One-neutron removal strength of ⁷He into ⁶He using the complex scaling method

Takayuki Myo^{*},^{1,2} Ryosuke Ando[†],³ and Kiyoshi Katō^{‡3}

¹ General Education, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka Institute of Technology, Osaka 535-8585, Japan

² Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Osaka University, Ibaraki 567-0047, Japan

³ Division of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

(Dated: October 20, 2021)

We study the one-neutron removal strength of the ⁷He ground state, which provides us with the ⁶He-*n* component in ⁷He. The He isotopes are described on the basis of the ⁴He+Xn cluster model (X = 1, 2, 3). The complex scaling method is applied to describe not only the Gamow resonances but also the nonresonant continuum states of valence neutrons, with the correct boundary condition of particle decays. The one-neutron removal strength of ⁷He into the unbound states of ⁶He is calculated using the complex-scaled Green's function, in which a complex-scaled complete set of ⁴He+n+n states is adopted. Using this framework, we investigate resonant and nonresonant contributions of the strength, which individually produce specific structures in the distributions. In addition, we propose a method to obtain the real-value strength using the complex values of spectroscopic factors of Gamow states. As a result, the ⁶He(2⁺) resonance is found to give the largest contribution.

PACS numbers: 21.60.Gx, 21.10.Pc, 27.20.+n

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of experiments using radioactive beam has provided us with much information on unstable nuclei far from the stability. In particular, the light nuclei near the drip-line exhibit new phenomena of nuclear structures, such as the neutron halo structure in ⁶He and ¹¹Li [1, 2].

Recently, many experiments [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] on ⁷He have been reported and have confirmed that its ground state is assigned to be the $3/2^-$ resonant state at $0.3 \sim 0.5$ MeV above the ⁶He+n threshold energy. For the excited states, ⁷He can decay not only to two-body ${}^{6}\text{He}+n$ channels, but also to many-body channels of ${}^{5}\text{He}+2n$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$, because ${}^{6}\text{He}$ is a Borromean nucleus and breaks up easily into ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$. This multiparticle decay condition makes it difficult to settle the excited states of ⁷He. In fact, contradictions in the observed energy levels and their spins still remain. The excited state at $E_x \sim 3$ MeV has been assigned as $5/2^$ in several experiments [3, 4, 6, 8, 9]. The existence of $1/2^{-}$ is also expected [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], but the energies and decay width are not yet fixed. This $1/2^{-}$ state with a possibility of the LS partner of the ground state is of interest because the LS splitting energy in ⁷He gives information on the LS interaction in drip-line nuclei. Some experiments [5, 8, 9] report $1/2^{-}$ at around 1 MeV in the low excitation energy. However, other observations [6, 7]suggest a little higher excitation energy. The spectroscopic factor associated with the ⁶He halo state has also been reported [10].

On the theoretical side, *ab initio* calculations of the no-core shell model [12] and the Green's function Monte Calro [13] have been performed, and the calculated energies of the ground and $5/2^-$ states show a good correspondence with the experiments. The $1/2^-$ state is predicted at around 3 MeV, although the results depend on the choice of the three-nucleon forces [13]. Those calculations are based on the bound state approximation and the continuum effect from many-body open channels is not included, while the observed ⁷He states are unbound.

Several methods have been proposed to treat the continuum effects explicitly, such as the continuum shell model [14] and the Gamow shell model [15, 16, 17]. It is, however, difficult to satisfy the multiparticle decay conditions correctly for all open channels. The obtained energy spectra and decay widths of He isotopes depend on the treatment of open channels. For the spectroscopy of ⁷He, it is necessary to describe the four-body resonances of the ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$ system in the theoretical model. Furthermore, it is important to reproduce the threshold energies of the particle decays into subsystems. Emphasizing these theoretical conditions, in our previous work [18], we investigated the ⁷He spectroscopy with the appropriate treatment of the decay properties concerned with the subsystems of 5,6 He. We employed the cluster orbital shell model (COSM) of ${}^{4}\text{He}+Xn$ [19, 20, 21], in which the open channel effects of the ${}^{6}\text{He}+n$, ${}^{5}\text{He}+2n$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$ decays are taken into account explicitly. This means that our analysis satisfies the simultaneous descriptions of ^{4,5,6}He. We described the many-body resonances by using the complex scaling method (CSM) [22, 23, 24, 25], under the correct boundary conditions for all decay channels. In CSM, the energies and decay widths of many-body resonances are directly obtained by diagonalization of the complex-scaled Hamiltonian with L^2 basis functions.

We found five resonances of ⁷He and investigated their

^{*}myo@ge.oit.ac.jp

[†]ando@nucl.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

[‡]kato@nucl.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

properties, such as energies, decay widths, configurations and spectroscopic factors (S factors) of the ${}^{6}\text{He-}n$ components. In particular, S factors are important in understanding the coupling between ⁶He and a last neutron in ⁷He. In the analysis of the S factors of the Gamow states of 6,7 He, the S factors are often described in complex values, because Gamow states have complex eigenvalues and their matrix elements are also complex values [25, 26]. These complex S factors lead to the problem of physical interpretation with respect to the observation, which was discussed in a previous study [18]. To avoid this problem, in this article, we develop the method of considering the contributions of nonresonant continuum states for Sfactors in addition to those of Gamow states. This is performed by employing the complete set consisting of Gamow resonant and nonresonant continuum states in the continuum energy region. Using this complete set of unbound states of ⁶He, we show the one-neutron removal strength of the ⁷He ground state into the ⁶He unbound states. This strength function reflects the 6 He-*n* components of ⁷He and is observable.

We explain the relation between the one-neutron removal strength and the S factors. In Fig. 1, we depict the schematic illustration of the one-nucleon removal strength S(E) from a mass A system to an A-1 one. The strength function S(E) involves the information of bound, resonant, and nonresonant continuum states of the A-1 final states. The resonant parts of the strength correspond to the S factors of resonances. Beyond the threshold energy $E_{\rm th}$, the unbound states start to appear, and the strength becomes continuous. It is, however, difficult to describe the unbound states beyond the twobody case, like ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ of ${}^{6}\text{He}$. In the previous study [18], only the resonant component (S factors) of the final states is considered as a part of S(E), and the nonresonant component is missing. Because of this partial treatment of the unbound states, the relation between the complex S factors and the real observable S(E) is obscure. Hence, in this study, we include the contributions not only of the resonances, but also of the nonresonant continuum states in the distribution, S(E) in Fig. 1. Some resonances of the A-1 system can make structures in S(E) like those shown in Fig. 1. It is interesting to clarify the origins of the structures seen in the strength.

