

‘Schwinger Model’ on Fuzzy Sphere

E. Harikumar*

School of Physics,
University of Hyderabad,
Central University P O,
Hyderabad-46, AP, India, Pin 500 046

March 1, 2019

Abstract

In this paper, we construct a model of spinor fields interacting with specific gauge fields on fuzzy sphere and analyze the chiral symmetry of this ‘Schwinger model’. In constructing the theory of gauge fields interacting with spinors on fuzzy sphere, we take the approach that the Dirac operator D_q on q -deformed fuzzy sphere S_{qF}^2 is the gauged Dirac operator on fuzzy sphere. This introduces interaction between spinors and specific one parameter family of gauge fields. We also show how to express the field strength for this gauge field in terms of the Dirac operators D_q and D alone. Using the path integral method, we have calculated the $2n$ -point functions of this model and show that, in general, they do not vanish, reflecting the chiral non-invariance of the partition function.

1 Introduction

Fuzzy manifolds obtained by quantizing compact manifolds such as two-sphere S^2 are of interest as they are highly symmetric “lattices”. Unlike the usual lattices here the symmetry of the underlying manifold is maintained. Also, the field theory models constructed on fuzzy spaces are regularized field theories with finite degrees of freedom. In recent times, the fuzzy sphere S_F^2 [1] and a number of field theory models on S_F^2 are being studied extensively, both analytically as well as numerically [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The

*harisp@uohyd.ernet.in

study of fuzzy manifolds and noncommutative manifolds in general are of intrinsic interest from the point of noncommutative geometry[9].

The fuzzy sphere S_F^2 is the algebra of $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ -dimensional matrices. In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the fuzzy sphere reduces to the commutative sphere S^2 . Field theory models on S_F^2 and in general on any fuzzy manifolds, do exhibit many interesting features [2], like the absence of UV/IR mixing[3], which is a characteristic feature of field theories in (non-compact) noncommutative spaces. But the fuzzy one-loop effective action do not reduce to that of the S^2 in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ where one recovers the commutative sphere S^2 .

Using different approaches, several Dirac operators on S_F^2 were obtained and studied in [10, 11, 12, 13]. These Dirac operators are invariant under $SU(2)$ rotations which is the symmetry of underlying space S_F^2 . Generalizing the notions of spinor bundles to S_F^2 , the Dirac operator on S_F^2 , its spectrum and zero modes were obtained in [10]. Using a supersymmetric approach, this result was generalized to include interacting fermions as well as spinors of non-trivial topology[11]. It was shown that the spectrum of this Dirac operator is the same as that of the commutative sphere, but truncated (by the fuzzy cut-off). Without invoking supersymmetry, the Dirac operator on S_F^2 was constructed in[12, 13]. In [14] it was shown that the spinor theory on S_F^2 is free from the fermion doubling encountered in the usual lattice theories.

Fuzzy generalizations of other spaces like CP^2 were taken up in [15, 16]. It was shown that the scalar field theory in this case is free of UV divergences. The Dirac operator was also obtained for fuzzy CP^N [17]. Symmetry aspects of noncommutative space were studied in [18, 19] bringing out the role of Hopf algebras. Generalization of fuzzy spaces, whose symmetries are implemented by quantum groups, were investigated in[19, 20, 21]. Later, generalizing the approach of [11], a Dirac operator and chirality operator were constructed on q-deformed, fuzzy sphere[22]. Following this, the index theorem for this Dirac operator was obtained in [23]. Field theories on q-deformed fuzzy sphere were also studied in [24].

Construction and study of gauge theories on S_F^2 is the next logical step. Using the supersymmetric approach of [11], differential calculus was defined on S_F^2 and the theory of gauge fields, interacting with scalar as well as spinor fields were constructed [25, 26]. An approach along the same line, but without using supersymmetry was employed in [27].

In this paper, we take a different approach towards studying gauge fields on S_F^2 . We consider the Dirac operator D_q on the q-deformed fuzzy sphere S_{qF}^2 [22] as the gauged Dirac operator on the fuzzy sphere. That is, we

take D_q as the Dirac operator with gauge field included, i.e., $D_q = D + A_q$, defined on S_F^2 where D is the Dirac operator on S_F^2 . As we will see, the chirality operator on S_{qF}^2 is identical to that on S_F^2 . Thus both the Dirac operators anti-commute with the same chirality operator. Thus, in this approach, all the effect of q -deformation of fuzzy sphere is encoded in the gauge field A_q . Note that A_q is a specific gauge field on the fuzzy sphere S_F^2 characterized by the parameter q . The Laplacian on S_{qF}^2 (i.e., D_q^2) have q -dependent terms and a part of these are Lichnerowicz correction terms. Since we take all the q -dependence as coming from the gauge field A_q , it is natural to treat all the q -dependent terms in this Laplacian as the field strength associated with the gauge field A_q . Thus, in our approach, the Laplacian on S_{qF}^2 is sum of Laplacian and the field strength associated with the gauge field A_q defined on S_F^2 . Using this field strength associated with the specific gauge field (parameterized by q), we formulate the action for Schwinger model on S_F^2 . We show that the action of this model is invariant under chiral transformation. Using the path integral approach, we then study the chiral anomaly. By explicitly evaluating the $2n$ -point functions, we show that the non-invariance of the measure in the path integral leads to non-vanishing correlators. Here, we show the important role, the zero modes play in making the certain $2n$ -point functions non-vanishing. We then show that by introducing appropriate $2k$ dependent term in the action, the measure can be made invariant under chiral transformations.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we briefly recall the construction of the Dirac operator D_q on q -deformed fuzzy sphere. After defining the $U_q(su(2))$ -spinor bi-module, we define the chirality operator that splits the space of spinors into \pm -chiral subspaces. Then we obtain the Dirac operator which maps \pm -chiral subspaces to \mp -chiral subspaces. We show how to explicitly construct the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of this Dirac operator, thereby obtaining its spectrum. In the process we also obtain the zero-modes of this Dirac operator. In section 3, we adopt the new approach of considering the Dirac operator D_q on q -deformed fuzzy sphere S_{qF}^2 as the gauged Dirac operator on fuzzy sphere S_F^2 . This gauged Dirac operator contains only a specific subclass of the gauge fields on S_F^2 . This subclass of the gauge fields is characterized by the deformation parameter q . Thus, this gauged Dirac operator does not introduce coupling between fermions and all possible gauge fields on S_F^2 , but only to a subclass of gauge fields. Then we define the actions for fermionic fields and the gauge field, respectively. In this approach, integration over the gauge fields is equivalent to integration over the deformation parameter q . Using the path integral

methods, we also find out the general expression for correlators between the Dirac fields. In section 4, we discuss the chiral symmetry of the action. We recover the known result that the chiral anomaly arises from the measure corresponding to the zero modes of the Dirac operator alone. After analysing the contributions to anomaly from various Chern number sectors, we write down the partition function for the Schwinger model on S_F^2 . This partition function breaks chiral symmetry. In section 5, we obtain the general expression for $2n$ -point functions between spinor field and its conjugate for a sector with arbitrary number of zero modes. We then explicitly evaluate the 2-point functions for different sectors characterized by the Chern number. Using these results, we then obtain general expression for non-vanishing $2n$ -point functions. Here, we see the dependence of $2n$ -point function on the cut-off as well as the deformation parameter q . We then explain how a $2k$ -dependent term can be included in the partition function to make chiral invariant. Our concluding remarks are given in section 6.

