

Trace inequalities for products of matrices

Shigeru Furuichi^{1*}, Ken Kuriyama^{2†} and Kenjiro Yanagi^{2‡}

¹Department of Computer Science and System Analysis,

College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University,

3-25-40, Sakurajyousui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan

²Division of Applied Mathematical Science,

Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Yamaguchi University,

2-16-1, Tokiwadai, Ube City, 755-8611, Japan

Abstract. In this short paper, we study some trace inequalities of the products of the matrices and the power of matrices by the use of elementary calculations.

Keywords : Matrix trace inequality, arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and nonnegative matrix

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 94A17, 46N55, 26D15

1 Introduction

In this short paper, we treat some matrix trace inequalities. Let $M(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the set of all $n \times n$ matrices on the complex field \mathbb{C} . For a Hermitian matrix X , $X \geq 0$ means that $\langle \phi | X | \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for any vector $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Here we denote the set of all Hermitian matrices by $M_h(n, \mathbb{C})$, that is, $M_h(n, \mathbb{C}) \equiv \{X \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) | X = X^*\}$. In addition, we denote the set of all nonnegative matrices by $M_+(n, \mathbb{C})$, that is, $M_+(n, \mathbb{C}) \equiv \{X \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) | X \geq 0\}$. Then we have the following propositions.

Proposition 1.1 For two real valued functions f, g on $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $L \in M_+(n, \mathbb{C})$, $A \in M_h(n, \mathbb{C})$, we have,

$$\text{Tr} [f(A)Lg(A)L] \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [(f(A)L)^2 + (g(A)L)^2]. \quad (1)$$

Proof: Since the arithmetic mean is greater than the geometric mean and by the use of Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [f(A)Lg(A)L] &= \text{Tr} \left[(L^{1/2}f(A)L^{1/2})(L^{1/2}g(A)L^{1/2}) \right] \\ &\leq \left(\text{Tr} [(f(A)L)^2] \right)^{1/2} \left(\text{Tr} [(g(A)L)^2] \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [(f(A)L)^2 + (g(A)L)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

■

*E-mail:furuichi@cssa.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

†E-mail:kuriyama@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

‡E-mail:yanagi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

Proposition 1.2 For two real valued functions f, g on $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $L, A \in M_h(n, \mathbb{C})$, we have,

$$\text{Tr} [f(A)Lg(A)L] \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} [f(A)^2L^2 + g(A)^2L^2]. \quad (2)$$

Proof: Since the arithmetic mean is greater than the geometric mean and by the use of Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [f(A)Lg(A)L] &\leq (\text{Tr} [Lf(A)^2L])^{1/2} (\text{Tr} [Lg(A)^2L])^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} [f(A)^2L^2 + g(A)^2L^2]. \end{aligned}$$

■

Note that the right hand side of the inequalities (1) is less than the right hand side of the inequalities (2), since we have $\text{Tr} [XYXY] \leq \text{Tr} [X^2Y^2]$ for two Hermitian matrices X and Y in general.

Theorem 1.3 For two real valued functions f, g on $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $L, A \in M_h(n, \mathbb{C})$, if we have $f(x) \leq g(x)$ or $f(x) \geq g(x)$ for any $x \in D$, then we have,

$$\text{Tr} [f(A)Lg(A)L] \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} [(f(A)L)^2 + (g(A)L)^2]. \quad (3)$$

Proof: For a spectral decomposition of A such as $A = \sum_k \lambda_k |\phi_k\rangle\langle\phi_k|$, we have

$$\text{Tr} [f(A)Lg(A)L] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \{f(\lambda_m)g(\lambda_n) + g(\lambda_m)f(\lambda_n)\} |\langle\phi_m|L|\phi_n\rangle|^2$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} [(f(A)L)^2 + (g(A)L)^2] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \{f(\lambda_m)f(\lambda_n) + g(\lambda_m)g(\lambda_n)\} |\langle\phi_m|L|\phi_n\rangle|^2.$$

Thus we have the present theorem by

$$f(\lambda_m)f(\lambda_n) + g(\lambda_m)g(\lambda_n) - f(\lambda_m)g(\lambda_n) - g(\lambda_m)f(\lambda_n) = \{f(\lambda_m) - g(\lambda_m)\} \{f(\lambda_n) - g(\lambda_n)\} \geq 0.$$

■

Trace inequalities for multiple products of two matrices have been studied by Ando, Hiai and Okubo in [1] with the notion of majorization. Our results in the present paper are derived by the elementary calculations without the notion of majorization.

