Thermoelectric Transport through a Quantum Dot: Effects of Kondo Channels Asymmetry

T. K. T. Nguyen, M. N. Kiselev, and V. E. Kravtsov

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Strada Costiera 11, I-34151, Trieste, Italy

(Dated: June 15, 2018)

We consider effects of magnetic field on the thermopower and thermoconductance of a singleelectron transistor based on a quantum dot strongly coupled to one of the leads by a single-mode quantum point contact. We show appearance of two new energy scales: $T_{min} \sim |r|^2 E_C (B/B_C)^2$ depending on a ratio of magnetic field B and the field B_C corresponding to a full polarization of point contact and $T_{max} \sim |r|^2 E_C$ depending on a reflection amplitude r and charging energy E_C . We predict that the behavior of thermoelectric coefficients is consistent with the Fermi-liquid theory at temperatures $T \ll T_{min}$, while crossover from Non-Fermi-liquid regime associated with a two-channel Kondo effect to Fermi-liquid single-channel Kondo behavior can be seen at $T_{min} < T < T_{max}$.

PACS numbers: 73.23.Hk, 73.50.Lw, 72.15.Qm, 73.21.La

The thermoelectric transport through nanostructures is a subject of extensive experimental [1, 2, 3, 4] and theoretical [5, 6, 7, 8] studies. One of particularly interesting questions is related to the thermoelectric properties of Quantum Dots (QD) in a Coulomb Blockade (CB) regime. While the experimental behavior of thermoelectric transport through weakly coupled QD-devices is well described theoretically [5, 6], the regime of strong coupling of the QD to the reservoirs is far from being understood.

The strong enhancement of thermopower is important for nano-technology applications [4]. It provides a challenge for both experimental fabrication of devices with high thermo-conductance and theoretical suggestions for efficient mechanisms of a heat transfer. On one hand, the nano-technologies offer fascinating tunability of single electron transport, while most parameters can be changed continuously by applying gate voltage, external electric and magnetic fields etc. On the other hand, the nano-devices efficiently operate in strong coupling regime where effects of strong electron correlations can be viewed as a prominent mechanism for the thermoelectric coefficients enhancement. In particular, the Kondo effect is known as a tool for strong intensification of electric transport through single electron transistor (SET). Moreover, by increasing the number of channels one can fine tune SET to a Non-Fermi liquid (NFL) regime. The NFL behavior is however illusive being very sensitive to variation of external parameters since channel symmetry is generally unprotected by conservation laws. Thus, by perturbing the SET with external fields one creates a mechanism of restoration of Fermi-liquid (FL) transport. These effects are known in the theory of electric transport [9]. In this Letter we present a theory of an interplay between NFL and FL strong coupling regimes in thermoelectric transport through the nano-structures.

Typical experimental setup [3] for measuring the thermopower $S = -\Delta V_{th}/\Delta T$ is shown on Fig.1. The measurement of thermo-voltage ΔV_{th} provides independent information on the thermo-conductance G_T . The temperature difference across the dot ΔT is controlled by using a current heating technique. The differential conductance G is measured at variable gate voltages V_g . Similar to differential conductance, the thermopower $S=G_T/G$ shows the oscillations as a function of V_g . However, these oscillations are not sinusoidal at the strong coupling regime. Moreover, no relation analogous to Cutler-Mott formula [10] $S \sim \partial \ln G / \partial V_g$ exists in that limit underlining importance of strong electron correlations.

Theory of thermopower (TP) of a CB - quantum dot has been studied in [5] using a linear response theory in both classical and quantum regimes and verified by experiment [1, 2]. For a week coupling of the dot to reservoirs, there is no deviation from the theory ignoring effects of interaction. By increasing the coupling of the SET to one (or both) reservoirs one reaches the strong coupling regime where Kondo physics becomes important for odd electron occupation number in the dot. The thermoelectric transport through the SET in the Kondo regime has been studied numerically in [8].

Another approach to describe SET in the strong coupling regime has been proposed in [12, 13, 14]. The theory of the TP of the SET designed as the quantum dot strongly coupled to one of the leads through almost transparent Quantum Point Contact (QPC) [15] and weakly coupled to second reservoir has been constructed by Andreev and Matveev (AM) in [6]. In the model [6] the dot was assumed large enough to disregard the effects of finite mean-level spacing δ [16]. There is no odd-even effects related to the occupation of the dot since the QD+QPC mimics a $\sigma = 1/2$ quantum impurity. The transport of electrons is dominated by the inelastic cotunneling at temperatures $T \ll E_C$. The two limits were considered in [6]: i) the electron spins are fully polarized by strong external magnetic field B [17] and ii) the electrons spins are unpolarized, B=0. In the first limit, corresponding to single channel Kondo (1CK) physics, the TP shows sinusoidal oscillations as a function of the gate voltage



FIG. 1: (Color online) Experimental setup of SET in the strong coupling regime (see text for the details). The arrow along left lead stands for the electric current controlling the Joule heat. Almost transparent QPC remaining at the reference temperature T is denoted by the cross.

