be reduced to the computations using matrix model. The case with + sign seems much more complicated and require further investigations.

As one can see in the following calculations, there are some remarkable differences in some aspects from the perturbative calculations of the VEV of half-BPS Wilson loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills, although both calculations indicate the reduction to a matrix model.

3.1 The calculations at the order of λ




Figure 1: The only contributing diagram at the order of λ .

We show in this subsection that the contribution at this order is zero for both signs in eq. (2.23). Due to the factor $\frac{2\pi}{k}$ in front of the product of two scalars, we need only consider the contributions from the gauge field. There is only one contributing diagram as in Fig. 1. The contributions from this diagram reads:

$$\frac{i^2}{N} \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \langle A^{\mu_1}(x(\tau_1)) A^{\mu_2}(x(\tau_2)) \rangle \frac{dx_{\mu_1}(\tau_1)}{d\tau_1} \frac{dx_{\mu_2}(\tau_2)}{d\tau_2}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the correlator of two gauge field is the free field one. The momentum space gluon propagator in the Landau gauge is proportional to ⁵

$$\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} p_\lambda}{p^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

By Fourier transformation, we get the position space propagator

$$\langle A^{\mu_1}(x(\tau_1)) A^{\mu_2}(x(\tau_2)) \rangle \propto \frac{\epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\lambda} (x_1 - x_2)^\lambda}{|x_1 - x_2|^3}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $x_i = x(\tau_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Using this result, and eq. (3.1), one can easily see that eq. (3.2) is equal to zero.

The result that eq. (3.2) equals to zero can also be obtained from the spacetime parity, as discussions on more complicated diagrams in subsection 3.3 (See also Appendix B) ⁶.

3.2 The calculations at the order of λ^2

We first focus on the contribution due to the expansion of the first factor. The planar diagrams at the order of λ^2 are listed in Fig. 2. From the discussions in the previous

⁵We don't need to consider the color factor in the computations at this order.

⁶We thank Nadav Drukker for discussions on this point.




Figure 2: The contributing diagrams at the order of λ^2 .

subsection, we know that the contribution from Figs. 2.a vanishes. The contribution from Fig 2.b can be computed first in momentum space, then the result can be transferred to the position space by a Fourier transformation. The contribution from this diagram is proportional to

$$\frac{i^2}{Nk^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \dot{x}(\tau_1)_\mu |\dot{x}(\tau_2)| \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi^3)} e^{[ip \cdot (x(\tau_1) - x(\tau_2))]} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} p_\nu}{p^2} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2q_\lambda - p_\lambda}{q^2(p-q)^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} p_\nu p_\lambda = 0$, we need only consider

$$\int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{q_\lambda}{q^2(p-q)^2} \quad (3.6)$$

in the last integration. From the Lorentz structure we know that this must be proportional to p_λ , so this will not contribute, either. We can check the above claim through direction computations using regularization by dimensional reduction in [43]:

$$\int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{q_\lambda}{q^2(p-q)^2} \quad (3.7)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \int_0^1 dx \frac{q_\lambda}{[(1-x)q^2 + x(p-q)^2]^2} \quad (3.8)$$

$$= \int_0^1 dx \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{q_\lambda}{[(q-xp)^2 + (x-x^2)p^2]^2} \quad (3.9)$$

After the shift $q \rightarrow q + xp$, we get

$$\int_0^1 dx \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{q_\lambda + xp_\lambda}{[q^2 + (x - x^2)p^2]^2} \quad (3.10)$$

the first term vanish and the second term is proportional to p_λ , this confirms our claim.




Figure 3: One-matter-loop correction to the gluon propagator.

Now we turn to the contributions from Fig. 2.c-2.d. The contributions from Figs. 2.c are from the one-loop corrections to gluon propagator, and the contribution from Fig. 2.d includes two scalar propagators. The combination of these two parts has a quite remarkable structure, which is the same as the sum of the gluon propagator in the Landau gauge and the scalar propagator in the four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. This is the main motivation which leads us to search for the reduction to a matrix model.

