Dominated bilinear forms and 2-homogeneous polynomials

Geraldo Botelho[∗] , Daniel Pellegrino† and Pilar Rueda‡

Abstract

The main goal of this note is to establish a connection between the cotype of the Banach space X and the parameters r for which every 2-homogeneous polynomial on X is r-dominated. Let $\cot X$ be the infimum of the cotypes assumed by X and $(\cot X)^*$ be its conjugate. The main result of this note asserts that if $\cot X > 2$, then for every $1 \leq$ $r < (\cot X)^*$ there exists a non-r-dominated 2-homogeneous polynomial on X.

Introduction

The notion of p -dominated multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces plays an important role in the nonlinear theory of absolutely summing operators. It was introduced by Pietsch [\[17\]](#page-7-0) and has been investigated by several authors since then (see, e.g., [\[5,](#page-6-0) [6\]](#page-6-1) and references therein).

Let X be a Banach space and m be a positive integer. A continuous m linear form A on X^m is r-dominated if $(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^m))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{\frac{r}{m}}$ whenever $(x_j^1)_{j=1}^{\infty}, \ldots, (x_j^m)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ are weakly r-summable in X. In a similar way, a scalarvalued m-homogeneous polynomial P on X is r-dominated if $(P(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{\frac{r}{m}}$ whenever $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is weakly *r*-summable in X.

In [\[11,](#page-6-2) Lemma 5.4] it is proved that for every infinite-dimensional Banach space X, every $p \geq 1$ and every $m \geq 3$, there exists a continuous non-pdominated m-linear form on X^m . For polynomials the question has been recently settled in [\[6\]](#page-6-1), where it is proved that for every infinite-dimensional Banach space X, every $p \geq 1$ and every $m \geq 3$, there exists a continuous

[∗]Supported by CNPq Grant 306981/2008-4.

[†]Supported by INCT-Matem´atica, CNPq Grants 620108/2008-8 (Ed. Casadinho), 308084/2006-3 (Ed. Universal) and 471686/2008-5.

[‡]Supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación MTM2008-03211/MTM.

This paper will appear in Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46G25, 46B20, 46B28.

non-p-dominated scalar-valued m-homogeneous polynomial on X . So, coincidence situations can occur only for $m = 2$. Sometimes it happens that every continuous bilinear form on X^2 is 2-dominated, for example if X is either an \mathcal{L}_{∞} -space, the disc algebra A or the Hardy space H^{∞} (see [\[4,](#page-6-3) Proposition 2.1]). In this case every continuous bilinear form on $X²$ and every continuous scalarvalued 2-homogeneous polynomial on X are r-dominated for every $r \geq 2$. But what about r-dominated bilinear forms and 2-homogeneous polynomials for $1 \leq r < 2?$

Those spaces X that enjoy the property that all bilinear forms on X^2 are 1-dominated are all of cotype 2 (Example [2.3\)](#page-3-0). In Proposition [2.4](#page-3-1) we see that having cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ is a necessary condition. So, for a space X such that $\cot X > 2$ it is natural to investigate how close r can be to 1 with the property that every bilinear form on X^2 (or 2-homogeneous polynomial on X) is r-dominated. For bilinear forms it is not difficult to see (Proposition [2.5\)](#page-3-2) that $(\cot X)^*$, the conjugate of the number $\cot X$, is the closest r can be to 1. As usual, for polynomials the situation is more delicate. In the main result of this paper, Theorem [2.7,](#page-5-0) we prove that the estimate $(\cot X)^*$ holds for 2-homogeneous polynomials as well. We also point out that this result is somehow sharp.

1 Notation

Throughout this paper n and m are positive integers, X and Y will stand for Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . The Banach spaces of all continuous mlinear mappings $A: X^m \longrightarrow Y$ and continuous m-homogeneous polynomials $P: X \longrightarrow Y$, endowed with the usual sup norms, are denoted by $\mathcal{L}(^m X; Y)$ and $\mathcal{P}(^m X; Y)$, respectively $(\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ if $m = 1)$. When $m = 1$ and $Y = \mathbb{K}$ we write X^* to denote the topological dual of X. The closed unit ball of X is represented by B_X . The notation cot X denotes the infimum of the cotypes assumed by X. The identity operator on X is denoted by id_X . For details on the theory of multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces we refer to [\[10,](#page-6-4) [14\]](#page-6-5).