In this article, we calculate the one-neutron removal strength of ⁷He by using the complex-scaled ⁴He+n+ncomplete set of the ⁶He final states [27], which consists of not only the ⁶He resonances, but also the continuum states of ⁵He+n and ⁴He+n+n. We express the ⁶He complete set by using the complex-scaled solutions of the ⁴He+n+n model. It has been shown that CSM is a powerful method to investigate the resonances and the nonresonant continuum states. So far, using CSM, the Coulomb breakup strengths of halo nuclei have been successfully analyzed [25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. In calculations of the strength S(E) in this study, we adopt the complex-scaled Green's function described by using the complex-scaled eigenstates of ⁶He. Similar meth-



FIG. 1: Schematic illustration of the one-nucleon removal strength S(E), from the mass A system to the A - 1 one. The excitation energy E is for the A - 1 system. $E_{\rm th}$ is the lowest threshold energy of particle emission for the A - 1 system.

ods using complex-scaled Green's function have been used successfully in solving the nuclear reaction problems [32, 33, 34].

In this study, we evaluate the one-neutron removal strength of ⁷He into ⁶He as an observable. This means that we develop a method to obtain the observable from the complex S factors of Gamow states. Furthermore, we investigate the structures in the strength by decomposing the ⁶He unbound states into ⁶He resonances, ⁵He+n and ⁴He+n+n continuum components [27]. As was shown in the Coulomb breakups of halo nuclei, the contributions of each component can be unambiguously classified by using CSM. This is a prominent point of the present method.

In Sec. II, we explain the complex-scaled COSM wave function for He isotopes, and the method of calculating the neutron removal strength using CSM by introducing the complex-scaled Green's function. In Sec. III, we discuss the ⁷He structures, the *S* factors of the ⁶He-*n* components, and also the one-neutron removal strength into ⁶He. A summary is given in Sec. IV.

II. COMPLEX-SCALED ${}^{4}\text{HE}+XN$ COSM FOR HE ISOTOPES

A. COSM for the ${}^{4}\text{He}+Xn$ systems

We explain the COSM of the ${}^{4}\text{He}+Xn$ systems, in which X = 1 for ${}^{5}\text{He}$, X = 2 for ${}^{6}\text{He}$ and X = 3 for ${}^{7}\text{He}$. The Hamiltonian is the same as that used in Refs. [18, 27];

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{X+1} t_i - T_G + \sum_{i=1}^{X} V_i^{\alpha n} + \sum_{i< j}^{X} V_{ij}^{nn}, \qquad (1)$$



FIG. 2: Sets of the spatial coordinates in the COSM for the ${}^{4}\text{He}+Xn$ system.

where t_i and T_G are the kinetic energies of each particle (Xn and ⁴He) and of the center of mass of the total system, respectively. The interactions $V^{\alpha n}$ and V^{nn} are given by the modified KKNN potential [35] and the Minnesota potential [36], respectively. They reproduce the low-energy scattering data of the ⁴He-n and the n-n systems, respectively.

For the wave function, ⁴He is treated as the $(0s)^4$ configuration of a harmonic oscillator wave function, whose length parameter b_c is 1.4 fm to fit the charge radius of ⁴He. The motion of valence neutrons around ⁴He is solved variationally using the few-body technique. We expand the relative wave functions of the ⁴He+Xn system using the COSM basis states [19, 20, 21]. In COSM, the total wave function Ψ of the ⁴He+Xn system is given by the superposition of the configuration Ψ_c as

$$\Psi(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn) = \sum_{c} C_{c} \Psi_{c}(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn), \qquad (2)$$

$$\Psi_c(^4\text{He} + Xn) = \prod_{i=1}^X a^{\dagger}_{\alpha_i} |0\rangle, \qquad (3)$$

where ⁴He corresponds to a vacuum $|0\rangle$. The creation operator $a_{\alpha_i}^{\dagger}$ is for the valence neutron above ⁴He, with the quantum number α_i in a jj coupling scheme. The index $i = 1, \dots, X$ is for X valence neutrons. The set of α_i is included in the index c. The variational coefficient is expressed by C_c with respect to Ψ_c . We take a summation over the available configurations. The coordinate representation of the single-particle state corresponding to $a_{\alpha_i}^{\dagger}$ is given as ψ_{α_i} with the relative coordinate \mathbf{r}_i between ⁴He and a valence neutron shown in Fig. 2. Considering the angular momentum coupling, the total wave function Ψ^J with the spin J is expressed as

$$\Psi^{J}(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn) = \sum_{c} C^{J}_{c} \Psi^{J}_{c}(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn), \qquad (4)$$

$$\Psi_c^J({}^4\mathrm{He} + Xn) = \mathcal{A}' \left\{ \left[\Phi({}^4\mathrm{He}), \chi_c^J(Xn) \right]^J \right\}, \quad (5)$$

$$\chi_c^{\circ}(n) = \psi_{\alpha_1}^{\circ}, \tag{6}$$

$$\chi_c^J(2n) = \mathcal{A}\{[\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}]_J\},\tag{7}$$

$$\chi_{c}^{s}(3n) = \mathcal{A}\{[[\psi_{\alpha_{1}}, \psi_{\alpha_{2}}]_{j_{12}}, \psi_{\alpha_{3}}]_{J}\}.$$
 (8)

Here, as shown in Fig. 2, $\chi_c^J(Xn)$ expresses the wave

functions for valence neutrons. The spin j_{12} is a coupled angular momentum of the first and second valence neutrons. The antisymmetrizers between valence neutrons and between a valence neutron and neutrons in ⁴He are expressed as \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , respectively. The effect of \mathcal{A}' is treated in the orthogonality condition model [21, 25, 27], in which ψ_{α} is imposed to be orthogonal to the 0s state occupied by ⁴He. The radial part of ψ_{α} is expanded with a finite number of Gaussian basis functions as

$$\psi_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\alpha}} C_{\alpha,k} \phi_{\alpha}^{k}(\boldsymbol{r}, b_{\alpha,k}), \qquad (9)$$

$$\phi_{\alpha}^{k}(\boldsymbol{r}, b_{\alpha,k}) = \mathcal{N}r^{\ell_{\alpha}} e^{-(r/b_{\alpha,k})^{2}/2} [Y_{\ell_{\alpha}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}), \chi_{1/2}^{\sigma}]_{j_{\alpha}}.(10)$$

Here, the index k is for the Gaussian basis with the length parameter $b_{\alpha,k}$. A basis number for the state α and the normalization factor for the basis are given by N_{α} and \mathcal{N} , respectively. Two expansion coefficients $\{C_c^J\}$ and $\{C_{\alpha,k}\}$ are determined variationally with respect to the total wave function Ψ^J by diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix elements. The length parameters $b_{\alpha,k}$ are chosen as geometric progression [25]. Due to this expansion of the radial components using a finite number of basis states, all the energy eigenvalues are discretized for bound, resonant and continuum states. We use at most 17 Gaussian basis functions with a maximum range of 40 fm.