The sum of the contributions from these diagrams is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{i^2}{N} \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \left(\dot{x}(\tau_1)^\mu \dot{x}(\tau_2)^\nu \langle A^\mu(x(\tau_1))_i^j A^\nu(x(\tau_2))_j^k \rangle_{ol} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{2\pi}{k} \right)^2 |\dot{x}(\tau_1)| |\dot{x}(\tau_2)| \langle ((A_i \bar{A}_i - \bar{B}_i B_i)(x(\tau_1)))_i^j ((A_i \bar{A}_i - \bar{B}_i B_i)(x(\tau_2)))_j^k \rangle \right), \quad (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript 'ol' means one-loop. The contributions from the gluon loop and the ghost loop cancel each other [43], noticing that we are using the regularization by dimensional reduction. The contributions from one-matter-loop are from Figs. 3.a-3.c. Both the one-fermion-loop and the one-scalar-loop correction to the gluon self-energy are [43] (see also [32])

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(k) = -\frac{\delta^{ab}}{32} \left(p\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

Taking the two gluon propagators into account, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{4\pi}{k} \right)^2 \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\mu_1\mu_2} p_{\mu_2}}{p^2} \Pi_{\mu_1\nu_1}(k) \frac{\epsilon^{\nu\nu_1\nu_2} p_{\nu_2}}{p^2} \\ & = -\frac{\pi^2}{2k^2} \delta^{ab} \frac{p^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} - p^\mu p^\nu}{p^3}. \quad (3.13) \end{aligned}$$

In the ABJM theory, we have $4N$ scalars and $4N$ fermions, so totally we get:

$$-\frac{4\pi^2 N}{k^2} \delta^{ab} \frac{p^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} - p^\mu p^\nu}{p^3}. \quad (3.14)$$

The second term of the above propagator will not contribute to the final answer due to the gauge invariance. Then we throw that term away from now on. By performing a Fourier transformation of the first term to position space, we get

$$\int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)} \left(-\frac{4\pi^2 N}{k^2} \delta^{ab} \frac{1}{p^3} \right) = \frac{2N\eta^{\mu\nu} \delta^{ab}}{k^2(x-y)^2}. \quad (3.15)$$

As mentioned in [32], the form of this result is the same as the position space gluon propagator in four dimensional Yang-Mills theory. Now we need to include the color factor, this gives us

$$\frac{2N\eta^{\mu\nu} \delta^{ab}}{k^2(x-y)^2} (T^a)_i^j (T^a)_j^k = \frac{N^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_i^k}{k^2(x-y)^2}. \quad (3.16)$$

Here we use the normalization $(T^a)_i^j (T^a)_j^k = \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k$. So the contribution to

$$i^2 \dot{x}_\mu \dot{y}_\nu \langle (A^\mu(x))_i^j (A^\nu(y))_j^k \rangle \quad (3.17)$$

at this order equals to

$$-\frac{N^2}{k^2} \frac{\dot{x} \cdot \dot{y}}{(x-y)^2} \delta_i^k, \quad (3.18)$$

where $x \equiv x_1, y \equiv x_2$. Now we turn to discuss the contribution due to the product of two scalar propagators which appears in Fig. 2.d. Using the position space propagator of (anti-)bifundamental scalars

$$-\frac{i}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \delta_i^l \delta_k^{\bar{j}}, \quad (3.19)$$

we get that

$$\left(i \frac{2\pi}{k} \right)^2 |\dot{x}| |\dot{y}| \langle (A_i \bar{A}_i - B_i \bar{B}_i)_i^j(x) (A_j \bar{A}_j - B_j \bar{B}_j)_j^k(y) \rangle = \frac{N^2}{k^2} \frac{|\dot{x}| |\dot{y}|}{|x-y|^2} \delta_i^k. \quad (3.20)$$

The sum of eqs. (3.18) and (3.20) equals to

$$\frac{N^2}{k^2} \frac{\dot{x} \cdot \dot{y} - |\dot{x}| |\dot{y}|}{|x-y|^2} \delta_i^k. \quad (3.21)$$

When $x(\tau)$ is among the circle in eq. (3.1), the above result equals to

$$\frac{N^2}{2k^2} \delta_i^k \quad (3.22)$$

which is independent of the positions.

From this we get that eq. (3.11) is equal to

$$\frac{N^2}{2k^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 = \frac{\pi^2 N^2}{k^2}. \quad (3.23)$$

The second factor of the Wilson loop gives the same contribution. Then the total contribution is $\frac{2\pi^2 N^2}{k^2}$.

Now at the order of λ^2 , we are left with the contribution from Fig. 2.e. This diagram comes from the pure Chern-Simons theory and was studied in details in [45]. If one just

focus on the first factor of the Wilson loop, its contribution is proportional to a topological invariant $\rho(C)$ of knot C (as the contour of the Wilson loop). For the circular Wilson loop, the corresponding knot is an unknot, and $\rho(C)$ is equal to $-\frac{1}{12}$ ⁷. For the second factor, we are going to use a new 'parity' transformation of ABJM theory to get its contribution. This transformation exchanges the two gauge group, changes k into $-k$, and exchanges Y^A and Y_A^\dagger . Then among the propagators and the vertices from the action, only the gluon propagator and the three-gluon vertex are odd under this transformation, the others are even. If we choose the sign in the second factor of the Wilson loop to be positive (negative), then the two vertices from the Wilson loop will be even (odd) which leads to the results that the contributions from Fig. 2.e due to the second factor is the same as (opposite to) the one due to the first factor of the Wilson loop. Therefore, if we choose the sign in the second factor to be $-$, the total contribution from the diagram Fig. 2.e vanishes.