Given $r \in [0,\infty)$, let $\ell_r(X)$ be the Banach (r-Banach if $0 < r < 1$) space of all absolutely r-summable sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in X with the norm $||(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty||_r = (\sum_{j=1}^\infty ||x_j||^r)^{1/r}$. We denote by $\ell_r^w(X)$ the Banach (r-Banach if $0 < r < 1$) space of all weakly r-summable sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in X with the norm $\|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{w,r} = \sup_{\varphi \in B_{X^*}} \|(\varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{r}.$

Let $p, q > 0$. An m-linear mapping $A \in \mathcal{L}(mX; Y)$ is absolutely (p, q) summing if $(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^m))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p(Y)$ whenever $(x_j^1)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, ..., $(x_j^m)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_q^w(X)$. It is well-known that A is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing if and only if there is a

constant $C \geq 0$ so that

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n ||A(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^m)||^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C \prod_{k=1}^m ||(x_j^k)_{j=1}^n||_{w,q}
$$

for every $x_1^k, \ldots, x_n^k \in X$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$, and n positive integer. The infimum of such C is denoted by $||A||_{as(p;q)}$. The space of all absolutely $(p;q)$ -summing *m*-linear mappings from X^m to Y is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{as(p;q)}(mX;Y)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{as(p;q)}$ is a complete norm (p-norm if $p < 1$) on $\mathcal{L}_{as(p;q)}({}^m X; Y)$.

An *m*-homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^m X; Y)$ is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing if the symmetric m-linear mapping associated to P is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing, or, equivalently, if $(P(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p(Y)$ whenever $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_q^w(X)$. It is wellknown that P is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing if and only if there is a constant $C \geq 0$ so that

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|P(x_j)\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C \left(\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,q}\right)^m
$$

for every $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and n positive integer. The infimum of such C is denoted by $||P||_{as(p;q)}$. The space of all absolutely $(p;q)$ -summing m-homogeneous polynomials from X to Y is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{as(p;q)}(mX;Y)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{as(p;q)}$ is a complete norm (p-norm if $p < 1$) on $\mathcal{P}_{as(p;q)}({}^m X; Y)$.

An *m*-homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^m X; Y)$ is said to be *r*-dominated if it is absolutely $(\frac{r}{m}; r)$ -summing. In this case we write $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^m X; Y)$ and $\| \cdot \|_{d,r}$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_{as(\frac{r}{m};r)}(mX;Y)$ and $\| \cdot \|_{as(\frac{r}{m};r)}$. As usual we write $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(mX)$ and $\mathcal{P}(^m X)$ when $Y^{\bullet} = \mathbb{K}$. The definition (and notation) for r-dominated multilinear mappings is analogous (for the notation just replace \mathcal{P} by \mathcal{L}). For details we refer to [\[2,](#page-6-6) [4,](#page-6-3) [11\]](#page-6-2).

2 Results

First we establish the existence of Banach spaces on which every bilinear form (hence every scalar-valued 2-homogeneous polynomial) is 1-dominated. By $X\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}X$ and $X\tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon}X$ we mean the completions of the tensor product $X\otimes X$ with respect to the projective norm π and the injective norm ε , respectively. For the basics on tensor norms we refer to [\[8,](#page-6-7) [19\]](#page-7-1).

By Π_r we denote the ideal of absolutely r-summing linear operators. The following well-known factorization theorem (see, e.g., $[17,$ Theorem 14] or $[2,$ Proposition $46(a)$) will be useful a couple of times.

Lemma 2.1. $\mathcal{L}_{d,r}(^m X; Y) = \mathcal{L} \circ (\Pi_r, \stackrel{(m)}{\ldots}, \Pi_r)(^m X; Y)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^m X; Y) = \mathcal{P} \circ$ $\Pi_r({}^mX;Y)$ regardless of the positive integer m and the Banach spaces X and Y .

Proposition 2.2. Let X be a cotype 2 space. Then $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X = X \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{L}(^2X)$.