 V_g having nodes both in Coulomb valleys (N is integer) and peaks (N is half-integer)

$$S \sim \frac{1}{e} |r| \frac{T}{E_C} \sin(2\pi N(V_g)). \tag{1}$$

Here $N(V_g)$ is a dimensionless parameter which is proportional to V_g . The TP is a linear function of both reflection amplitude |r| and temperature. We refer to the linear-T dependence of TP as the FL regime. However, in contrast to TP in bulk metals $S \sim T/\epsilon_F$, the energy in denominator (1) is much smaller [18] compared to Fermi energy ϵ_F (cf. [19]) thus enhancing the TP.

There are two important regimes for thermoelectric coefficients in the limit of unpolarized electrons. First, at temperatures $E_C |r|^2 \ll T \ll E_C$ the TP oscillations are sinusoidal and quadratic in the reflection amplitude |r|:

$$S \sim \frac{1}{e} |r|^2 \ln\left[\frac{E_C}{T}\right] \sin(2\pi N(V_g)).$$
⁽²⁾

Second, at smaller temperatures $T \ll E_C |r|^2$, the TP oscillations are non-sinusoidal

$$S \sim \frac{1}{e} |r|^2 \ln \left[\frac{E_C}{T + \Gamma(V_g)} \right] \sin(2\pi N(V_g)) f\left(\frac{\Gamma(V_g)}{T} \right),$$
(3)

where $\Gamma(V_g) \sim E_C |r|^2 \cos^2(\pi N(V_g))$ and f(x) is defined in [20]. The amplitude of S scales as $S_{\max} \sim e^{-1}|r|\sqrt{T/E_C}\ln(E_C/T)$. Thus, the TP is strongly enhanced by the electron's correlations in the strong coupling regime. The $\sqrt{T} \ln T$ scaling of S - maximums is related to the nodes of Γ at the Coulomb peaks. The "effective width" Γ cuts the log in (2) everywhere away from the peaks. We refer to $\sqrt{T} \ln T$ behavior of S_{\max} as the NFL regime. Such scaling of the TP at $T \ll E_C$ is attributed to the two-channel Kondo effect (2CK) [21, 22] where the channels \uparrow and \downarrow scatter on $\sigma=1/2$ isospin associated with left- and right- movers passing through the QD+QPC [23]. However, as it is known [21], the 2CK strong coupling fixed point is unstable.

any perturbation resulting in the channel asymmetry becomes relevant [22]. In particular, effects of Zeeman smearing of Coulomb staircase steps due to magnetic field driven asymmetry of reflection amplitudes was studied in [24] for the almost open QD *capacitively* coupled to the gate. Thus, as it was shown in [24], magnetic field produces relevant perturbation for AM model. Unstable nature of 2CK strong coupling fixed point makes it very tricky to observe the fingerprints of NFL in electron transport through nanostructures [9]. We show in this Letter that the proximity to NFL regime can be seen in the thermoelectric transport tuned by external magnetic field and address the question how the thermoelectric coefficients evolve in the presence of finite magnetic field.

The Hamiltonian describing the quantum dot coupled weakly to the left contact and strongly to the right contact (Fig.1) has the form $H=H_0+H_L+H_R+H_C$, where

$$H_{0} = \sum_{k,\alpha} \epsilon_{k,\alpha} c_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k,\alpha} + \sum_{p,\alpha} \epsilon_{p,\alpha} d_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger} d_{p,\alpha}$$
$$+ \sum_{\alpha} \frac{v_{F,\alpha}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \pi^{2} [\Pi_{\alpha}(x,t)]^{2} + [\partial_{x}\phi_{\alpha}(x,t)]^{2} \} dx \qquad (4)$$

describes a non-interacting part, c denotes the electrons in the left lead, d stands for the electrons in the dot. Here $\alpha = \uparrow, \downarrow, \phi_{\alpha}$ is a bosonization displacement operator describing a transport through the QPC with Π_{α} is conjugated momentum $[\phi_{\alpha}, \Pi_{\alpha'}] = i\delta(x - x')\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}$. The Hamiltonian H_L describes the tunneling from the left (hot) lead to the dot $(t_{k,p,\alpha}$ is a tunnel amplitude)

$$H_L = \sum_{k,p,\alpha} (t_{k,p,\alpha} c_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger} d_{p,\alpha} F + \text{h.c.}).$$
(5)