For the Wilson loop operators with minus sign in the second factor, the fact that only Fig. 2.c-2.d have nontrivial contribution motivates us to focus on the following class of Feynman diagrams. We will consider a class of Feynman diagrams in which the vertices only appear in the one-loop corrections to the gluon propagators. This class of diagrams could be taken as a generalization of the ladder/rainbow diagrams. If the diagrams other than this class cancel each other, the perturbative calculations will reduce to the computations using a matrix model [5]. We expect that the contributions of other diagrams cancel with each other. Notice that at the order of λ^n with odd n , none of these diagrams belong to the class of 'generalized ladder/rainbow diagrams', so we expect the total contributions from these diagrams vanish. We have confirmed this at the order of λ in the previous section. We will prove this at the order of λ^3 in the next section.

3.3 The calculations at the order of λ^3

As discussed in Appendix B, at this order we need only consider the diagrams with odd number of vertices with two scalars from the Wilson loops because of spacetime parity invariance⁸. These diagrams are listed in Figs. 4 and 5.

The contributions from Fig. 4 vanish due to the reason similar to what happens for Fig. 2.b in the previous subsection: the momentum space gluon propagator is proportional to $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}p_\lambda/p^2$, while the right part of these diagrams must be proportional to p_ν due to the Lorentz structure of the diagrams.

For the Wilson loops with $-$ sign in the second factor, the contributions from the diagrams in Fig. 5 vanish due to the 'parity' invariance of the ABJM theory used in the previous subsection: by simple counting, one can find that every diagram is odd with respect to this 'parity' invariance.

It is very interesting to generalize the above discussion to all orders of odd n . The spacetime parity invariance will play an important role in this, but as we see at the order of λ^3 , we need some other inputs. As for the supersymmetric straight Wilson lines, the

⁷For the details of the calculations, we refer to [45]. The important point here is that $\rho(C)$ is non-zero for unknot.

⁸Now we have $m + j = 3$ and we need only consider the diagrams with even j , so we only consider the diagrams with odd m .




Figure 4: Some contributing diagrams at the order of λ^3 .




Figure 5: Another set of contributing diagrams at the order of λ^3 .

VEV must be unit due to global supersymmetries they preserve, then the perturbative corrections should vanish at every order. If we choose the sign in the second factor to be $-$, we can easily see that it is the case up to the order of λ^3 . To get the same conclusion for the straight Wilson line with $+$ sign, we just need to show that at the order of λ^3 , the diagrams which are odd with respect to the new 'parity' invariance give vanishing total contributions for straight Wilson line. We leave this point for further studies.

We also notice that if we consider the VEV of the following Wilson loop:

$$\frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}_{SU_1(N)} P \exp \left(i \int d\tau (A_\mu^{(1)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} Y^A Y_A^\dagger |\dot{x}|) \right)$$

$$\times \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}_{SU_2(N)} P \exp \left(\pm i \int d\tau (A_\mu^{(2)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} Y_A^\dagger Y^A | \dot{\vec{x}} |) \right), \quad (3.24)$$

we find that the above discussion can be generalized to the computations of the VEV of this loop operator and we will arrive at the same conclusion.

3.4 The results from the matrix model

We give the total contributions from all of the 'generalized ladder/rainbow' diagrams in this subsection using the Gaussian matrix model. For every (*normal*) planar diagram with n internal lines⁹, we can replace each line with two scalar propagators (with the same ends) or the gluon propagator with the one-loop corrections. The contributions from the *Feynman* diagrams obtained from a single normal diagram is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{N^2}{2k^2} \right)^n \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \cdots \int_0^{\tau_{2n-1}} d\tau_{2n} \\ &= \left(\frac{N^2}{2k^2} \right)^n \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \frac{1}{(2n)!} \left(\frac{2\pi^2 N^2}{k^2} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(2n)!} (2\pi^2 \lambda^2)^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

If the number of such kind of normal planar diagrams with n internal lines is C_n , the total contributions of the generalized ladder/rainbow diagrams equal to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{(2n)!} (2\pi^2 \lambda^2)^n. \quad (3.26)$$

This equals to the large N limit of the following expectation value in the Gaussian matrix model [5],

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} e^M \right\rangle_{MM} \equiv \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}M \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} e^M e^{-\frac{\tilde{N}}{4\pi^2 \lambda^2} \text{Tr} M^2}. \quad (3.27)$$

