

A NEW OBSTRUCTION FOR RIBBON-MOVES OF 2-KNOTS: 2-KNOTS FIBRED BY THE PUNCTURED 3-TORI AND 2-KNOTS BOUNDED BY HOMOLOGY SPHERES

EIJI OGASA

ABSTRACT. This paper gives a new obstruction for ribbon-move equivalence of 2-knots.

Let K and K' be 2-knots. Let K and K' are ribbon-move equivalent. One corollary to our main theorem is as follows. A 2-dimensional fibered knot whose fiber is the punctured 3-dimensional torus is not ribbon-move equivalent to any 2-dimensional knot whose Seifert hypersurface is a punctured homology sphere.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we give a new obstruction for ribbon move-equivalence of 2-knots. The author's papers [16, 17] gave some obstructions. This paper gives new results (§3).

One of the new results is as follows. This theorem is deduced from our main theorem (Theorem 3.2).

Theorem 1.1. (same as Theorem 3.3.) Let K be a 2-dimensional fibered knot whose fiber is the punctured 3-dimensional torus. Let P be a 2-dimensional knot whose Seifert hypersurface is a punctured homology sphere. Then K is not ribbon-move equivalent to P .

Note. Let M be a closed n -manifold. A *punctured (manifold) M* is a manifold with boundary, $M - ($ an open n -ball embedded trivially in $M)$.

This paper is based on the author's preprint [15].

We work in the smooth category.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57M25, 57Q45, 57R65

Keyword: 2-knots, ribbon-moves of 2-knots, o -sets, the o -invariant, the ∞ -chain homology groups, the Alexander module, the cyclic covering space of the complement of any 2-knot, 2-knots whose Seifert hypersurfaces are punctured homology spheres, fibered 2-knots whose Seifert hypersurfaces are the punctured T^3 .

2. REVIEW OF RIBBON-MOVES OF 2-KNOTS

In this section we review the definition of ribbon-moves.

An (*oriented*) 2-(*dimensional*) *knot* is a smooth oriented submanifold K of S^4 which is diffeomorphic to the 2-sphere. We say that 2-knots K_1 and K_2 are *equivalent* if there exists an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $f : S^4 \rightarrow S^4$ such that $f(K_1)=K_2$ and that $f|_{K_1} : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism. Let $id : S^4 \rightarrow S^4$ be the identity. We say that 2-knots K_1 and K_2 are *identical* if $id(K_1)=K_2$ and $id|_{K_1} : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism.

If W is a subset of a manifold Z , then let \overline{W} mean the closure of W in Z . In this paper we often omit to explain what Z is if it is easy to understand what Z is.

Definition 2.1. Let K_1 and K_2 be 2-knots in S^4 . We say that K_2 is obtained from K_1 by one *ribbon-move* if there is a 4-ball B embedded trivially in S^4 with the following properties.

- (1) $\overline{K_1}$ coincides with $\overline{K_2}$ in $\overline{S^4 - B}$. This identity map from $\overline{K_1 - B}$ to $\overline{K_2 - B}$ is orientation preserving.
- (2) $B \cap K_1$ is drawn as in Figure 2.1.1. $B \cap K_2$ is drawn as in Figure 2.1.2.




Figure 2.1.1




Figure 2.1.2

We regard B as $(\text{a close 2-disc}) \times \{s | 0 \leq s \leq 1\} \times \{t | -1 \leq t \leq 1\}$. We let $B_t = (\text{a close 2-disc}) \times \{s | 0 \leq s \leq 1\} \times \{t\}$. Then $B = \cup B_t$, where $\{t | -1 \leq t \leq 1\}$. In Figure 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, we draw $B_{-0.5}, B_0, B_{0.5} \subset B$. We draw K_1 and K_2 by the bold line. The fine line denotes ∂B_t .

$B \cap K_1$ (resp. $B \cap K_2$) is diffeomorphic to $D^2 \amalg (S^1 \times \{s | 0 \leq s \leq 1\})$, where \amalg denotes the disjoint union.