Proof. Arguably this result is contained, in essence, in [\[11\]](#page-6-2). We give the details for the sake of completeness.

Assume that $X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X = X \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} X$ and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X^2)$. Denoting the linearization of A by A_L we have that $A_L \in (X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X)' = (X \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} X)'$. Regarding X as a subspace of $C(B_{X'})$ and using that ε respects the formation of subspaces, A_L admits a continuous extension to $C(B_{X'})\tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon}C(B_{X'})$, hence to $C(B_{X'})\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}C(B_{X'})$ because $\varepsilon \leq \pi$. Using that bilinear forms on $C(K)$ -spaces are 2-dominated, we have that the bilinear form associated to this extension is 2-dominated. But restrictions of 2-dominated bilinear forms are 2-dominated as well, so A is 2-dominated. Since 2-summing operators on cotype 2 spaces are 1-summing [\[9,](#page-6-8) Corollary 11.16(a)], we have that $\Pi_1(X;Y) = \Pi_2(X;Y)$ for every Y , so by Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{d,2}(^2X)=\mathcal{L}\circ(\Pi_2,\Pi_2)(^2X)=\mathcal{L}\circ(\Pi_1,\Pi_1)(^2X)=\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X).
$$

It follows that A is 1-dominated.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{L}(^2X)$ and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(^2X)$. Since 1-dominated bilinear forms are 2-dominated, we have that A is 2-dominated, hence extendible by [\[13,](#page-6-9) Theorem 23]. Adapting the proof of [\[7,](#page-6-10) Proposition 1.1] to bilinear forms we conclude that A is integral. Now apply [\[8,](#page-6-7) Ex. 4.12] to get $X\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}X = X\tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon}X$. \Box

Example 2.3. Pisier [\[18\]](#page-7-2) proved that every cotype 2 space E embeds isometrically in a cotype 2 space X such that $X\tilde{\otimes}_\pi X = X\tilde{\otimes}_\pi X$. So for every such space X we have that $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{L}(^2X)$.

It is easy to see that $\cot X = 2$ is a necessary condition for every bilinear form on X to be 1-dominated:

Proposition 2.4. If $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{L}(^2X)$, then $\cot X = 2$.

Proof. By [\[1,](#page-6-11) Lemma 3.4] we have that every bounded linear operator from X to X' is 1-summing. So, from [\[12,](#page-6-12) Proposition 8.1(2)] we conclude that the identity operator on X is (2;1)-summing. It follows that $\cot X = 2$ by [\[9,](#page-6-8) Theorem 14.5]. \Box

Let X be such that $\cot X > 2$. Since we cannot have $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(X^2) = \mathcal{L}(X^2)$, for which $r > 1$ is it possible to have $\mathcal{L}_{d,r}(^2X) = \mathcal{L}(^2X)$? Or, at least, $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^2X) =$ $\mathcal{P}(X)$? In other words we seek estimates for the numbers

$$
\mathcal{L}_X := \inf \{ r : \mathcal{L}_{d,r}(^2 X) = \mathcal{L}(^2 X) \} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_X := \inf \{ r : \mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^2 X) = \mathcal{P}(^2 X) \}.
$$

It is not difficult to give a lower bound for \mathcal{L}_X . By q^* we mean the conjugate of the number $q > 1$.

Proposition 2.5. If $\cot X > 2$, then $\mathcal{L}_X \geq (\cot X)^*$.

Proof. By Proposition [2.4](#page-3-1) we know that $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(X) \neq \mathcal{L}(X)$. Using that $\Pi_1(X;Y) = \Pi_r(X;Y)$ whenever $1 \leq r < (\cot X)^*$ [\[9,](#page-6-8) Corollary 11.16(b)] and Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0) we have that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{d,r}(^{2}X)=\mathcal{L}\circ(\Pi_{r},\Pi_{r})(^{2}X)=\mathcal{L}\circ(\Pi_{1},\Pi_{1})(^{2}X)=\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^{2}X)\neq\mathcal{L}(^{2}X)
$$

for every $1 \leq r < (\cot X)^*$, so the result follows.

