A novel approach to simulate gene-environment interactions in complex diseases

Roberto Amato^{1,2}^{†*} Michele Pinelli^{1,3}[†] Daniel D'Andrea¹ Gennaro Miele^{1,2,4} Mario Nicodemi^{1,4,5} Giancarlo Raiconi^{1,6} Sergio Cocozza^{1,3}

(1) Gruppo Interdipartimentale di Bioinformatica e Biologia Computazionale, Università di Napoli
 "Federico II" - Università di Salerno, Italy

(2) Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Complesso Universitario di Monte S.Angelo, via Cinthia 6, 80126 - Napoli, Italy

(3) Dipartimento di Biologia e Patologia Cellulare e Molecolare "L. Califano", Università di Napoli "Federico II", via Pansini 5, 80131 - Napoli, Italy

(4) INFN Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S.Angelo, via Cinthia 6, 80126 - Napoli, Italy

(5) Complexity Science Center and Department of Physics, University of Warwick, UK

(6) Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Salerno, via ponte don Melillo, 84084

- Fisciano (SA), Italy

(†) These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

Background: Complex diseases are multifactorial traits caused by both genetic and environmental factors. They represent the most part of human diseases and include those with largest prevalence and mortality (cancer, heart disease, obesity, etc.). Despite of a large amount of information that have been collected about both genetic and environmental risk factors, there are relatively few examples of studies on their interactions in epidemiological literature. One reason can be the incomplete knowledge of the power of statistical methods designed to search for risk factors and their interactions in this data sets. An improving in this direction would lead to a better understanding and description of gene-environment interaction. To this aim, a possible strategy is to challenge the different statistical methods against data sets where the underlying phenomenon is completely known and fully controllable, like for example simulated ones.

Results: We present a mathematical approach that models geneenvironment interactions. By this method it is possible to generate simulated populations having gene-environment interactions of any

^{*}Corresponding author: roamato@na.infn.it

form, involving any number of genetic and environmental factors and also allowing non-linear interactions as epistasis. In particular, we implemented a simple version of this model in a Gene-Environment iNteraction Simulator (GENS), a tool designed to simulate casecontrol data sets where a one gene-one environment interaction influences the disease risk. The main effort has been to allow user to describe characteristics of population by using standard epidemiological measures and to implement constraints to make the simulator behavior biologically meaningful.

Conclusions: By the multi-logistic model implemented in GENS it is possible to simulate case-control samples of complex disease where gene-environment interactions influence the disease risk. The user has a full control of the main characteristics of the simulated population and a Monte Carlo process allows random variability. A Knowledge-based approach reduces the complexity of the mathematical model by using reasonable biological constraints and makes the simulation more understandable in biological terms. Simulated data sets can be used for the assessment of novel statistical methods or for the evaluation of the statistical power when designing a study.

1 Background

Complex Diseases (CD) are caused by variations in multiple loci interacting with each others and with environmental factors [18]. Many complex traits, such as cancer, heart disease, obesity, diabetes, and many common psychiatric and neurological conditions, have large prevalence and mortality among human diseases [5, 13].

The concept of Gene-Environment interaction (GxE) is theoretically central in CD [7]. It is widely accepted that GxE must be considered in CD to avoid a serious underestimations of the disease risk and inconsistencies of replication among different studies. Furthermore, the action of consider the GxE could focus medical intervention by identifying sub-groups of individuals who are more sensible to specific environmental exposures [10]. However there are very few examples of well described GxE in scientific literature [11]. Instead, a large amount of information have been collected about both single genetic and environmental risk factors individually taken, because the majority of the studies examined the main effect of single factors instead of examining the interactions [2, 8, 11].

In our opinion, one reason of such a failure concerns the statistical approach. Several statistical methods aimed to the identification of factors' interactions have been described and have been used to identify GxE, such as Logistic Regression [14] and MDR [6,16]. However, the performances of these methods can be influenced by many variables such as the sample size, the number of involved factors, the type of interaction, the model of inheritance, the allelic frequencies, the distributions of the environmental factors, and the relative strength of the different factors on the disease. Unfortunately, only for few real populations some of these characteristics are known, and, however there are not enough real data sets to assess the performances of statistical methods.