$B \cap K_1$ has the following properties: $B_t \cap K_1$ is empty for $-1 \leq t < 0$ and $0.5 < t \leq 1$. $B_0 \cap K_1$ is diffeomorphic to $D^2 \amalg (S^1 \times \{0 \leq s \leq 0.3\}) \amalg (S^1 \times \{0.7 \leq s \leq 1\})$. $B_{0.5} \cap K_1$ is diffeomorphic to $(S^1 \times \{0.3 \leq s \leq 0.7\})$. $B_t \cap K_1$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \amalg S^1$ for $0 < t < 0.5$. (Here we draw $S^1 \times \{0 \leq s \leq 1\}$ to have the corner in B_0 and in $B_{0.5}$. Strictly to say, $B \cap K_1$ in B is a smooth embedding which is obtained by making the corner smooth naturally.)

$B \cap K_2$ has the following properties: $B_t \cap K_2$ is empty for $-1 \leq t < -0.5$ and $0 < t \leq 1$. $B_0 \cap K_2$ is diffeomorphic to $D^2 \amalg (S^1 \times \{0 \leq s \leq 0.3\}) \amalg (S^1 \times \{0.7 \leq s \leq 1\})$. $B_{-0.5} \cap K_2$ is diffeomorphic to $(S^1 \times \{0.3 \leq s \leq 0.7\})$. $B_t \cap K_2$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \amalg S^1$ for $-0.5 < t < 0$. (Here we draw $S^1 \times \{0 \leq s \leq 1\}$ to have the corner in B_0 and in $B_{-0.5}$. Strictly to say, $B \cap K_1$ in B is a smooth embedding which is obtained by making the corner smooth naturally.)

In Figure 2.1.1 (resp. 2.1.2) there are an oriented cylinder $S^1 \times \{0 \leq s \leq 1\}$ and an oriented disc D^2 as we stated above. We do not make any assumption about the orientation of the cylinder and the disc. The orientation of $B \cap K_1$ (resp. $B \cap K_2$) coincides with that of the cylinder and that of the disc.

Suppose that K_2 is obtained from K_1 by one ribbon-move and that K'_2 is equivalent to K_2 . Then we also say that K'_2 is obtained from K_1 by one *ribbon-move*. If K_1 is obtained from K_2 by one ribbon-move, then we also say that K_2 is obtained from K_1 by one *ribbon-move*.

Definition 2.2. Two 2-knots K_1 and K_2 are said to be *ribbon-move equivalent* if there are 2-knots $K_1 = \hat{K}_1, \hat{K}_2, \dots, \hat{K}_{r-1}, \hat{K}_r = K_2$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq 2$) such that \hat{K}_i is obtained from \hat{K}_{i-1} ($1 < i \leq r$) by one ribbon-move.

Problem 2.3. Let K_1 and K_2 be 2-knots. Find a necessary (resp. sufficient, necessary and sufficient) condition that K_1 and K_2 are ribbon-move equivalent.

In [16] the author proved the following.

Theorem 2.4. ([16]) (1) *If 2-knots K and K' are ribbon-move equivalent, then*

$$\mu(K) = \mu(K'),$$

where $\mu(\)$ denotes the μ -invariant of 2-knots.

(2) *Let K_1 and K_2 be 2-knots in S^4 . Suppose that K_1 are ribbon-move equivalent to K_2 . Let W_i be an arbitrary Seifert hypersurface for K_i . Then the torsion part of $\{H_1(W_1) \oplus H_1(W_2)\}$ is congruent to $G \oplus G$ for a finite abelian group G .*

(3) *Not all 2-knots are ribbon-move equivalent to the trivial 2-knot.*

(4) *The converse of (1) is not true. The converse of (2) is not true.*

Note. See [16] for the μ -invariant of 2-knots.