Here, we discuss the coupling between ⁴He and valence neutrons. This is related to the boundary condition of the neutron emission, which is important, in particular, when we deal with the weakly binding, resonant and continuum states [18, 31]. We consider the coupling between ⁷He and the ⁶He+n configurations. Asymptotically, when the last neutron is located far away from ⁶He ($r_3 \rightarrow \infty$ for ⁴He+3n in Fig. 2), any coupling between ⁶He and a last neutron disappears, and ⁶He becomes its isolated eigenstate of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1).

$$\Psi^{J}(^{7}\mathrm{He}) = \sum_{c} C_{c}^{J} \mathcal{A}' \left\{ \left[\Phi(^{4}\mathrm{He}), \chi_{c}^{J}(3n) \right]^{J} \right\}$$
(11)

$$\xrightarrow[\mathbf{r}_3 \to \infty]{} \left[\Psi_{\nu}^{J'}(^{6}\mathrm{He}), \psi_{\alpha_3} \right]^{J}, \qquad (12)$$

$$\Psi_{\nu}^{J'}(^{6}\mathrm{He}) = \sum_{c} C_{c,\nu}^{J'} \mathcal{A}' \left\{ \left[\Phi(^{4}\mathrm{He}), \chi_{c,\nu}^{J'}(2n) \right]^{J'} \right\} (13)$$

where the spin J and J' are for ⁷He and ⁶He, respectively, and the index ν indicates the eigenstate of ⁶He. The mixing coefficient $\{C_{c,\nu}^{J'}\}$ and the two-neutron wave function $\chi_{c,\nu}^{J'}(2n)$ in Eq. (13) are those of the ⁶He eigenstates. Hence, the three-neutron wave function $\chi_c^J(3n)$ in Eq. (11) satisfies the following asymptotic forms

$$\sum_{c} C_{c}^{J} \chi_{c}^{J}(3n) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}_{3} \to \infty} \left(\sum_{c} C_{c,\nu}^{J'} \chi_{c,\nu}^{J'}(2n) \right) \psi_{\alpha_{3}}.$$
(14)

This relation implies that the three-neutron wave function of ⁷He is asymptotically decomposed into twoneutron wave function of ⁶He and a last neutron. Equations (11)-(14) describe the boundary conditions of the COSM wave functions for He isotopes. In contrast, when a last neutron comes close to ⁶He, this last neutron dynamically couples to the ⁶He eigenstates $\Psi_{\nu}^{J'}$. This coupling depends on the relative distance between ⁶He and a last neutron, and changes the ⁶He+n configurations from the eigenstates of ⁶He to the eigenstates of ⁷He. In the COSM, the structure change of ⁶He inside ⁷He is determined variationally to optimize the ⁷He eigenstate. This discussion of asymptotic condition can be also applied for the configurations of ⁵He+2n and ⁴He+3n. Hence, in the COSM, we can treat the neutron emissions with the correct boundary conditions.

For the single-particle states $\alpha = \ell_j$ $(j = \ell \otimes \frac{1}{2})$, we take angular momenta $\ell \leq 2$ to keep the accuracy of the converged energy within 0.3 MeV. In the calculation of ⁷He, we adjust the calculated energies of ⁶He by taking the 178.8 MeV of the repulsive strength of the Minnesota force [36] and the three-cluster interaction $V^{\alpha nn}$ for the ⁴He-*n*-*n* system [27]. The former adjustment of the *NN* interaction can be understood from the pairing correlation between valence neutrons with higher angular momenta $\ell > 2$ [35]. The latter is considered to come from dominantly the tensor correlation in ⁴He. Recently, we showed that the binding energy and the excited states of ⁶He can be well explained without the three-cluster interaction by taking into account the tensor correlation of ⁴He explicitly [37, 38]. Following previous studies [18, 27], we use the three-cluster potential:

$$V^{\alpha nn} = \sum_{i < j} v_3 \ e^{-(\mathbf{r}_i^2 + \mathbf{r}_j^2)/b_c^2} \quad \text{with} \ v_3 = -25 \ \text{MeV}.(15)$$

Adding this potential to the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), our results agree with the observed energies of ⁶He for 0^+ and 2^+ states. Hence, the present model reproduces the observed properties of ^{5,6}He, simultaneously [18, 39], as shown in the next section (Fig. 4), namely, the threshold energies of the particle emissions from ⁷He.

B. Complex scaling method (CSM)

We explain the CSM, which describes resonances and nonresonant continuum states. Hereafter, we refer to the nonresonant continuum states as simply the continuum states. In the CSM, we transform the relative coordinates of the ⁴He+Xn model shown in Fig. 2, by the operator U_{θ} as

$$U_{\theta}$$
: $\mathbf{r}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_i e^{i\theta}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, X$, (16)

where θ is a scaling angle. The Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is transformed into the complex-scaled Hamiltonian $H_{\theta} = U_{\theta}HU_{\theta}^{-1}$, and the corresponding complex-scaled Schrödinger equation is given as

$$H_{\theta}\Psi^{J}_{\theta} = E\Psi^{J}_{\theta}, \qquad (17)$$

$$\Psi^J_{\theta} = e^{(3/2)i\theta \cdot X} \Psi^J(\{\boldsymbol{r}_i e^{i\theta}\}).$$
(18)

The eigenstates Ψ^J_{θ} are obtained by solving the eigenvalue problem of H_{θ} in Eq. (17). In CSM, we obtain all the energy eigenvalues E of bound and unbound states on a complex energy plane, governed by the ABC theorem [22]. In this theorem, it is proven that the boundary condition of Gamow resonances is transformed to the damping behavior at the asymptotic region. This condition enables us to use the same theoretical method to obtain the many-body resonances as that used for the bound states. For a finite value of θ , every Riemann branch cut is commonly rotated down by 2θ . For ⁷He, the continuum states of ⁶He+n ⁵He+2n and ⁴He+3n channels are obtained on the branch cuts rotated with the 2θ dependence [18]. On the contrary, bound states and resonances are discrete and obtainable independently of θ (see Fig. 3 for the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+})$ case). Hence, these discrete states are located separately from the many-body continuum spectra on the complex energy plane. We can identify the resonance poles of complex eigenvalues: $E = E_r - i\Gamma/2$, where E_r and Γ are the resonance energies measured from the lowest threshold and the decay widths, respectively.

In the wave function, the θ dependence is included in the variational coefficients in Eqs. (4) and (9) as $\{C_c^{J,\theta}\}$ and $\{C_{\alpha,k}^{\theta}\}$, respectively. We take the value of θ as 30° in this calculation.