The Hamiltonian H_R accounts for the backward scattering in the QPC, r_{α} , are reflection amplitudes for \uparrow,\downarrow

$$H_R = -\frac{D}{\pi} \sum_{\alpha} |r_{\alpha}| \cos[2\phi_{\alpha}(0,t)].$$
 (6)

The modified form of the tunnel Hamiltonian takes into account the change of the electron number \hat{n} in the dot coming from the left lead. For this sake the chargelowering operator \hat{F} satisfying the commutation relations $[\hat{F}, \hat{n}] = \hat{F}$ is introduced in (5) (see details in [12], [25]). We treat the problem in the lowest order of tunnel Hamiltonian and in linear response with respect to ΔT .

The Hamiltonian H_C describes the Coulomb interaction in the dot, placed at coordinate x=0

$$H_{C} = E_{C} \left[\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(0) c_{\alpha}(0) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(0, t) - N(V_{g}) \right]^{2} .(7)$$

The electric- and thermo-currents through the dot are expressed in this approximation in terms of Matsubara's Green Function (GF)

$$\mathcal{G}(\tau) = -\sum_{p,p'\alpha} \langle T_{\tau} \hat{d}_{p\alpha}(\tau) \hat{F}(\tau) \hat{F}^{\dagger}(0) \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{p'\alpha} \rangle .$$
(8)



FIG. 2: Main frame: asymmetry factor (AF) for the reflection amplitudes $P_r = \left| \frac{|r_{\uparrow}| - |r_{\downarrow}|}{|r_{\uparrow}| + |r_{\downarrow}|} \right|$ of the QPC as a function of the magnetic field (solid line), AF for the Fermi velocities $P_v = \left| \frac{v_{F\uparrow} - v_{F\downarrow}}{v_{F\uparrow} + v_{F\downarrow}} \right|$ (dashed line). Insert 1: the Zeeman splitting of 1-d fermions spectrum near the right Fermi-point. Insert 2: the coordinate dependence of the energy in the vicinity of the QPC. The barrier is depicted by shaded area.

Since the operators \hat{d} and \hat{F} are decoupled, the GF is factorized into $\mathcal{G}(\tau)=G_0(\tau)K(\tau)$, with $G_0(\tau)=-\nu_0\pi T/\sin(\pi T\tau)$ being the free electrons GF, ν_0 is the density of states in the dot without interaction and $K(\tau)=\langle T_{\tau}\hat{F}(\tau)\hat{F}^{\dagger}(0)\rangle$ accounts for interaction effects. The electric conductance [13] is given by

$$G = \frac{G_L \pi T}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K\left(\frac{1}{2T} + it\right)}{\cosh^2(\pi T t)} dt .$$
(9)

The thermo-conductance casts the form [6]

$$G_T = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} \frac{G_L T}{e} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(\pi Tt)}{\cosh^3(\pi Tt)} K\left(\frac{1}{2T} + it\right) dt \ . \ (10)$$

Here $G_L \ll e^2/h$ denotes the tunnel conductance of the left barrier calculated ignoring influence of the dot.

In order to calculate the thermoelectric coefficients for the model (4-7) we generalize AM theory for the case of finite magnetic field. The detailed calculations will be published elsewhere [26]. New effects reported in present Letter and missing in AM theory appear due to asymmetry of the point contact reflection amplitudes (Fig.2, Insert 2) in a presence of Zeeman splitting (cf. [24]). This asymmetry, in turn, leads to the asymmetry of the channels in 2CK. Besides, the magnetic field lifts out the spin-charge separation characteristic for the unpolarized models [27]. Since the system possesses the particle-hole symmetry at a perfect transmission, the leading effects determining behavior of the thermoelectric coefficients appear only at non-zero backward scattering. This is why the major effect is related to asymmetry of |r|'s. Thus, we are allowed to disregard the effects of the Fermi-velocities difference in a full agreement with standard bosonization



FIG. 3: Left and central panels: TP as a function of the gate voltage at different magnetic fields. The curves are obtained numerically for $T/E_C=0.1$ (left panel) and $T/E_C=0.001$ (central panel). For both cases $|r_0|^2 = 0.1$. The insert on the left panel shows the magnetic field dependence for the down (solid line) and up (dashed line) reflection amplitudes. The insert on the central panel shows the gate voltage dependence of Γ . Right panel: maximum of TP in a Coulomb window at various fixed temperatures as the function of magnetic field.

scheme [27]. The effects of spectrum curvature responsible for particle-hole asymmetry are crucial for understanding of Coulomb drag effect in the Luttinger Liquids [28]. The finite curvature effects, however result in subleading corrections to TP for the AM model while leading effect comes from the scattering potential asymmetry. Moreover, the saturation of $|r_{\downarrow}| \rightarrow 1$ occurs at magnetic fields $B^* \ll B_C$ (see left insert on Fig.3), B_C is defined as a field corresponding to full polarization of the QPC. The channel \downarrow becomes completely reflecting and therefore does not contribute to the transport. It explains the crossover from $\sim |r|^2$ for small B (2) to $\sim |r|$ for $B > B^*$ (1) in TP.