Furthermore, in [17] the author proved the following Theorem 2.7.

Definition 2.5. Let K be a 2-knot $\subset S^4$. Let $N(K)$ be the tubular neighborhood of K in S^4 . Let $\alpha : \pi_1(\overline{S^4 - N(K)}) \rightarrow H_1(\overline{S^4 - N(K)}; \mathbb{Z})$ be the abelianization. Note that any 1-cycle is oriented naturally by using the orientation of K and that of S^4 . We define the canonical isomorphism $\beta : H_1(\overline{S^4 - N(K)}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by using this orientation. Let $\widetilde{X_K^\infty}$ be the covering space associated with $\beta \circ \alpha : \pi_1(\overline{S^4 - N(K)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We call $\widetilde{X_K^\infty}$ the *canonical infinite cyclic covering space* of the complement $\overline{S^4 - N(K)}$ of K . We also call $\widetilde{X_K^\infty}$ the *canonical infinite cyclic covering space* for K . See [6, 11, 28] for canonical infinite cyclic covering spaces for details.

Note 2.6. In this paper, if we regard a tubular neighborhood as a fiber bundle naturally, it is the close disc (not the open disc) that the fiber of the fiber bundle is. That is, we have the following. Let A be a a -submanifold in a b -manifold B ($a, b \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$). Then the tubular neighborhood of A in B is a fiber bundle over A whose fiber is the $(b - a)$ -dimensional close disc.

Theorem 2.7. ([17]) *Let K and K' be 2-knots. Suppose that K and K' are ribbon-move equivalent. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$c : \text{Tor}H_1(\widetilde{X_K^\infty}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}H_1(\widetilde{X_{K'}^\infty}; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the homomorphism c is not only one as \mathbb{Z} -modules but also one as $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -modules, with the following properties.

(1) Let $x, y \in \text{Tor}H_1(\widetilde{X_K^\infty}; \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have

$$\text{lk}(x, y) = \text{lk}(c(x), c(y)),$$

where $\text{lk}(\quad)$ denotes the Farber-Levine pairing. That is, the Farber-Levine pairing on $\text{Tor}H_1(\widetilde{X_K^\infty}; \mathbb{Z})$ is equivalent to that on $\text{Tor}H_1(\widetilde{X_{K'}^\infty}; \mathbb{Z})$.

(2) Let $\alpha : H_1(\widetilde{X_K^\infty}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a homomorphism. Note that there is a homomorphism

$\alpha' : H_1(\widetilde{X_{K'}^\infty}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$\alpha|_{\text{Tor}} = (\alpha'|_{\text{Tor}}) \circ c$. Then we have $\tilde{\eta}(K, \alpha) = \tilde{\eta}(K', \alpha') \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. That is, the set of the values of the \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} -valued $\tilde{\eta}$ invariants for K is equivalent to that for K' .

Note. See [17] for the $\tilde{\eta}$ -invariants of 2-knots, the Farber-Levine pairing, and the Alexander module.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 3.1. Let K be a 2-knot $\subset S^4$. Let \widetilde{X}_K^∞ be the canonical infinite cyclic covering space for K (see Definition 2.5). Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_m\} (m \in \mathbb{N})$ be a set such that M_i is an open oriented 3-submanifold $\subset \widetilde{X}_K^\infty$ and that $[M_i] \in H_3^\infty(\widetilde{X}_K^\infty; \mathbb{R})$. Here, $H_*^\infty(\cdot; \mathbb{R})$ denotes the ∞ -chain homology group with the \mathbb{R} coefficient.¹

The set $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_m\}$ is called an *o-set* for K if \mathcal{M} satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) M_i intersects M_j transversely ($i \neq j$).
- (2) $M_i \cap M_j$ intersects M_k transversely ($i \neq j, j \neq k$, and $k \neq i$).
- (3) $M_i \cap M_j \cap M_k$ is an oriented submanifold $S \amalg R$, where \amalg denotes the disjoint union, with the following conditions.