The large N limit of the above result can be expressed using Bessel function:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\lambda} I_1(2\sqrt{2}\pi\lambda). \quad (3.28)$$

When we only consider the contributions from the generalized ladder/rainbow diagrams, the VEV of the full Wilson loop equals to the product of the VEVs of the two factors. So after taking the second factor into account, the total contributions from the generalized ladder/rainbow diagrams equals to

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2 \lambda^2} I_1^2(2\sqrt{2}\pi\lambda), \quad (3.29)$$

in the large N limit. The large λ behavior is

$$\frac{2e^{4\sqrt{2}\pi\lambda}}{\pi(8\pi^2 \lambda^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (3.30)$$

⁹There are no vertices in the normal planar diagrams.

4. Holographic description

Depending on the values of N and k , the gravity dual of the ABJM theory can be M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$ or type IIA theory on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ with two-form and four-form fluxes. In this section we will focus on the type IIA case which corresponds to the situation when $k \ll N \ll k^5$. As the four dimensional super Yang-Mills case, the Wilson loop in the fundamental representation of the gauge group should be dual to a fundamental string in this background while the better description for the Wilson loop in higher dimensional representation should be higher dimensional probe D-branes or bubbling geometry.

In this section, we find a fundamental string solution ending on a circle on the boundary. The dual IIA string theory background is ¹⁰

$$ds_{string}^2 = \frac{R^3}{k} \left(\frac{1}{4} ds_{AdS_4}^2 + ds_{CP^3}^2 \right), \quad (4.1)$$

$$e^{2\phi} = \frac{R^3}{k^3}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$F_4 = \frac{3}{8} R^3 \epsilon_{AdS_4}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$F_2 = kJ, \quad (4.4)$$

where ϵ_{AdS_4} is the volume form of unit AdS_4 and J is the Kahler form of CP^3 , and R satisfies

$$R^3 = 2^{5/2} \pi \sqrt{Nk}. \quad (4.5)$$

Using the Poincare coordinates in the AdS_4 part, the metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^3}{4k} \frac{1}{y^2} (dy^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2) + \frac{R^3}{k} ds_{CP^3}^2, \quad (4.6)$$

where $y = 0$ is the conformal boundary of AdS_4 . We put the circular Wilson loop at $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R^2$ and $x_3 = 0$ on the boundary. As in the AdS_5 case [8, 9], the worldsheet of this fundamental string should be completely embedded in AdS_4 ending on the Wilson loop [34]. The Nambu-Goto action of the fundamental string is

$$S_{NG} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}}, \quad (4.7)$$

where \tilde{g} is the induced metric on the worldsheet. The fundamental string solution we are looking for is the same as the AdS_5 case [10, 11]:

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y^2 = R^2. \quad (4.8)$$

The total action of this string is equal to

$$-\frac{R^3}{4k} = -\pi \sqrt{2\lambda}. \quad (4.9)$$

Since this fundamental string solution is quite similar to the one in IIB theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$, we expect that this solution is half-BPS. It should be very interesting to construct the dual half-BPS Wilson loop in the field theory side.

¹⁰We take $\alpha' = 1$.

5. Conclusion and Discussions

In this paper, we studied the supersymmetric Wilson loops in ABJM theory from both sides of the AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence. In the field theory side, we constructed 1/6-BPS Wilson loop operators and perform the perturbative computations of their VEVs at the first three orders. For one kind of circular 1/6-BPS Wilson loop, we found some evidences from the perturbation computations that the calculations of the VEV can be reduced to the one using matrix model. We also discussed the VEV obtained from the matrix model. In the string theory side, we found the fundamental string solution which should be dual to half-BPS circular Wilson loop.

There are a lot of interesting open questions we left for further studies. Here we list some of them. One natural question is to search for other BPS Wilson loops, especially the half-BPS one which seems to us not easy to construct. Even for the 1/6-BPS Wilson loops in this paper, our understanding is quite limited. To us, one of the mysterious points is that one of the 1/6-BPS Wilson loop can be reduced to the matrix model while another cannot. It should be important to have a deep understanding on this point. Recently a class of Wilson loops operators are constructed in ABJM theory based on M-theory considerations [46] following the line in [9]. The Wilson loop in eq. (3.24) is a special case in that class. It is interesting to check if the 1/6-BPS Wilson loops belong to that class of operators and search for new supersymmetric Wilson loops in that class. Maybe a further study in this direction using M-theory or IIA string theory will also tell us the deep difference between the two 1/6-BPS Wilson loops with different signs in the second factor.

