

Quasinormal modes and stability of a five-dimensional dilatonic black hole

A. López-Ortega*

Centro de Investigación en Ciencia Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada.
Unidad Legaria. Instituto Politécnico Nacional.

Calzada Legaria # 694. Colonia Irrigación. Delegación Miguel Hidalgo.
México, D. F., México.
C. P. 11500

November 20, 2018

Abstract

We exactly calculate the quasinormal frequencies of the electromagnetic and Klein-Gordon perturbations propagating in a five-dimensional dilatonic black hole. Furthermore we exactly find the quasinormal frequencies of the massive Dirac field. Using these results we study the linear stability of this black hole. We compare our results for the quasinormal frequencies and for the linear stability of the five-dimensional black hole with those already published.

KEYWORDS: Quasinormal modes; black hole; linear stability

PACS: 04.60.Kz, 04.70.-s, 04.70.Bw

RUNNING HEAD: Quasinormal modes and stability of a black hole

1 Introduction

In General Relativity and related areas, the quasinormal modes (QNMs) of a black hole are physical quantities whose computation is useful because they depend on the physical parameters that characterize the spacetime and the classical field. Thus if we know the properties of the field, by measuring its quasinormal frequencies (QNF) we infer the values corresponding to several physical quantities of the black hole, (see Refs. [1] for comprehensive reviews on QNMs).

The QNMs of the gravitational perturbations propagating in several four-dimensional black holes were calculated because we expect these modes will be significant in gravitational wave astronomy [1, 2]. Also the QNMs are useful to study the linear stability of the black holes, because if we find modes satisfying the boundary conditions of the QNMs and with amplitudes increasing in time, then the black hole is linearly unstable [3, 4]. Also, recently the QNMs have been helpful in other research lines such as the AdS/CFT correspondence of String Theory [5, 6], and Hod's conjecture in Quantum Gravity [7].

We know several three-dimensional and two-dimensional spacetimes whose QNF were calculated exactly, for example, the three-dimensional BTZ black hole [6, 8, 9], the three-dimensional black hole of the Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton with cosmological constant theory [10, 11, 12], the three-dimensional de Sitter spacetime [13, 14], and the two-dimensional uncharged dilatonic black hole [4].

Generally for four-dimensional and D -dimensional black holes ($D > 4$) their QNF are calculated by using approximate analytical methods or numerical techniques. See reviews [1], [2], and Refs. [3], [5], [15] (this list is not exhaustive). Nevertheless there are some higher dimensional backgrounds whose QNF were computed exactly. We know the D -dimensional massless topological black hole [16, 17, 18], the D -dimensional Nariai spacetime [18, 19], the D -dimensional de Sitter spacetime [14, 20, 21, 22], the BTZ black string [23], and the five-dimensional uncharged dilatonic black hole [4].

*alopez "at" ipn.mx

Recently the linear stability of higher dimensional spacetimes has attracted a lot of attention, see for example Refs. [3], [4], [24]-[32] (this list is not exhaustive). Becar et al. in Ref. [4] exactly calculated the QNF of a non-minimally coupled to gravity Klein-Gordon field propagating in a five-dimensional dilatonic black hole to study the linear stability. This five-dimensional dilatonic black hole is one of a class of spacetimes for which their statistical entropies were calculated in Refs. [33]-[36]. We note that in these references some results of String Theory were used in order to compute the statistical entropy of the black holes. Furthermore, exploiting duality relations in Ref. [37] was computed the statistical entropy of the two-dimensional black hole given in Ref. [38] from the result for the five-dimensional dilatonic black hole that we study in the present paper.

We think this five-dimensional dilatonic black hole as a simple higher dimensional generalization of the uncharged two-dimensional black hole of Ref. [38], since its metric is the direct product of the metrics for the previously mentioned two-dimensional black hole and the three-dimensional sphere.

From their result on the QNF of the Klein-Gordon field propagating in the five-dimensional black hole, Becar et al. in Ref. [4] studied its linear stability and inferred that this black hole is linearly stable under Klein-Gordon fields. Thus in Ref. [4] was deduced that the extra spatial dimensions stabilize the five-dimensional dilatonic black hole, because in Refs. [4], [39], [40], [41] was shown that the two-dimensional black hole of Ref. [38] is linearly unstable under Klein-Gordon and gravitational perturbations.

In this paper we exactly calculate the QNF for the scalar and vector type electromagnetic fields and for the massive Klein-Gordon and Dirac fields propagating in the five-dimensional dilatonic black hole already mentioned. Using these results we study the linear stability of this black hole. Our conclusion about the linear stability of the five-dimensional black hole is different from that by Becar, et al. because for these fields we find QNMs with amplitudes increasing in time. We discuss in depth these results in the main body of the paper. Here we only comment that our results are an extension and revision of those by Becar, et al. published in Ref. [4].

We organize this paper as follows. In Sect. 2 we exactly calculate the QNF of the scalar and vector type electromagnetic fields and of the minimally coupled Klein-Gordon field propagating in the five-dimensional black hole that was studied in Ref. [4]. Exploiting these results we discuss its stability. Also we review the results of Ref. [4] on the QNF of the non-minimally coupled to gravity Klein-Gordon field and on the linear stability of the five-dimensional black hole. In Sect. 3 we extend our previous analysis to include the massive Dirac field. In Sect. 4 we discuss our main results. Finally in Appendix A we make some comments on the results of Ref. [4] about the QNF of the Klein-Gordon field propagating in the uncharged two-dimensional dilatonic black hole of Ref. [38].

2 QNMs of boson fields

In this paper we study the spherically symmetric five-dimensional dilatonic black hole whose line element we write as [4, 37]

$$ds^2 = (1 - e^{-x}) dt^2 - \frac{dx^2}{1 - e^{-x}} - r_0^2 d\Sigma_3^2, \quad (1)$$

where $x \in (0, +\infty)$, r_0 is a constant and $d\Sigma_3^2$ is the line element of a unit three-dimensional sphere. Notice that the metric (1) is the direct product of the metrics for the asymptotically flat two-dimensional uncharged black hole of Ref. [38] and the three-dimensional sphere of radius r_0 , also note that these two metrics are decoupled. Thus we think that the five-dimensional black hole (1) is a simple higher dimensional generalization of the asymptotically flat two-dimensional black hole of Ref. [38]. For more details about this black hole see Refs. [4], [37].