In this scenario, as an alternative approach one can imagine to use simulated populations in order to assay the statistical power of different methods. In population genetics, there are several genetic data simulators (for a complete list see [1]) and some of them can also simulate GxE. However, many of these tools are tailored to simulate population evolution (as coalescent [4] and forward-time methods [15]) or pedigrees for linkage analysis [12, 17]. Case-control samples for complex diseases can be extracted by these data, for example, by picking individuals in pedigree-based datasets (SIMLA [17]). However, such processes are not straightforward, because these packages are not specifically designed to this aim. In addition, by this approach the user has not complete control of the parameters of the system.

To this aim, we propose a novel method, the Multi-Logistic Model, that mathematically describes gene-environment interactions similar to those in casecontrol studies. By this method is possible to model GxE of any form, involving any number of genetic and environmental factors, also allowing gene-gene interactions, as epistasis. A simple version have been implemented in the Gene-Environment iNteraction Simulator (GENS), a tool designed to simulate casecontrol data sets where a one gene-one environment interaction influences the disease risk. Moreover, we employed as input common epidemiological measures to make easier the simulation of data close to those from previous studies or literature. This makes the tool more friendly to biomedical community and allows to use as input data those extracted from previous studies in literature.

2 Results

2.1 The Multi-Logistic Model for gene-environment interaction

The mathematical approach behind the simulation of the disease risk involving GxE is based on a system of logistic relationships. We called this approach Multi-Logistic Model (MLM) and specifically designed it to describe disease risk in data set that simulate case-control sample. In the simulated data set, each individual has G genetic factors and is exposed to E environmental factors. Genetic factors are denoted by $g_{i_a}^a$ where a = 1, ..., G. The genetic factors are biallelic Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms which result in three diploid genotypes, namely the first homozygote (AA, $i_a = 1$), the heterozygote (Aa, $i_a = 2$) and the second homozygote (aa, $i_a = 3$). Genetic frequencies for each factor are denoted by $P^G(g_{i_a}^a)$ where $\forall a \sum_{i_a=1,2,3} P^G(g_{i_a}^a) = 1$. The environmental variables, instead, are denoted by $x_{j_b}^b$, where b = 1, ..., E and j_b is an index which runs over the possible discretized values of the variable b. They are characterized by exposure probabilities denoted by $P^E(x_{j_b}^b) = 1$).

Let us consider a particular individual characterized by (E+G) values of $x_{j_b}^b$ and $g_{i_a}^a$. In general the disease risk R is a function of all of them. The disease risk for such an individual $(g_{i_a}^a, x_{j_b}^b)$ is defined by the conditioned probability

$$R(g_{i_a}^a, x_{j_b}^b) = P\left(\text{affected} \left| g_{i_a}^a, x_{j_b}^b \right)$$
(1)

where $P\left(\text{affected} | g_{i_a}^a, x_{j_b}^b\right)$ is the probability of the individual to be *affected*. In our model we assume a logistic expression for R:

$$R(g_{i_a}^a, x_{j_b}^b) = \left[1 + \exp\left\{\alpha_{i_1,\dots,i_G} + \sum_{b=1}^E \beta_{i_1,\dots,i_G}^b x_{j_b}^b\right\}\right]^{-1}$$
(2)

where $\alpha_{i_1,...,i_G}$ and $\beta_{i_1,...,i_G}^b$ are free parameters determined by the genetic factors and governing the shape of the function (Figure 1).

2.2 Gene-Environment iNteraction Simulator

We implemented the MLM in the Gene-Environment iNteraction Simulator (GENS). For sake of simplicity we describe, in this phase, a simple interaction between one genetic and one environmental factor even though we continue to describe an individual by assigning to him a (E + G)-tuple of characteristics.