We found some evidences for the reduction to matrix model mentioned above from the perturbative calculations at some low orders. It is of great interests to extend these computations to higher orders at which the six-scalar and the scalar-scalar-fermion-fermion vertices will appear. It is also interesting to go further to see if one can generalize the results here to all orders of λ and all orders of $1/N$, based on some ideas in [6, 7]. The origin of 'generalized ladder/rainbow diagrams' is also to be understood. We also notice that Berkovits' pure string formalism [47, 48] has been used in the string theory dual of ABJM theory [49, 50, 51]. Based on these works, maybe one can study the Wilson loops in ABJM theory using the ideas in [52] for the AdS_5 case.

The fundamental string dual to half-BPS operator is almost the same as the one in AdS_5 case. However the string solution dual to the 1/6-BPS operators may also be embedded in the CP^3 part of the background in a non-trivial manner as the string solution in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ dual to 1/4-BPS Wilson loop in super Yang-Mills theory [18]. It is interesting to explicitly find this solution. The probe brane dual to Wilson loops in higher dimensional representations need further studies as well. Another interesting question is to find $M2$ -brane in $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$ dual to these Wilson loops.

Some membrane solutions in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ is found in [53, 54]. By generalizing them to membrane solutions $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$ and using the reduction from M-theory to IIA theory, it is reasonable to get some fundamental string solution in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$. The field theory dual of these string solutions demands further studies.

Acknowledgments

The work was partially supported by NSFC Grant No.10535060,10775002 and NKBRPC (No. 2006CB805905). JB would like to thank Riccardo Argurio, Matteo Bertolini, Giulio Bonelli, Nadav Druker, Edi Gava, Chethan Gowdigere, Kimyeong Lee, Juan Maldacena, Jan Plefka, Houman Safaai, Mahdi Torabian and Ho-Ung Yee for helpful discussions. We also thank Nadav Druker and Jan Plefka for correspondence prior to submission. The Feynman diagrams are drawn using Axodraw [55].

A. The Feynman rules




Figure 6: Some needed Feynman rules

In this appendix, we list some of the Feynman rules needed in our perturbative calculation in ABJM theory. The diagrams are put in Fig. 6, and the corresponding expressions are the following:

1. gluon propagator

$$\pm \delta^{ab} \frac{4\pi}{k} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} p_\lambda \frac{1}{p^2} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

in the Landau gauge, where the up/down sign is corresponding to the first/sector factor of the gauge group.

2. scalar propagator: $\frac{i}{p^2}$.
3. fermion propagator: $\frac{i p_\mu \gamma^\mu}{p^2}$.
4. three-gluon vertex: $\pm \frac{k}{4\pi} f^{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}$. The up/down sign is corresponding to the first/sector factor of the gauge group.
5. gluon-scalar-scalar vertex: $-i(p + p')^\mu (T^a)_{ij}$.
6. gluon-gluon-scalar-scalar vertex: $= i\eta^{\mu\nu} (\{T_a, T_b\})_{ij}$
7. gluon-fermion-fermion vertex: $i\gamma^\mu (T^a)$.
8. one gluon emitted the Wilson loop: i for the first factor of the Wilson loop.

9. two scalars emitted the Wilson loop: $\pm i\frac{2\pi}{k}$ for A 's/ B 's in the first factor of the Wilson loop.

The last two vertices are from the Wilson loop operator. We don't give the express from the six-scalar vertex and scalar-scalar-fermion-fermion vertex since they only appear in higher orders of the perturbative computations. We also omit the ghost propagator and gluon-ghost-ghost vertex.

B. The consequence of parity invariance

The Chern-Simons action is invariant under the transformation:

$$x^\mu \rightarrow -x^\mu, A_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\mu(x) = -A_\mu(-x), k \rightarrow -k. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Then

$$\langle A_{\mu_1}(x_1) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(x_n) \rangle_{S(k)} = (-1)^n \langle A_{\mu_1}(-x_1) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(-x_n) \rangle_{S(-k)}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle_{S(\pm k)}$ means the correlators computed by using the Chern-Simons action with level $\pm k$. Recall that the circular Wilson loop is put at

