

Signal delay analysis for binary pulsars

Trevor W. Marshall

CCAB, Cardiff University, 2 North RD., Cardiff CF10 1DY,UK

Abstract This note gives a correction to the standard analysis of the delay pattern in the radio signals from a pulsar in a binary system; the same coordinate frame should be used for the transmission of the signal as for the motion of the pulsar in the field of its companion.

.....

The binary pulsar has been dubbed a "unique gravitational laboratory" [1]; the title is apt, because we are on the threshold of observing the spin-orbit and tidal aspects of the system. But a necessary prerequisite is that we have a correct description in the point-particle approximation. The variable delay of a radio signal from a pulsar in a binary system as it traverses its orbit has been analysed by Damour and Taylor [2], and their results continue to be used in observational analysis up to the present time. The purpose of this note is to point out that their article contains an error, due to different choices in the coordinate frames used in the analyses of the Roemer and Shapiro delays respectively.

The first analysis of the Shapiro delay was by Blandford and Teukolsky [3] (BT), but they did not propose its use in observational analysis, because it is of the same order of magnitude as the correction, arising from first post Newtonian (1pN) modification of the Kepler orbit, to the Roemer delay. We begin by considering the case that the pulsar's mass is negligible compared with that of its companion, so that the system in question is planetary. A Kepler orbit is specified in the observer's coordinate system by a set of five parameters, namely the longitude of the line of nodes Ω , the inclination i to the plane of the sky, the angle from the line of nodes to the perihelion ϖ , the semimajor axis a , and the eccentricity e . The position of the pulsar on its orbit is specified by the polar angle ϕ , measured from the line of nodes. Based on an isotropic metric

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 + \frac{2m}{r}\right) [dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \phi)] \quad , \quad (1)$$

where terms of order m^2 have been discarded, the Roemer delay from a point on the orbit is

$$\Delta_R = r \sin i \sin \phi \quad , \quad (2)$$

and the Shapiro delay, which takes account of the varying refractive index of space due to the companion's gravitational field and the consequent bending of the signal's trajectory, was obtained by BT as

$$\Delta_S = -2m \left[\ln \frac{r}{a} + \ln (1 - \sin i \sin \phi) \right] \quad . \quad (3)$$

It should be noted that BT also calculated the Einstein delay time Δ_E , which is the varying gravitational red shift, and which is intermediate in order of

magnitude; Δ_S/Δ_R is of order v^2/c^2 while Δ_E/Δ_R is of order v/c . The total delay is the sum $\Delta_R + \Delta_E + \Delta_S$, and the maximum value of v/c for pulsars observed so far is about 2×10^{-3} .

The 1pN correction to Δ_R was given by Epstein[4], the quantity r in (2) being given, in the planetary limit, as

$$r = a[1 - (e + \Delta e) \cos \chi + f \cos 2\chi] \quad , \quad (4)$$

where

$$\tan \frac{\chi}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1-e}{1+e}} \tan \frac{\lambda(\phi - \varpi)}{2}, \quad \lambda = 1 - \frac{3m}{a(1-e^2)} \quad , \quad (5)$$

and

$$\Delta e = \frac{me}{4a(1-e^2)^2} (13 - 2e^2) (2 - e^2), \quad f = \frac{me^2}{4a(1-e^2)^2} (13 - 2e^2) \quad . \quad (6)$$

While the parameter Δe is just a small change in the ellipticity of the orbit, the other parameter f gives a distortion of the orbit. The consequent corrections to Δ_R are indeed, as anticipated by BT, comparable in magnitude with Δ_S , but because the latter contains Δ_R/a an analysis of the delay pattern from a double pulsar has enabled Kramer and Wex[1] to measure a and i separately, whereas previous analysis based on expressions for Δ_R and Δ_E allowed only measurement of $a \sin i$.

However, if we use the same isotropic metric for the planetary motion as for the signal transmission, it is easy to deduce that to order m the only relativistic correction is in the constant precession rate

$$\dot{\varpi} = \frac{3}{1-e^2} \sqrt{\frac{m^3}{a^5}} \quad , \quad (7)$$

that is there is no distortion of the elliptic orbit. If, for example, we use the harmonic coordinates advocated by Fock[6], as a precise version of such a metric, that is

$$ds^2 = \frac{r-m}{r+m} dt^2 - \frac{r+m}{r-m} dr^2 - (r+m)^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad , \quad (8)$$

then the relativistic orbit in the plane $\theta = \pi/2$ may be obtained from the well known equation for the Schwarzschild radial coordinate R , namely[5]

$$\left(\frac{dR}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{E^2 - 1}{J^2} R^4 + \frac{2m}{J^2} R^3 - R^2 + 2mR \quad , \quad (9)$$

where E and J are the energy and angular momentum integrals, simply by putting $R = r + m$, giving, to 1pN order,

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{E^2 - 1}{J^2} r^4 + \frac{2m}{J^2} (2E^2 - 1) r^3 - \lambda^2 r^2, \quad \lambda = 1 - \frac{3m^2}{J^2} \quad . \quad (10)$$

Then, defining new constants a and e by

$$E = 1 - \frac{m}{2a} + \frac{7m^2}{8a^2}, \quad J = \frac{\sqrt{2m(1-e^2)}}{\lambda} \left(1 - \frac{m}{a}\right), \quad (11)$$

this factorizes as

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{\lambda^2 r^2}{a^2(1-e^2)} (r-a+ae)(a+ae-r), \quad (12)$$

so, in harmonic coordinates, the orbit is

$$r = \frac{a(1-e^2)}{1+\cos\psi}, \quad \psi = \lambda(\phi - \varpi), \quad (13)$$

which is the equation of an ellipse precessing, without distortion, at the rate (7). Note that ϕ , as always, is measured from the line of nodes, while ψ is measured from an initial periastron; successive periastrons occur at intervals of 2π . When we take account of the slightly different expressions for a and e in the Schwarzschild description, it gives an orbit similar to the Epstein orbit. But putting R instead of r into the expression for the Roemer delay gives an answer which contains the additional term $m \sin i \sin \phi$. It may be verified that the Shapiro delay, calculated in the Schwarzschild instead of the isotropic coordinates of BT contains another additional term which exactly cancels this one. The expression given by Epstein[4] and by Damour and Taylor[2], which combines a calculation of the Roemer delay in the coordinates of Einstein, Infeld and Hoffman with one of the Shapiro delay in the isotropic coordinates, is incorrect.