2 Dirac Operator, its spectrum and zero modes on S_{qF}^2

The q -deformed fuzzy sphere- S_{qF}^2 is the algebra $\mathcal{A}(N, q)$, of matrices where q is the deformation parameter and N denotes that the dimension of the matrices is $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$. In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$, $\mathcal{A}(N, q)$ hence reduces to the algebra of $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ matrices. Here we briefly recall the construction of spinor module, chirality operator and Dirac operator on S_{qF}^2 [22]. We also discuss the spectrum and zero modes of this Dirac operator.

The symmetry algebra of the underlying space S_{qF}^2 is the Hopf algebra $U_q(su(2))$. Its generators J_{\pm}, J_3 satisfy the following relations:

$$[J_+, J_-] = [2J_3], \quad [J_3, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}. \quad (1)$$

Here we have used the q -number, defined as

$$[n] = \frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2)$$

The Casimir operator of the algebra is

$$C = J_- J_+ + \frac{Kq^{\frac{1}{2}} + K^{-1}q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (3)$$

where $K = q^{J_3}$.

The Hopf algebra structure is complete only by defining the co-product, anti-pode and co-unit for $U_q(su(2))$. They are defined as

$$\Delta(J_{\pm}) = J_{\pm} \otimes K^{\frac{1}{2}} + K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes J_{\pm} \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta(J_3) = I \otimes J_3 + J_3 \otimes I \quad (5)$$

$$S(J_{\pm}) = -q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} J_{\pm}, \quad S(J_3) = -J_3 \quad (6)$$

$$\epsilon(I) = 1, \epsilon(J_{\pm}) = 0, \epsilon(J_3) = 0 \quad (7)$$

The spinor field on S_{qF}^2 is identified with the Grassmann odd part of the superfunction defined on the supersphere sS^3 . The condition $A_1^\dagger A_1 + A_2^\dagger A_2 + b^\dagger b = r^2$, implements the Hopf superfibration $sS^3 \rightarrow sS^2$, thus giving the spinor field on S_{qF}^2 . This condition is a restriction on vectors of the representation space.

The superfunction Φ is represented as a linear combination of monomials

$$A_1^\dagger{}^{m_1} A_2^\dagger{}^{m_2} A_1^{n_1} A_2^{n_2} b^{\dagger\mu} b^\nu \quad (8)$$

where m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 are non-negative integers and $\mu, \nu = 0, 1$ with $\mu + \nu = 1$. In the above, b and b^\dagger are fermionic annihilation and creation operators. A_α and A_α^\dagger are q -deformed bosonic annihilation and creation operators. They satisfy the q -deformed oscillator algebra,

$$A_\alpha A_\alpha^\dagger - q^{\frac{1}{2}} A_\alpha^\dagger A_\alpha = q^{-\frac{N_\alpha}{2}} \quad (9)$$

$$[N_\alpha, A_\alpha^\dagger] = A_\alpha^\dagger, \quad [N_\alpha, A_\alpha] = -A_\alpha \quad . \quad (10)$$

The corresponding vacuum state obey $A_\alpha |0\rangle_q = 0$.

The Grassmann odd part of the superfunction $\Phi = \Phi_0(A_\alpha, A_\alpha^\dagger) + f(A_\alpha^\dagger, A_\alpha)b + g(A_\alpha^\dagger, A_\alpha)b^\dagger + F(A_\alpha^\dagger, A_\alpha)b^\dagger b$ is identified with the spinor field on S_{qF}^2 . Thus the spinor field is

$$\Psi = f(A_\alpha^\dagger, A_\alpha)b + g(A_\alpha^\dagger, A_\alpha)b^\dagger. \quad (11)$$

It is a linear combination of monomials given in Eqn. (8). The Chern number of Ψ is given by $2k = m_1 + m_2 + \mu - n_1 - n_2 - \nu = M - N, k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.

These spaces of spinor fields are denoted as $S_k \equiv S_{MN}$ where $M = m_1 + m_2 + \mu$ and $N = n_1 + n_2 + \nu$. The spinors (belonging to the spinor bi-module S_{MN}) are maps from $\mathcal{F}_N^\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_M^\mu$. Here the elements of the space \mathcal{F}_N^ν is defined as

$$|n_1, n_2; \nu\rangle_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[n_1]![n_2]!}} A_1^{\dagger n_1} A_2^{\dagger n_2} b^{\dagger\nu} |0\rangle_q \quad (12)$$

$|0 \rangle_q$ is the vacuum annihilated by the A_α and b . Thus these spinors of the bi-modules $S_{MN} \equiv S_k$ can be expressed as $(2N + 1) \times (2M + 1)$ matrices.

Since the $U_q(su(2))$ has a natural action on these bi-modules, the f and g appearing in the spinor field in Eqn.(11) can be written as the direct sum of IRRs corresponding to half-integer spins j as

$$\frac{M}{2} \otimes \frac{N-1}{2} = |k + \frac{1}{2}| \oplus \dots \oplus (J - \frac{1}{2}) \quad \text{for } f \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{M-1}{2} \otimes \frac{N}{2} = |k - \frac{1}{2}| \oplus \dots \oplus (J - \frac{1}{2}), \quad \text{for } g \quad (14)$$

respectively where $J = \frac{M+N}{2}$ and $2k = M - N$.

To express the spinor field as the sum of IRRs of $U_q(su(2))$, we give the expression for the lowest and the highest states for given values of j and k (with upper value of spin $J - \frac{1}{2}$ is fixed) as

$$\Phi_{J,k,-j}^j = (A_2^\dagger q^{\frac{N_1}{4}})^{(j+k)} (A_1 q^{-\frac{N_2+1}{4}})^{(j-k)} \quad (15)$$

$$\Phi_{J,k,j}^j = (A_1^\dagger q^{-\frac{N_2}{4}})^{(j+k)} (A_2 q^{\frac{N_1+1}{4}})^{(j-k)} \quad (16)$$

respectively. Any other generic states $\Phi_{J,k,m}^j$ can be constructed by acting with $\Delta(J_\pm)$ on the above states.