In the study, we calculate the one-neutron removal strength of ⁷He into ⁶He, in which we need a complete set of ⁶He including bound, resonant, and continuum states. We express this ⁶He complete set using the complex-scaled eigenstates Ψ^{J}_{θ} obtained in the ⁴He+*n*+*n* model. Hereafter, we denote the ⁶He wave function with the state ν' as simply $\Phi_{\nu'}$, and the ⁷He wave function $\Psi_{\nu}(^{7}\text{He})$ as Ψ_{ν} .

We briefly explain the extended completeness relation (ECR) of ⁶He using CSM [27, 40, 41]. When we take a large θ like in Fig. 3, three-body unbound states of ⁶He is decomposed into three categories of discrete three-body resonances, three-body continuum states of ⁴He+n+n, and two-body continuum states of ⁵He(3/2⁻, 1/2⁻)+n. Here, the ⁵He+n two-body continuum states are obtained on the branch cuts, whose origins are resonance positions of ⁵He(3/2⁻, 1/2⁻), as shown in Fig. 3. Using all the unbound states of ⁶He, we introduce the extended three-body completeness relation (ECR) of the complex-scaled Hamiltonian H_{θ} of ⁶He as

$$1 = \sum_{\nu} |\Phi_{\nu}^{\theta}\rangle \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu}^{\theta}|$$

= {three-body bound state of ⁶He}
+ {three-body resonance of ⁶He }
+ {three-body continuum states of ⁴He+n+n}
+ {two-body continuum states of ⁵He+n}, (19)

where $\{\Phi_{\nu}^{\theta}, \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu}^{\theta}\}\$ are the complex-scaled ⁶He wave functions and form a set of biorthogonal bases. This relation is an extension of the two-body ECR [25, 40]. Because the detailed definition of the biorthogonal bases is written in the previous works [27, 40], we briefly explain it here. When the wave number k_{ν} of Φ_{ν} is for discrete bound and resonance states, the adjoint wave number \tilde{k}_{ν} of $\tilde{\Phi}_{\nu}$ is defined as $\tilde{k}_{\nu} = -k_{\nu}^*$, which leads to the relation $\tilde{\Phi}_{\nu} = (\Phi_{\nu})^*$ [40, 41, 42]. For continuum states, the same relation of the biorthogonal states of resonances is adopted, because we use a discretized representation. In the ⁴He+n+n model, the ⁴He-2n channel is included in the three-body continuum components of ⁴He+n+n, because 2n does not have any bound states or physical resonances.

C. Spectroscopic factor of ⁷He

We explain the S factors of the ⁶He-n components for ⁷He. This S factor is used in the calculation of the oneneutron removal strength of ⁷He. As was explained in a previous study [18], because Gamow states generally give complex matrix elements, S factors of Gamow states are not necessarily positive definite and are defined by the squared matrix elements using the biorthogonal property [16, 18, 27, 41] as

$$S_{J',\nu'}^{J,\nu} = \sum_{\alpha} S_{J',\nu',\alpha}^{J,\nu}, \qquad (20)$$

$$S_{J',\nu',\alpha}^{J,\nu} = \frac{1}{2J+1} \langle \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu'}^{J'} || a_{\alpha} || \Psi_{\nu}^{J} \rangle^{2}, \qquad (21)$$

where the annihilation operator a_{α} is for valence neutron with the state α . The spin J and J' are for ⁷He and ⁶He, respectively. The index ν (ν') indicates the eigenstate of ⁷He (⁶He). In this expression, the S factors $S_{J',\nu'}^{J,\nu}$ are allowed to be complex values. In general, an imaginary part of S factor often becomes large relative to the real part for resonances having large decay widths.

The sum rule value of S factors, which includes Gamow state contributions of the final states, can be considered [18, 25]. When we count all the obtained complex Sfactors not only of the Gamow states but also of the continuum states in the final states, the summed value of Sfactors satisfies the associated particle number, which is a real value. In such a case, the imaginary part of the summed S factors becomes zero, similar to the transition strength [25, 27, 29]. For ⁷He into the ⁶He-n decomposition, the summed value of the S factors $S_{J',\nu'}^{J,\nu}$ in Eq. (21) by taking all the ⁶He states, is given as

$$\sum_{J',\nu'} S^{J,\nu}_{J',\nu'} = \sum_{\alpha,m} \langle \tilde{\Psi}^{JM}_{\nu} | a^{\dagger}_{\alpha,m} a_{\alpha,m} | \Psi^{JM}_{\nu} \rangle$$
$$= 3 , \qquad (22)$$

where we use the completeness relation of $^{6}\mathrm{He}$ as

$$1 = \sum_{J',M'} \sum_{\nu'} |\Phi_{\nu'}^{J'M'}\rangle \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu'}^{J'M'}|.$$
 (23)

Here the labels, M(M') and m are the z components of the angular momenta of the wave functions of ⁷He (⁶He) and of the creation and annihilation operators of valence neutrons, respectively. It is found that the summed value of S factors for the ⁶He states becomes the number of valence neutrons in ⁷He. This property of the S factors is also established when the complex scaling is operated.

In the numerical calculation, we express the radial part of the operator a_{α} in Eq. (21) using a complete set expanded by 40 Gaussian basis functions with the maximum range of 80 fm for each orbit. This treatment is sufficient to converge the results.

D. One-neutron removal strength of ⁷He

We explain the one-neutron removal strength of ⁷He into ⁶He. This is a function of the real energy of ⁶He, E. We first introduce the Green's function $\mathcal{G}(E, \eta, \eta')$ of ⁶He, which is used in the derivation of the strength. The procedure is the same as that used in the case of the electric transitions [27, 29]. The coordinates, η and η' , represent the set of \mathbf{r}_i $(i = 1, \dots, X)$ in Fig. 2. Here, we introduce the complex-scaled Green's function $\mathcal{G}^{\theta}(E, \eta, \eta')$ of ⁶He as

$$\mathcal{G}(E, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}') = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\eta} \left| \frac{1}{E - H} \right| \boldsymbol{\eta}' \right\rangle$$
(24)

$$\mathcal{G}^{\theta}(E, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}') = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\eta} \left| \frac{1}{E - H_{\theta}} \right| \boldsymbol{\eta}' \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\nu} \frac{\Phi^{\theta}_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \left[\tilde{\Phi}^{*}_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\eta}') \right]^{\theta}}{E - E^{\theta}_{\nu}}$$

$$= \sum_{\nu} \mathcal{G}^{\theta}_{\nu}(E, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}').$$
(25)

In the derivation from Eq. (24) to Eq. (25), we insert the ECR of ⁶He given in Eq. (19). The ⁶He energy, E_{ν}^{θ} , corresponds to the eigen wave function Φ_{ν}^{θ} . The θ dependence of E_{ν}^{θ} appears only in the continuum spectra.