As consequence of this choice, the MLM gets a simpler form. In particular, we can drop the indexes a and b in the expression of disease risk (2). Thus, by denoting with g_i the genotype of the chosen gene and with x_j the exposure level of the environmental factor involved, we have

$$R(g_{i_a}^a, x_{j_b}^b) = R(g_i, x_j) = [1 + \exp\{\alpha_i + \beta_i x_j\}]^{-1}.$$
 (3)

In other words, the MLM reduces to three logistic functions, one for each genotype.

It is possible to think of α_i as the basal genetic disease risk in individuals with that genotype. The greater is α_i the stronger is the disease risk, independently of the contribution of the environmental factor. In particular, for vanishing α_i there is no basal risk and the risk is totally ascribed to the environmental exposure (x_j) . Analogously, β_i represents the coefficient associated to the environmental exposure, thus the greater is β_i the greater risk is associated to an increasing of the environmental exposure. In other words, β_i models, for genotype *i*, the sensibility to the environmental factor exposure. Consequently, for vanishing β_i the environmental exposure has no effect on the disease risk.

To describe populations by standard epidemiological measures, we implied the relative risk as the measure of the role of a genetic factor on the disease risk. In particular, by defining the Total Risk (TR) in a specific genotype i as

$$TR_{i} = \sum_{j} P^{E}(x_{j}) \left[1 + \exp\left\{\alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} x_{j}\right\}\right]^{-1}$$
(4)

(which holds under the hypothesis of independence among different environmental variables) one can define the Relative Risk $RR_{kl} \equiv TR_k/TR_l$. We take one homozygote as a reference point (say AA, denoted with i = 1), the other homozygote (say aa, i = 3) has an equal or larger risk than the first one, and the heterozygote (Aa, i = 2) has a risk ranging within the two homozygotes. Furthermore, we assume the relative risk of heterozygote to be within those of the two homozygotes ($1 \le RR_{21} \le RR_{31}$). In particular, if the heterozygote risk is the same of the first homozygote it simulates a recessive effect, else if the heterozygote has the same risk of the second homozygote it simulates a dominant effect. Other situations are called co-dominant.

Formally, the relative risk of heterozygote RR_{21} is defined as

$$RR_{21} = (RR_{31})^W \tag{5}$$

where the W lets to model various inheritance effects: recessive (W = 0), dominant (W = 1), and co-dominant $(0 < RR_{21} < 1)$ [17].

Marginal risk of the environmental factor is input as the odds ratio of the increase of one unit in the level of exposition. This value is then transformed in the coefficients β_i of the multi-logistic model. Anyway at maximum only one β_i is provided by the user, leaving the tool deriving other values to respect all the constraints.

2.2.1 Type of GxE interaction

To describe the GxE in biological understandable terms, we consider a genetic and an environmental alone model and two models of interactions involving both genetics and environment (Table 1 and Figure 2). The first two models could be useful as reference.

In the first model, the *Genetic Model* (GM), each subject carrying a genotype has the same disease risk regardless of the environmental exposure. This situation is modeled by giving vanishing effect to the environmental variable, namely fixing all the β_i equal to zero. In the second model, the *Environmental Model* (EM), the risk is due to the environmental exposure only. This situation is modeled by imposing α_i and β_i equal across the genotypes with a non-vanishing β_i . This choice provides the same risk independently of the carried genotype.

The third model simulates the scenario where the gene modules response to environment (Gene Environment interaction Model - GEM). In this case the genetics does not affect directly the disease risk, but modules the response to the environmental exposure. In other words, some genotypes are more prone than others to develop the disease if exposed to the same environmental level. For this interaction all the α_i are equal to each others (no direct genetic effect) while β_i are different. The last effect is Additive Model (AM), where genetic and environment module directly, independently and additively the risk, and the environmental exposure has the same effect in all the genotypes (equal β_i). For this model, there are no complex interactions between the genetics and the environmental exposure, but the risk is in such a way the sum of the risk due to genetics and environment. Of course the user can create further type of GxE by freely imposing α_i and β_i .