- (i) S is a disjoint union of circles. We do not assume the number of circles. The number of circles may be $\begin{cases} \text{zero} \\ \text{finite and nonzero} \\ \text{infinite.} \end{cases}$

- (ii) R is the empty set ϕ or is diffeomorphic to a single line \mathbb{R} with the following property (*).

(*) Let V be any Seifert hypersurface for K . Let $\pi : \widetilde{X}_K^\infty \rightarrow S^4 - N(K)$ be the projection map. Then $\pi^{-1}(V) = \amalg_{i=-\infty}^\infty V_i$ and each V_i is diffeomorphic to V . Let this \mathbb{R} satisfy the following.

- (a) This \mathbb{R} and V_i intersect transversely.
- (b) The algebraic number of the points, $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap V_i$, is one for each i .
- (c) The geometric number of the points, $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap V_i$, is finite for each i .

Note. In this paper, the fact that \mathbb{R} is a submanifold $\subset \widetilde{X}_K^\infty$ means the following: for each $p \in \mathbb{R}$, there is an open set $U \subset \widetilde{X}_K^\infty$ such that $p \in U$ and that $\mathbb{R} \cap (U - \mathbb{R}) = \phi$.

¹ Let X be a topological space. The *infinity chain homology group* $H_i^\infty(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is defined by using the *infinity chain group* $C_i^\infty = \{\sum_l \nu_l \sigma_l \mid \sigma_l \text{ is a } i\text{-simplex. } \nu_l \in \mathbb{Z}. \text{ The number of nonzero } \nu_l \text{ may be infinite.}\}$.

Recall that the homology group $H_i^\infty(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is defined by using the chain group $C_i = \{\sum_l \nu_l \sigma_l \mid \sigma_l \text{ is a } i\text{-simplex. } \nu_l \in \mathbb{Z}. \text{ The number of nonzero } \nu_l \text{ is finite.}\}$.

The infinity chain homology group $H_i^\infty(X; \mathbb{R})$ is defined by using the infinity chain group $C_i^\infty \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Recall that the homology group $H_i^\infty(X; \mathbb{R})$ is defined by using the infinity chain group $C_i \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_m\}$ be an \mathcal{o} -set for K . Take three elements M_i, M_j, M_k . Then $M_i \cap M_j \cap M_k$ is $S \amalg R$ as above. Define the \mathcal{o} -invariant

$$o(M_i, M_j, M_k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } R \text{ is the empty set } \phi \\ 1 & \text{if } R \cong \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let K and K' be 2-knots $\subset S^4$. Suppose that K is ribbon-move equivalent to K' . Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_m\}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) be an \mathcal{o} -set for K . Then there is an \mathcal{o} -set $\mathcal{M}' = \{M'_1, \dots, M'_m\}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) for K' such that $o(M_i, M_j, M_k) = o(M'_i, M'_j, M'_k)$ for any i, j, k .*

The results in [16, 17] (Theorem 2.4, 2.7 in this paper) does not imply Theorem 3.2 (see Note 3.6). However, this paper prove Theorem 3.2. Hence Theorem 3.2 is new.

Theorem 3.2 implies Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.3. (same as Theorem 1.1.) *Let K be a 2-dimensional fibered knot whose fiber is the punctured 3-dimensional torus. Let P be a 2-dimensional knot whose Seifert hypersurface is a punctured homology sphere. Then K is not ribbon-move equivalent to P .*

Note 3.4. There is a 2-dimensional fibered knot whose fiber is the punctured torus (see [1, 2, 3, 8]). There is a 2-dimensional knot Z whose Seifert hypersurface is the punctured Poincaré sphere (see [34]). By [16], $\mu(Z) \neq 0$. Take the connected sum $Z \sharp Z$. By [16], $\mu(Z \sharp Z) = 0$. Hence there is a 2-knot J whose Seifert hypersurface is a punctured homology 3-sphere such that $\mu(J) \begin{cases} = 0 \\ \neq 0. \end{cases}$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. By the definition of K , $\widetilde{X}_K^\infty \cong T^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $T^3 = S_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times S_3^1$. Let $M_1 = p_1 \times S_2^1 \times S_3^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, $M_2 = S_1^1 \times p_2 \times S_3^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, and $M_3 = S_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times p_3 \times \mathbb{R}$, where p_i is a point $\in S_i^1$. Then we have the following.