Next, the strength function S(E) for the annihilation operator a_{α} is defined using the Green's function in a usual case without CSM as

$$S(E) = \sum_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}(E), \qquad (26)$$

$$S_{\alpha}(E) = \sum_{\nu} \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{0} | a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} | \Phi_{\nu} \rangle \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu} | a_{\alpha} | \Psi_{0} \rangle \, \delta(E - E_{\nu})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left[\int d\eta d\eta' \, \tilde{\Psi}_{0}^{*}(\eta) \, a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \right] \times \mathcal{G}(E, \eta, \eta') \, a_{\alpha} \Psi_{0}(\eta') \, . \qquad (27)$$

For simplicity, we omit the labels of the angular momenta and their z components of the wave functions and of the operators. The wave function Ψ_0 is the ground state of ⁷He. We also consider the sum rule value of the strength S(E) in Eq. (26), which is defined by the integration of S(E) over the real energy E of ⁶He. Using the completeness relation of the final states of ⁶He, the sum rule value is given as

$$\int dE \ S(E) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\nu} \langle \widetilde{\Psi}_0 | a^{\dagger}_{\alpha} | \Phi_{\nu} \rangle \langle \widetilde{\Phi}_{\nu} | a_{\alpha} | \Psi_0 \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} \langle \widetilde{\Psi}_0 | a^{\dagger}_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} | \Psi_0 \rangle$$
$$= 3.$$
(28)

Thus, it is also confirmed that the energy integrated value of S(E) satisfies the associated particle number of ⁷He, similar to the case shown in Eq. (22).

To calculate the strength function $S_{\alpha}(E)$ in Eq. (27), we operate the complex scaling on $S_{\alpha}(E)$, and use the complex-scaled Green's function of Eq. (25) as

$$S_{\alpha}(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left[\int d\eta d\eta' \left[\widetilde{\Psi}_{0}^{*}(\eta) \right]^{\theta} (a_{\alpha}^{\dagger})^{\theta} \right]$$
$$\times \mathcal{G}^{\theta}(E, \eta, \eta') a_{\alpha}^{\theta} \Psi_{0}^{\theta}(\eta') \right]$$
$$= \sum_{\nu} S_{\alpha,\nu}(E), \qquad (29)$$

$$S_{\alpha,\nu}(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{0}^{\theta} | (a_{\alpha}^{\dagger})^{\theta} | \Phi_{\nu}^{\theta} \rangle \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu}^{\theta} | a_{\alpha}^{\theta} | \Psi_{0}^{\theta} \rangle}{E - E_{\nu}^{\theta}} \right] (30)$$

In Eq. (30), the strength function is calculated using the one-neutron removal matrix elements $\langle \Phi^{\theta}_{\nu} | a^{\theta}_{\alpha} | \Psi^{\theta}_{0} \rangle$. It is noted that the function $S_{\alpha,\nu}(E)$ is independent of θ [18, 27, 40, 43]. This is because any matrix elements are obtained independently of θ in the complex scaling method, and also because the state ν of ⁶He is uniquely classified according to ECR defined in Eq. (19). As a result, the decomposed strength $S_{\alpha,\nu}(E)$ is uniquely obtained. Thus, the one-neutron removal strength $S_{\alpha}(E)$ is calculated as a function of the real energy E of ⁶He. When we discuss the structures appearing in $S_{\alpha}(E)$, it is useful to decompose $S_{\alpha}(E)$ into each component $S_{\alpha,\nu}(E)$ by using the complete set of the final state ν of ⁶He. We can categorize ν of ⁶He using the ECR in Eq. (19). Because of this decomposition of unbound states, we can unambiguously investigate how much each resonant and continuum state of 6 He exhausts the strength. This is a prominent point of the present method.

Here, we discuss the properties of the decomposed strength function $S_{\alpha,\nu}(E)$ in Eq. (30). The original strength function $S_{\alpha}(E)$ in Eq. (29) corresponds to an observable being positive definite for every energy. However, the decomposed strength function $S_{\alpha,\nu}(E)$ is not necessarily positive definite at all energies, because $S_{\alpha,\nu}(E)$ cannot be directly observed, similar to the resonant poles. This means that $S_{\alpha,\nu}(E)$ can sometimes have negative values. This property of the decomposed strength has been generally discussed in the electric transition strength [27, 29].

The stability of the calculated matrix elements of resonant and continuum states using the CSM has been shown in many works [25, 27, 40]. For continuum states, we adopt the discretized representation using the L^2 integrable basis functions. This discretization has been checked to reproduce the genuine continuum states by using the CSM [32, 33, 43].

III. RESULTS

A. Energy spectra of He isotopes

We first discuss the energy spectra of ${}^{5-7}$ He obtained in the present model. The example of the eigenvalue distribution of 6 He(2⁺) obtained in the CSM is shown in Fig.3. In this figure, we can easily identify the locations of the three-body resonances of 6 He (2⁺₁ and 2⁺₂) and, further, of the two kinds of continuum states of 5 He(3/2⁻,1/2⁻)+n and 4 He+n+n. In Fig. 4, we summarize the energy spectra of ${}^{5-7}$ He. A detailed discussion of the obtained energy levels is given in previous works [18, 25]; and hence we briefly explain it here.

In Tables I and II, we list the energies and decay widths of ⁶He and ⁷He, respectively, measured from the ⁴He+Xn threshold energy. For ⁷He, the ground state energy E_r is 0.184 MeV measured from the ⁶He+n threshold, which is slightly overbound with respect to the experiments $(E_r = 0.44(2) \text{ MeV } [3] \text{ and } 0.36(5) \text{ MeV } [8])$. Due to this overbinding, the calculated decay width is smaller than the experiments of $\Gamma \sim 0.16 \text{ MeV } [3, 8]$. When we fit the observed energy of $E_r = 0.44 \text{ MeV}$, namely, -0.54 MeV from the ⁴He+3n threshold, by reducing the strength of $V^{\alpha nn}$, the decay width becomes 0.14 MeV and nicely agrees with the experiments, as is shown in Table II.