In a spirit of AM theory [6] we first calculate the leading non-trivial correction to the thermo-conductance G_T and the thermopower S for the case of slightly asymmetric reflection amplitudes. The equation (2) is modified by a replacement $|r|^2 \rightarrow |r_{\uparrow}r_{\downarrow}|$. Thus, all perturbative corrections depend on a symmetric $s = |r_{\uparrow}| + |r_{\downarrow}| \approx 2|r_0|$ and anti-symmetric $a = ||r_{\downarrow}| - |r_{\uparrow}|| \sim |r_0 B/B_C|$ combinations of the reflection amplitudes. Here $|r_0|$ stands for the reflection amplitude at B=0. Proceeding with the higherorder perturbative corrections to thermo-conductance and TP we notice existence of a new energy scale (similar to Γ in (3)) depending on external magnetic field [26].

More efficient way to prove emergence of new energy scale is to map the model (4-7) onto effective Anderson model [12]. This mapping, being non-perturbative in s and a accounts for nontrivial low-frequency dynamics of the spin modes. The channel asymmetry a leads to nontrivial contribution to the Kondo resonance Γ in the vicinity of Coulomb peaks

$$\Gamma = \frac{2\gamma E_C}{\pi^2} \left[s^2 \cos^2\left(\pi N\right) + a^2 \sin^2\left(\pi N\right) \right].$$
(11)

As it is shown in the central insert of Fig. 3, Γ is gapped at the Coulomb peaks with the gap $T_{min} = \Gamma_{min} \sim a^2 E_C$ depending on the magnetic field through *a*. As a result, the thermal and electric conductances acquire a form

$$G_T = -\frac{1}{12\pi} \frac{G_L}{e} \left(s^2 - a^2\right) \frac{T}{E_C} \ln \frac{E_C}{T + \Gamma} \sin(2\pi N) F_1\left(\frac{\Gamma}{T}\right)$$
$$G = \frac{G_L T}{4\gamma E_C} F_2\left(\frac{\Gamma}{T}\right). \tag{12}$$

The functions $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ are defined in [20], $\gamma \approx 1.78$. The equations (12) allow regular expansion at $T \ll T_{min}$. In the Coulomb valleys the AM results are reproduced. The effects of magnetic field in the valley regime manifest themselves in reducing the TP and restoration of the sinusoidal shape of the TP curve. In the vicinity of Coulomb peaks and at sufficiently low temperatures $T \ll T_{min}$ the equations (12) cast the form

$$G_T = -\frac{2\pi^3}{15} \frac{G_L}{e} \left(s^2 - a^2\right) \frac{T^3}{E_C \Gamma_a^2} \ln \frac{E_C}{\Gamma_a} \sin(2\pi N) ,$$

$$G = \frac{\pi^2 G_L}{6\gamma} \frac{T^2}{E_C \Gamma_a} ,$$

$$S = -\frac{4\pi\gamma}{5e} \frac{T}{\Gamma_a} (s^2 - a^2) \ln \left[\frac{E_C}{\Gamma_a}\right] \sin(2\pi N) ,$$

(13)

where $\Gamma_a = \frac{2\gamma E_C}{\pi^2} a^2 \sin^2(\pi N)$. Thus, the re-scaled FL regime is restored at low temperatures $T \ll \Gamma_a(N \rightarrow 1/2)$.

The equations (11-13) represent the central result of this Letter. The new energy scale T_{min} strongly depends on magnetic field and manifests itself by opening a gap at the Coulomb peaks. Appearance of this scale [24] leads to the restoration of the FL thermo- and electric- transport properties. However, proximity to NFL regime at $B \ll B_C$ results in strong enhancement of the TP. When the channel \downarrow becomes fully reflecting, the equation (13) reduces to 1CK result (1). The curves on right panel of Fig.3 are obtained as numerical solution of (12) and show the evolution of TP amplitude in the interval of gate voltages N, N+1 at fixed temperatures as a function of magnetic field. The behavior of TP at temperatures $T > T_{max} \sim s^2 E_C$ is trivial and described by Eq.2. The crossover from NFL to FL is seen at the intermediate range of temperatures $T_{min} < T < T_{max}$. Thus, the magnetic field stabilizes the FL thermoelectric properties.