- (1) $\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ is an \mathcal{o} -set.
- (2) $M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 = \mathbb{R}$.
- (3) $o(M_1, M_2, M_3) = 1$.

We prove by contradiction. We suppose that K is ribbon-move equivalent to P . By Theorem 3.2, there is an \mathcal{o} -set $\{M'_1, M'_2, M'_3\}$ for the 2-knot P such that $o(M'_1, M'_2, M'_3) = 1$. Hence $M'_1 \cap M'_2 \cap M'_3$ represents a nonzero element $\in H_1^\infty(\widetilde{X}_P^\infty; \mathbb{R})$. Hence $[M'_i](i = 1, 2, 3)$ represents a

nonzero element $\in H_3^\infty(\widetilde{X_P^\infty}; \mathbb{R})$. Hence $H_3^\infty(\widetilde{X_P^\infty}; \mathbb{R})$ is not congruent to 0. However, since a punctured homology sphere is a Seifert hypersurface for the 2-knot P , $H_3^\infty(\widetilde{X_P^\infty}; \mathbb{R}) \cong 0$. We arrived at a contradiction. Hence the initial condition is false. That is, K is not ribbon-move equivalent to P .

Note that, only in the $\mu(K) \neq \mu(P)$ case, Theorem 2.4 implies that K is not ribbon equivalent to P .

Note 3.5. In the similar manner in the above proof, we have the following. Suppose that $\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ is an o -set for the trivial 2-knot. Then $o(M_1, M_2, M_3) = 0$.

Note 3.6. Theorem 2.4, 2.7 cannot prove the $\mu(K) = \mu(P)$ case of Theorem 3.3. However, Theorem 3.2 (and Theorem 3.3) can.

We have the following Theorem 3.7. Compare Theorem 3.7 to Note 3.5. Hence the converse of Theorem 3.2 is not true.

Theorem 3.7. *There is a 2-knot K with the following properties.*

- (1) *For any o -set $\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for K , $o(M_1, M_2, M_3) = 0$.*
- (2) *K is not ribbon-move equivalent to the trivial knot.*

Proof. The 2-knot Z in Note 3.4 is an example because of Theorem 2.4.(1).

Compare the following theorem to the above Theorem 3.2 (resp. 3.3).

Theorem 3.8. *There is a nontrivial 2-knot K with the following properties.*

- (1) *K is ribbon-move equivalent to the trivial 2-knot.*
- (2) *There are a 3-dimensional open oriented submanifold M such that $[M] \in H_3^\infty(\widetilde{X_K^\infty}; \mathbb{R})$ and a 2-dimensional open oriented submanifold N such that $[N] \in H_2^\infty(\widetilde{X_K^\infty}; \mathbb{R})$ with the following properties.*
 - (i) $M \cap N \cong \mathbb{R}$.
 - (ii) *This \mathbb{R} satisfies the $(*)$ in (3)(ii) in Definition 3.1.*

Proof of Theorem 3.8. An example is the spun knot of the trefoil knot.

4. (1,2)-PASS-MOVES AND RIBBON-MOVE SURGERIES OF S^4

In order to prove our main theorem (Theorem 3.2), we use the (1,2)-pass-moves for 2-knots. [16] defined the (1,2)-pass-moves for 2-knots.

Definition 4.1. Let K_1 and K_2 be 2-links in S^4 . We say that K_2 is obtained from K_1 by one $(1,2)$ -pass-move if there is a 4-ball B embedded in S^4 with the following properties.