We found the $5/2^{-}$ state, whose position agrees with



FIG. 3: (Color online) Energy eigenvalues of the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+})$ states in the complex energy plane measured from the ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ threshold. Three schematic lines with dots correspond to the ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ and ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^{-},1/2^{-})+n$ continuum states, in order from left to right, respectively.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{1}{10^{-1}} = \frac{\Gamma = 5.84}{10^{-1}} \frac{\Gamma = 5.97}{10^{-1}} \frac{1}{\Gamma = 1.95} \frac{3/2}{10^{-1}} = \frac{1}{10^{-1}} = \frac{\Gamma = 0.59}{10^{-1}} \frac{3/2}{10^{-1}} = \frac{\Gamma = 0.107}{10^{-1}} \frac{2^{+1}}{10^{-1}} = \frac{\Gamma = 0.014}{10^{-1}} \frac{3/2}{10^{-1}} = \frac{1}{10^{-1}} = \frac{1}{10^{-1$$

FIG. 4: Energy eigenvalues of the obtained 5,6,7 He resonances measured from the 4 He+Xn threshold.

TABLE I: Energy eigenvalues of the ⁶He resonances measured from the ⁴He+2n threshold. The values with parentheses are the experimental ones [39]. Dominant configurations are also listed.

	Energy (MeV)	Width (MeV)	Config.
0_{1}^{+}	-0.974 (-0.975)		$(p_{3/2})^2$
0_{2}^{+}	3.90	8.77	$(p_{1/2})^2$
2_{1}^{+}	$0.840 \ (0.822(25))$	$0.107 \ (0.113(20))$	$(p_{3/2})^2$
2^{+}_{2}	2.53	3.87	$(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})$
1^{+}	3.02	5.97	$(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})$

the several experiments [3, 4, 8]. Further, the $3/2_2^-$ state is degenerated with the $5/2^-$ state and their decay widths do not differ so much. This result suggests the possibility of the superposed observation of two states in this energy region. We found one broad $1/2^-$ resonance at a low excitation energy of $E_x=1.05$ MeV, while the experimental uncertainty is still large [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11]. Recently, there has been a report discussing the order of energy levels [11], which fully agrees with our results.

For the neutron configurations, the resonances of ⁶He and ⁷He are dominantly described by the *p*-shell configurations and the small contributions come from the *sd*-shell [18]. The dominant configurations for each resonance are listed in Tables I for ⁶He and Table II for ⁷He, respectively. From the configurations, several excited states of ⁷He seem to have the ⁶He+*n* type configurations. To understand the detailed structures of the ⁷He states, we investigate the *S* factors of the ⁶He+*n* system and the one-neutron removal strength of ⁷He.

B. Spectroscopic factors of ⁷He

We calculate the S factors of the ⁶He-n components of the ⁷He resonances. Here we choose the 0_1^+ and 2_1^+ states of ⁶He. It is noted that the present S factors correspond to the components of ⁶He inside the ⁷He resonances and contain the imaginary part. We improve the operation of complex scaling to calculate the matrix elements of

TABLE II: Energy eigenvalues of the ⁷He resonances measured from the 4 He+3*n* threshold. The values with parentheses are the ones fitted to the position of the observed resonance energy of the ground state. Dominant configurations are also listed.

	Energy (MeV)	Width (MeV)	Config.
$3/2_{1}^{-}$	-0.790 (-0.54)	0.014(0.14)	$(p_{3/2})^3$
$3/2_{2}^{-}$	2.58	1.95	$(p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2})$
$3/2_{3}^{-}$	4.53	5.77	$(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2$
$1/2^{-}$	0.26	2.19	$(p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2})$
$5/2^{-}$	2.46	1.50	$(p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2})$

TABLE III: Spectroscopic factors of the $^6\mathrm{He}\text{-}n$ components of $^7\mathrm{He}.$ Details are described in the text.

	${}^{6}\mathrm{He}(0^{+}_{1})$ - <i>n</i>		${}^{6}\mathrm{He}(2^{+}_{1})$ - <i>n</i>	
	Present	CK	Present	CK
$3/2_{1}^{-}$	0.64 + i0.06	0.59	1.55 - i0.31	1.21
$3/2^2$	0.005 + i0.01	0.06	0.95 + i0.02	1.38
$3/2^3$	0.003 + i0.0002		0.02 - i0.004	
$1/2^{-}$	1.00 - i0.13	0.69	0.10 - i0.05	0.60
$5/2^{-}$	0.00 + i0.00	0.00	0.95 + i0.02	1.36

S factors from the previous ones [18]. In Table III, we list the results of S factors of each ⁷He resonance. For reference, the results of the conventional Cohen-Kurath shell model (CK) [6] are shown with real values due to the bound state approximation of resonances. With regard to the real parts of the calculated S factors, the trend seen in our results is roughly similar to that of the CK results. In Table IV, the S factors are decomposed into the components of two p orbits of the last neutron. It is found that every ⁷He resonance is dominated by one of the p orbits coupled with ⁶He.

In Table III, for the $3/2_1^-$ state, the ${}^{6}\text{He}(0_1^+)-n$ component almost shows a real value with a small imaginary part. This real part well corresponds to the recent observation of 0.64 ± 0.09 [10]. The ${}^{6}\text{He}(2_1^+)-n$ component is large, more than twice of that of the ${}^{6}\text{He}(0_1^+)-n$ case. For the $3/2_2^-$ state, ${}^{6}\text{He}(2_1^+)$ is dominantly mixed, and this characteristic is common for the $5/2^-$ state. Hence, these two states have a similar structure of the valence neutron configurations. For the $3/2_3^-$ state, two components of ${}^{6}\text{He}(0_1^+, 2_1^+)-n$ are very small. This state can be considered to have some components of ${}^{6}\text{He}(0_2^+, 1^+, 2_2^+)-n$ from the configurations shown in Tables I and II.

For the $1/2^-$ state, the *S* factor of ${}^{6}\text{He}(0_1^+) \cdot n(p_{1/2})$ is almost unity with a small imaginary part, and the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2_1^+) \cdot n$ component is small. These results of $1/2^$ indicate that the ${}^{6}\text{He}(0_1^+) \cdot n$ component is dominant in ${}^{7}\text{He}(1/2^-)$ and also suggest the weak coupling nature of the $p_{1/2}$ orbital neutron around ${}^{6}\text{He}$, which retains twoneutron halo structure. Therefore, the $1/2^-$ resonance could be mainly considered as a single particle resonance

	${}^{6}\mathrm{He}(0^{+}_{1})$ - $p_{3/2}$	${}^{6}\mathrm{He}(0^{+}_{1})$ - $p_{1/2}$
$3/2_1^-$	0.64 + i0.06	0.00 + i0.00
$3/2_{2}^{-}$	0.005 + i0.01	0.00 + i0.00
$3/2_{3}^{-}$	0.003 + i0.0002	0.00 + i0.00
$1/2^{-}$	0.00 + i0.00	1.00 - i0.13
$5/2^{-}$	0.00 + i0.00	0.00 + i0.00
	${}^{6}\mathrm{He}(2^{+}_{1})$ - $p_{3/2}$	${}^{6}\mathrm{He}(2^{+}_{1})$ - $p_{1/2}$
$3/2_{1}^{-}$	1.54 - i0.31	0.005 - i0.002
$3/2_{2}^{-}$	0.001 + i0.001	0.95 + i0.02
$3/2_{3}^{-}$	0.007 - i0.015	0.02 + i0.01
$1/2^{-}$	0.10 - i0.05	0.00 + i0.00
$5/2^{-}$	0.10 + i0.02	0.85 - i0.001

TABLE IV: Components of two p orbits of the last neutron in S factors of ⁶He-n(p-wave) of ⁷He. Upper and lower tables correspond to ⁶He(0_1^+) and ⁶He(2_1^+), respectively.

of the $p_{1/2}$ neutron surrounding a halo state of ⁶He.