 \Box

It is in principle not clear that the same holds for polynomials. For polynomials the situation is usually more delicate. For instance, in [\[6\]](#page-6-1) one can find a non-r-dominated bilinear form whose associated 2-homogeneous polynomial happens to be r-dominated. Though not clear in principle, we shall prove that $\mathcal{P}_X \geq (\cot X)^*.$

The following proof extends an argument which was first used in this context in [\[15\]](#page-6-13).

Theorem 2.6. Let m be an even positive integer and X be an infinite dimensional real Banach space. If $q < 1$ and $\mathcal{P}_{as(q,r)}(mX) = \mathcal{P}(mX)$, then id_X is $\left(\frac{mq}{1-q}\right)$ $\frac{mq}{1-q}$, r)-summing.

Proof. The open mapping theorem gives us a constant $K > 0$ so that $||Q||_{as(q:r)} \leq$ $K||Q||$ for all continuous m-homogeneous polynomials $Q: X \longrightarrow Y$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ be given. Consider x_1^* $x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^* \in B_{X^*}$ so that x_i^* $j(x_j) = ||x_j||$ for every $j = 1, ..., n$. Let $\mu_1, ..., \mu_n$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $j=1$ $|\mu_j|^s = 1$, where $s = \frac{1}{a}$ $\frac{1}{q}$. Define $P: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mu_j|^{\frac{1}{q}} x_j^*(x)^m
$$
 for every $x \in X$.

Since m is even and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $P(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X$. Also, $|P(x)| = P(x) \geq |\mu_k|^{\frac{1}{q}} x_k^*$ $k(k)$ ^m for every $x \in X$ and every $k = 1, ..., n$. From

$$
|P(x)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mu_j|^{\frac{1}{q}} x_j^*(x)^m \right| \le ||x||^m \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mu_j|^{\frac{1}{q}} = ||x||^m
$$

we conclude that $||P||_{as(q;r)} \leq K||P|| \leq K$. So

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{mq} |\mu_j|\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\|x_j\|^{m} |\mu_j|^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |P(x_j)|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

$$
\le ||P||_{as(q;r)} \left(||(x_j)_{j=1}^n||_{w,r}\right)^m.
$$

Observing that this last inequality holds whenever $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $j=1$ $|\mu_j|^s = 1$ and that $\frac{1}{s}$ + $\frac{1}{\frac{s}{s-1}}=1$ we have

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} ||x_j||^{\frac{s}{s-1}mq}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j ||x_j||^{mq} \right|; \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mu_j|^s = 1 \right\}
$$

$$
\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mu_j| ||x_j||^{mq}; \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mu_j|^s = 1 \right\}
$$

$$
\leq ||P||_{as(q;r)}^q (||(x_j)_{j=1}^n||_{w,r})^{mq}
$$

$$
\leq K^q (||(x_j)_{j=1}^n||_{w,r})^{mq}.
$$

It follows that

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{\frac{s}{s-1}mq}\right)^{\frac{1}{(\frac{s}{s-1})mq}} \leq K^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,r}.
$$

Since $\frac{s}{s-1}mq = \frac{mq}{1-q}$ $\frac{mq}{1-q}$, n and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ are arbitrary, we conclude that id_X is $\left(\frac{mq}{1-q}, r\right)$ -summing. \Box

The following theorem holds for spaces over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} :

Theorem 2.7. If $\cot X = q > 2$, then $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^2X) \neq \mathcal{P}(^2X)$ for $1 \leq r < q^*$, where q^* is the conjugate of q. In other words, $\mathcal{P}_X \geq q^*$.

Proof. Real case: Let $1 \leq r < q^*$. Combining Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) and [\[9,](#page-6-8) Corollary 11.16(b)] it is immediate that $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^2X) = \mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^2X)$. If $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^2X) = \mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^2X) =$ $\mathcal{P}(X^2)$, from Theorem [2.6](#page-4-0) we could conclude that id_X is $(2, 1)$ -summing, but this is impossible because $\cot X > 2$.