Notice that there are no many papers in which the QNF of dilatonic black holes are computed, we know Refs. [4], [10], [11], [12], [42]. Exact results are reported in Refs. [4], [10], [11], [12]. In the present paper we extend some of the results given in these references.

The QNMs of the five-dimensional dilatonic black hole (1) are defined as the solutions to the equations of motion that are purely ingoing at the event horizon and purely outgoing at infinity [4]. First in this section we calculate the QNF of the scalar and vector type electromagnetic fields and of the minimally coupled massless Klein-Gordon field. Note that the results of this paper are an extension of those already published by Becar, et al. in Ref. [4] for the non-minimally coupled to gravity Klein-Gordon field. Also in this section we review the published results of Ref. [4] on the QNF of the Klein-Gordon field and on the linear stability of the five-dimensional black hole (1).

We notice that the five-dimensional black hole (1) is uncharged. As is well known, in a D -dimensional spherically symmetric spacetime it is possible to simplify the equations of motion for test electromagnetic fields to partial differential equations in two variables [43, 44, 45]. We also obtain the same type of differential equation for the minimally coupled massless Klein-Gordon field. In the five-dimensional dilatonic black hole (1), Eqs. (53) and (67)

of Ref. [45] reduce to the partial differential equations

$$[\square_{2D}^2 - \alpha_E^2] \phi(x, t) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the quantities α_E^2 are equal to¹

$$\alpha_E^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{l(l+2)}{r_0^2}, & \text{for massless Klein-Gordon field and scalar} \\ & \text{electromagnetic perturbation,} \\ \frac{(l+1)^2}{r_0^2}, & \text{for vector electromagnetic perturbation,} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

the symbol \square_{2D}^2 denotes the d'Alembertian in the two-dimensional (t, x) sector of the line element (1) and the quantity ϕ is related to the gauge invariant quantities appropriate to the type of electromagnetic perturbation (see Refs. [43]-[45] for more details).

Taking the quantity ϕ as

$$\phi(x, t) = e^{-i\omega t} R(x), \quad (4)$$

we get that Eq. (2) reduces to the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left((1 - e^{-x}) \frac{dR}{dx} \right) + \frac{\omega^2 R}{1 - e^{-x}} - \alpha_E^2 R = 0. \quad (5)$$

To solve this equation we make the change of variable (as in Ref. [4])

$$z = 1 - e^{-x}, \quad (6)$$

thus $z \in (0, 1)$, to find that Eq. (5) becomes

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{1-z} \right) \frac{dR}{dz} + \frac{\omega^2 R}{z^2(1-z)^2} - \frac{\alpha_D^2 R}{z(1-z)^2} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The last equation is similar to Eq. (8) of Ref. [4]. Thus using the same method of Ref. [4] we shall solve Eq. (7). First we make the ansatz

$$R(z) = z^C (1-z)^B Q(z), \quad (8)$$

where

$$C = \begin{cases} i\omega \\ -i\omega \end{cases}, \quad B = \begin{cases} i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha_E^2} \\ -i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha_E^2} \end{cases}, \quad (9)$$

to get that the function Q is a solution of the hypergeometric differential equation [46]

$$z(1-z) \frac{d^2 Q}{dz^2} + (c - (a+b+1)z) \frac{dQ}{dz} - abQ = 0, \quad (10)$$

where the quantities a , b , and c are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} a &= B + C + 1, \\ b &= B + C, \\ c &= 2C + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Therefore if the quantity c is not an integer then the radial function R is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R &= (1-z)^B \left[\mathbb{D} z^{i\omega} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} z^{-i\omega} {}_2F_1(a-c+1, b-c+1; 2-c; z) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{E} are constants.

¹Owing to the coupling with the perturbations of the dilaton field we do not calculate the QNF for the three types of gravitational perturbations because we cannot simplify their equations of motion to partial differential equations similar to Eq. (2).

It is convenient to note the following facts about the different coordinates that we use in the black hole (1). The tortoise coordinate for this spacetime is [4]

$$r_* = \int \frac{dx}{1 - e^{-x}} = \ln(e^x - 1), \quad (13)$$

where $r_* \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $r_* \rightarrow -\infty$ near the event horizon and $r_* \rightarrow +\infty$ at infinity. Also from the definition given in formula (6) for the coordinate z we get

$$z = \frac{e^{r_*}}{e^{r_*} + 1}, \quad (14)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{as } r_* \rightarrow -\infty, & & z &\approx e^{r_*}, \\ \text{as } r_* \rightarrow +\infty, & & 1 - z &\approx e^{-r_*}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

To calculate the QNF of the fields studied in this section we choose $C = i\omega$ and $B = i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha_E^2}$. From formulas (15), for the function R of Eq. (12) we find that near the horizon the first term is a purely outgoing wave, whereas the second term is a purely ingoing wave. To satisfy the boundary condition of the QNMs near the horizon we must take $\mathbb{D} = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \mathbb{E} z^{-i\omega} (1 - z)^B {}_2F_1(a - c + 1, b - c + 1; 2 - c; z) \\ &= \mathbb{E} z^{-i\omega} (1 - z)^B {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

At this point we recall that if the quantity $c - a - b$ is not an integer then the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; u)$ satisfies [46]

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; u) &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} {}_2F_1(a, b; a + b + 1 - c; 1 - u) \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} (1 - u)^{c - a - b} {}_2F_1(c - a, c - b; c + 1 - a - b; 1 - u). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Using this formula and Eqs. (15) we find that near infinity the radial function R simplifies to

$$R \approx \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} e^{-i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha_E^2} r_*} + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} e^{i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha_E^2} r_*}. \quad (18)$$

According to Refs. [47] the first term is an ingoing wave and the second term an outgoing wave. Thus to fulfill the boundary conditions of the QNMs we must impose the condition

$$\gamma - \alpha = -n, \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma - \beta = -n. \quad (19)$$

From these equations we get that for the electromagnetic and massless Klein-Gordon perturbations their QNF are equal to

$$\omega = -\frac{i}{2n} (n^2 - \alpha_E^2), \quad \omega = -\frac{i}{2(n + 1)} ((n + 1)^2 - \alpha_E^2), \quad (20)$$

where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, for the first set of QNF and $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, for the second set of QNF.