$$x(\tau) = (\cos \tau, \sin \tau, 0), (0 \leq \tau \leq 2\pi). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The expansion of this Wilson loop reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(C) \rangle_{S(k)} &\equiv \langle P \exp \left[i \int d\tau \frac{dx^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} A_\mu(x(\tau)) \right] \rangle_{S(k)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \cdots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_n \frac{dx^{\mu_1}(\tau_1)}{d\tau_1} \cdots \frac{dx^{\mu_n}(\tau_n)}{d\tau_n} \\ &\quad \times \langle A_{\mu_1}(x(\tau_1)) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(x(\tau_n)) \rangle_{S(k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Using eq. (B.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(C) \rangle_{S(k)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \cdots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_n \frac{dx^{\mu_1}(\tau_1)}{d\tau_1} \cdots \frac{dx^{\mu_n}(\tau_n)}{d\tau_n} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^n \langle A_{\mu_1}(\tilde{x}(\tau_1)) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(\tilde{x}(\tau_n)) \rangle_{S(-k)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \cdots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_n \frac{d\tilde{x}^{\mu_1}(\tau_1)}{d\tau_1} \cdots \frac{d\tilde{x}^{\mu_n}(\tau_n)}{d\tau_n} \\ &\quad \times \langle A_{\mu_1}(\tilde{x}(\tau_1)) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(\tilde{x}(\tau_n)) \rangle_{S(-k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where $\tilde{x}(\tau) = -x(\tau)$. Using the fact $-x(\tau) = x(\tau + \pi)$, we can get

$$\langle W(C) \rangle_{S(k)} = \langle W(C) \rangle_{S(-k)}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

If we have perturbative expansion:

$$\langle W(C) \rangle_{S(k)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W(C)_n k^{-n}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

then we can get

$$W(C)_n = 0 \quad (\text{B.8})$$

for odd n .

Now we turn to the full ABJM theory, now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A_{\mu_1}(x_1) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(x_n) Y^{A_1}(y_1) Y_{B_1}^\dagger(y_1) \cdots Y^{A_m}(y_m) Y_{B_m}^\dagger(y_m) \rangle_{S(k)} \\ &= (-1)^n \langle A_{\mu_1}(-x_1) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(-x_n) Y^{A_1}(-y_1) Y_{B_1}^\dagger(-y_1) \cdots Y^{A_m}(-y_m) Y_{B_m}^\dagger(-y_m) \rangle_{S(-k)}, \end{aligned}$$

since the transformation acts on $Y^A(x)$ as

$$Y^A(x) \rightarrow Y^A(-x). \quad (\text{B.9})$$

The first factor of the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop in eq. (2.23)¹¹

$$\langle W(C)_{ABJM} \rangle_{S(k)} \equiv \langle P \exp \left[i \int d\tau \left(\frac{dx^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} A_\mu(x) + \frac{2\pi}{k} (A_i \bar{A}_i - B_i \bar{B}_i) \right) \right] \rangle_{S(k)} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} i^{n+m} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k} \right)^m \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \cdots \int_0^{\tau_{n+m-1}} d\tau_{n+m} |\dot{x}^{\mu_1} \cdots \dot{x}^{\mu_n} \dot{y}(\tau_{n+1})| \cdots |\dot{y}(\tau_{n+m})| \\ & \times \langle A_{\mu_1}(x_1) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(x_n) A_{i_1}(y_1) \bar{A}_{i_1}(y_1) \cdots A_{i_m}(y_m) \bar{A}_{i_m}(y_m) \rangle_{S(k)} + \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

here we define $x_i (\equiv x^{\mu_i}) \equiv x(\tau_i)$ and $y_j \equiv y(\tau_{j+n})$, the $+\cdots$ in the last equation means the ones get from the first term through changing some $A_i \bar{A}_i$'s into $-B_i \bar{B}_i$'s and/or through the nontrivial permutations of A_μ 's and $A_i \bar{A}_i$'s/ $-B_i \bar{B}_i$'s. It is enough to focus on the first term. Using the above argument, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \cdots \int_0^{\tau_{n+m-1}} d\tau_{n+m} |\dot{x}^{\mu_1} \cdots \dot{x}^{\mu_n} \dot{y}(\tau_{n+1})| \cdots |\dot{y}(\tau_{n+m})| \\ & \times \langle A_{\mu_1}(x_1) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(x_n) A_{i_1}(y_1) \bar{A}_{i_1}(y_1) \cdots A_{i_m}(y_m) \bar{A}_{i_m}(y_m) \rangle_{S(k)} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \cdots \int_0^{\tau_{n+m-1}} d\tau_{n+m} |\dot{x}^{\mu_1} \cdots \dot{x}^{\mu_n} \dot{y}(\tau_{n+1})| \cdots |\dot{y}(\tau_{n+m})| \\ & \times \langle A_{\mu_1}(x_1) \cdots A_{\mu_n}(x_n) A_{i_1}(y_1) \bar{A}_{i_1}(y_1) \cdots A_{i_m}(y_m) \bar{A}_{i_m}(y_m) \rangle_{S(-k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

where we have used the fact

$$|\dot{y}| = |\dot{y}|. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

If the left hand side of eq. (B.12) has the following expansion in power of $1/k$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{n,m,j} k^{-j} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

¹¹This discussion can be easily extended to the full Wilson loops. And in the rest part of this appendix, A_μ always means the gauge field of the first gauge group.

we get

$$f_{n,m,j} = 0 \tag{B.15}$$

for odd j . We need only compute the ones with even j . We also notice that $f_{n,m,j}$ appears at the order of k^{-m-j} in the expansion of $\langle W(C)_{ABJM} \rangle_{S(k)}$ due to the factor $2\pi/k$ in front of the product of two scalars.