2.2.2 Knowledge-Aided Parametrization System

To translate the population parameters into coefficients of the MLM, we implemented the so-called Knowledge-Aided Parametrization System (KAPS). This module derives the values of α_i and β_i starting from genotype frequencies, relative risk and model of inheritance of the genetic factor, distribution and odds ratio of the environmental factor, type of GxE and proportion of affected individual in the sample (m).

The key issue is that the overall disease frequency in the population m is given by

$$\sum_{i} P^{G}(g_{i}) \left(\sum_{j} P^{E}(x_{j}) \left[1 + \exp\left\{\alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} x_{j}\right\} \right]^{-1} \right) = m$$
(6)

Dividing Eq. 6 by TR_1 and by means of some algebraic manipulation, it is straightforward to show that

$$\sum_{j} \frac{P^{E}(x_{j})}{1 + \exp\left\{\alpha_{1} + \beta_{1} x_{j}\right\}} = \frac{m}{P^{G}(g_{1}) + P^{G}(g_{2})RR_{21} + P^{G}(g_{3})RR_{31}}$$
(7)

In a similar way it is possible to derive the expressions for the marginal risk of the other genotypes. By numerically solving this set of three equations (one for each TR_i) it is possible to obtain α_i and β_i coefficients that match at the best the user's requests.

2.2.3 Algorithm and Implementation

The simulation procedure is divided into several steps (Figure 3). First of all, the genotypes of G genetic factors and the levels of exposure of E environmental factors are assigned to the N individuals. Consequently, the user of the simulator inputs the sample population characteristics (Table 2) and hence the coefficients of the MLM are computed. Finally, the disease risk and the disease status are assigned to each individual.

Concerning the genetic factors, the user can provide the allelic frequencies or let the simulator randomly select them (with an uniform distribution between 0.1 and 0.9). In both cases, the Hardy-Weinberg's law is used for the computation of the frequencies of the genotypes. Afterward, by means of a Monte Carlo method, the genotype of each genetic factor is randomly assigned to each individual according to the genotypic frequencies. Similarly for the environmental factors, the user can use a distribution function, among a set of predefined ones, or provide an empirical distribution $P^E(x_{j_b}^b)$. Again by a Monte Carlo process the exposures of environmental factors are assigned according to distribution functions.

After the assignment of the genetic and environmental factors to individuals, the next step is the assignment of the phenotype. To this aim the system computes the coefficients of the MLM in order to create the relationship between population characteristics, type of GxE interaction, and disease risk. The actual computation of the coefficients is performed by KAPS that, by means of Eq. 7 and similar ones for TR_2 and TR_3 , solves numerically the resulting system of three equations and returns $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2$ and β_3 .

The disease risk $(0 \leq R(g_i, x_j) \leq 1)$ is assigned by the MLM (Eq. 3) by using the parameters previously identified. In particular, for each individual his genotype *i* imposes the coefficients α_i and β_i computed by KAPS, while the exposure level is the value of the covariate x_j . Last step is to assign a disease status (affected/not affected) to the individuals. To this aim, again by a Monte Carlo process, the system generates a random number with uniform distribution in [0, 1] and assigns to the individual the status 1 (affected) if this number is less then his risk $R(g_i, x_j)$, or 0 (not affected) otherwise.

An implementation of GENS is provided as a set of Matlab 7.0 scripts, which can be freely modified to address different requirements (different risk function, multiloci interaction, etc.).

3 Discussion and Conclusions

In this article we present a novel mathematical approach to model GxE in complex diseases. This approach is based on a MLM and it is specifically tailored to model disease risk in data set that simulates case-control samples. We implemented this method in Gene-Environment iNteraction Simulator (GENS), a tool designed to yield case-control samples for GxE. These tools could be useful to generate simulated data sets in order to assess the performances of statistical methods.

The necessity to provide simulated populations is due to the difficulty to obtain real populations in which enough parameters are known to be related to the phenotype. Furthermore, during the design of a statistical study, simulated populations can be also used to estimate the expected statistical power when assuming different types of GxE [3]. To this aim, we focused on the possibility to use as input characteristics extracted by real populations (such as allelic frequencies, environmental factors distributions, risk given by genetic and environmental factors, etc.). In this way it is straightforward also to make replica of real populations and evaluate the change of statistical power due to changes of parameters as the sample size (N), the disease frequency (m), the type of GxE, etc.