As the time dependence is of the form $\exp(-i\omega t)$ (see formula (4)), in order to have stable QNMs we need that $\text{Im}(\omega) < 0$. Thus for $\alpha_E^2 > n^2$ or $\alpha_E^2 > (n + 1)^2$ the amplitudes of the QNMs increase with time. This fact shows that in the five-dimensional black hole (1) there are unstable QNMs, (for the fields studied in this section at least the fundamental mode is unstable). This result about the linear stability of the black hole (1) is different from that obtained by Becar, et al. in Ref. [4]. They inferred that the five-dimensional black hole (1) is linearly stable by studying only the Klein-Gordon field with non-minimal coupling to gravity (see below).

We note that the QNF (20) are purely imaginary as those already found in Refs. [10], [11], [12], [21], [48] for several fields and spacetimes (see also the QNF (31) below and expressions (55) and (57) of Sect. 3). Moreover notice that for $\alpha_E \neq 0$ the QNF (20) depend on the mode number n in the form $1/n$ and $1/(n + 1)$ ($1/n$ for large n).

Furthermore the QNF given in formula (20) have the same mathematical form that the limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$ of the QNF for the four-dimensional Schwarzschild black hole given by Eq. (12) of Ref. [49]. We cannot explain this coincidence in the mathematical form of the QNF (20) and those of Ref. [49]. We only note that in Ref. [49] Liu and Mashhoon obtained the solutions of the radial equation in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function but in this section the solutions involve the hypergeometric function.²

We note that the quantity α_E can be equal to zero when $l = 0$ (that is for the Klein-Gordon field). Thus we expect that the frequencies given in expression (20) with $\alpha_E = 0$ are QNF. Nevertheless we need to verify if the modes with these frequencies fulfill the boundary conditions of the QNMs. Here we follow a slightly different path. We solve Eq. (7) with $\alpha_E = 0$ to disprove the existence of solutions that represent QNMs.

If $\alpha_E = 0$ then Eq. (7) reduces to

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{1-z} \right) \frac{dR}{dz} + \frac{\omega^2 R}{z^2(1-z)^2} = 0. \quad (21)$$

We transform the previous equation to the form

$$\frac{d^2 R}{du^2} + \omega^2 R = 0, \quad (22)$$

where we define the variable u by

$$\frac{du}{dz} = \frac{1}{z(1-z)}, \quad (23)$$

thus

$$u = \ln(z) - \ln(1-z). \quad (24)$$

Therefore the solutions of Eq. (21) are of the form

$$R = \mathbb{D} z^{i\omega} (1-z)^{-i\omega} + \mathbb{E} z^{-i\omega} (1-z)^{i\omega}, \quad (25)$$

where \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{E} are constants, as before.

From formulas (15) we find that the first term is an outgoing wave near the event horizon and at infinity. The second term is an ingoing wave near the horizon and at infinity. Thus the function R given in formula (25) does not satisfy the boundary conditions of the QNMs.

For $l = 0$ the effective potential in the Schrödinger type equation (22) is equal to zero, thus this mode of the field propagates freely in the five-dimensional black hole (1). Therefore in this black hole for $\alpha_E = 0$ ($l = 0$) there are no QNMs.

Next, we review the already published results of Ref. [4] on the QNF of the Klein-Gordon field with non-minimal coupling to gravity, because we believe that some of its results are incorrect. The equation of motion for the non-minimally coupled to gravity Klein-Gordon field Φ is

$$(\square^2 - \mu^2 - \xi \mathcal{R})\Phi = 0, \quad (26)$$

where \square^2 is the d'Alembertian, ξ is the coupling constant between the massive Klein-Gordon field and the scalar curvature of the spacetime (1) given by $\mathcal{R} = e^{-x} + 6/r_0^2$, and μ is the mass of the field.

To solve this equation in the five-dimensional black hole (1) we make the ansatz

$$\Phi(x, t, \theta_i) = R(x)e^{-i\omega t}Y(\theta_i), \quad (27)$$

where $Y(\theta_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are the spherical harmonics on the three-dimensional sphere. Substituting expression (27) into Eq. (26) we find that the function R is a solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$(1 - e^{-x}) \frac{d^2 R}{dx^2} + e^{-x} \frac{dR}{dx} + \frac{\omega^2 R}{1 - e^{-x}} - \left(\frac{l(l+2) + 6\xi}{r_0^2} + \mu^2 \right) R - \xi e^{-x} R = 0. \quad (28)$$

²We remark that Eq. (12) of Ref. [49] is valid in the limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore the condition $j + \frac{1}{2} > (n + \frac{1}{2})/\sqrt{2}$ necessary for the existence of unstable QNMs cannot be satisfied. This fact agrees with the linear stability of the four-dimensional Schwarzschild background [50], (in this formula j is the angular mode number).

This differential equation is similar to Eq. (5) and we use the previous method to solve it. Thus making the ansatz (8) we find that for the Klein-Gordon field with non-minimal coupling to gravity the quantities C and B are equal to

$$C = \begin{cases} i\omega \\ -i\omega \end{cases}, \quad B = \begin{cases} i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \frac{l(l+2)+6\xi}{r_0^2} - \mu^2} \\ -i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \frac{l(l+2)+6\xi}{r_0^2} - \mu^2} \end{cases}, \quad (29)$$

and the function Q is a solution to the hypergeometric differential equation (10) with the parameters a , b , and c given by³

$$\begin{aligned} a &= B + C + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{1-4\xi}}{2}, \\ b &= B + C + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{1-4\xi}}{2}, \\ c &= 2C + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Next we impose the boundary conditions of the QNMs to obtain that for the non-minimally coupled Klein-Gordon field evolving in the five-dimensional black hole (1) the QNF are equal to

$$\omega = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4\xi}}{2} + n - \frac{\frac{l(l+2)+6\xi}{r_0^2} + \mu^2}{\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4\xi}}{2} + n} \right]. \quad (31)$$

This result is different from that given in Eq. (44) of Ref. [4] (here we write that result in our notation)

$$\omega = -\frac{i}{4} \left(1 - \sqrt{1-4\xi} - \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1-4\xi})\mu^2 - l(l+2)}{n^2 + n + \xi} + n \left(2 - \frac{2\mu^2 - 2l(l+2)}{n^2 + n + \xi} \right) \right). \quad (32)$$

Also notice that in Ref. [4] only a set of QNF is reported, but we found two sets of QNF (see our expression (31) above).