References

- [1] J.M.Maldacena, “*The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [hep-th/9711200].
- [2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “*Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*,” Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802109].
- [3] E. Witten, “*Anti-de Sitter space and holography*,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].
- [4] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, “*Large N field theories, string theory and gravity*,” Phys. Rept. **323**, 183 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9905111].
- [5] J. K. Erickson, G. W. Semenoff and K. Zarembo, “*Wilson loops in $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*,” Nucl. Phys. **B582** (2000) 155–175 [hep-th/0003055].
- [6] N. Drukker and D. J. Gross, “*An exact prediction of $N = 4$ SUSYM theory for string theory*,” J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 2896–2914 [hep-th/0010274].
- [7] V. Pestun, “*Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops*,” [arXiv:0712.2824 [hep-th]].
- [8] S. J. Rey and J. T. Yee, “*Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **22**, 379 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/9803001].
- [9] J. M. Maldacena, “*Wilson loops in large N field theories*,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4859 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9803002].
- [10] D. E. Berenstein, R. Corrado, W. Fischler and J. M. Maldacena, “*The operator product expansion for Wilson loops and surfaces in the large N limit*,” Phys. Rev. D **59**, 105023 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9809188].
- [11] N. Drukker, D. J. Gross and H. Ooguri, “*Wilson loops and minimal surfaces*,” Phys. Rev. D **60**, 125006 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904191].
- [12] N. Drukker and B. Fiol, “*All-genus calculation of Wilson loops using D-branes*,” JHEP **0502**, 010 (2005) [hep-th/0501109].
- [13] S. Yamaguchi, “*Wilson Loops of Anti-symmetric Representation and D5-branes*,” JHEP **0605**, 037 (2006) [hep-th/0603208].
- [14] J. Gomis and F. Passerini, “*Holographic Wilson loops*,” JHEP **0608**, 074 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604007].
- [15] J. Gomis and F. Passerini, “*Wilson loops as D3-branes*,” JHEP **0701**, 097 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612022].
- [16] B. Chen and W. He, “*On 1/2-BPS Wilson-’t Hooft loops*,” Phys.Rev.D74:126008,2006, [arXiv:hep-th/0607024].

- [17] K. Zarembo, “*Supersymmetric Wilson loops*,” Nucl. Phys. B **643**, 157 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205160].
- [18] N. Drukker, “*1/4 BPS circular loops, unstable world-sheet instantons and the matrix model*,” JHEP **0609**, 004 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605151].
- [19] N. Drukker, S. Giombi, R. Ricci and D. Trancanelli, “*On the D3-brane description of some 1/4 BPS Wilson loops*,” JHEP **0704**, 008 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612168].
- [20] N. Drukker, S. Giombi, R. Ricci and D. Trancanelli, “*More supersymmetric Wilson loops*,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 107703 (2007), [arXiv:0704.2237 [hep-th]].
- [21] N. Drukker, S. Giombi, R. Ricci and D. Trancanelli, “*Wilson loops: From four-dimensional SYM to two-dimensional YM*,” Phys. Rev. D **77**, 047901 (2008) [arXiv:0707.2699 [hep-th]].
- [22] N. Drukker, S. Giombi, R. Ricci and D. Trancanelli, “*Supersymmetric Wilson loops on S^3* ,” JHEP **0805**, 017 (2008) [arXiv:0711.3226 [hep-th]].
- [23] A. Bassetto, L. Griguolo, F. Pucci and D. Seminara, “*Supersymmetric Wilson loops at two loops*,” JHEP **0806**, 083 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3973 [hep-th]].
- [24] D. Young, “*BPS Wilson Loops on S^2 at Higher Loops*,” JHEP **0805**, 077 (2008) [arXiv:0804.4098 [hep-th]].
- [25] A. Basu and J. A. Harvey, “*The M2-M5 brane system and a generalized Nahm’s equation*,” Nucl. Phys. B **713**, 136 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412310].
- [26] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “*Modeling multiple M2’s*”, Phys. Rev. **D75**,045020(2007)[arXiv:hep-th/0611108].
“*Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes*”, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 065008(2008) [arXiv:0711.0955]. “*Comments on Multiple M2-branes*”, JHEP **0802**, 105(2008)[arXiv:0712.3738].
- [27] A. Gustavsson, “*Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes*”, arXiv:0709.1260.
“*One-loop corrections to Bagger-Lambert theory*”, arXiv:0805.4443.
- [28] J. Distler et.al., “*M2-branes on M-folds*”, JHEP **0805**, 038(2008)[arXiv:0804.1256].
- [29] N. Lambert and D. Tong, “*Membranes on an Orbifold*,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 041602 (2008) [arXiv:0804.1114 [hep-th]].
- [30] M. Van Raamsdonk, “*Comments on the Bagger-Lambert theory and multiple M2-branes*”, arXiv: 0803.3803[hep-th].
M.A. Bandres, A.E. Lipstein and J.H. Schwarz, “ *$N = 8$ Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories*,” arXiv:0803.3242[hep-th].
- [31] J. H. Schwarz, “*Superconformal Chern-Simons theories*,” JHEP **0411**, 078 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0411077].
- [32] D. Gaiotto and X. Yin, “*Notes on superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories*,” JHEP **0708**, 056 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3740 [hep-th]].
- [33] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, “*Janus Configurations, Chern-Simons Couplings, and the θ -angle in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory*”, arXiv:0804.2907[hep-th].
- [34] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, “ *$N=6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals*,” arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th].