The chirality operator Γ is defined by its action as

$$\Gamma \Psi = -[b^\dagger b, \Psi]. \quad (17)$$

Here, we note that the chirality operator is defined using (undeformed) fermionic operator as on the fuzzy sphere[11] and $\Gamma^2 = I$ (this will be used latter in Eqn.(40)). Using the above chirality operator, we find that

$$fb = \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j+} = \Phi_{J,k+\frac{1}{2},m}^j b, j = |k + \frac{1}{2}|, \dots, (J - \frac{1}{2}) \quad (18)$$

$$gb^\dagger = \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j-} = \Phi_{J,k-\frac{1}{2},m}^j b^\dagger, j = |k - \frac{1}{2}|, \dots, (J - \frac{1}{2}) \quad (19)$$

are \pm chiral states respectively. Thus we see that f and g belongs to $S_{k \pm \frac{1}{2}}$ respectively. We also note that the above chiral states satisfy

$$Tr(\Phi_{Jkm}^{j\pm} \Phi_{Jk'm'}^{j\mp}) = \delta_{k+k',0} \delta_{m+m',0}. \quad (20)$$

Here the Tr is taken over the space $F_N^\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[n_1]![n_2]!}} A_n^\dagger{}^{n_1} A_2^\dagger{}^{n_2} b^\dagger{}^\nu |0 \rangle_q$.

Having obtained the spinor field and the chirality operator, we now construct the Dirac operator. To this end, first a pair of operators K_\pm which

maps the \pm chiral spaces to the \mp states is constructed. These operators are defined through their action on \pm -chiral states as

$$K_{\pm}\Phi_{J,k,m}^{j\mp} \rightarrow \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j\pm}. \quad (21)$$

These operators are given in terms of fermionic creation and annihilation operators and bosonic q -creation and q -annihilation operators as

$$K_+\Phi = q^{-\frac{k-m}{4}} q^{-\frac{J_z}{2}} b \left[A_1^\dagger \Phi A_2^\dagger q^{\frac{k}{2}} - A_2^\dagger \Phi A_1^\dagger \right] b \quad (22)$$

$$K_-\Phi = q^{-\frac{k+m}{4}} b^\dagger \left[A_1 \Phi A_2 q^{\frac{k}{2}} - A_2 \Phi A_1 \right] b^\dagger q^{-\frac{J_z}{2}}. \quad (23)$$

Using the above definitions, we can easily see that $K_+(gb^\dagger) \rightarrow (fb)$, $K_-(fb) \rightarrow (gb^\dagger)$, $K_+(fb) = 0$ and $K_-(gb^\dagger) = 0$. This can be expressed as

$$K_{\pm}\Phi_{J,k,m}^j = \sqrt{[j \pm k + 1]_q [j \mp k]_q} \Phi_{J,k \pm 1, m}^j. \quad (24)$$

Now in terms of these two operators, the Dirac operator is defined as

$$D_q \Psi = K_+ \Phi_{Jkm}^- + K_- \Phi_{Jkm}^+ \quad (25)$$

Using this and Eqn.(18) and Eqn.(19) we find

$$D_q \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j\pm} = \lambda(j, k, q) \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j\mp} \quad (26)$$

where $\lambda(j, k, q) = \sqrt{[j + \frac{1}{2} + k]_q [j + \frac{1}{2} - k]_q}$. Note that $\lambda(j, k, m) = \lambda(j, -k, q)$ since $[n][m] = [m][n]$. From Eqn.(26), we can easily see that $D^2 \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j\pm} = \lambda(j, k, q)^2 \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j\pm}$, i.e., $\Phi_{J,k,m}^{j\pm}$ are eigenfunctions of D^2 .

We also see that the $|M - N| = 2k$ zero modes of Dirac operator (and hence that of D_q^2 also) are

$$\Psi_{k,m,+}^{j,m_1,m_2} = \mathcal{N} A_1^{\dagger m_1} A_2^{\dagger m_2} b^\dagger, \quad 2k > 0, - \text{ chiral} \quad (27)$$

$$\Psi_{k,m,-}^{j,m_1,m_2} = \mathcal{N} A_1^{m_1} A_2^{m_2} b, \quad 2k < 0, + \text{ chiral} \quad (28)$$

where \mathcal{N} is a normalization constant. Both \pm chiral zero modes have spin $j = |k| - \frac{1}{2}$. These zero modes are normalized by a suitable choice of \mathcal{N} and satisfy

$$Tr((\Psi_{k,m,\pm}^{j,m_1,m_2})^* \Psi_{k',m',\pm}^{j,m_1,m_2}) = \delta_{k+k',0} \delta_{m+m',0} \quad (29)$$

Here also the Tr is taken over the space F_N^ν and we have define $\Psi^* \equiv \mathcal{C}\Psi := g^*b - f^*b^\dagger = \Gamma\Psi^*$. Here \mathcal{C} stands for the charge conjugation operator.

The spinor field with a given Chern number can be now expanded in terms of the eigenvectors of D_q^2 operator as

$$\Psi_{2k} = \sum_{m_1, m_2} C_{m_1 m_2}^\pm \Psi_{\pm 0}^{m_1 m_2} + \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \left(C_{km}^{j+} \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j+} + C_{km}^{j-} \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j-} \right) \quad (30)$$

where C^\pm are Grassman coefficients.¹ Having obtained the spinor field Ψ_{2k} , we now define the action for the fixed Chern number sector as

$$S_{2k} = \frac{2\pi R^2}{[N+1]} Tr [\Psi^* D \Psi + V(\Psi^* \Psi)] \quad (32)$$

where the R appearing in the normalization factor is the radius of the sphere. In the above $\Psi^* = bg^\dagger - f^\dagger b = \Gamma \Psi^* = \mathcal{C} \Psi$ where \mathcal{C} is the charge conjugation operator.

3 Fermion Coupled to Gauge Field

The eigenvectors of the Dirac operator on S_{qF}^2 are made up of the usual fermionic creation and annihilation operators and the q -deformed bosonic creation and annihilation operators. But the chirality operator (see Eqn.17) on S_{qF}^2 is made up of fermionic operators alone and thus independent of q . Thus the chirality operators on q -deformed fuzzy sphere (S_{qF}^2) and fuzzy sphere (S_F^2) are identical. Thus we note that the Dirac operator D on the fuzzy sphere and that on q -deformed sphere D_q anti-commute with the same chirality operator Γ .