Using the result (31) for the QNF we discuss the linear stability of the five-dimensional black hole (1) under Klein-Gordon fields with non-minimal coupling to gravity. If $\xi < \frac{1}{4}$ the QNF (31) are purely imaginary. In order that the amplitudes of the QNMs decay in time we need that $\text{Im}(\omega) < 0$. From expression (31) for the QNF of the Klein-Gordon field we find that if the quantities n , l , ξ , and μ satisfy

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4\xi}}{2} + n \right)^2 < \mu^2 + \frac{l(l+2) + 6\xi}{r_0^2}, \quad (33)$$

then the amplitudes of the QNMs grow in time. Furthermore, for $\xi > \frac{1}{4}$ we find similar results to those obtained for $\xi < \frac{1}{4}$.

Our result about the linear stability of the five-dimensional black hole (1) is different from that of Becar, et al. given in Ref. [4]. They inferred that the extra three spatial dimensions stabilize the asymptotically flat two-dimensional black hole in the (t, x) sector of the spacetime (1). This two-dimensional black hole is linearly unstable under Klein-Gordon and gravitational perturbations as was shown in Refs. [4], [39], [40], [41]. The values for the QNF given in Eqs. (20) and (31) prove that for the five-dimensional black hole (1) there are QNMs with amplitudes increasing in time, hence there are unstable QNMs. This result points out the existence of a linear instability in the five-dimensional black hole (1).

To finish this section we note that Eq. (5), (valid for the scalar and vector type electromagnetic fields and the minimally coupled massless Klein-Gordon field), reduces to a Schrödinger type equation with effective potential

$$V(r_*) = \frac{\alpha_E^2 e^{r_*}}{e^{r_*} + 1}, \quad (34)$$

thus $V = 0$ when $\alpha_E = 0$, as we already noted. As $r_* \rightarrow \pm\infty$ the effective potential (34) behaves as

$$\lim_{r_* \rightarrow +\infty} V(r_*) = \alpha_E^2, \quad \lim_{r_* \rightarrow -\infty} V(r_*) = 0. \quad (35)$$

³We choose $C = -i\omega$ and $B = -i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \frac{l(l+2)+6\xi}{r_0^2} - \mu^2}$ in the following.

In Fig. 1 we plotted the effective potential (34) with $\alpha_E = 1$. We get similar plots for other values of the quantity α_E . We note in Fig. 1 that the effective potentials (34) are step-like. As in Ref. [51], for the step-like potential (34) the QNF given in Eq. (20) are purely imaginary.

In Ref. [27] was proved the linear stability (instability for $D = 6$) under tensor perturbations of some higher dimensional spherically symmetric black holes of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory. They calculated the effective potentials for this type of gravitational perturbations and exploiting the results of Ref. [52] they showed that these potentials do not support at least one bound state.⁴

For the five-dimensional black hole (1) we cannot use a similar method to that of Ref. [27] to show the existence of bound states because the effective potential (34) has a limit different from zero as $r_* \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus we cannot use the results of Buell and Shadwick given in Ref. [52], because they assumed that the potential goes to zero as the independent variable goes to infinity. Furthermore, to prove the existence of at least one bound state for a given potential we need to calculate the following integral (for more details see Ref. [52])

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V(r_*) dr_*, \quad (36)$$

and depending on its value we know if the effective potential $V(r_*)$ has at least one bound state. For the effective potential (34) the integral (36) is divergent.




Figure 1: Plot of the effective potential V given in Eq. (34) where we take $\alpha_E = 1$.

For the non-minimally coupled to gravity massive Klein-Gordon field we find that effective potential in the Schödinger type equation is equal to

$$V_{KG}(r_*) = \frac{\alpha_{KG}^2 e^{r_*}}{e^{r_*} + 1} + \frac{\xi e^{r_*}}{(e^{r_*} + 1)^2}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\alpha_{KG}^2 = \frac{l(l+2) + 6\xi}{r_0^2} + \mu^2. \quad (38)$$

As $r_* \rightarrow \pm\infty$ this potential behaves as the potential V of Eq. (34) (see formulas (35)); thus the plot of the potential V_{KG} of (37) is similar to that draw in Fig. 1. In a similar way to the potential V of formula (34), for V_{KG} the integral (36) is divergent.

⁴For $D = 6$ they showed that the corresponding effective potential support at least one bound state. See also Refs. [28], [29].

3 QNMs of the massive Dirac field

As is well known, in a D -dimensional G_{D-2} -symmetric spacetime whose line element we write in the form [44]

$$ds^2 = F(x)^2 dt^2 - G(x)^2 dx^2 - H(x)^2 d\Sigma_{D-2}^2, \quad (39)$$

the massive Dirac equation $i\bar{\nabla}\psi = \mu\psi$ simplifies to a pair of coupled partial differential equations in two variables [53, 54, 55]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi_2 - \frac{F}{G} \partial_x \psi_2 &= \left(i\kappa \frac{F}{H} - i\mu F \right) \psi_1, \\ \partial_t \psi_1 + \frac{F}{G} \partial_x \psi_1 &= - \left(i\kappa \frac{F}{H} + i\mu F \right) \psi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where κ denotes the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on the manifold with line element $d\Sigma_{D-2}^2$, the functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 are the components of a two-dimensional spinor ψ_{2D} in the (t, x) sector of the line element (39)

$$\psi_{2D} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

For more details see Refs. [53], [54], [55]. For a different method see Refs. [56].

