# THE ASYMPTOTIC EFFICIENCY OF IMPROVED PREDICTION INTERVALS

BY PAUL KABAILA\* AND KHRESHNA SYUHADA

La Trobe University and Institut Teknologi Bandung

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University, Victoria 3086,

Australia.

e-mail: P.Kabaila@latrobe.edu.au

Facsimile: 3 9479 2466

Telephone: 3 9479 2594

Abstract. Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox (1994, p.319) modify an estimative prediction limit to obtain an improved prediction limit with better coverage properties. Kabaila and Syuhada (2008) present a simulation-based approximation to this improved prediction limit, which avoids the extensive algebraic manipulations required for this modification. We present a modification of an estimative prediction interval, analogous to the Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox modification, to obtain an improved prediction interval with better coverage properties. We also present an analogue, for the prediction interval context, of this simulation-based approximation. The parameter estimator on which the estimative and improved prediction limits and intervals are based is assumed to have the same asymptotic distribution as the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator. The improved prediction limit and interval depend on the asymptotic conditional bias of this estimator. This bias can be very sensitive to very small changes in the estimator. It may require considerable effort to find this bias. We show, however, that the improved prediction limit and interval have asymptotic efficiencies that are functionally independent of this bias. Thus, improved prediction limits and intervals obtained using the Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox type of methodology can conveniently be based on the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator, whose asymptotic conditional bias is given by the formula of Vidoni (2004, p.144). Also, improved prediction limits and intervals obtained using Kabaila and Syuhada type approximations have asymptotic efficiencies that are independent of the estimator on which these intervals are based.

**Keywords.** Asymptotic efficiency; estimative prediction limit, improved prediction limit.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that  $\{Y_t\}$  is a discrete-time stochastic process with probability distribution determined by the parameter vector  $\theta$ , where the  $Y_t$  are continuous random variables. Also suppose that  $\{Y^{(t)}\}$  is a Markov process, where  $Y^{(t)} = (Y_{t-p+1}, \ldots, Y_t)$ . For example,  $\{Y_t\}$  may be an AR(p) process or an ARCH(p) process. The available data is  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ . Suppose that we are concerned with k-step-ahead prediction where k is a specified positive integer. Also suppose that  $\widehat{\Theta}$  is an estimator of  $\theta$  with the same asymptotic distribution as the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator. We note that there are many possible choices for  $\widehat{\Theta}$ . For example, for a stationary Gaussian AR(1) model, commonly-used estimators of the autoregressive parameter include least-squares, Yule-Walker and Burg estimators. We use lower case to denote observed values of random vectors. For example,  $y^{(n)}$  denotes the observed value of the random vector  $Y^{(n)}$ . We also use the Einstein summation notation that repeated indices are implicitly summed over.

Firstly, suppose that our aim is to find an upper prediction limit  $z(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ , for  $Y_{n+k}$ , such that it has coverage probability conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$  equal to  $1 - \alpha$  i.e. such that

$$P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \le z(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \mid Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}) = 1 - \alpha$$

for all  $\theta$  and  $y^{(n)}$ . The desirability of a prediction limit or interval having coverage probability  $1 - \alpha$  conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$  has been noted by a number of authors. In the context of an AR(p) process, this has been noted by Phillips (1979), Stine (1987), Thombs and Schucany (1990), Kabaila (1993), McCullough (1994), He (2000), Kabaila and He (2004) and Vidoni (2004). In the context of an ARCH(p) process, this has been noted by Christoffersen (1998), Kabaila (1999), Vidoni (2004) and Kabaila and Syuhada (2008). Define  $z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  by the requirement that  $P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \leq z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}) = 1 - \alpha$  for all  $\theta$  and  $y^{(n)}$ . The estimative  $1 - \alpha$  prediction limit is defined to be  $z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$ . This prediction limit may not have adequate coverage probability properties unless n is very large. It may be shown that the coverage probability of  $z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$  conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$  differs from  $1 - \alpha$  by  $O(n^{-1})$ . Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox (1994, p. 319) modify (using a procedure clarified by Vidoni (2004) and described in detail by Kabaila and Syuhada, 2008, Section 2) the estimative prediction limit to obtain an improved prediction limit with better coverage properties. This improved limit, denoted  $z_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$  and described in Section 3 of the present paper, has coverage probability conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$  that differs from  $1 - \alpha$  by  $O(n^{-3/2})$ . The algebraic manipulations needed to obtain this improved prediction limit are feasible only for the simplest time series models. To avoid these manipulations, Kabaila and Syuhada (2008) propose a simulation-based approximation to this improved prediction limit.