We now consider the Dirac operator D_q on the q -deformed fuzzy sphere as the Dirac operator on fuzzy sphere in the presence of specific gauge fields, i.e., $D_q = D + A_q$ is the gauged Dirac operator on fuzzy sphere. Thus, in this approach we have a specific gauge field A_q on the fuzzy sphere, characterized by the parameter q (thus we have a one-parameter family of gauge fields.). Thus the action on fuzzy sphere for Dirac field with given Chern number $2k$ interacting with a specific gauge field is

$$S_q^k = Tr [\Psi_k^* (D + A_q) \Psi_k + V(\Psi_k^* \Psi_k)] = Tr [\Psi_k^* D_q \Psi_k + V(\Psi_k^* \Psi_k)] \quad (33)$$

¹Alternatively, we could expand the spinor field in terms of the eigenvectors of Dirac operator itself. The eigenvectors of Dirac operators are (the non-zero modes)

$$\Psi_{J,k,m}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\Phi_{J,k,m}^+ \pm \Phi_{J,k,m}^-] \quad (31)$$

and their eigenvalue $\lambda(j, k) = \sqrt{[j + \frac{1}{2} + k][j + \frac{1}{2} - k]}$.

where we neglected the normalization factor $\frac{2\pi R^2}{[N+1]}$. The Chern number of the spinor field appearing in the above is $2k$.

The Dirac fields $\psi_k \equiv \psi_{MN} \in S_k \equiv S_{MN}$, $\psi_k^* \equiv (\psi_{MN})^* \in S_{-k} \equiv S_{NM}$, where S_{MN} is the spinor module. We expand the spinor field in terms of the eigenfunctions of D_q^2 (see footnote after Eqn.(30)) as

$$\Psi_{MN} = \sum_{m_1, m_2} a_{m_1, m_2}^0 \psi_{m_1, m_2}^0 + \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} \left[a_{km}^{j+} \Phi_{Jkm}^{j+} + a_{km}^{j-} \Phi_{Jkm}^{j-} \right]. \quad (34)$$

Here the first term is the zero mode of D_q^2 (as well as that of D_q) and the next terms are \pm -chiral eigenstates respectively. Similarly we have

$$(\Psi_{MN})^* = \Psi_{NM}^* = \sum_{m_1, m_2} \bar{b}_{m_1, m_2}^0 \psi_{m_1, m_2}^0 + \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} \left[\bar{b}_{km}^{j+} \Phi_{Jkm}^{j+} + \bar{b}_{km}^{j-} \Phi_{Jkm}^{j-} \right]. \quad (35)$$

We have, using Eqn.(26),

$$D_q \Psi_{MN} = \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} \left[a_{km}^{j+} \Phi_{Jkm}^{j-} + a_{km}^{j-} \Phi_{Jkm}^{j+} \right] \lambda(j, k, q), \quad (36)$$

where $\lambda(j, k, q) = \sqrt{[j + \frac{1}{2} + k]_q [j + \frac{1}{2} - k]_q}$

Using the orthogonality property given in Eqn.(20), we find that the action becomes (we set $\Psi^* \Psi = 0$ from now onwards)

$$S_q^{2k} = S_q^{MN} = \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} \left(\bar{b}_{-k, -m}^{j+} a_{k, m}^{j+} + \bar{b}_{-k, -m}^{j-} a_{k, m}^{j-} \right) \lambda(j, k, q) \quad (37)$$

where $\bar{b}_{k, m}$ are the coefficients of expansion of Ψ^* .

Using these results, we can now write the partition function corresponding to spinor fields of a fixed Chern number as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q^{2k} &= \int D(\Psi_{MN})^* D(\Psi_{MN}) e^{-S_q^{2k}} \\ &= \int \Pi_n d\bar{b}_n^k da_n^k e^{-S_q^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Note here that $d\bar{b}_n$ and da_n contain all allowed coefficients in the expansion of the spinor field for the given Chern number $2k$.

Using Eqns.(25) and (26), we find

$$D_q^2 = \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} \left([j][j+1] - [k]^2 q^{\frac{2j+1}{2}} + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})[k]^2 ([j]^2 q^{\frac{j+1}{2}} + [j+1]q^{\frac{j}{2}}) \right) \quad (39)$$

Since $D_q = D + A_q$, we obtain

$$\Gamma F_q^{2k}(A) = D_q^2 - D^2, \quad (40)$$

where $F_q^{2k}(A)$ is the field strength for a fixed $2k$ sector and Γ is the chirality operator. Here we take all the q -dependent terms in D_q^2 as the field strength associated with the gauge field A_q since all the q -dependence of D_q is through the gauge field A_q alone. In the above $D^2 = \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} (j(j+1) - k^2)$ is the Laplacian on S_F^2 . Thus the Yang-Mills term can be written as $(D_q^2 - D^2)^2$. This can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2k}(A) &= \frac{2\pi R^2}{[N+1]} (D_q - D)^2 \\ &= \frac{2\pi R^2}{[N+1]} \left(\sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} (j(j+1) - k^2) \right)^2 + F_q^{2k'} \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

As it is clear from the notation, $F_q^{2k'}$ contains all q -dependent terms appearing in the field strength.

We can write down the partition function for the Schwinger model in the presence of sources \bar{J}_{MN} and J_{MN} for $\bar{\Psi}_{MN}$ and Ψ_{MN} respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q^{2k}[\bar{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}] &= \int \Pi_n d\bar{b}_n^k da_n^k e^{S_q^{2k} + S_{2k}(A) + Tr(\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{J} + \bar{\mathcal{J}}\Psi)} \\ &= e^{S_q(\bar{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}) + S_{2k}(A)} \quad (42) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_q(\bar{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}) = \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} \bar{\mathcal{J}}_{J,k,m} \mathcal{J}_{J,k',m'} \delta_{k+k',0} \delta_{m+m',0} \frac{1}{\lambda(j,k,q)}. \quad (43)$$

In the above, we have assumed the expansion of $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$ and \mathcal{J} in terms of the same eigenbasis as that used to expand Ψ_{MN} as in Eqn.(34).