In the present section, by using Eqs. (40) we compute the QNF of the massive Dirac field propagating in the five-dimensional black hole (1). The QNF of the Dirac field evolving in higher dimensional spacetimes have not been studied extensively as for other fields, we know only the results reported in Refs. [21], [53], [54], [57].

As the line element of the five-dimensional black hole (1) is of the same type as the line element of formula (39) we identify the functions F , G , and H as follows

$$F = \frac{1}{G} = (1 - e^{-x})^{1/2}, \quad H = r_0, \quad (42)$$

and therefore in the five-dimensional black hole (1) Eqs. (40) are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi_2 - (1 - e^{-x}) \partial_x \psi_2 &= (1 - e^{-x})^{1/2} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{r_0} - i\mu \right) \psi_1, \\ \partial_t \psi_1 + (1 - e^{-x}) \partial_x \psi_1 &= -(1 - e^{-x})^{1/2} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{r_0} + i\mu \right) \psi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where κ are the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on the unit three-dimensional sphere, that is, $\kappa = \pm i(l + \frac{3}{2})$, with $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, [58]. In the following we only consider $\kappa = i(l + \frac{3}{2})$ (we expect to get similar results for $\kappa = -i(l + \frac{3}{2})$).

Now we take the components ψ_1 and ψ_2 of the two-dimensional spinor (41) as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(x, t) &= R_1(x) e^{-i\omega t}, \\ \psi_2(x, t) &= R_2(x) e^{-i\omega t}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

also, as in Chandrasekhar's book [59], we define the quantity θ by

$$\theta = \arctan \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right), \quad (45)$$

where

$$\lambda = -\frac{i\kappa}{r_0} = \frac{l + \frac{3}{2}}{r_0}, \quad (46)$$

and taking $R_1 = e^{-i\theta/2} \tilde{R}_1$ and $R_2 = e^{i\theta/2} \tilde{R}_2$ we find that Eqs. (43) become

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - e^{-x})^{1/2} \frac{d\tilde{R}_2}{dx} + \frac{i\omega \tilde{R}_2}{(1 - e^{-x})^{1/2}} &= \alpha_D \tilde{R}_1, \\ (1 - e^{-x})^{1/2} \frac{d\tilde{R}_1}{dx} - \frac{i\omega \tilde{R}_1}{(1 - e^{-x})^{1/2}} &= \alpha_D \tilde{R}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $\alpha_D^2 = (l + \frac{3}{2})^2/r_0^2 + \mu^2$. Note that the quantity α_D^2 is always different from zero (even when $\mu = l = 0$).

From Eqs. (47) we obtain the decoupled ordinary differential equations for the functions \tilde{R}_1 and \tilde{R}_2

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - e^{-x}) \frac{d^2 \tilde{R}_1}{dx^2} + \frac{e^{-x}}{2} \frac{d\tilde{R}_1}{dx} + \frac{i\omega e^{-x} \tilde{R}_1}{2(1 - e^{-x})} + \frac{\omega^2 \tilde{R}_1}{1 - e^{-x}} - \alpha_D^2 \tilde{R}_1 &= 0, \\ (1 - e^{-x}) \frac{d^2 \tilde{R}_2}{dx^2} + \frac{e^{-x}}{2} \frac{d\tilde{R}_2}{dx} - \frac{i\omega e^{-x} \tilde{R}_2}{2(1 - e^{-x})} + \frac{\omega^2 \tilde{R}_2}{1 - e^{-x}} - \alpha_D^2 \tilde{R}_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Making the change of variable given in formula (6) we find that Eqs. (48) transform into

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \tilde{R}_1}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2z} - \frac{1}{1-z} \right) \frac{d\tilde{R}_1}{dz} + \frac{\omega^2 \tilde{R}_1}{z^2(1-z)^2} + \frac{i\omega \tilde{R}_1}{2z^2(1-z)} - \frac{\alpha_D^2 \tilde{R}_1}{z(1-z)^2} &= 0, \\ \frac{d^2 \tilde{R}_2}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2z} - \frac{1}{1-z} \right) \frac{d\tilde{R}_2}{dz} + \frac{\omega^2 \tilde{R}_2}{z^2(1-z)^2} - \frac{i\omega \tilde{R}_2}{2z^2(1-z)} - \frac{\alpha_D^2 \tilde{R}_2}{z(1-z)^2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

These equations are similar to Eq. (7) and using the same procedure of Sect. 2 we solve the previous two equations. We first solve the equation for the function \tilde{R}_1 to calculate the QNF of the component ψ_1 . Next we present the corresponding results for the component ψ_2 .

To solve the ordinary differential equation for the function \tilde{R}_1 (49) we make the ansatz of Eq. (8) where (replace C by C_1 , B by B_1 , and Q by Q_1)

$$C_1 = \begin{cases} i\omega \\ -i\omega + \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}, \quad B_1 = \begin{cases} i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha_D^2} \\ -i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha_D^2} \end{cases}, \quad (50)$$

to find that the function Q_1 is a solution to the hypergeometric differential equation (10) with parameters a_1 , b_1 , and c_1 equal to

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= B_1 + C_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \\ b_1 &= B_1 + C_1, \\ c_1 &= 2C_1 + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

To compute the QNF of the massive Dirac field we choose the quantities C_1 and B_1 as $C_1 = i\omega$ and $B_1 = i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha_D^2}$. Hence the function R_1 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= e^{-i\theta/2} z^{i\omega} (1-z)^{B_1} [\mathbb{D}_1 {}_2F_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_1 z^{1/2-2i\omega} {}_2F_1(a_1 - c_1 + 1, b_1 - c_1 + 1; 2 - c_1; z)], \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where \mathbb{D}_1 and \mathbb{E}_1 are constants. From the formulas (15), in order to have a purely ingoing wave near the event horizon we must take $\mathbb{D}_1 = 0$. Therefore the function R_1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \mathbb{E}_1 e^{-i\theta/2} z^{1/2-i\omega} (1-z)^{B_1} {}_2F_1(a_1 - c_1 + 1, b_1 - c_1 + 1; 2 - c_1; z) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_1 e^{-i\theta/2} z^{1/2-i\omega} (1-z)^{B_1} {}_2F_1(\alpha_1, \beta_1; \gamma_1; z). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