- [35] K. Hosomichi, K. M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, “*N=4 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Hyper and Twisted Hyper Multiplets*,” JHEP **0807**, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3662 [hep-th]].
- [36] K. Hosomichi, K. M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, “*N=5,6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and M2-branes on Orbifolds*,” arXiv:0806.4977 [hep-th].
- [37] M. Schnabl and Y. Tachikawa, “*Classification of N=6 superconformal theories of ABJM type*,” arXiv:0807.1102 [hep-th].
- [38] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, D. Roest, H. Samtleben and E. Sezgin, “*The Superconformal Gaugings in Three Dimensions*,” arXiv:0807.2841 [hep-th].
- [39] O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D. L. Jafferis, “*Fractional M2-branes*”, arXiv: 0807.4924 [hep-th].
- [40] E. Witten, “*Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*,” Commun. Math. Phys. **121**, 351 (1989).
- [41] N. Drukker, J. Plefka and D. Young, “*Wilson loops in 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetric Chern-Simons and their string theory duals*.”
- [42] S. Terashima, “*On M5-branes in N=6 Membrane Action*,” arXiv:0807.0197 [hep-th].
- [43] W. Chen, G. W. Semenoff and Y. S. Wu, “*Two loop analysis of nonAbelian Chern-Simons theory*,” Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5521 (1992) [arXiv:hep-th/9209005].
- [44] D. Gaiotto, S. Giombi and X. Yin, “*Spin Chains in N=6 Superconformal Chern-Simons-Matter Theory*,” arXiv:0806.4589 [hep-th].
- [45] E. Guadagnini, M. Martellini and M. Mintchev, “*Wilson Lines in Chern-Simons Theory and Link Invariants*,” Nucl. Phys. B **330**, 575 (1990).
- [46] D. Berenstein and D. Trancanelli, “*Three-dimensional N=6 SCFT’s and their membrane dynamics*,” arXiv:0808.2503 [hep-th].
- [47] N. Berkovits, “*Super-Poincare covariant quantization of the superstring*,” JHEP **0004**, 018 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0001035].
- [48] N. Berkovits, “*ICTP lectures on covariant quantization of the superstring*,” arXiv:hep-th/0209059.
- [49] P. Fre’ and P. A. Grassi, “*Pure Spinor Formalism for $Osp(N|4)$ backgrounds*,” arXiv:0807.0044 [hep-th].
- [50] G. Bonelli, P. A. Grassi and H. Safaai, “*Exploring Pure Spinor String Theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{C}P^3$* ,” arXiv:0808.1051 [hep-th].
- [51] R. D’Auria, P. Fre’, P. A. Grassi and M. Trigiante, “*Superstrings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ from Supergravity*,” arXiv:0808.1282 [hep-th].
- [52] G. Bonelli and H. Safaai, “*On gauge/string correspondence and mirror symmetry*,” JHEP **0806**, 050 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2629 [hep-th]].
- [53] O. Lunin, “*1/2-BPS states in M theory and defects in the dual CFTs*,” JHEP **0710**, 014 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3442 [hep-th]].
- [54] B. Chen, “*The Self-dual String Soliton in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ spacetime*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **54**, 489 (2008) [arXiv:0710.2593 [hep-th]].
- [55] J. A. M. Vermaseren, “*Axodraw*,” Comput. Phys. Commun. **83**, 45(1994).