From this we get

$$\langle a_{J,k,m}^{j\pm} \Phi_{J,k,m}^{j\pm}, b_{J,k,m}^{j\mp} \Phi_{J,k',m'}^{j\mp} \rangle = \delta_{k+k',0} \delta_{m+m',0} \frac{1}{\lambda(j,k,q)} \quad (44)$$

where $\lambda(j,k,q)$ are the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator (see Eqn.(36))

4 Chiral Transformation

Under chiral transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\rightarrow \Psi' = e^{i\beta\Gamma} \Psi \\ \Psi^* &\rightarrow \Psi^{*'} = \Psi^* e^{i\beta\Gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\Gamma F = -[b^\dagger b, F]$. Using the expansion of ψ_{MN} it is easy to see that

$$a_{m_1 m_2}^0 \rightarrow e^{i\epsilon(2k)\beta} a_{m_1 m_2}^0, \quad a_{km}^{j\pm} \rightarrow a_{km}^{j\pm} e^{\pm i\beta} \quad (46)$$

where $\epsilon(2k)$ is either $\mp 2k$ depending on the chirality of the zero modes (For $2k > 0$, the zero modes are $-$ chiral and for $2k < 0$ they are $+$ chiral). Using this, under the chiral transformation, we find

$$[D\Psi]_{MN} \rightarrow e^{-i2k\beta} [D\Psi]_{MN} \quad (47)$$

$$[D\Psi]_{NM}^* \rightarrow e^{-i2k\beta} [D\Psi]_{NM}^* \quad (48)$$

It is easy to see that the actions for spinor fields (see Eqn.(32)) as well as that for the kinetic part of the gauge field (see Eqn.(41)) are invariant under the above chiral transformation.

We observe that

1. The contribution from \pm chiral modes cancel each other for nonzero modes and the non-vanishing contributions to the measure are only from the zero modes.
2. For any given cut-off $J = \frac{M+N}{2}$, if $2k$ is an allowed Chern number, then $-2k$ is also an allowed one.
3. We have $2k_{max} = 2(j + \frac{1}{2})$, $2k_{min} = -2(j + \frac{1}{2})$ and $2k = -2(j + \frac{1}{2}), -2(j + \frac{1}{2} + 1), \dots, 2(j - \frac{1}{2}), 2(j + \frac{1}{2})$.

Thus we see that the measure for a sector with fixed Chern number $2k$ transforms as

$$D(\Psi_{MN})^* D\Psi_{MN} \rightarrow e^{-4ik\beta} D(\Psi_{MN})^* D\Psi_{MN} \quad (49)$$

under chiral transformation.

Now the partition function for Schwinger model which includes sectors of spinor fields with all possible Chern numbers is

$$Z_q = \int \left(D\Psi_{k_1}^* D\Psi_{k_1} e^{S_{2k_1} + S_{2k_1}(A)} + D\Psi_{k_2}^* D\Psi_{k_2} e^{S_{2k_2} + S_{2k_2}(A)} + \dots \right) \quad (50)$$

$$= \sum_{k_i} \int \prod d\bar{b}_n^k da_n^k e^{S_{2k_i} + S_{2k_i}(A)} \quad (51)$$

where the summation over k_i runs over all allowed values of k ranging $K_{min} = -(j - \frac{1}{2})$ to $K_{max} = (j + \frac{1}{2})$. Under the chiral transformation, the above partition function is not invariant as the measure picks up an exponential factor and becomes

$$Z_q = \sum_{k_i} \int \prod d\bar{b}_n^{k_i} da_n^{k_i} e^{S_{2k_i} + S_{2k_i}(A) - 4i\beta k_i} \quad (52)$$

Using Eqn.(37) in the above, and Eqn.(41), we find the partition function for the Schwinger model on S_F^2 for a fixed q (in the above approximation) to be

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q &= \sum_{k_i} \left(\prod_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{m=-j}^j \lambda(j, k_i, q) \right) e^{-4i\beta k_i} e^{S_{2k}(A)} \\ &= \sum_{k_i} \left(\prod_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} (\lambda(j, k_i, q))^{2j+1} \right) e^{-4i\beta k_i} e^{S_{2k}(A)}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

In the above we have used the fact that $\lambda(j, k, q)$ is the same for all allowed values of m for fixed values of j and k . Also, the summation over k_i ranges from $k_{min} = -(j + \frac{1}{2})$ to $k_{max} = j + \frac{1}{2}$ for each j value. Note that, in the above, we have now included the Yang-Mills term for the gauge field also.

By integrating over all allowed q , we get the partition function in the approximation of retaining only the gauge field configuration A_q , i.e.,

$$Z = \int dq Z_q. \quad (54)$$

5 Correlators

For any generic function $G(\Psi^*, \Psi)$, the two-point function in a given $2k$ sector is

$$\langle G(\Psi^*, \Psi) \rangle_q^{2k} = Z_q^{-1} e^{S_{2k}(A)} \int \prod da_n d\bar{b}_n e^{S_{2k} - 4i\beta k} G(\Psi_{2k}^*, \Psi_{2k}). \quad (55)$$

Thus, we have

$$\langle \Psi^*, \Psi \rangle_q^{2k} = Z_q^{-1} e^{S_{2k}(A)} \int \prod da_n d\bar{b}_n e^{S_{2k} - 4i\beta k} \sum_{i_n, j_n} a_{i_1} b_{j_1} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{j_1}^* \quad (56)$$

where a_{i_1} and b_{j_1} are the Grassmanian co-efficient appearing in the expansion of Ψ and Ψ^* in terms of the eigenvectors ψ_{i_1} and $\psi_{j_1}^*$. Since the Yang-Mills action is independent of the fermionic fields, we have pulled this term outside the integral.

From the above equation, using the properties of Grassmanian integration, we see that the two-point function of ψ and ψ^* identically vanishes if the number of zero modes is more than one.

The expression for the generic $2n$ -point function over all allowed $2k$ sectors, when the Dirac operator have m zero modes is given by

$$I_{2n}^{m,q} = \frac{Z_q^{-1}}{(n-m)!} \sum_{i'_1 \dots i'_{(n-m)}} \left[\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_n} \mathcal{D}(k, j, q) \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_n} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_n} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{j_1}^* \dots \psi_{i_n} \psi_{j_n}^* \right] \quad (57)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(k, j, q) = \sum_{k_i} e^{S_{2k}(A)} \frac{\det' D e^{-4\beta k_i}}{\lambda(j, k_i, q)_{i'_1} \dots \lambda(j, k_i, q)_{i'_{(n-m)}}}. \quad (58)$$

Here, $i'_1, \dots, i'_{(n-m)}$ labels the non-zero modes and $\lambda(j, k_i, q)_{i'_1} \dots \lambda(j, k_i, q)_{i'_{(n-m)}}$ are the corresponding eigenvalues, $\det' D$ is the determinant of the Dirac operator after excluding the zero-modes, i_1, \dots, i_n labels all modes and $\psi_{i_a}, \psi_{j_b}^*$ etc are the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator (including the zero modes) for the corresponding $2k$ sectors[28].