In the present paper, we extend these results to prediction intervals as follows. In Section 4, we show that the estimative  $1 - \alpha$  prediction interval has coverage probability conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$  that differs from  $1 - \alpha$  by  $O(n^{-1})$ . In this section, we also present a modification of an estimative  $1 - \alpha$  prediction interval, analogous to the Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox (1994, p.319) modification of an estimative prediction limit, to obtain an improved  $1 - \alpha$  prediction interval with better coverage properties. We show that this improved  $1 - \alpha$  prediction interval has coverage probability conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$  that differs from  $1 - \alpha$  by  $O(n^{-3/2})$ . To avoid the extensive algebraic manipulations required to find this improved prediction interval, we propose a simulation-based approximation to this interval, analogous to Kabaila and Syuhada (2008) simulation-based approximation to the improved prediction limit.

The improved  $1 - \alpha$  prediction limit and interval are obtained from the esti-

mative  $1-\alpha$  prediction limit and interval, respectively, using a correction that includes the asymptotic bias of  $\widehat{\Theta}$  conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$ . Kabaila and Syuhada (2007, Section 4) present an example showing that this bias can be very sensitive to small changes in the estimator  $\widehat{\Theta}$ . A further illustration of this fact is provided in Section 2 of the present paper. It may require considerable effort to find the asymptotic bias of  $\widehat{\Theta}$  conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$ . In Sections 3 and 4 we show, however, that the improved  $1 - \alpha$  prediction limit and interval have asymptotic efficiencies that are functionally independent of this bias. This has the following two consequences. Firstly, if the improved prediction limit or interval is obtained algebraically using the Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox (1994, p. 319) methodology or its analogue, respectively, then the estimative and improved prediction limits and intervals can conveniently be based on the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator. This is because the asymptotic conditional bias of this estimator can be found using the very convenient formula of Vidoni (2004, p.144). Secondly, improved prediction limits and intervals obtained using a Kabaila and Syuhada (2008) type simulation-based approximation have asymptotic efficiency that is independent of the estimator  $\widehat{\Theta}$ , on which both the estimative and improved  $1 - \alpha$ prediction limits and intervals are based. Note that we assume throughout this paper that  $\widehat{\Theta}$  has the same asymptotic distribution as the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator.

## 2. SENSITIVITY OF THE ASYMPTOTIC CONDITIONAL BIAS TO SOME SMALL CHANGES IN THE ESTIMATOR

Consider a stationary zero-mean Gaussian AR(1) process  $\{Y_t\}$  satisfying  $Y_t = \rho Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ , for all integer t, where  $|\rho| < 1$  and the  $\varepsilon_t$  are independent and identically  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  distributed. Note that  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $(Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \ldots)$  are independent for each t. The available data is  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$ .

The least-squares estimator  $\hat{\rho} = \sum_{t=2}^{n} Y_t Y_{t-1} / \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} Y_t^2$  is obtained by maximizing the log likelihood function conditional on  $Y_1 = y_1$ . The Yule-Walker estimator  $\hat{\rho}_{YW} = \sum_{t=2}^{n} Y_t Y_{t-1} / \sum_{t=1}^{n} Y_t^2$  differs by a very small amount from  $\hat{\rho}$ . However, as proved by Shaman and Stine (1988),  $E(\hat{\rho} - \rho) = -2\rho n^{-1} + \cdots$ and  $E(\hat{\rho}_{YW} - \rho) = -3\rho n^{-1} + \cdots$ . This illustrates the great sensitivity of the asymptotic (unconditional) bias of an estimator of  $\rho$  to some small changes in this estimator.