If the quantity $\gamma_1 - \beta_1 - \alpha_1$ is not integer, using the formulas (15) and (17) we get that near infinity the function R_1 has a behavior similar to that given in formula (18) for the radial function of the boson fields studied in Sect. 2. From the results of Ref. [47] and Eqs. (44), to satisfy the boundary condition of the QNMs near infinity we must impose the condition

$$\gamma_1 - \alpha_1 = -n_1, \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_1 - \beta_1 = -n_1, \quad (54)$$

where $n_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. From these equations we find that the QNF for the component ψ_1 of the massive Dirac field are equal to

$$\omega = -\frac{i}{2(n_1 + \frac{1}{2})} ((n_1 + \frac{1}{2})^2 - \alpha_D^2), \quad \omega = -\frac{i}{2(n_1 + 1)} ((n_1 + 1)^2 - \alpha_D^2). \quad (55)$$

Notice that for the five-dimensional black hole (1) the imaginary part of the QNF for the Dirac field depend on its mass. As in the previous section, the time dependence of the massive Dirac fields is of the form $\exp(-i\omega t)$, hence we need $\text{Im}(\omega) < 0$ in order that the perturbations decay in time. Thus the QNMs with frequencies (55) have amplitudes increasing with time when the quantities α_D and n_1 satisfy

$$\alpha_D > n_1 + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (56)$$

In a similar way to the component ψ_1 , by imposing the boundary conditions of the QNMs we find that the QNF for the component ψ_2 are

$$\omega = -\frac{i}{2(n_2 + \frac{1}{2})} \left((n_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 - \alpha_D^2 \right), \quad \omega = -\frac{i}{2n_2} (n_2^2 - \alpha_D^2), \quad (57)$$

where $n_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ for the first set of QNF and $n_2 = 1, 2, \dots$, for the second set. These frequencies determine QNMs with amplitudes decreasing in time when the mode number n_2 and the quantity α_D fulfill the condition $n_2 > \alpha_D$.

Thus depending on the values of μ , l , r_0 , and n_1 (or n_2) our results for the QNF of the massive Dirac field given in formulas (55) and (57) show the existence of some unstable QNMs (those with $\alpha_D > n_1 + 1/2$ for the component ψ_1 and those with $\alpha_D > n_2$ for the component ψ_2). This result is similar to that of the previous section for the electromagnetic and Klein-Gordon perturbations and different from that of Ref. [4].

As for the bosons fields of Sect. 2, Eqs. (48) of the massive Dirac field simplify to Schrödinger type equations with effective potentials equal to [59]

$$V_{\pm}(r_*) = \frac{\alpha_D^2 e^{r_*}}{e^{r_*} + 1} \pm \frac{\alpha_D}{2} \frac{e^{r_*/2}}{(e^{r_*} + 1)^{3/2}}. \quad (58)$$

Similar to the effective potentials (34) and (37), as $r_* \rightarrow \pm\infty$ the effective potentials (58) behave as

$$\lim_{r_* \rightarrow +\infty} V_{\pm}(r_*) = \alpha_D^2, \quad \lim_{r_* \rightarrow -\infty} V_{\pm}(r_*) = 0. \quad (59)$$

In Fig. 2 we plot the potentials V_+ and V_- for $\alpha_D = 1$. Notice that the effective potential V_- is negative for sufficiently large negative values of r_* . As for the effective potentials of Eqs. (34) and (37), the integral (36) diverges for the effective potentials V_{\pm} of the massive Dirac field given in formula (58). Thus using the method of Ref. [27] we cannot study the linear stability of the black hole (1) under massive Dirac fields.




Figure 2: Plots of the effective potentials V_+ (solid line) and V_- (broken line) given in Eq. (58), where we take $\alpha_D = 1$.

4 Discussion

Our results (20), (31), (55), (57) for the QNF of the five-dimensional dilatonic black hole (1) show that there are unstable modes satisfying the boundary conditions of the QNMs. Therefore due to existence of these unstable QNMs the five-dimensional spacetime (1) is linearly unstable. This result is different from that reported in Ref. [4].

We remark that in the five-dimensional black hole (1) the QNF of the different fields (massive or massless) have the same mathematical form (see our formulas (20), (31), (55), (57)).

We believe that using other methods we must settle the problem of the classical stability or instability of the five-dimensional studied in this paper. In particular, to investigate if some extension of the method exploited in Ref. [27] can be applied to determine if this black hole is classically stable or unstable deserve some attention. If we confirm the classical instability of this black hole, the implications of this fact on the calculations made in this spacetime deserve further study.

Notice that the analysis of Sects. 2 and 3 does not include gravitational perturbations because these are coupled with those of the dilaton field. Thus we expect that the equations of motion for the three types of gravitational perturbations cannot be reduced to partial differential equations similar to Eq. (2). Therefore for the gravitational perturbations we believe that the analysis is more difficult than for the fields studied in Sects. 2 and 3.

As is well known the effective potential for the scalar type gravitational perturbations is more complicated than those for the vector and tensor type gravitational perturbations [43, 44]. This fact implies that the linear stability under scalar type gravitational perturbations of several higher dimensional asymptotically de Sitter and anti-de Sitter static black holes is not proved in the present [24]. Also from the recent results of Refs. [3] the computation of the QNF for the scalar type gravitational perturbations propagating in the five-dimensional black hole (1) is a relevant issue, since some higher dimensional black holes are linearly unstable under this type of gravitational perturbations. Thus we believe that the linear stability of the black hole (1) under gravitational perturbations is worth to be considered in order to prove or disprove our results.

For the five-dimensional black hole (1), from the results of the present work and of Ref. [4] for the vector and scalar type electromagnetic fields, the massive Dirac field and the massive Klein-Gordon field for $\xi < \frac{1}{4}$, we get that the real part of the QNF is zero. This result indicates that Hod's conjecture [7] is not valid for the black hole (1), because in this conjecture we need to assume that the real part of the QNF is different from zero and depends only on the physical parameters of the black hole.