For a $2n$ -point function, from Eqn.(56) we see that the RHS will have a string of product of eigenfunctions of the form $a_{i_1} \psi_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n} \psi_{i_n} b_{j_1} \psi_{j_1}^* \dots b_{j_n} \psi_{j_n}^*$. Thus if there are more than n zero modes, we will not have all of them appearing in this string of products. Hence, the rules of Grassmanian integration will set the $2n$ -point function to be zero identically[28].

Now for certain specific values of the cut-off $2J = M + N$, we explicitly evaluate the two-point functions. For $J = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $j = 0$ (see Eqns.(13,14)). In this case the combinations of M, N and the corresponding $2k$ values are $(0, 1, -1)$ and $(1, 0, 1)$. For these two combinations of M, N , we have either annihilation operators or creation operators alone present in the spinor field. Thus these two combinations lead to zero modes (see Eqns.(27,28)). These zero modes $\Psi_{k,m,\pm}^{j,m_1,m_2}$ are

$$\Psi_{1,0,+}^{0,0,0} = b^\dagger \quad (59)$$

$$\Psi_{-1,0,-}^{0,0,0} = b \quad (60)$$

which are \mp -chiral zero modes respectively. These two modes are singlets under $U_q(su(2))$.

For $J = 1$, the allowed combinations $(M, N, 2k)$ are $(0, 2, -2)$, $(1, 1, 0)$ and $(2, 0, 2)$ respectively. Here again, we see that for $2k = \pm 2$ we have only zero modes. For $2k = +2$, these are

$$\Psi_{2,+\frac{1}{2},+}^{\frac{1}{2},1,0} = q^{-\frac{N_2}{4}} A_1^\dagger b^\dagger \quad (61)$$

$$\Psi_{2,-\frac{1}{2},+}^{\frac{1}{2},0,1} = q^{\frac{N_1}{4}} A_2^\dagger b^\dagger \quad (62)$$

and they both are $-$ chiral modes. For $2k = -2$, both the zero modes are $+$ chiral and they are

$$\Psi_{-2,-\frac{1}{2},-}^{\frac{1}{2},1,0} = -q^{\frac{N_2+1}{4}} A_1 b \quad (63)$$

$$\Psi_{-2,+\frac{1}{2},-}^{\frac{1}{2},0,1} = q^{\frac{N_2+1}{4}} A_2 b. \quad (64)$$

In contrast, for $2k = 0$ (with $J = 1$), we have only \pm chiral non-zero modes and they are

$$\Phi_{1,0,+\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+} = +q^{-\frac{N_2}{4}} A_1^\dagger b, \quad \Phi_{1,0,-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+} = q^{\frac{N_1}{4}} A_2^\dagger b \quad (65)$$

$$\Phi_{1,0,+\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-} = q^{\frac{N_2+1}{4}} A_2 b^\dagger, \quad \Phi_{1,0,-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-} = -q^{\frac{N_2+1}{4}} A_1 b^\dagger \quad (66)$$

respectively.

Next we calculate the 2-point function for $J = \frac{1}{2}, j = 0$ and $J = 1, j = \frac{1}{2}$ cases. In the first case as we have seen, the allowed $2k$ values are ± 1 . Both of these sectors have only one zero mode each. We get non-vanishing

contribution for the two-point function from these $2k = \pm 1$ sectors. We find this using Eqn.(57) (or Eqn.(56)) as

$$\langle \Psi^* \Psi \rangle_{j=0}^q = -i Z_q^{-1} 2e^{S_{2k}(A)} \sin 2\beta \quad (67)$$

For $J = 1, j = \frac{1}{2}$, the allowed values of $2k$ are ± 2 and 0 . But as we have seen, for $2k = \pm 2$, we have only zero modes and each of these sectors have two zero modes. Thus the 2-point function is identically zero for these sectors. Thus the only possible non-vanishing contribution to the two-point function can come from $2k = 0$ sector for the case where $J = 1, j = \frac{1}{2}$. Using the Eqn.(57) (or Eqn.(56)), we find

$$\langle \Psi^* \Psi \rangle_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^q = 0. \quad (68)$$

For the cut-off $J = 1$, the two-point function is the sum of the contributions coming from $J = \frac{1}{2}$ and $J = 1$. But note that the cut-off dependence also comes through the Z_q^{-1} factor appearing in Eqn.(67).

Thus we see that the two-point function does not vanish for the case where the cut-off is either $J = \frac{1}{2}$ or $J = 1$.

The non-zero values of the two-point functions shows that the partition function, as expected, is not invariant under chiral transformations.

Next let us consider the case of $j = 1, J = \frac{3}{2}$. In this case, the allowed combinations of M, N and the corresponding $2k$ values are $(0, 3, -3), (1, 2 - 1), (2, 1, 1)$ and $(3, 0, 3)$. As in the previous examples, for $2k = \mp 3$, we have only zero modes and they are of \pm chirality, respectively. Explicitly, the $+ve$ -chiral zero modes with Chern number -3 are $A_1 A_2 b, A_1^2 b$, and $A_2^2 b$ and the $-ve$ -chiral zero modes with Chern number $+3$ are $A_1^\dagger A_2^\dagger b^\dagger, A_1^{\dagger 2} b^\dagger$, and $A_2^{\dagger 2} b^\dagger$. Thus for both $2k = \pm 3$, we have three zero modes each and hence the two-point function of Ψ^* and Ψ does not get any contribution from these sectors.

For $2k = \pm 1$, we have only non-zero modes. These non-zero modes corresponding to the Chern number $+1$ are

$$\psi_1 = \Phi_{J, \frac{1}{2}, 1}^{1+}, \psi_2 = \Phi_{J, \frac{1}{2}, 0}^{1+}, \psi_3 = \Phi_{J, \frac{1}{2}, -1}^{1+}, \quad (+\text{Chiral}) \quad (69)$$

$$\psi_4 = \Phi_{J, \frac{1}{2}, 1}^{1-}, \psi_5 = \Phi_{J, \frac{1}{2}, 0}^{1-}, \psi_6 = \Phi_{J, \frac{1}{2}, -1}^{1-}, \quad (-\text{Chiral}). \quad (70)$$

Similarly, for the Chern number -1 , the non-zero modes are

$$\chi_1 = \Phi_{J, -\frac{1}{2}, -1}^{1-}, \chi_2 = \Phi_{J, -\frac{1}{2}, 0}^{1-}, \chi_3 = \Phi_{J, -\frac{1}{2}, 1}^{1-}, \quad (-\text{Chiral}) \quad (71)$$

$$\chi_4 = \Phi_{J, -\frac{1}{2}, -1}^{1+}, \chi_5 = \Phi_{J, -\frac{1}{2}, 0}^{1+}, \chi_6 = \Phi_{J, -\frac{1}{2}, 1}^{1+}, \quad (+\text{Chiral}) \quad (72)$$

We have chosen the normalization such that $Tr(\Psi_i \chi_j) = \delta_{ij}$ (Note that $\psi_i^\dagger \equiv \chi_i$). Using the Eqn.(57) (or Eqn.(56)), we find the contribution to 2-point function to be

$$\langle \Psi^*, \Psi \rangle_{j=1}^q = Z_q^{-1} [\psi_3 \chi_6 + \psi_2 \chi_5 - \chi_3 \psi_6 - \chi_2 \psi_5 - \chi_1 \psi_4] = 0 \quad (73)$$

since each of the factors appearing inside the bracket are identically zero (which comes from Eqn.(44)).