We obtained the interesting information of the structures of the ⁷He resonances via *S* factors. We should be, however, careful to derive the conclusion of physical interpretation of the complex *S* factors of Gamow states, as was mentioned in the previous studies [18, 27, 29]. In this analysis, both of the initial (⁷He) and final (⁶He) states are Gamow states. In the next subsection, we discuss a one-neutron removal strength from the ⁷He ground state assuming a bound state wave function to the continuum energy states of ⁶He. The continuum energy states of ⁶He are described using the complex-scaled complete set of ⁶He. It is shown that we can see contributions not only of resonances but also of nonresonant continuum states of ⁶He to the strength function.

C. One-neutron removal strength of ⁷He

In Sec. III B, the obtained S factors are complex values, which are caused from the Gamow states of ⁷He and ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$. For the final states of ${}^{6}\text{He}$, we consider only resonances, not the continuum states of ${}^{5}\text{He}+n$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$. The decay contributions from ${}^{7}\text{He}$ to these continuum states are not clarified. In this study, we take up this problem and show the strength function of the ⁷He ground state into ⁶He using Eqs. (29) and (30). For 6 He, we prepare the three-body complete set of the ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ model, namely, ECR in Eq. (19) by using the complex-scaled wave functions Φ^{θ}_{ν} of ⁶He. In this method, we take care not only of the ⁶He resonances, but also of the continuum states of ⁵He+n and ⁴He+n+n. Here, we use the bound state approximation for the initial ⁷He ground state, because this state has a very small decay width, as shown in Table II.

In Fig. 5, we show the one-neutron removal strength S(E) of ⁷He(3/2⁻) into ⁶He with spin J, where the en-



FIG. 5: One-neutron removal strength of ⁷He into ⁶He (J^{π}, E) states measured from the ⁴He+n+n threshold energy. The vertical arrow in (a) indicates the resonance energy of ⁶He (2^+_1) . The strength into ⁶He (0^+_1) is shown by a histogram at the position of the corresponding energy.

ergy E of ⁶He is measured from the ⁴He+n+n threshold. We show the results of only plus parity states of 6 He, while the negative parity strengths are found to give a negligible contribution in the order of 10^{-3} of S(E). In Fig. 5, the obtained strengths remain positive definite. The dominant component comes from the 2^+ state in Fig. 5 (a), whose strength has a peak at the resonance energy, 0.84 MeV, of ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$. Below the ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ threshold, ${}^{6}\text{He}(0^{+}_{1})$ gives a contribution of 0.61 of S(E), which is close to the real part of 0.64 in Table III. The small difference comes from the bound state approximation of the ⁷He ground state. For the continuum energy region above the ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ threshold, the 0^{+} , 1^{+} and 3^+ states give small contributions in the strengths, as shown in Fig. 5 (b). It is also found that three kinds of strengths commonly show the enhancement at around 1 MeV. From these results, it is concluded that the oneneutron removal strength of ⁷He is dominantly exhausted by the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$ resonance above the ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ threshold energy.

We discuss the detailed structures seen in the four strengths in Fig. 5. The origin of the 2^+ peak is the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^+_1)$ resonance, whose matrix element (1.55 - i0.31) in Table III is so large that the strength function S(E) produces the sharp peak due to the small decay width of 0.11 MeV of ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$. The remaining continuum strength of the ${}^{5}\text{He}+n$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ components are very small and masked by the sharp peak from resonance. For the 1^{+} and 3^{+} states, we see one peak and a shoulder-like structure. For the 0^{+} state, only one peak is seen. It is interesting to examine the origins of these structures in each strength. We do this it by decomposing the strength functions using the ECR of ${}^{6}\text{He}$ in Eq. (30).

In Fig. 6, the 2^+ strength distribution is decomposed into the three kinds of the components of ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^+_1)$ resonance, ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^-)+n$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ continuum states. It is clearly and explicitly shown that the sharp peak is exhausted by the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^+_1)$ resonance. The residual 2^+ continuum strengths are found to be small. Among them, the ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^-)+n$ two-body continuum component makes a peak at around 0.75 MeV. This energy coincides with the position of the ${}^{5}\text{He}+n$ threshold energy (0.74 MeV), and then the peak reflects the threshold effect of the ${}^{5}\text{He}+n$ open channel. The ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ contribution is small and does not produce a definite structure in the distribution.

In Fig. 7, the strengths of ${}^{6}\text{He}(0^+,1^+,3^+)$ are decomposed into two kinds of continuum components. In every figure, it is found that the low-energy peak observed commonly at around 1 MeV, comes from the contribution of ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^-)+n$. This peak can be considered as a threshold effect of the two-body ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^-)+n$ channel. The ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ component also commonly makes a mild bump at around 1.5 MeV, which makes the shoulder-like structure in the total strength for 1⁺ and 3⁺, in Fig. 7 (b) and (c), respectively. This ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ strength is considered to correspond to the background contribution and does not indicate the existence of any physical states. For the 0⁺ state in Fig. 7 (a), the ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ component is smaller than the ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^-)+n$ one, and then only one peak structure is confirmed in the total strength. As a



FIG. 6: Decomposition of the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+})$ component of the strength functions. The vertical arrow indicates the threshold energy (0.74 MeV) of the ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^{-})+n$ channel.

result, it is found that for three spin states, the strengths into the ⁶He unbound states show some structures, whose origins come from the two kinds of different continuum components of ⁵He+n and ⁴He+n+n.

It is noted that there are other components of broad resonances such as ${}^{6}\text{He}(0^{+}_{2}, 1^{+}, 2^{+}_{2})$ and also a binary ${}^{5}\text{He}(1/2^{-})+n$ one. Their contributions are checked to give negligible contributions in the strength. This is because the magnitudes of their matrix elements are very small, and further, these states have large decay widths



FIG. 7: Decomposition of the ${}^{6}\text{He}(0^{+},1^{+},3^{+})$ components of the strength functions. The vertical arrows in each panel indicate the threshold energy of the ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^{-})+n$ channel.

as shown in Table I. For ${}^{5}\text{He}(1/2^{-})$, its decay width is obtained as 5.84 MeV. Similar results from broad resonances were confirmed in the Coulomb breakup strengths of halo nuclei [27, 29].