We illustrate the great sensitivity of the asymptotic bias of an estimator of  $\rho$  conditional on the last observation to some small changes in this estimator as follows. Define the estimator  $\tilde{\rho} = \sum_{t=2}^{n} Y_t Y_{t-1} / \sum_{t=2}^{n} Y_t^2$ , which is obtained by maximizing the log likelihood function conditional on  $Y_n = y_n$ . This log likelihood function is found using the backward representation of the process:  $Y_t = \rho Y_{t+1} + \eta_t$ , for all integer t, where the  $\eta_t$  are independent and identically  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  distributed. Note that  $\eta_t$  and  $(Y_{t+1}, Y_{t+2}, \ldots)$  are independent.

The estimators  $\hat{\rho}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$  differ by only a small amount. They have the same asymptotic (unconditional) bias, since  $E(\hat{\rho} - \rho) = -2\rho n^{-1} + \cdots$  and  $E(\tilde{\rho} - \rho) =$  $-2\rho n^{-1} + \cdots$ . Yet their asymptotic biases conditional on  $Y_n = y_n$  are quite different. These asymptotic conditional biases are described as follows.

$$E(\hat{\rho} - \rho | Y_n = y_n) = (y_n^2 (1 - \rho^2) \rho (\sigma^2)^{-1} - 3 \rho) n^{-1} + \cdots$$
$$E(\tilde{\rho} - \rho | Y_n = y_n) = -2 \rho n^{-1} + \cdots$$

These expressions for asymptotic bias may be obtained using the formula for the asymptotic conditional bias of the maximum likelihood estimator described by Vidoni (2004, p. 144).

#### 3. EFFICIENCY RESULT FOR IMPROVED PREDICTION LIMITS

Let  $F(\cdot; \theta, y^{(n)})$  denote the cumulative distribution function of  $Y_{n+k}$ , conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$ . Also, let  $f(\cdot; \theta, y^{(n)})$  denote the probability density function corresponding to this cumulative distribution function. Assume, as do Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox (1994) and Vidoni (2004), that

$$E_{\theta}\left(\widehat{\Theta} - \theta \mid Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}\right) = b(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1} + \cdots$$
(1)

$$E_{\theta}\left((\widehat{\Theta}-\theta)(\widehat{\Theta}-\theta)^{T} \mid Y^{(n)}=y^{(n)}\right)=i^{-1}(\theta)+\cdots$$
(2)

where  $i(\theta)$  denotes the expected information matrix. We assume that every element of  $i(\theta)$  is  $O(n^{-1})$ .

Define  $H_{\alpha}(\theta|y^{(n)}) = P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \leq z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$ , which is the conditional coverage probability of the  $1 - \alpha$  estimative prediction limit. Using the fact that the distribution of  $Y_{n+k}$  given  $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n) = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  depends only on  $y^{(n)}$ , it may be shown that  $H_{\alpha}(\theta|y^{(n)}) = E_{\theta}(F(z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$ . Now define  $G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta|y^{(n)}) = F(z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$ . Thus  $H_{\alpha}(\theta|y^{(n)}) = E_{\theta}(G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta|y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$ . We now use the stochastic expansion

$$G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta | y^{(n)}) = G_{\alpha}(\theta; \theta | y^{(n)}) + \frac{\partial G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\theta}; \theta | y^{(n)})}{\partial \widehat{\theta}_{i}} \bigg|_{\widehat{\theta} = \theta} (\widehat{\Theta}_{i} - \theta_{i})$$
  
+ 
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\theta}; \theta | y^{(n)})}{\partial \widehat{\theta}_{r} \partial \widehat{\theta}_{s}} \bigg|_{\widehat{\theta} = \theta} (\widehat{\Theta}_{r} - \theta_{r}) (\widehat{\Theta}_{s} - \theta_{s}) + \cdots$$
(3)