It is easy to see that the only non-vanishing contributions to any 2n-point functions will come from those sectors having only zero modes. Thus for a given cut-off J , the allowed values of j are $0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, (J - \frac{1}{2})$. For each j value, the zero modes come with Chern numbers $\pm 2(j + \frac{1}{2})$ and the total number of zero modes for each j value is $4|k| = 4(j + \frac{1}{2})$. With these, we re-express Eqn.(57) for 2n-point function as

$$I_{2n}^q = -i Z_q^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=j+\frac{1}{2}}^{L(J,2n)} e^{S_{2k}(A)} |2k| \text{Sin} 2\beta k \quad (74)$$

where $L(J, 2n)$ means, among the allowed values of k , the summation over k extends only up to values of $J \leq 2n$ where $J = \frac{M+N}{2}$ is the fuzzy cut-off. The gauge field dependence of the result appears through Z_q^{-1} . Integrating over all allowed vales of q , we can get the 2n-point function for Schwinger model.

We note that the partition function for the Schwinger model can be made invariant under chiral transformations by adding a term (see Eqns. (52) and (53))

$$e^{S(\theta)} = e^{+4i\beta k_i}. \quad (75)$$

With this above term included in the partition function, we can easily see that all the 2n-point functions will be vanishing, as expected of a model with chiral invariance. Also, it is interesting to note that with $e^{S(\theta)}$ -term included the partition function, the 2n-point functions will vanish for arbitrary q .

6 Conclusion

As stated in Eqn.(31), we could have expanded the spinor field in terms of the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator. But note that the zero modes used in Eqn.(30) are that of Dirac operator itself (as they would be any way, zero modes of D_q^2). This change would not have altered our final results. As we have seen, the chiral symmetry is broken by the measure corresponding

to the zero modes alone. Of course, the explicit form of the action appearing in the partition function would have been different. But even in this case, one can easily show that the end results of $2n$ -point functions would be the same, by repeating exactly the same calculations we have done.

The interpretation of D_q as the gauged Dirac operator $D + A_q$ on S_F^2 was crucial for treating the spinor field as coupled to the gauge field A_q . But equally important is that it is this which allowed us to define the field strength (see Eqn.(41)) corresponding to the gauge field A_q . This was done using the fact that the square of the Dirac operator is nothing but the sum of Laplacian and the field strength (see Eqn.(39)). And it is this that leads to the introduction of kinetic term for the gauge field and thereby enabled us to define the action for Schwinger model.

We have also seen that, for any/every given value of j , the sector with Chern number $|2k| = 2(j + \frac{1}{2})$ have only zero modes and for every zero mode with positive Chern number, we had another one with negative Chern number. This is important for the $2n$ -point functions to vanish, after introducing the $S(\theta)$ -term. Also, equally interesting was the observation that the other allowed Chern sectors have only non-zero modes and the contribution to $2n$ -point functions from these sectors are identically vanishing. For, if this was not the case, even after introducing the $e^{S(\theta)}$ -term, the $2n$ -point functions would have been non-zero.

We see from Eqn.(74) that the $2n$ -point function depends on q thorough

1. Z_q^{-1} , which is a common factor and thus have same contribution for all allowed Chern sectors.
2. $e^{S_{2k}(A)}$ which is different for different Chern sectors.

The cut-off dependence of the $2n$ -point function come through both Z_q^{-1} and allowed values of $2k$ appearing in the summation in Eqn. (74).

We can add a mass term

$$\begin{aligned}
m^2 Tr \bar{\Psi} \Psi &= m^2 \sum_{m_1, m_2} \bar{b}_{m_1, m_2}^0 a_{m_1, m_2}^0 \\
&+ m^2 \sum_{j=|k|+\frac{1}{2}}^{J-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{m=j} \left(\bar{b}_{-k, -m}^{j+} a_{k, m}^{j-} + \bar{b}_{-k, -m}^{j-} a_{k, m}^{j+} \right) \quad (76)
\end{aligned}$$

to the fermionic action, which will break the chiral invariance. Here, the first term on LHS is the contribution from zero modes. There is no such analogues terms in the massless action. Thus, it is easy to repeat the calculations of

Eqn.(43) and Eqn.(44) (correlators using the source). The only modification of this correlator would be a shift in $\lambda(j, k, q)$ by m^2 . Similarly, calculations of $2n$ -point function can be easily done with the mass term, but the final result will be different. For example, calculation leading to Eqn.(73) would give $m^2 \sum_i \chi_i \psi_i \neq 0$. Thus only in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$, $2n$ -point functions will vanish, as expected of a theory without chiral symmetry.

We see that the field strength (Eqn.(40)) is essentially expressed in terms of q -numbers and for $q \neq 1$, it is not possible to express the field strength in terms of usual numbers. In other words, the field strength will be given in terms of q -numbers only. Since βk_i appearing in the $e^{S(\theta)}$ term in Eqn. (75) are not q -number, it can not be expressed in terms of the field strength.

7 Acknowledgment

EH would like to thank A. P. Balachandran for suggesting this problem, useful discussions and comments. He also thanks B. P. Dolan for useful discussions.