It is found in Figs. 6 and 7 that the ${}^{5}\text{He}(3/2^{-})+n$ twobody continuum component remains with finite values even below the two-body threshold energy. This is because the ${}^{5}\text{He}$ in the ${}^{5}\text{He}+n$ threshold, has a decay width of 0.60 MeV. Hence, the ${}^{5}\text{He}+n$ component of S(E) can have a strength below the threshold energy [27].

In this model, the ⁷He ground state energy is slightly overbound with respect to the experiments. Hence, we also calculate the strengths when we fit the observed ground state energy of ⁷He, as shown in Table II. It is confirmed that the trend of the obtained strengths does not depend on the ⁷He ground state energy, and the present conclusion does not change.

IV. SUMMARY

We have investigated the structures of ⁷He with the four-body cluster model. The boundary condition for many-body resonances is accurately treated using the complex scaling method. In this study, we have developed the analysis of ⁷He. From the *S* factors of the ⁶He-*n* component of ⁷He, the $1/2^-$ resonance has a possibility to be the weakly coupled state consisting of a halo state of ⁶He and a $p_{1/2}$ valence neutron.

We have also proposed the method to obtain the real-value strength functions from Gamow states giving complex-value S factors. This leads to the calculation of the one-neutron removal strength of ⁷He into ⁶He. We

calculate this strength function by using the matrix elements of the resonant and the nonresonant continuum states obtained with the complex scaling method. Using the complex-scaled complete set of ⁶He, we take into account the strength into the continuum states in addition to the resonances for the final states of ⁶He.

The one-neutron removal strength of ⁷He into ⁶He is successfully obtained as an observable with positive definite. From the results, the importance of ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$ is clearly shown. However, the many-body continuum components of ${}^{5}\text{He}+n$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ are found to give small contributions, although they show some structures, such as the threshold effect, in the distributions.

In the present analysis, we treat only the ⁷He ground state as an initial state with a bound state approximation. For other resonances of ⁷He, their decay widths are more than 1 MeV, as shown in Table II, so that the bound state approximation is not considered to be valid. When the removal strength of these broad ⁷He resonances are calculated, all the continuum components of ⁷He, such as ⁶He+n ⁵He+2n, ⁴He+3n, should be included simultaneously in the strength. However, this treatment is difficult to carry out at the present stage, and further theoretical development is desired.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS, No. 21740194). Numerical calculations were performed on the computer system at RCNP, Osaka University.

- [1] I. Tanihata, et al. Phys. Lett. **B289**, 261 (1992).
- [2] I. Tanihata, J. of Phys. **G22**, 157 (1996).
- [3] A. A. Korsheninnikov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3581 (1999).
- [4] G. Bohlen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 024312 (2001).
- [5] M. Meister *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 102501 (2002).
- [6] A. H. Wuosmaa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 061301 (2005).
- [7] P. Boutachkov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 132502 (2005).
- [8] F. Skaza *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 044301 (2006).
- [9] N. Ryezayeva et al., Phys. Lett. B 639, 623 (2006).
- [10] F. Beck et al., Phys. Lett. B 645, 128 (2007).
- [11] A. H. Wuosmaa et al., Phys. Rev. C 78, 041302 (2008).
- [12] P. Navrátil and B. R. Barrett, Phys. Rev. C 57, 3119 (1998).
- [13] S. C. Pieper, R. B. Wiringa, J. Carlson, Phys. Rev. C 70, 054325 (2004).
- [14] A. Volya and V. Zelevinsky Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 052501 (2005).
- [15] G. Hagen, M. Hjorth-Jensen and J. S. Vaagen, Phys. Rev. C 71, 044314 (2005).
- [16] N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz and M. Ploszajczak Phys. Rev. C 75, 031301 (2007).
- [17] G. Hagen, D. J. Dean, M. Hjorth-Jensen and T. Papen-

brock, Phys. Lett. B **656**, 169 (2007).

- [18] T. Myo, K. Katō and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 76, 054309 (2007).
- [19] Y. Suzuki and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 38, 410 (1988).
- [20] H. Masui, K. Katō, K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034318 (2006).
- [21] H. Masui, K. Katō, K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 75, 034316 (2007).
- [22] J. Aguilar and J.M.Combes, Commun. Math. Phys. 22, 269 (1971). E. Balslev and J.M. Combes, Commun. Math. Phys. 22, 280 (1971).
- [23] Y. K. Ho, Phys. Rep. **99**, 1 (1983).
- [24] N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rep. 302, 211 (1998).
- [25] S. Aoyama, T. Myo, K. Katō, K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 116, 1 (2006).
- [26] T. Berggren, Phys. Lett. B 373, 1 (1996).
- [27] T. Myo, S. Aoyama, K. Katō and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 63, 054313 (2001).
- [28] R. Suzuki, T. Myo, K. Katō and K. Ikeda Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **146**, 179 (2002).
- [29] T. Myo, K. Katō and K. Ikeda, Phys. Lett. B 576, 281 (2003).
- [30] T. Myo, K. Katō, H. Toki and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C

76, 024305 (2007).

- [31] T. Myo, Y. Kikuchi, K. Katō, H. Toki and K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **119**, 561 (2008).
- [32] A. T. Kruppa, R. Suzuki and K. Katō, Phys. Rev. C 75, 044602 (2007).
- [33] R. Suzuki, A. T. Kruppa, B. G. Giraud and Kiyoshi Katō, Prog. Theor. Phys. 119, 949 (2008).
- [34] Y. Kikuchi T. Myo, M. Takashina, K. Katō and K. Ikeda, arXiv:0905.1541.
- [35] S. Aoyama, S. Mukai, K. Katō and K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 93, 99 (1995).; 94, 343 (1995).
- [36] Y. C. Tang, M. LeMere and D. R. Thompson, Phys. Rep. 47, 167 (1978).

- [37] T. Myo, K. Katō and K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113, 763 (2005).
- [38] T. Myo, K. Katō, H. Toki, K. Ikeda, J. of Phys. G31, S1681 (2005).
- [39] F. Ajzenberg-selove, Nucl. Phys. A506, 1 (1989).
- [40] T. Myo, A. Ohnishi and K. Katō, Prog. Theor. Phys. 99, 801 (1998).
- [41] T. Berggren, Nucl. Phys. A109, 265 (1968).
- [42] N. Moiseyev, P. R. Certain and F. Weinhold, Mol. Phys. 36, 1613 (1978).
- [43] R. Suzuki, T. Myo and K. Katō, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113, 1273 (2005).