We draw B as in Definition 1.1.

(1) $\overline{K_1}$ coincides with $\overline{K_2}$ in $\overline{S^4 - B}$. This identity map from $\overline{K_1 - B}$ to $\overline{K_2 - B}$ is orientation preserving. Note that this condition on K_i implies that K_1 coincides with K_2 in $\overline{S^4 - B}$.

(2) $B \cap K_1$ is drawn as in Figure 4.1.1. $B \cap K_2$ is drawn as in Figure 4.1.2.

We suppose that each vector \vec{x} , \vec{y} in Figure 4.1.1 (resp. 4.1.2) is a tangent vector of each disc at a point. (Note we use \vec{x} (resp. \vec{y}) for different vectors.) The orientation of each disc in Figure 4.1.1 (resp. Figure 4.1.2) is determined by the each set $\{\vec{x}, \vec{y}\}$. We do not make any assumption about the orientations of the annuli in the Figure 4.1.1 (resp. Figure 4.1.2). The orientation of $B \cap K_1$ coincides with that of the disjoint union of the two discs and the annuli.




Figure 4.1.1




Figure 4.1.2

Suppose that K_2 is obtained from K_1 by one $(1,2)$ -pass-move and that K'_2 is equivalent to K_2 . Then we also say that K'_2 is obtained from K_1 by one $(1,2)$ -pass-move .

If K_1 is obtained from K_2 by one $(1,2)$ -pass-move, then we also say that K_2 is obtained from K_1 by one $(1,2)$ -pass-move .

2-links K_1 and K_2 are said to be $(1,2)$ -pass-move equivalent if there are 2-links $K_1 = \hat{K}_1, \hat{K}_2, \dots, \hat{K}_{p-1}, \hat{K}_p = L_2$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq 2$) such that \hat{K}_i is obtained from \hat{K}_{i-1} ($1 < i \leq p$) by one $(1,2)$ -pass-move.

Note. In [25] the author defined (p, q) -pass-moves for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. The $(1,2)$ -pass move here is the (p, q) -pass-move in the $p = 1$ and $q = 2$ case there.

Before [25], the author defined other local moves in [19]. The local moves in [19] are the (p, p) -pass-moves in [25].

[16] proved:

Theorem 4.2. ([16]) *Let K and K' be 2-knots. The following conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent.*

(1) K is $(1,2)$ -pass-move equivalent to K' .

(2) K is ribbon-move equivalent to K' .

Furthermore, if K is obtained from K' by one ribbon-move, then K is obtained from K' by one $(1,2)$ -pass-move.

Let $K_<$ and $K_>$ be 2-knots. Suppose that $K_<$ is ribbon-move equivalent to $K_>$. By Theorem 4.2, $K_<$ is $(1,2)$ -pass-move equivalent to $K_>$. Therefore, in order to prove our main result (Theorem 3.2) in §3, it suffices to prove the case when K' is obtained from K by one $(1,2)$ -pass-move in a 4-ball B embedded in S^4 .

Next we state a relation among surgeries, $(1,2)$ -pass-moves and ribbon-moves.

Definition 4.3. Let M be an m -manifold or an m -manifold with boundary. Make a product manifold $M \times [0, 1]$. Identify $M \times \{0\}$ with M . Suppose that handles h^p are attached to $M \times [0, 1]$, where $h^p \cap (M \times [0, 1]) \subset M \times \{1\}$.

Let $M' = (\partial(h^p \cup (M \times [0, 1]))) - M \times \{0\} - (\partial M) \times [0, 1]$, where $(\partial M) \times [0, 1] = \phi$ if $\partial M = \phi$.

If we do the above procedure, we say that M' is obtained from M by the surgery by using the above handles. (In other words, if we do the above procedure, we sometimes do not explain that we use $M \times [0, 1]$.)

See [31] for surgeries.