We conclude that the external magnetic field applied to the SET in the strong coupling regime is responsible for the NFL-to-FL change of transport properties and provides efficient mechanism for the restoration of the FL behavior in the thermoelectric transport. The applied to SET parallel magnetic field B results in the channel up/down asymmetry and thus changes the universality class from two-channel Kondo to single-channel Kondo regimes. Another possibility of the 2CK suppression is associated with a finite source-drain voltage or noise, which is equivalent to the Zeeman effect for the conventional Kondo systems. We also expect restoration of the FL transport for the out-of-equilibrium SETs.

We are grateful to K.A. Matveev for illuminating discussions and L.W.Molenkamp for drawing our attention to thermoelectric transport through nanostructures. We appreciate valuable comments by Y.Alhassid, B.L.Altshuler, K.Behnia, K.Kikoin and N.Prokof'ev. We acknowledge support through INT-09-2b program and warm hospitality of the University of Washington, Seattle where part of this work was performed. TKTN visit to Seattle was supported through ICAM-I2CAM grant.

- [1] A. A. M. Staring et al, Europhys. Lett, 22, 57 (1993).
- [2] A.S.Dzurak et al, Phys. Rev. B 55, R10197 (1997).
- [3] R. Scheibner et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 176602 (2005).
- [4] R. Scheibner et al, Phys. Rev. B 75, 041301 (2007).
- [5] C. W. J. Beenakker and A. A. M. Staring, Phys. Rev. B 46, 9667 (1992).
- [6] A. V. Andreev and K. A. Matveev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 280 (2001), K. A. Matveev and A. V. Andreev, Phys. Rev. B 66, 045301 (2002).
- [7] M.Turek, K.A. Matveev, Phys.Rev.B 65, 115332 (2002).
- [8] D. Boese and R. Fazio, Europhys. Lett. 56, 576 (2001).
- [9] R. M. Potok et al, Nature (London) 446, 167 (2007).
- [10] M. Cutler and N.F. Mott, Phys. Rev 181, 1336 (1969).
- [11] S. Möller et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5197 (1998).
- [12] K. A. Matveev, Phys. Rev. B 51, 1743 (1995).
- [13] A. Furusaki and K. A. Matveev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 709 (1995); Phys. Rev. B **52**, 16 676 (1995).
- [14] K. Flensberg, Phys. Rev. B 48, 11 156 (1993).
- [15] H.van Houten et al, Semicond.Sci.Technol. 7,B215 (1992)
- [16] The CB in almost open QD with finite mean level spacing has been considered in I. L. Aleiner and L. I. Glazman, Phys. Rev. B 57, 9608 (1998).
- [17] The magnetic field B is assumed parallel to the plane of 2 dimensional electron gas. No orbital effects are discussed.
- [18] Similar behaviour is known in heavy-fermion strongly correlated electron systems. The energy scale $T^* \ll \epsilon_F$ is attributed to "heavy" electron's mass.
- [19] Y. Wang et at, Nature (London) 423, 425 (2003)
- [20] The function $f(x) = F_1(x)/F_2(x)$ is defined by two functions $F_1(x) = \int_0^\infty dz z^2 (z^2 + \pi^2)/[(z^2 + x^2)\cosh^2(z/2)]$ and $F_2(x) = x \int_0^\infty dz (z^2 + \pi^2)/[(z^2 + x^2)\cosh^2(z/2)].$ [21] Division and A. Biler din L. Dive (Davis) 41, 102 (1990)
- [21] P.Nozieres and A.Blandin, J.Phys (Paris) 41, 193 (1980)
- [22] A.W.W. Ludwig and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3160 (1991).
- [23] It has been shown in [12] that the strong coupling fixed point of the model (4-7) is identical to the strong coupling fixed point of the two-chanel Kondo model. Note, that the solution [12] is valid only on the separatrix $|r_{\uparrow}| = |r_{\downarrow}|$, being unstable everywhere else.
- [24] K. Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B 64, 161302(R) (2001), K. Le Hur and G. Seelig, Phys. Rev. B 65, 165338 (2002).
- [25] D.V. Averin and Yu.V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2446 (1990).
- [26] T. K. T. Nguyen et al, (to be published)
- [27] T. Giamarchi, Quantum physics in one dimension (Oxford University Press, 2004).
- [28] M. Pustilnik et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 126805 (2003).