Next we consider the further specialized forms for the products of matrices. We have the following trace inequalities on the products of the power of matrices.

Proposition 1.4 (i) For any natural number m and $T, A \in M_+(n, \mathbb{C})$, we have the inequality

$$\text{Tr} \left[\left(T^{1/m} A \right)^m \right] \leq \text{Tr} [TA^m]. \quad (4)$$

(ii) For $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $T \in M_+(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $A \in M_h(n, \mathbb{C})$, we have the inequalities

$$\text{Tr} \left[\left(T^{1/2} A \right)^2 \right] \leq \text{Tr} [T^\alpha A T^{1-\alpha} A] \leq \text{Tr} [TA^2]. \quad (5)$$

Proof:

(i) Putting $p = m, r = 1/m, X = A^m$ and $Y = T$ in Araki's inequality [2]:

$$Tr \left[\left(Y^{r/2} X^r Y^{r/2} \right)^p \right] \leq Tr \left[\left(Y^{1/2} X Y^{1/2} \right)^{rp} \right]$$

for $X, Y \in M_+(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $p > 0, 0 \leq r \leq 1$, we have the inequality (4).

(ii) By the use of Lemma 1.5 in the below, we straightforwardly have

$$Tr [T^\alpha AT^{1-\alpha} A] \leq Tr [TA^2].$$

Again by the use of Lemma 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tr [T^{1/2} AT^{1/2} A] &= Tr \left[\left(T^{1/4} AT^{1/4} \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq Tr \left[T^{\alpha-1/2} \left(T^{1/4} AT^{1/4} \right) T^{1/2-\alpha} \left(T^{1/4} AT^{1/4} \right) \right] \\ &= Tr [T^\alpha AT^{1-\alpha} A]. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 1.5 (Bourin [3], Fujii [4]) Let f and g be functions on the domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}$. For any Hermitian matrices A and X having spectrums in D , we have

(i) If (f, g) satisfies $(f(a) - f(b))(g(a) - g(b)) \geq 0$ for any $a, b \in D$, then

$$Tr[f(A)Xg(A)X] \leq Tr[f(A)g(A)X^2].$$

(ii) If (f, g) satisfies $(f(a) - f(b))(g(a) - g(b)) \leq 0$ for any $a, b \in D$, then

$$Tr[f(A)Xg(A)X] \geq Tr[f(A)g(A)X^2].$$

2 Main results

In this short note, we study a generalization of Proposition 1.4. To this end, we prepare the elementary inequality on the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean. See [5] for example.

Lemma 2.1 For positive numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m with $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_m = 1$, the following inequality holds:

$$a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_2} \cdots a_m^{p_m} \leq p_1 a_1 + p_2 a_2 + \cdots + p_m a_m,$$

with equality if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_m$.

Theorem 2.2 For positive numbers p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m with $p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_m = 1$ and $T, A \in M_+(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have the inequalities

$$Tr \left[\left(T^{1/m} A \right)^m \right] \leq Tr [T^{p_1} AT^{p_2} A \cdots T^{p_m} A] \leq Tr [TA^m]. \quad (6)$$

Proof: We write $T = \sum_{i=0}^1 \lambda_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$, where we can take $\{|\psi_0\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle\}$ as a complete orthonormal base.