Theorem 4.4. *Let K be a 2-knot $\subset S^4$. Take a 4-ball $B \subset S^4$. Let $K \cap B$ be as in Figure 4.1.1. Then there is a submanifold $P \amalg Q \subset B$ with the following properties.*

(1) $P \cong S^1$.

(2) $Q \cong S^2$.

(3) $P \cap Q = \phi$. The linking number of P and Q is one if we give an orientation to $P \amalg Q$.

(4) Regard $\begin{cases} B \\ S^4 \end{cases}$ as $\begin{cases} B \times \{1\} \\ S^4 \times \{1\} \end{cases} \subset \begin{cases} B \times [0, 1] \\ S^4 \times [0, 1] \end{cases}$.

Attach a 5-dimensional 2-handle along P with the trivial framing and a 5-dimensional 3-handle along Q with the trivial framing. Then

the new 4-manifold made from $\begin{cases} B \times \{1\} \\ S^4 \times \{1\} \end{cases}$ by this surgery is diffeomorphic to $\begin{cases} B \\ S^4 \end{cases}$ again.

(5) The new knot in the new S^4 obtained by these surgeries is the knot made from K by one (1,2)-pass-move in B . That is, $K \cap$ (the new B) is as in Figure 4.1.2.

Note. By Theorem 4.2, the new knot is ribbon-move equivalent to K .

Definition 4.5. The set of these surgeries in Theorem 4.4 is called the *ribbon move surgery* of S^4 along $P \amalg Q$.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. See Figure 4.5.1.




Figure 4.5.1

Note that $K \cap B$ is a disjoint union of the cylinder A and a set D of the two discs. Take a 3-dimensional 1-handle h^1 trivially embedded in B . The manifold $\overline{\partial h^1} - \partial B$ can be regarded as A . Take a 4-dimensional 2-handle h^2 trivially embedded in B . The manifold $\overline{\partial h^2} - \partial B$ can be regarded as D .

Embed S^1 in B so that the linking number of S^1 and h^2 in B is one, let P denote this S^1 . Embed S^2 in B so that the linking number of S^2 and h^1 in B is one, let Q denote this S^2 . Note that we can define the linking number of $\begin{cases} P \amalg h^2 \\ Q \amalg h^1 \end{cases}$ in B as above if we give an orientation to $\begin{cases} P \amalg h^2 \\ Q \amalg h^1 \end{cases}$. Note that the attaching part of h^* is fixed at ∂B .

Then we can suppose that the linking number of $P(\cong S^1)$ and $Q(\cong S^2)$ in B is one if we give an orientation to $P \amalg h^2 \amalg Q \amalg h^1$ so that we do not change the linking number of $\begin{cases} P \amalg h^2 \\ Q \amalg h^1 \end{cases}$ in B . This completes the proof.

Note 4.6. Take a Seifert hypersurface V for K . We can suppose that $V \cap B = h^1 \amalg h^2$. Proof. The idea of the proof is Pontrjagin-Thom construction. See e.g. P. 49 of [10].

5. RIBBON-MOVE SURGERIES OF CANONICAL INFINITE CYCLIC COVERING SPACES \widetilde{X}_K^∞

Let X_K denote $\overline{S^4 - N(K)}$. Note that $\overline{N(K)}$ is diffeomorphic to $K \times$ (a close 2-disc). Let V be a Seifert hypersurface for K . Let the manifold $V \cap X_K$ be called V again. Let $N(V)$ be the tubular neighborhood of V in X_K . Then $\overline{N(V)} \cong V \times [-1, 1]$. Let $Y_K = \overline{X_K - N(V)}$.

Let NV denote $\overline{N(V)}$. Let \widetilde{X}_K^∞ be the canonical infinite cyclic covering space for K (see Definition 2.5). We can regard $\widetilde{X}_K^\infty = \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^\infty (NV_i \cup Y_i)$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$), where $\begin{cases} NV_i \\ Y_{Ki} \end{cases}$ is made from $\begin{cases} NV = V \times [-1, 1] \\ Y_K \end{cases}$ naturally. We sometimes abbreviate $\begin{cases} Y_{Ki} \\ Y_K \end{cases}$ to $\begin{cases} Y_i \\ Y. \end{cases}$ We can regard $NV_i = V_i \times [-1, 1]$.