A Quasinormal modes of a two-dimensional black hole

In Ref. [4] were computed the QNF of the non-minimally coupled to gravity Klein-Gordon field propagating in the uncharged two-dimensional black hole of Ref. [38] with line element (the (t, x) sector of the metric (1))

$$ds^2 = (1 - e^{-x}) dt^2 - \frac{dx^2}{(1 - e^{-x})}. \quad (60)$$

The result obtained in Ref. [4] for the QNF of the massive Klein-Gordon field with non-minimal coupling to gravity is (Eq. (25) in Ref. [4])

$$\omega = -\frac{i}{4} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\zeta} - \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\zeta})\mu^2}{n^2 + n + \zeta} + n \left(2 - \frac{2\mu^2}{n^2 + n + \zeta} \right) \right], \quad (61)$$

where n is the mode number ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), μ is the mass of the Klein-Gordon field, and ζ is the coupling constant between the Klein-Gordon field and the scalar curvature of the spacetime (60).

Here we note only the following facts. It is possible to write the QNF (61) in the simpler form

$$\omega = -\frac{i}{4} \left[2n + 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\zeta} - \frac{4\mu^2}{2n + 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\zeta}} \right], \quad (62)$$

whose mathematical form is similar to that of the QNF (31) of the five-dimensional black hole (1). Also Becar, et al. do not report the following QNF

$$\omega = -\frac{i}{4} \left[2n + 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\zeta} - \frac{4\mu^2}{2n + 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\zeta}} \right]. \quad (63)$$

In Ref. [4] were imposed two conditions to find the QNF of the Klein-Gordon field (Eqs. (23) and (24) of the previous reference, $a = -n$ and $b = -n$, respectively), but they report only the QNF (61). Owing to the parameters

a and b are different (see Eqs. (11) and (12) of Ref. [4]), we expect to get two different sets of QNF (Eqs. (62) and (63) above).

Moreover Eqs. (62) and (63) allow us to discuss the stability of the two-dimensional black hole (60) in a simpler way. Thus for $\zeta \leq \frac{1}{4}$, the two-dimensional black hole (60) is linearly unstable if n , ζ , and μ satisfy

$$n < \mu \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4\zeta}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (64)$$

Using Eqs. (62), (63), and (64) we reach the same conclusions on the linear instability of the two-dimensional black hole (60) that those already published in Ref. [4] (see also Refs. [39], [40], [41]). For $\zeta > \frac{1}{4}$ also we find similar results to those reported in Ref. [4].

B Acknowledgments

I thank Dr. C. E. Mora Ley, Dr. R. García Salcedo, and Dr. O. Pedraza Ortega for their interest in this paper. This work was supported by CONACYT México, SNI México, EDI-IPN, COFAA-IPN, and Research Project SIP-20080794.

References

- [1] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, Living Rev. Rel. **2** (1999) 2 [arXiv:gr-qc/9909058]; H. P. Nollert, Class. Quantum Grav. **16** (1999) R159.
- [2] V. Ferrari and L. Gualtieri, Gen. Rel. Grav. **40** (2008) 945 [arXiv:0709.0657 [gr-qc]].
- [3] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Nucl. Phys. B **777** (2007) 182 [arXiv:hep-th/0703231]; R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, arXiv:0809.2822 [hep-th]; R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, arXiv:0809.2048 [hep-th].
- [4] R. Becar, S. Lepe and J. Saavedra, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 084021 [arXiv:gr-qc/0701099].
- [5] G. T. Horowitz and V. E. Hubeny, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 024027 [arXiv:hep-th/9909056].
- [6] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs and S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 151301 [arXiv:hep-th/0112055].
- [7] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 4293 [arXiv:gr-qc/9812002]; O. Dreyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 081301 [arXiv:gr-qc/0211076].
- [8] V. Cardoso and J. P. S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 124015 [arXiv:gr-qc/0101052].
- [9] J. Crisostomo, S. Lepe and J. Saavedra, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 2801 [arXiv:hep-th/0402048].
- [10] S. Fernando, Gen. Rel. Grav. **36** (2004) 71 [arXiv:hep-th/0306214].
- [11] S. Fernando, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 124005 [arXiv:0802.3321 [hep-th]].
- [12] A. López-Ortega, Gen. Rel. Grav. **37** (2005) 167.
- [13] A. López-Ortega, Gen. Rel. Grav. **38** (2006) 743 [arXiv:gr-qc/0605022].
- [14] D. P. Du, B. Wang and R. K. Su, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 064024 [arXiv:hep-th/0404047].
- [15] C. V. Vishveshwara, Nature, **227** (1970) 936; S. Iyer and C. M. Will, Phys. Rev. D **35** (1987) 3621; E. W. Leaver, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **402** (1985) 285; E. W. Leaver, Phys. Rev. D **34** (1986) 384; E. S. C. Ching, P. T. Leung, W. M. Suen and K. Young, Phys. Rev. D **54** (1996) 3778 [arXiv:gr-qc/9507034]; S. Chandrasekhar and S. Detweiler, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, **344** (1975) 441; N. Andersson, Proc. R. Soc. London, **439** (1992) 47; H. P. Nollert, Phys. Rev. D, **47** (1993) 5253; V. Ferrari and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52** (1984) 1361; V. Cardoso, J. P. S. Lemos and S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 044004 [arXiv:gr-qc/0309112]; V. Cardoso, J. P. S. Lemos and S. Yoshida, JHEP **0312** (2003) 041 [arXiv:hep-th/0311260].
- [16] R. Aros, C. Martinez, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 044014 [arXiv:hep-th/0211024].