In order to obtain the correct delay formula we now extend the above analysis to the case of two masses of comparable magnitude. For this system Fock[6] derives the orbit from a lagrangian (see his eqn. (81.01) simplified by using (81.18))

$$L = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{m}{r} + \frac{3v^4}{8} \left(1 - \frac{3m^*}{m}\right) + \frac{v^2(3m+m^*)}{2r} - \frac{m^2}{2r^2} - \frac{m^*}{2r} \dot{r}^2 \quad (14)$$

to give the energy and angular momentum integrals

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \frac{1}{2}v^2 - \frac{m}{r} + \frac{3}{2}E_1^2 \left(1 - \frac{3m^*}{m}\right) + \frac{E_1(6m-7m^*)}{2r} \\ &\quad + \frac{m(10m-5m^*)}{2r^2} - \frac{1}{2}m^*r\dot{\phi}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$J = r^2\dot{\phi} \left[1 + E_1 \left(1 - \frac{3m^*}{m}\right) + \frac{4m-2m^*}{r}\right]. \quad (16)$$

These combine to give the orbit equation

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}\right)^2 &= \frac{r^4}{J^2} \left[2E_1 + E_1^2 \left(1 - \frac{3m^*}{m} \right) \right] + \frac{2mr^3}{J^2} \left(1 + 4E_1 - \frac{3m^*E_1}{m} \right) \\ &\quad - r^2 \left(1 - \frac{6m^2}{J^2} + \frac{3mm^*}{J^2} \right) + m^*r \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$m = m_1 + m_2, \quad m^* = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \quad . \quad (18)$$

Now we define

$$\lambda = 1 - \frac{3m^2}{J^2}, \quad r = R + \frac{1}{2}m^* \quad , \quad (19)$$

giving

$$\left(\frac{dR}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{R^4}{J^2} \left[2E_1 + E_1^2 \left(1 + \frac{3m^*}{m} \right) \right] + \frac{2mR^3}{J^2} \left(1 + 4E_1 - \frac{m^*E_1}{m} \right) - \lambda^2 R^2 \quad , \quad (20)$$

which, putting

$$\lambda J = \sqrt{ma(1-e^2)} \left[1 - \frac{4m+m^*}{4a} \right], \quad E_1 = -\frac{m}{2a} + \frac{7m^2+mm^*}{a^2} \quad , \quad (21)$$

gives the same orbit equation as in the planetary case, but with r replaced by R . Note that a and e reduce to their planetary values on putting $m^* = 0$, and $E = 1 + E_1$. Thus the general r is simply

$$r = \frac{a(1-e^2)}{1+e\cos\psi} + \frac{1}{2}m^*, \quad \psi = \lambda(\phi - \varpi) \quad . \quad (22)$$

The rate at which the orbit is described is obtained from the angular momentum integral (16), leading to

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \int \frac{r^2}{\lambda J} \left(1 - \frac{m-3m^*}{2a} + \frac{4m-2m^*}{r} \right) d\psi \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{a^3}{m(1-e^2)}} \int \frac{r^2}{a^2} \left(1 + \frac{2m+5m^*}{4a} + \frac{4m-2m^*}{r} \right) d\psi \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Then, substituting the orbit equation for r ,

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{a^3}{m}} \int \frac{(1-e^2)^{3/2}}{(1+e\cos\psi)^2} \left[1 + \frac{2m+5m^*}{4a} + \frac{4m-m^*}{a(1-e^2)} (1+e\cos\psi) \right] d\psi \quad (24)$$

giving the secular equation

$$nt = \chi - e \left(1 - \frac{4m-m^*}{a} \right) \sin \chi \quad , \quad (25)$$

where

$$\chi = 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1-e}{1+e}} \tan \frac{\psi}{2} \right) , \quad (26)$$

and n is the frequency

$$n = \sqrt{\frac{m}{a^3}} \left(1 - \frac{18m + m^*}{4a} \right) . \quad (27)$$

This equation may be inverted to give ψ , and hence ϕ and r , as a function of t . Note that both the orbit and its rate of description, in the harmonic coordinates, are remarkably similar to their Newtonian forms. We may finally express the Roemer delay

$$\Delta_R = r \sin \phi \sin i \quad (28)$$

as a function of t , and this is the quantity which must be added to the BT values of Δ_E and Δ_S to give the total delay.

References

- [1] M. Kramer and N. Wex, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **26**, 073001 (2009)
- [2] T. Damour and J. H. Taylor, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 1840 (1992)
- [3] R. Blandford and S. A. Teukolsky, *Ap. J.* **205**, 580 (1975)
- [4] R. Epstein, *Ap. J.* **216**, 92-100 (1977)
- [5] A. S. Eddington, *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*, para 40, University Press, Cambridge (1924)
- [6] V. Fock, *The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation, 2nd ed.*, Pergamon, Oxford (1966)