By the definition of  $z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$ ,  $G_{\alpha}(\theta; \theta | y^{(n)}) = 1 - \alpha$ . Thus  $H_{\alpha}(\theta | y^{(n)}) = 1 - \alpha + c_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1} + \cdots$  where

$$c_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1} = n^{-1} \left. \frac{\partial G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\theta}; \theta | y^{(n)})}{\partial \widehat{\theta}_{i}} \right|_{\widehat{\theta} = \theta} b(\theta, y^{(n)})_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\theta}; \theta | y^{(n)})}{\partial \widehat{\theta}_{r} \partial \widehat{\theta}_{s}} \bigg|_{\widehat{\theta} = \theta} i^{rs} \quad (4)$$

where  $b(\theta, y^{(n)})_i$  denotes the *i*th component of the vector  $b(\theta, y^{(n)})$  and  $i^{rs}$  denotes the (r, s)th element of the inverse of the expected information matrix  $i(\theta)$ . In other words, the conditional coverage probability of the estimative  $1 - \alpha$  upper prediction limit  $z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$  is  $1 - \alpha + O(n^{-1})$ .

Define

$$d_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) = -\frac{c_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1}}{f(z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})}.$$
(5)

The improved  $1 - \alpha$  prediction limit described by Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox (1994, p.319) is

$$z_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) = z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}).$$

The conditional coverage probability of this improved prediction limit is  $P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \leq z_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}) = E_{\theta}(F(z_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$ . We now use the expansion

$$F(z_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) = F(z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) + f(z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) d_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) + \cdots$$
$$= G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta | y^{(n)}) + f(z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) d_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + \cdots$$

Thus

$$P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \le z_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$$
  
=  $H_{\alpha}(\theta | y^{(n)}) + f(z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) d_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + \cdots$   
=  $1 - \alpha + O(n^{-3/2})$ 

Note that the improved prediction limit  $z_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$  may be found algebraically using (4) and (5). When these algebraic manipulations become too complicated, the method of Kabaila and Syuhada (2008) may be used. For any given  $\theta$ , these authors estimate  $P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \leq z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}) - (1 - \alpha)$  by Monte Carlo simulation and use this estimate as an approximation to  $c_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1}$  (which appears in (5)). In Kabaila and Syuhada (2008), the formula for  $r(\omega, y^{(n)})$  should be  $n^{-1}c(\omega, y^{(n)})/f(z(y^{(n)}, \omega); \omega | y^{(n)})$  instead of  $c(\omega, y^{(n)})/f(z(y^{(n)}, \omega); \omega | y^{(n)})$ , so that  $d(\omega, y^{(n)}) = n^{-1}c(\omega, y^{(n)})$ , to order  $n^{-1}$ . We measure the asymptotic efficiency of the improved prediction limit  $z^+_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$  by examining the asymptotic expansion of  $E_{\theta}(z^+_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$ . In other words, this asymptotic efficiency is a function of  $\theta$  and  $y^{(n)}$ . This measure of asymptotic efficiency is consistent with the general guidelines put forward by Kabaila and Syuhada (2007) for comparing the efficiencies of prediction intervals. Using  $G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta | y^{(n)}) = F(z_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$ , we find that

$$d_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) = -n^{-1} \frac{\partial z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{i}} b(\theta, y^{(n)})_{i} - \left(\frac{f'(z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})}{2f(z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})} \frac{\partial z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{r}} \frac{\partial z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{s}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{r} \partial \theta_{s}} \right) i^{rs}$$

Now,  $z^+_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)})$  is equal to

$$z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + \frac{\partial z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{i}} (\widehat{\Theta}_{i} - \theta_{i}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{r} \partial \theta_{s}} (\widehat{\Theta}_{r} - \theta_{r}) (\widehat{\Theta}_{s} - \theta_{s}) + d_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + \cdots$$

Thus  $E_{\theta}(z_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$  is equal to

$$z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) - \frac{f'(z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})}{2f(z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})} \frac{\partial z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{r}} \frac{\partial z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{s}} i^{rs} + \cdots$$

We see that the asymptotic conditional bias  $b(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1}$  does not enter into this expression. This has the following two consequences. Firstly, if the improved prediction limit is found algebraically using (4) and (5) then we can use that estimator  $\widehat{\Theta}$  whose asymptotic conditional bias is easiest to find. Usually, this will be the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator whose asymptotic conditional bias can be found using the formula of Vidoni (2004, p.144). Secondly, if the simulation-based method of Kabaila and Syuhada (2008) is used then we know that the asymptotic efficiency of the improved  $1-\alpha$  prediction limit is independent of the estimator  $\widehat{\Theta}$ , on which the estimative  $1 - \alpha$  prediction limit is based. Note that we assume throughout this paper that  $\widehat{\Theta}$  has the same asymptotic distribution as the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator.