The key idea underlying the MLM is the modeling of the disease risk in each combination of genetic factors (genotypes) as a different mathematical function of the environmental exposure (Figure 1). In this way it is possible to model any type of interaction between genetic and environmental factors, also complex and non-linear ones. We based our approach on the logistic function. This function is widely used in epidemiological studies and has several advantages: it follows the Weber-Fechner law; as a risk factor naturally ranges from 0 to 1; well describes a saturation behavior for large values of exposure [9]. Moreover, the coefficients of the covariates correspond to the logarithm of the odds ratio due to a one-unit

increase (in this case the environmental factor) [9]. In particular, to calculate disease risk genetic factors of individuals set coefficients of the function while the environmental factors assign a value to its covariates.

We implemented the MLM in the Gene-Environment iNteraction Simulator (GENS), a GxE simulator for case-control studies. The intended audience of GENS is the biomedical community, thus the main efforts have been to describe populations by standard epidemiological measures, to implement constraints to make the simulator behavior biologically meaningful, and to define the GxE in biological understandable terms.

In theory, the MLM can model many genetic-many environmental factors interactions. However, for sake of simplicity we decided to focus, in this phase, on an interaction between one genetic and one environmental factor. In this way, by using only one gene-one environmental factor interactions it is much easier to use as input standard epidemiological measures. Nevertheless, even in this simple situation, the handling of the interaction is not trivial. Furthermore, in simulated populations beside the involved factors there are other ones that are left as noisy background, as frequently occurs in real data sets.

Even in this simpler scenario, modeling the desired characteristics of a population can be very difficult except for some particular and trivial cases mainly because it is necessary to provide several coefficients to the mathematical model. However, having several coefficients with a difficult interpretation is a common pitfall when modeling complex interactions. Therefore, to overcome this limitation we have implemented the Knowledge-Aided Parametrization Subsystem (KAPS). This system exploits a set of reasonable biological constraints to reduce the complexity of the system. First of all, concerning the genetic factors we imposed that the risk assigned to the heterozygote falls between the two homozygotes. Secondly, we adopted a *qualitative* description of the GxE. In particular, each type of GxE can be modeled as a set of equality and inequality of α_i and β_i among genotypes. We pre-determined two types of GxE, an additive (AM) and a modulative type (GEM). The user has only to select which type of GxE must be simulated, without providing additional information. In this way, we can reduce the complexity of the system and, thus, reduce the grades of freedom of the mathematical model. Finally, KAPS solves the system of equations to derive coefficients in order to complain with both biological constraints and population characteristics imposed by the user. As a consequence, to simulate a population only classical epidemiological parameters have to be provided (Table 2). However, the user can simulate interaction of any kind since we left the possibility to input all the coefficient of the MLM, and even to substitute the logistic expression with a different one.

In population genetics, data simulation has been mainly used to study population evolution and linkage disequilibrium, especially in pedigree of Mendelian disease [4, 12, 15]. Although some tools have been specifically designed to simulate data set of complex diseases [17], they usually do not directly produce case-control data sets. Indeed, these tools usually provide family- or populationbased data distributed across multiple generations. On the contrary, GENS is specifically designed to produce case-control data sets and to produce data set as closer as possible to real cases in a simple manner. In addition, differently from a *naive* logistic model, the MLM allows to model non-liner phenomena such as epistasis.

One of the shortcoming of GENS compared to other tools is the limit to one gene-one environment interaction even if this choice have been made because would be easier to describe and understand the joined and single role of the factors. Anyway, it should be noted that this limit accounts mainly to the present implementation, in particular to KAPS. In fact, the multi-logistic model can be easily used to simulate many genes-many environments interactions by applying Eq. 2 and providing enough coefficients. The number of environmental factors is increased by adding additional covariates in the functions to consider their effects. Instead, the number of genetic factors involved in the disease risk is increased by defining additional logistic functions in the multi-logistic model. Furthermore, the multi-logistic model can be extended to use different functions for each combination of genetic factors.