By the use of Lemma 2.1, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Tr [T^{p_1} A T^{p_2} A \cdots T^{p_m} A] \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} \left(\frac{\lambda_{i_1}^{p_1} \lambda_{i_2}^{p_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}^{p_m} + \lambda_{i_1}^{p_2} \lambda_{i_2}^{p_3} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}^{p_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_1}^{p_m} \lambda_{i_2}^{p_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}^{p_{m-1}}}{m} \right) \\
&\quad \times \langle \psi_{i_1} | A | \psi_{i_2} \rangle \langle \psi_{i_2} | A | \psi_{i_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \psi_{i_m} | A | \psi_{i_1} \rangle \\
&\leq \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} \left(\frac{p_1 \lambda_{i_1} + \cdots + p_m \lambda_{i_m} + p_2 \lambda_{i_1} + \cdots + p_1 \lambda_{i_m} + \cdots + p_m \lambda_{i_1} + \cdots + p_{m-1} \lambda_{i_m}}{m} \right) \\
&\quad \times \langle \psi_{i_1} | A | \psi_{i_2} \rangle \langle \psi_{i_2} | A | \psi_{i_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \psi_{i_m} | A | \psi_{i_1} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} \left(\frac{\lambda_{i_1} + \lambda_{i_2} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_m}}{m} \right) \langle \psi_{i_1} | A | \psi_{i_2} \rangle \langle \psi_{i_2} | A | \psi_{i_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \psi_{i_m} | A | \psi_{i_1} \rangle \\
&= Tr [T A^m]. \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

We should note that the above calculation is assured by

$$\langle \psi_{i_1} | A | \psi_{i_2} \rangle \langle \psi_{i_2} | A | \psi_{i_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \psi_{i_m} | A | \psi_{i_1} \rangle \geq 0,$$

since every i_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) takes 0 or 1. See Lemma 2.4 in the below.

Again by the use of Lemma 2.1 with $p_i = 1/m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Tr [T^{p_1} A T^{p_2} A \cdots T^{p_m} A] \\
&= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} \left(\frac{\lambda_{i_1}^{p_1} \lambda_{i_2}^{p_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}^{p_m} + \lambda_{i_1}^{p_2} \lambda_{i_2}^{p_3} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}^{p_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_1}^{p_m} \lambda_{i_2}^{p_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}^{p_{m-1}}}{m} \right) \\
&\quad \times \langle \psi_{i_1} | A | \psi_{i_2} \rangle \langle \psi_{i_2} | A | \psi_{i_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \psi_{i_m} | A | \psi_{i_1} \rangle \\
&\geq \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} \lambda_{i_1}^{1/m} \lambda_{i_2}^{1/m} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}^{1/m} \langle \psi_{i_1} | A | \psi_{i_2} \rangle \langle \psi_{i_2} | A | \psi_{i_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \psi_{i_m} | A | \psi_{i_1} \rangle \\
&= Tr \left[\left(T^{1/m} A \right)^m \right]. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the inequalities (7) and (8) hold even if $\lambda_0 = 0$ or $\lambda_1 = 0$. It is a trivial when $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $\lambda_1 = 0$. Thus the proof of the present theorem is completed. ■

Note that the second inequality of (6) is derived by putting $f_i(x) = x^{p_i}$ and $g_i(x) = x$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ in Theorem 4.1 of [1]. However the first inequality of (6) can not be derived by applying Theorem 4.1 of [1].

Remark 2.3 From the process of the proof in Theorem 2.2, we find that, if T is an invertible, then the equalities in both inequalities (7) and (8) hold if and only if $T = kI$.

Lemma 2.4 For $A \in M_+(2, \mathbb{C})$ and a complete orthonormal base $\{\psi_0, \psi_1\}$ of \mathbb{C}^2 , we have

$$\langle \psi_{i_1} | A | \psi_{i_2} \rangle \langle \psi_{i_2} | A | \psi_{i_3} \rangle \cdots \langle \psi_{i_m} | A | \psi_{i_1} \rangle \geq 0,$$

where every i_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) takes 0 or 1.

Proof: We set a symmetric group by

$$\pi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & m \\ m & 1 & \cdots & m-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also set

$$S \equiv \{1 \leq j \leq m \mid i_j = i_{\pi(j)}\}$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m$. Then we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^m \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle = \prod_{j \in S} \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle \cdot \prod_{j \notin S} \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle.$$

Here we have

$$\prod_{j \in S} \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle \geq 0,$$

since A is a nonnegative matrix. In addition, $m - |S|$ necessarily takes 0 or an even number (see Lemma 2.5 in the below) and then we have

$$\prod_{j \notin S} \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle = |\langle \psi_0 \mid A \mid \psi_1 \rangle|^{m-|S|} \geq 0.$$

Therefore we have the present lemma. ■

Lemma 2.5 Image the situation that we put arbitrary l_0 vectors $|\psi_0\rangle$ and l_1 vectors $|\psi_1\rangle$ on the circle and then the circle is divided into $l_0 + l_1$ circular arcs. Then the number $|S^c|$ of the circular arcs having different edges is 0 or an even number.