#### 4. RESULTS FOR IMPROVED PREDICTION INTERVALS

Suppose that our aim is to find a prediction interval  $[\ell(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n), u(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)]$ for  $Y_{n+k}$ , such that it has coverage probability conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$  equal to  $1 - \alpha$  i.e. such that

$$P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \in [\ell(Y_1, \dots, Y_n), u(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)] | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}) = 1 - \alpha$$

for all  $\theta$  and  $y^{(n)}$ . As in Section 3, define  $F(\cdot; \theta, y^{(n)})$  and  $f(\cdot; \theta, y^{(n)})$  to be the cumulative distribution function and probability density function (respectively) of  $Y_{n+k}$ , conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$ . Suppose that  $f(\cdot; \theta, y^{(n)})$  is a continuous unimodal function for all  $y^{(n)}$  and  $\theta$ .

Define  $\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  and  $u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  by the requirements that  $f(\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) = f(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$  and

$$P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \in [\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}), u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})] | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}) = 1 - \alpha$$

for all  $\theta$  and  $y^{(n)}$ . If  $\theta$  is known then  $\left[\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}), u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})\right]$  is the shortest prediction interval for  $Y_{n+k}$ , having coverage probability  $1 - \alpha$  conditional on  $Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}$ . We define the estimative  $1 - \alpha$  prediction interval to be

$$I_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) = \left[\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}), \, u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})\right].$$

Assume that (1) and (2) hold true.

Define  $H_{\alpha}(\theta|y^{(n)}) = P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \in I_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$ , which is the conditional coverage probability of the  $1 - \alpha$  estimative prediction interval. Using the fact that the distribution of  $Y_{n+k}$  given  $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n) = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  depends only on  $y^{(n)}$ , it may be shown that  $H_{\alpha}(\theta|y^{(n)}) = E_{\theta}(G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta|y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$ , where we define  $G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta|y^{(n)}) = F(u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) - F(\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$ . We now use the expansion (3). By the definition of  $\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  and  $u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$ ,  $G_{\alpha}(\theta; \theta|y^{(n)}) = 1 - \alpha$ . Thus  $H_{\alpha}(\theta|y^{(n)}) = 1 - \alpha + c_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1} + \cdots$  where

 $c_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1}$  is given by (4). In other words, the conditional coverage probability of the estimative  $1 - \alpha$  upper prediction interval  $I_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$  is  $1 - \alpha + O(n^{-1})$ .

Suppose that  $d^{\ell}_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  and  $d^{u}_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  are both  $O(n^{-1})$  for every  $\theta$  and  $y^{(n)}$ . Also suppose that

$$d^{\ell}_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + d^{u}_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) = -\frac{c_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1}}{f(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})}.$$
(6)

Note that we could replace  $f(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$  in the denominator of the expression on the right-hand side by  $f(\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$ , since  $f(\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) = f(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$ . The improved  $1 - \alpha$  prediction interval is

$$I_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) = \left[\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) - d_{\alpha}^{\ell}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}), u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}^{u}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})\right].$$

The conditional coverage probability of this improved prediction interval is

$$P_{\theta} \left( Y_{n+k} \in I_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) \mid Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)} \right)$$
$$= E_{\theta} \left( F(u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}^{u}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) - F(\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) - d_{\alpha}^{\ell}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) \mid Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)} \right)$$

We now use the stochastic expansion

$$F(u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}^{u}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) - F(\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) - d_{\alpha}^{\ell}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$$

$$= F(u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) + f(u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) d_{\alpha}^{u}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)})$$

$$- F(\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) + f(\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) d_{\alpha}^{\ell}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) + \cdots$$

$$= G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta | y^{(n)}) + f(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) (d_{\alpha}^{\ell}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}^{u}(\theta, y^{(n)})) + \cdots$$