- [17] D. Birmingham and S. Mokhtari, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 084026 [arXiv:hep-th/0609028].
- [18] A. Lopez-Ortega, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40** (2008) 1379 [arXiv:0706.2933 [gr-qc]].
- [19] L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 044030 [arXiv:hep-th/0402103].
- [20] A. López-Ortega, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **38** (2006) 1565 [arXiv:gr-qc/0605027].
- [21] A. López-Ortega, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **39** (2007) 1011 [arXiv:0704.2468 [gr-qc]].
- [22] J. Natario and R. Schiappa, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **8** (2004) 1001 [arXiv:hep-th/0411267].
- [23] L. h. Liu and B. Wang, arXiv:0803.0455 [hep-th].
- [24] A. Ishibashi and H. Kodama, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **110** (2003) 901 [arXiv:hep-th/0305185]; H. Kodama, arXiv:0712.2703 [hep-th]; H. Kodama, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **172** (2008) 11 [arXiv:0711.4184 [hep-th]].
- [25] R. A. Konoplya, K. Murata, J. Soda and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 084012 [arXiv:0807.1897 [hep-th]].
- [26] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, arXiv:0802.0267 [hep-th]; A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 024007 [arXiv:0802.2262 [gr-qc]]; M. Kimura, K. Murata, H. Ishihara and J. Soda, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 064015 [arXiv:0712.4202 [hep-th]]; V. Cardoso and J. P. S. Lemos, *Phys. Lett. B* **621** (2005) 219 [arXiv:hep-th/0412078]; H. Kodama, R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, arXiv:0812.0445 [hep-th]; K. Murata, arXiv:0812.0718 [hep-th].
- [27] G. Dotti and R. J. Gleiser, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) L1 [arXiv:gr-qc/0409005].
- [28] R. J. Gleiser and G. Dotti, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 124002 [arXiv:gr-qc/0510069]; G. Dotti and R. J. Gleiser, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 044018 [arXiv:gr-qc/0503117]; M. Beroiz, G. Dotti and R. J. Gleiser, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 024012 [arXiv:hep-th/0703074].
- [29] G. Gibbons and S. A. Hartnoll, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 064024 [arXiv:hep-th/0206202].
- [30] T. Harmark, V. Niarchos and N. A. Obers, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) R1 [arXiv:hep-th/0701022]; B. Kol, *Phys. Rept.* **422** (2006) 119 [arXiv:hep-th/0411240].
- [31] D. Birmingham and S. Mokhtari, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 124039 [arXiv:0709.2388 [hep-th]].
- [32] F. Moura and R. Schiappa, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) 361 [arXiv:hep-th/0605001].
- [33] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, *Phys. Lett. B* **379** (1996) 99 [arXiv:hep-th/9601029].
- [34] G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 2368 [arXiv:hep-th/9602051].
- [35] C. G. Callan and J. M. Maldacena, *Nucl. Phys. B* **472** (1996) 591 [arXiv:hep-th/9602043].
- [36] S. Hyun, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **33** (1998) S532 [arXiv:hep-th/9704005].
- [37] E. Teo, *Phys. Lett. B* **430** (1998) 57 [arXiv:hep-th/9803064].
- [38] M. D. McGuigan, C. R. Nappi and S. A. Yost, *Nucl. Phys. B* **375** (1992) 421 [arXiv:hep-th/9111038].
- [39] M. Azreg-Ainou, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16** (1999) 245 [arXiv:gr-qc/9902005].
- [40] V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 125026 [arXiv:hep-th/0012252].
- [41] A. Zelnikov, *JHEP* **0807** (2008) 010 [arXiv:0805.4031 [hep-th]].
- [42] V. Ferrari, M. Pauri and F. Piazza, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 064009 [arXiv:gr-qc/0005125]. S. Fernando and K. Arnold, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **36** (2004) 1805 [arXiv:hep-th/0312041]; R. A. Konoplya, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **34** (2002) 329 [arXiv:gr-qc/0109096]; X. Z. Li, J. G. Hao and D. J. Liu, *Phys. Lett. B* **507** (2001) 312 [arXiv:gr-qc/0205007]; S. B. Chen and J. L. Jing, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 1129.
- [43] H. Kodama and A. Ishibashi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **111** (2004) 29 [arXiv:hep-th/0308128].
- [44] H. Kodama and A. Ishibashi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **110** (2003) 701 [arXiv:hep-th/0305147].

- [45] A. Ishibashi and R. M. Wald, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 3815 [arXiv:gr-qc/0305012].
- [46] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Graphs, and Mathematical Table*, (Dover Publications, New York, 1965); Z. X. Wang and D. R. Guo, *Special Functions*, (World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1989).
- [47] A. Ohashi and M. a. Sakagami, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) 3973 [arXiv:gr-qc/0407009]; L. E. Simone and C. M. Will, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **9** (1992) 963.
- [48] J. Saavedra, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **21** (2006) 1601 [arXiv:gr-qc/0508040].
- [49] H. Liu and B. Mashhoon, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13** (1996) 233.
- [50] B. S. Kay and R. M. Wald, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **4** (1987) 893; R. M. Wald, *J. Math. Phys.* **20** (1979) 1056.
- [51] Y. S. Myung, Y. W. Kim and Y. J. Park, arXiv:0809.1933 [gr-qc].
- [52] W. F. Buell and B. A. Shadwick, *Am. J. Phys.* **63** (1995) 256.
- [53] H. T. Cho, A. S. Cornell, J. Doukas and W. Naylor, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 104005 [arXiv:hep-th/0701193].
- [54] H. T. Cho, A. S. Cornell, J. Doukas and W. Naylor, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 041502 [arXiv:0710.5267 [hep-th]].
- [55] G. W. Gibbons and A. R. Steif, *Phys. Lett. B* **314** (1993) 13 [arXiv:gr-qc/9305018]; S. R. Das, G. W. Gibbons and S. D. Mathur, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 417 [arXiv:hep-th/9609052]; G. W. Gibbons, M. Rogatko and A. Szyplowska, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 064024 [arXiv:0802.3259 [hep-th]]; G. W. Gibbons and M. Rogatko, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 044034 [arXiv:0801.3130 [hep-th]].
- [56] I. I. Cotaescu, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13** (1998) 2991 [arXiv:gr-qc/9808030]; I. I. Cotăescu, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **19** (2004) 2217 [arXiv:gr-qc/0306127].
- [57] S. K. Chakrabarti, arXiv:0809.1004 [gr-qc].
- [58] R. Camporesi and A. Higuchi, *J. Geom. Phys.* **20** (1996) 1 [arXiv:gr-qc/9505009].
- [59] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1983).