Proof: If $l_0 = 0$ or $l_1 = 0$, then $|S^c| = 0$. Thus we consider $l_0 \neq 0$ and $l_1 \neq 0$. We suppose that the number of the circular arcs having same $|\psi_0\rangle$ in both edges is E , and the number of the circular arcs having $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle$ in their edges is F . We now form the circular arcs by combining every $|\psi_0\rangle$ with its both sides. (We do not consider the circular arcs formed by the other method.) Thus the number of the circular arcs formed by the above method is an even number, since every $|\psi_0\rangle$ forms two circular arcs. In addition, its number coincides with $2E + F$ which shows the number that every $|\psi_0\rangle$ is doubly counted. Thus $2E + F$ takes an even number. Then F must be an even number. ■

We give an example of Lemma 2.4 for readers' convenience.

Example 2.6 For $\{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5, i_6, i_7\} = \{0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0\}$, we have $S = \{1, 2, 4\}$ and $S^c = \{3, 5, 6, 7\}$. Then we have

$$\prod_{j \in S} \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle = \langle \psi_0 \mid A \mid \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_0 \mid A \mid \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_1 \mid A \mid \psi_1 \rangle \geq 0$$

and

$$\prod_{j \notin S} \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle = \langle \psi_0 \mid A \mid \psi_1 \rangle \langle \psi_1 \mid A \mid \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_0 \mid A \mid \psi_1 \rangle \langle \psi_1 \mid A \mid \psi_0 \rangle = |\langle \psi_0 \mid A \mid \psi_1 \rangle|^4 \geq 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^7 \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle = \prod_{j \in S} \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle \cdot \prod_{j \notin S} \langle \psi_{i_{\pi(j)}} \mid A \mid \psi_{i_j} \rangle \geq 0.$$

Remark 2.7 For $T, A \in M_+(n, \mathbb{C})$, there exist T, A and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m such that

$$\text{Tr} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (T^{p_i} A) \right] \notin \mathbb{R},$$

if $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 3$. For example, if we take

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & i & i \\ -i & 2 & i \\ -i & -i & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $p_1 = 1/6, p_2 = 1/3, p_3 = 1/2$, then $\text{Tr} \left[\prod_{i=1}^3 (T^{p_i} A) \right]$ approximately takes the complex number value $116.037 + 0.00260306i$. Therefore the inequalities (6) does not make a sense in such more general cases than Theorem 2.2.

We still have the following conjectures.

Conjecture 2.8 Do the following inequalities hold or not, for $T, A \in M_+(n, \mathbb{C})$ and positive numbers p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m with $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_m = 1$?

- (i) $\text{Tr} \left[(T^{1/m} A)^m \right] \leq \text{Re} \{ \text{Tr} [T^{p_1} A T^{p_2} A \dots T^{p_m} A] \}.$
- (ii) $|\text{Tr} [T^{p_1} A T^{p_2} A \dots T^{p_m} A]| \leq \text{Tr} [T A^m].$

Acknowledgement

The author (S.F.) was partially supported by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (B), 20740067. The authors (S.F. and K.Y.) was also partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), 18300003.

References

- [1] T.Ando, F.Hiai and K.Okubo, Trace inequalities for multiple products of two matrices, Math.Ineq.Appl., Vol.3(2000), pp.307-318.
- [2] H.Araki, On an inequality of Lieb and Thirring, Lett.Math.Phys., Vol.19(1990), pp.167-170.
- [3] J.-C.Bourin, Some inequalities for norms on matrices and operators, Linear Alg. Appl., Vol.292(1999), pp.139-154.
- [4] J.I.Fujii, A trace inequality arising from quantum information theory, Linear Alg. Appl., Vol.400(2005), pp.141-146.
- [5] G.H.Hardy, J.E.Littlewood and G.Pólya, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 1952.