Complex case: If X is a complex Banach space, $\cot X = q > 2$ and $1 \le r < q^*$, by [\[3,](#page-6-14) Lemma 3.1] we know that $\cot X_{\mathbb{R}} = q > 2$, so there is a non-r-dominated polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^2 X_{\mathbb{R}})$. Denoting by P the complexification of P we have that $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and following the lines of [\[16,](#page-7-3) Proposition 4.30] it is not difficult to prove that \widetilde{P} fails to be *r*-dominated either. \Box

Remark 2.8. Let X be any of the spaces constructed by Pisier [\[18\]](#page-7-2). By Example [2.3](#page-3-0) we know that $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{P}(^2X)$, which makes clear that Theorem [2.7](#page-5-0) is sharp in the sense that it is not valid for cotype 2 spaces.

Conjecture. We conjecture that if X is infinite-dimensional and $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(X) =$ $\mathcal{L}(X^2X)$, then $X\tilde{\otimes}_\pi X=X\tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$. Observe that for an infinite-dimensional space X with $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2 X) = \mathcal{L}(^2 X)$ and $X \widetilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X \neq X \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} X$, if any, we should have:

• X has no unconditional basis [\[4,](#page-6-3) Theorem 3.2];

• X has cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (Proposition [2.4\)](#page-3-1);

- X does not have cotype 2 (Proposition [2.2\)](#page-2-1);
- X' is a GT space [\[11,](#page-6-2) Theorem 3.4];

• Every linear operator from X to X' is absolutely 1-summing (by [\[1,](#page-6-11) Lemma 3.4] this is a consequence of $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X)$, in particular X is Arensregular;

• Not every linear operator from X to X' is integral (this is a consequence of $X\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}X\neq X\tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon}X$).

References

- [1] G. Botelho, Cotype and absolutely summing multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad Sect. 5A 97 (1997), 145-153.
- [2] G. Botelho, Ideals of polynomials generated by weakly compact operators, Note Mat. 25 (2005/2006), 69-102.
- [3] G. Botelho, H.-A. Braunss, H. Junek and D. Pellegrino, Inclusions and coincidences for multiple summing multilinear mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 991-1000.
- [4] G. Botelho and D. Pellegrino, Scalar-valued dominated polynomials on Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 1743-1751.
- [5] G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino and P. Rueda, Pietsch's factorization theorem for dominated polynomials, J. Funct. Anal. **243** (2007), 257-269.
- [6] G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino and P. Rueda, Dominated polynomials on infinite dimensional spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [7] D. Carando, *Extendibility of polynomials and analytic functions on* ℓ_p , Studia Math. **145** (2001), 63-73.
- [8] A. Defant and K. Floret, Tensor norms and operator ideals, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 176, North-Holland, 1993.
- [9] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, A. Tonge, Absolutely summing operators, Cambridge University Press 1995.
- [10] S. Dineen, Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.
- [11] H. Jarchow, C. Palazuelos, D. Pérez-García and I. Villanueva, Hahn-Banach extension of multilinear forms and summability, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 336 (2007), 1161-1177.
- [12] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, Absolutely summing operators in \mathcal{L}_p spaces and their applications. Studia Math 29 (1968), 275-326.
- [13] Y. Meléndez and A. Tonge *Polynomials and the Pietsch Domination Theorem*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad Sect. A 99 (1999), 195-212.
- [14] J. Mujica, Complex analysis in Banach spaces, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 120, North-Holland, 1986.
- [15] D. Pellegrino, Cotype and absolutely summing homogeneous polynomials in \mathcal{L}_p spaces, Studia Math. 157 (2003), 121-131.
- [16] D. Pérez-García, *Operadores multilineales absolutamente sumantes*, Thesis, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 2003.
- [17] A. Pietsch. Ideals of multilinear functionals, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals and Their Applications in Theoretical Physics, 185-199, Teubner-Texte, Leipzig, 1983.
- [18] G. Pisier, *Counterexamples to a conjecture of Grothendieck*, Acta Math. **151** (1983), 181-208.
- [19] R. Ryan Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces, Springer, 2002.

[Geraldo Botelho] Faculdade de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, 38.400-902 - Uberlˆandia, Brazil, e-mail: botelho@ufu.br.

[Daniel Pellegrino] Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil, e-mail: dmpellegrino@gmail.com.

[Pilar Rueda] Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Valencia, 46.100 Burjasot - Valencia, Spain, e-mail: pilar.rueda@uv.es.