Thus

$$P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \in I_{\alpha}^{+}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$$
  
=  $H_{\alpha}(\theta | y^{(n)}) + f(z_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) (d_{\alpha}^{\ell}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}^{u}(\theta, y^{(n)})) + \cdots$   
=  $1 - \alpha + O(n^{-3/2})$ 

Note that the improved prediction interval  $I^+_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$  may be found algebraically using (4) and (6). When these algebraic manipulations become too complicated, a simulation-based method, similar to that described by Kabaila and Syuhada (2008) for prediction intervals, may be used. For any given  $\theta$ , we estimate  $P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \in I_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)}) - (1 - \alpha)$  by Monte Carlo simulation and use this estimate as an approximation to  $c_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1}$  (which appears in (6)).

We measure the asymptotic efficiency of the improved prediction interval  $I^+_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})$  by examining the asymptotic expansion of  $E_{\theta}(\text{length of } I^+_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) \mid Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$ . In other words, this asymptotic efficiency is a function of  $\theta$  and  $y^{(n)}$ . Using  $G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}; \theta | y^{(n)}) = F(u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}) - F(\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})$ , we find that

$$\frac{\partial G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\theta}; \theta | y^{(n)})}{\partial \widehat{\theta}_{i}} \bigg|_{\widehat{\theta}=\theta} = f\left(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{i}} - \frac{\partial \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\theta}; \theta | y^{(n)})}{\partial \widehat{\theta}_r \partial \widehat{\theta}_s} \bigg|_{\widehat{\theta}=\theta} = & f' \big( u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)} \big) \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_r} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_s} \\ &+ f \big( u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)} \big) \frac{\partial^2 u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_r \partial \theta_s} \\ &- f' \big( \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)} \big) \frac{\partial \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_r} \frac{\partial \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_s} \\ &- f \big( \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)} \big) \frac{\partial^2 \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_r \partial \theta_s} \end{aligned}$$

We now substitute these expressions into (4) and (6), to obtain an expression for  $d^{\ell}_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + d^{u}_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  in terms of  $b(\theta, y^{(n)})_{i}$  and  $i^{rs}$ . Now, the length of  $I^+_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)})$  is equal to

$$\begin{split} u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) &- \ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}^{u}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}^{\ell}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) \\ &= u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{i}} (\widehat{\Theta}_{i} - \theta_{i}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{r} \partial \theta_{s}} (\widehat{\Theta}_{r} - \theta_{r}) (\widehat{\Theta}_{s} - \theta_{s}) \\ &- \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) - \frac{\partial \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{i}} (\widehat{\Theta}_{i} - \theta_{i}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{r} \partial \theta_{s}} (\widehat{\Theta}_{r} - \theta_{r}) (\widehat{\Theta}_{s} - \theta_{s}) \\ &+ d_{\alpha}^{u}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + d_{\alpha}^{\ell}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + \cdots \end{split}$$

Thus  $E_{\theta}(\text{length of } I^+_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, y^{(n)}) | Y^{(n)} = y^{(n)})$  is equal to

$$u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) - \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f'(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})}{f(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_r} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_s} - \frac{f'(\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})}{f(\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})} \frac{\partial \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_r} \frac{\partial \ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_s} \right) i^{rs} + \cdots$$

We see that the asymptotic conditional bias  $b(\theta, y^{(n)})n^{-1}$  does not enter into this expression. This has the following two consequences. Firstly, if the improved prediction interval is found algebraically using (4) and (6) then we can use that estimator  $\widehat{\Theta}$  whose asymptotic conditional bias is easiest to find. Usually, this will be the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator whose asymptotic conditional bias can be found using the formula of Vidoni (2004, p.144). Secondly, if the simulation-based method, similar to that of Kabaila and Syuhada (2008), is used then we know that the asymptotic efficiency of the improved  $1 - \alpha$  prediction limit is independent of the estimator  $\widehat{\Theta}$ , on which the estimative  $1 - \alpha$  prediction limit is based. Note that we assume throughout this paper that  $\widehat{\Theta}$  has the same asymptotic distribution as the (conditional) maximum likelihood estimator.