As our approach is widely based on a Monte Carlo process, the system naturally takes into account the stochasticity present in any real data set obeying to probabilistic laws, namely data sets created with the same characteristics result to be randomly different.

In conclusion, by the multi-logistic model and GENS is possible to simulate case-control samples of complex diseases where gene-environment interactions influence the disease risk. The user has a full control of the main characteristics of the simulated population and a Monte Carlo process allows random variability. A knowledge-based approach reduces the complexity of the mathematical model by using reasonable biological constraints and makes the simulation more understandable in biological terms. Simulated data sets can be used for the assessment of novel statistical methods or for the evaluation of statistical power when designing a study.

References

- [1] An alphabetic list of genetic analysis software. http://www.nslij-genetics.org/soft/.
- [2] The Wellcme Trust Case Control Consortium. Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. *Nature*, 447(7145):661–78, Jun 2007.
- [3] M Garcia-Closas and J H Lubin. Power and sample size calculations in casecontrol studies of gene-environment interactions: comments on different approaches. Am J Epidemiol, 149(8):689–92, Apr 1999.
- [4] Dario Gasbarra, Mikko J Sillanpää, and Elja Arjas. Backward simulation of ancestors of sampled individuals. *Theor Popul Biol*, 67(2):75–83, Mar 2005.

- [5] The GAIN Collaborative Research Group. New models of collaboration in genome-wide association studies: the genetic association information network. Nat Genet, 39(9):1045–51, Sep 2007.
- [6] Lance W Hahn, Marylyn D Ritchie, and Jason H Moore. Multifactor dimensionality reduction software for detecting gene-gene and gene-environment interactions. *Bioinformatics*, 19(3):376–82, Feb 2003.
- [7] JBS Haldane. The interaction of nature and nurture. Ann Eugen, 13:197– 205, 1946.
- [8] Josephine Hoh and Jurg Ott. Mathematical multi-locus approaches to localizing complex human trait genes. Nat Rev Genet, 4(9):701–9, Sep 2003.
- [9] David W. Hosmer and Stanley Lemeshow. Applied logistic regression (Wiley Series in probability and statistics). Wiley-Interscience Publication, September 2000.
- [10] David J Hunter. Gene-environment interactions in human diseases. Nat Rev Genet, 6(4):287–98, Apr 2005.
- [11] Muin J Khoury, Robert Davis, Marta Gwinn, Mary Lou Lindegren, and Paula Yoon. Do we need genomic research for the prevention of common diseases with environmental causes? Am J Epidemiol, 161(9):799–805, May 2005.
- [12] Suzanne M Leal, Kai Yan, and Bertram Müller-Myhsok. Simped: a simulation program to generate haplotype and genotype data for pedigree structures. *Hum Hered*, 60(2):119–22, 2005.
- [13] Kirk E Lohmueller, Celeste L Pearce, Malcolm Pike, Eric S Lander, and Joel N Hirschhorn. Meta-analysis of genetic association studies supports a contribution of common variants to suibility to common disease. Nat Genet, 33(2):177–82, Feb 2003.
- [14] Yosuke Murase, Yoshiji Yamada, Akihiro Hirashiki, Sahoko Ichihara, Hirofumi Kanda, Masato Watarai, Fumimaro Takatsu, Toyoaki Murohara, and Mitsuhiro Yokota. Genetic risk and gene-environment interaction in coronary artery spasm in Japanese men and women. *Eur Heart J*, 25(11):970– 977, 2004.
- [15] Bo Peng, Christopher I. Amos, and Marek Kimmel. Forward-time simulations of human populations with complex diseases. *PLoS Genetics*, 3(3):e47+, 2007.
- [16] M D Ritchie, L W Hahn, N Roodi, L R Bailey, W D Dupont, F F Parl, and J H Moore. Multifactor-dimensionality reduction reveals high-order interactions among estrogen-metabolism genes in sporadic breast cancer. Am J Hum Genet, 69(1):138–47, Jul 2001.