References

- [1] J. Madore, Class.The Fuzzy Sphere, Quant. Grav. **9** (1992)69.
- [2] A. P. Balachandran, S. Kurkcuglu and S. Vaidya, Lectures on Fuzzy and Fuzzy SUSY Physics, World Scientific, 2007 [arXiv:hep-th/0511114].
- [3] C-S. Chu, J. Madore and H. Steinacker, Scaling Limits of the Fuzzy Sphere at one Loop, JHEP **0108** (2001) 038 [arXiv:hep-th/0106205]; H. Steinacker, A non-perturbative approach to non-commutative scalar field theory, JHEP **0503** (2005) 075 [arXiv:hep-th/0501174].
- [4] A.P. Balachandran, X. Martin and D O'Connor, Fuzzy Actions and their Continuum Limits, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A16** (2001) 2577 [arXiv:hep-th/0007030]; S. Vaidya, Perturbative dynamics on fuzzy S^2 and RP^2 , Phys. Lett. **B512** (2001) 403 [arXiv:hep-th/0102212]; *ibid*, Scalar Multi-Solitons on the Fuzzy Sphere, JHEP **0201** (2002) 011 [arXiv:hep-th/0109102].
- [5] C-T. Chan, C-M. Chen, F-L. Lin and H. S. Yang, CP^n Model on Fuzzy Sphere, Nucl. Phys. **B625** (2002) 327 [arXiv:hep-th/0105087]; T. R.

- Govindarajan and E. Harikumar, $O(3)$ Sigma model with Hopf term on Fuzzy Sphere, Nucl. Phys. **B655** (2003) 300 [hep-th/0211258]; C-T. Chan, C-M. Chen and H. S. Yang, Topological Z_{N+1} Charges on Fuzzy Sphere, arXiv:hep-th/0106269.
- [6] S. Baez, A. P. Balachandran, S. Vaidya and B. Ydri, Monopoles and Solitons in Fuzzy Physics, Commun. Math. Phys. **208** (2000) 787 [hep-th/9811169]; A. P. Balachandran and S. Vaidya, Instantons and Chiral Anomaly in Fuzzy Physics, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A16** (2001) 17 [hep-th/9910129].
- [7] C. R. Das, S. Digal and T. R. Govindarajan, Finite temperature phase transition of a single scalar field on a fuzzy sphere, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A23** (2008)1781 [arXiv:0706.0695]; *ibid*, Spontaneous symmetry breakdown in fuzzy spheres, arXiv:0801.4479.
- [8] X. Martin, A matrix phase for the ϕ^4 scalar field on the fuzzy sphere, JHEP **0404** (2004) 077 [arXiv:hep-th/0402230]; M. Panero, Numerical simulations of a non-commutative theory: the scalar model on the fuzzy sphere, JHEP **0705**(2007)082 [arXiv:hep-th/0608202].
- [9] A. Connes, Noncommutative Geometry, Accademic Press, 1994; J. Madore, An Introduction to Noncommutative Differential Geometry and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1995; G. Landi, An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and Their Geometries, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [10] H. Grosse and P. Presnajder, The Dirac operator on the Fuzzy Sphere, Lett. Math. Phys. **33** (1995) 171.
- [11] H. Grosse, C. Klimcik and P. Presnajder, Topologically nontrivial field configurations in noncommutative geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. **178** (1996) 507 [arXiv:hep-th/9510083]; *ibid*, On Finite 4D Quantum Field Theory in Non-Commutative Geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. **180** (1996) 429 [arXiv:hep-th/9602115].
- [12] U. Carow-Watamura and S. Watamura, Chirality and Dirac Operator on Noncommutative Sphere, Commun. Math. Phys. **183** (1997) 365 [arXiv:hep-th/9605003].
- [13] U. Carow-Watamura and S. Watamura, Differential Calculus on Fuzzy Sphere and Scalar Field, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13** (1998) 3235 [arXiv:q-alg/9710034].

- [14] A. P. Balachandran, T.R.Govindarajan and B. Ydri, The Fermion Doubling Problem and Noncommutative Geometry, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A15** (2000) 1279[arXiv:hep-th/9911087]; *ibid*, Fermion doubling problem and noncommutative geometry II, arXiv:hep-th/0006216.
- [15] H. Grosse and A. Strohmaier, Towards a Nonperturbative Covariant Regularization in 4D Quantum Field Theory, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **48** (1999) 163 [arXiv:hep-th/9902138].
- [16] G. Alexanian, A.P.Balachandran, G. Immirzi, B.Ydri, Fuzzy CP², *J. Geom. Phys.* **42** (2002)28 [arXiv:hep-th/0103023].
- [17] B. P. Dolan, I. Huet, S. Murray and D. O'Connor, A universal Dirac operator and noncommutative spin bundles over fuzzy complex projective spaces, *JHEP* **0803** (2008) 029[arXiv:0711.1347].
- [18] M. Paschke and A. Sitarz, The Geometry Of Noncommutative Symmetries, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B31** (2000) 1897.
- [19] J. C. Varilly, Quantum symmetry groups of noncommutative spheres, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **221** (2001) 511 [arXiv:math/0102065].
- [20] A. Pinzul and A. Stern, Dirac Operator on the Quantum Sphere, *Phys. Lett.* **B512** (2001) 217 [arXiv:hep-th/0103206].
- [21] L. Dabrowski, G. Landi, A. Sitarz, W. van Suijlekom and J. C. Varilly, The Dirac operator on $SU_q(2)$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **259** (2005) 729 [arXiv:math/0411609].
- [22] E. Harikumar, A. R. Queiroz and P. Teotonio-Sobrinho, Dirac operator on the q-deformed Fuzzy sphere and Its spectrum, *JHEP* **0609** (2007) 037 [arXiv:hep-th/0603193].
- [23] E. Harikumar, A. R. Queiroz and P. Teotonio-Sobrinho, Index Theorem for the q-Deformed Fuzzy Sphere, *J. Phys.* **A40** (2007)3671 [arXiv:hep-th/0609016].
- [24] H. Grosse, J. Madore and H. Steinacker, Field Theory on the q-deformed Fuzzy Sphere I, *J. Geom. Phys.* **38** (2001) 308 [arXiv:hep-th/0005273]; *ibid*, Field Theory on the q-deformed Fuzzy Sphere II: Quantization, *J. Geom. Phys.* **43** (2002) 205 [arXiv:hep-th/0103164].

- [25] C. Klimcik, Gauge theories on the noncommutative sphere, Commun. Math. Phys.**199** (1998) 257 [arXiv:hep-th/9710153].
- [26] H. Grosse and P. Presnajder, A treatment of the Schwinger Model within Noncommutative Geometry, arXiv:hep-th/9805085.
- [27] U. Carow-Watamura, S. Watamura, Noncommutative Geometry and Gauge Theory on Fuzzy Sphere, Commun. Math. Phys. **212** (2000) 395 [arXiv:hep-th/9801195].
- [28] F. A. Berezin, The Method of Second Quantisation, Academic Press Inc, New York, 1966; C. Jayewardena, Schwinger Model on S^2 , Helv. Phys. Acta,**61** (1988) 636.