Now consider the particular case that  $f(\cdot; \theta, y^{(n)})$  is also symmetric about  $m(\theta, y^{(n)})$  for all  $y^{(n)}$  and  $\theta$ . In other words, suppose that, for every  $y^{(n)}$  and  $\theta$ ,  $f(m(\theta, y^{(n)}) - w; \theta, y^{(n)}) = f(m(\theta, y^{(n)}) + w; \theta, y^{(n)})$  for all w > 0. In this case, we may choose  $d^{\ell}_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) = d^{u}_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) = \delta_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$ , say. Define  $w_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  by the requirement that  $P_{\theta}(Y_{n+k} \in [m(\theta, y^{(n)}) - w_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}), m(\theta, y^{(n)}) + w_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})] | Y^{(n)} =$ 

 $y^{(n)} = 1 - \alpha$  for all  $\theta$  and  $y^{(n)}$ . Thus  $\ell_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) = m_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) - w_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  and  $u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) = m_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}) + w_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$ . It may be shown that  $\delta_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})$  is equal to

$$-n^{-1} \frac{\partial w_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_{i}} b(\theta, y^{(n)})_{i} - \frac{1}{4f(u_{\alpha}(\theta, y^{(n)}); \theta, y^{(n)})} \frac{\partial^{2} G_{\alpha}(\widehat{\theta}; \theta | y^{(n)})}{\partial \widehat{\theta}_{r} \partial \widehat{\theta}_{s}} \bigg|_{\widehat{\theta}=\theta} i^{rs}$$

The improved prediction interval  $\left[\ell_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) - \delta_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}), u_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)}) + \delta_{\alpha}(\widehat{\Theta}, Y^{(n)})\right]$ has been considered in the context of one-step-ahead prediction for  $\{Y_t\}$  a stationary zero-mean Gaussian AR(1) process by Kabaila and Syuhada (2007, Section 4), where the formula for  $d(\theta, y_n)$  should be  $-c(\theta, y_n)/(2v^{-1/2}\phi(z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}))$  instead of  $-c(\theta, y_n)/(2\phi(z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}))$ .

### REFERENCES

BARNDORFF-NIELSEN, O.E. and COX, D.R. (1994) Inference and Asymptotics. London: Chapman and Hall.

CHRISTOFFERSEN, P.F. (1998) Evaluating interval forecasts. International Economic Review 39, 841-862.

HE, Z. (2000) Assessment of the accuracy of time series predictions. UnpublishedPh.D. thesis, Department of Statistical Science, La Trobe University.

KABAILA, P. (1993) On bootstrap predictive inference for autoregressive processes. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 14, 473-484.

KABAILA, P. (1999) The relevance property for prediction intervals. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 20, 655-662.

KABAILA, P. and HE, Z. (2004) The adjustment of prediction intervals to account for errors in parameter estimation. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 25, 351-358.

KABAILA, P. and SYUHADA, K. (2007) The relative efficiency of prediction intervals. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods* 36, 2673–2686.

KABAILA, P. and SYUHADA, K. (2008) Improved prediction limits for AR(p)and ARCH(p) processes. Journal of Time Series Analysis 29, 213–223.

McCULLOUGH, B.D. (1994) Bootstrapping forecast intervals: an application to AR(p) models. *Journal of Forecasting* 13, 51-66.

PHILLIPS, P.C.B. (1979) The sampling distribution of forecasts from a first-order autoregression. *Journal of Econometrics* 9, 241-261.

SHAMAN, P. and STINE, R.A. (1988) The bias of autoregressive coefficient estimators. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 83, 842-848.

STINE, R.A. (1987) Estimating properties of autoregressive forecasts. *Journal* of the American Statistical Association 82, 1072-1078.

THOMBS, L.A. and SCHUCANY, W.R. (1990) Bootstrap prediction intervals
for autoregression. Journal of the American Statistical Association 85, 486-492.
VIDONI, P. (2004) Improved prediction intervals for stochastic process models.
Journal of Time Series Analysis 25, 137-154