- [17] Mike Schmidt, Elizabeth R Hauser, Eden R Martin, and Silke Schmidt. Extension of the simla package for generating pedigrees with complex inheritance patterns: environmental covariates, gene-gene and gene-environment interaction. *Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol*, 4:Article15, 2005.
- [18] D E Weeks and G M Lathrop. Polygenic disease: methods for mapping complex disease traits. *Trends Genet*, 11(12):513–9, Dec 1995.



4 Figures

Figure 1: Multi-logistic model applied to a two genetic-one environmental factors condition. On the y-axis is reported the disease risk (R) and on the x-axis is reported the level of exposure of the environmental factor. The relationship is modelled by the Eq. 3. For each combination of genetic factors there are different α_i and β_i that models the relationship between environmental exposure and disease risk.



Figure 2: Type of GxE interactions modeled by KAPS. On the v-axis is reported the disease risk (R) and on the x-axis is reported the level of exposure of the environmental factor. The relationship is modelled by the Eq. 3. For each combination of genetic factors there are different α_i and β_i that follows the specific constraints (Table 1). In the Environmental Model (EM), the disease risk is dependent only by the environmental exposure level, thus the environment-risk relationship is the same across genotype (same slope and no shift). In the Genetic Model (GM), the disease risk depends by genetic factor only, thus the environment has no role on the disease risk (the curve is flat) while the risk is different across genotypes (height of the curve). In the third model (AM), the disease risk depends by both genetic and environmental factors; the relationship between environmental exposure and disease risk is the same in each genotype (same slope), but in each genotype there is a different basal risk (shift). In the fourth model (GEM), the genetic factor influences the relationship between environmental exposure and disease risk (slope). However, there is no different basal genetic risk (no shift).



Figure 3: Flowchart of GENS Starting from desired population characteristics, GENS assigns to each individual the genotypes of genetic factors and the exposure levels of environmental factors. Beside, the module KAPS uses population characteristics to compute coefficients of the Multi-Logistic Model. Thus, on the basis of individual characteristics and Multi-Logistic Model, the individual disease risk is computed. The last step is the assignment of disease status to individuals (affected/not affected) according to their disease risks.

5 Tables

Interaction model	Constraints
Genetic Model	$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_3 \text{ and } \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$
Environmental Model	$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 \text{ and } \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta \neq 0$
Gene Environment interaction Model	$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 \text{ and } \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \beta_3$
Additive Model	$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_3 \text{ and } \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta \neq 0$

Table 1: Relationships among the coefficients of the Multi-Logistic Model and the type of interaction. Type of gene-environment interactions are expressed as constraints among coefficients of the Multi-Logistic Model. This approach allows to specify the type of interaction to simulate in a simple manner. Another interesting consequence is that for each type of interaction only a subset of coefficients needs to be specified.

Parameter	Description
N	Number of individuals
G	Number of genetic factors
E	Number of environmental factors
$P^G(g^a_{i_a})$	Frequency of genotype i_a of genetic factor g^a $(a = 1,, G)$
$P^E(x^b_{j_b})$	Exposure probabilities, where $b = 1,, E$ and j_b is an in-
	dex which runs over the possible discretized values of the
	variable b
m	Overall disease frequency in the population
TypeOfGxe	Type of GxE: Genetic (GM), Environmental (EM), Gene
	Environment interaction (GEM, Additive (AM)
RR_{31}	Relative risk of high-risk homozygote
W	Model of inheritance: recessive $(W = 0)$, dominant $(W =$
	1), co-dominant $(0 < W < 1)$
β	Odds ratio of the disease risk of an individual exposed to x_j
	with respect to one exposed to $x_j + 1$

Table 2: **Parameters required by GENS.** Description of parameters required by GENS in order to produce a simulated case-control sample. These parameters are translated into coefficients for the Multi-Logistic Model by the Knowledge-Aided Parametrization System.