Suppose that $Y_j \cap NV_i \begin{cases} \neq \phi & \text{if } i = j, i = j + 1 \\ = \phi & \text{if } i \neq j, i \neq j + 1. \end{cases}$

Note we can make X_K from NV_i and $\begin{cases} Y_i \\ Y_{i+1} \end{cases}$ by using an attaching map which is different from the attaching map in making X_K^∞ .

Take $P \amalg Q \subset B$ as in Definition 4.5. We define $\begin{cases} P_i \\ Q_i \end{cases}$ so that

$\begin{cases} \amalg_{i=-\infty}^\infty P_i \\ \amalg_{i=-\infty}^\infty Q_i \end{cases}$ is the lift of $\begin{cases} P \\ Q. \end{cases}$

We define that $\begin{cases} (\amalg_{j=-\infty}^\infty P_j) \cap Y_i \\ (\amalg_{j=-\infty}^\infty Q_j) \cap Y_i \end{cases}$ is $\begin{cases} P_i \\ Q_i. \end{cases}$

Note that we can let $P_i \cap NV_j = \phi$ and $Q_i \cap NV_j = \phi$ for each (i, j) .

We use the following kind of surgeries from now on.

Definition 5.1. Let N be an n -submanifold or an ' n -submanifold with boundary' of an m -manifold M . Let $N \times [0, 1]$ be a submanifold $\subset M$.

Identify $N \times \{0\}$ with N . Suppose that handles h^p which are embedded in M are attached to $N \times [0, 1]$, where $h^p \cap (N \times [0, 1]) \subset N \times \{1\}$. Suppose that $h^p \cap (N \times [0, 1]) = \phi$. Let $N' = (\partial(h^p \cup (N \times [0, 1]))) - N \times \{0\} - (\partial N) \times [0, 1]$, where $(\partial N) \times [0, 1] = \phi$ if $\partial N = \phi$.

If we do the above procedure, we say that N' is obtained from N by the surgery by using the above embedded handles. (In other words, if we do the above procedure, we sometimes do not explain that we use $N \times [0, 1]$.)

Note. We sometimes abbreviate ‘submanifold with boundary’ to submanifold.

Compare Definition 5.1 to Definition 4.3.

Claim 5.2. *There are surgeries along $P_i \amalg Q_i (\subset Y_{K_i})$ with the following property (*).*

(*) *Let Y'_{K_i} denote the new manifold made from Y_{K_i} . Let K' be obtained from K by the one ribbon-move in B . It holds that Y'_{K_i} is $Y_{K'_i}$. It holds that $\amalg_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (NV_i \cup Y'_{K_i}) = \widetilde{X}_{K'}^{\infty}$.*

Note. $\begin{cases} P_i \\ Q_i \end{cases}$ may be a nonvanishing cycle.

Definition 5.3. The set of these surgeries is called the *ribbon-move surgery* of the infinite cyclic covering space \widetilde{X}_K^{∞} along $P_i \amalg Q_i$.

Compare Definition 5.3 to Definition 4.5

Proof of Claim 5.2. Carry out the ribbon surgery along $P \amalg Q (\subset Y_K \subset S^4)$. Corresponding to this ribbon-surgery, we can carry out surgeries on Y_{K_i} along $P_i \amalg Q_i$ to satisfy the conditions in Claim 5.2.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2 (MAIN THEOREM)

Proof of Theorem 3.2. As we state right after Theorem 4.2, it suffices to prove the case where a 2-knot K is obtained from a 2-knot K' by using a single (1,2)-pass-move.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\widetilde{M}_1, \dots, \widetilde{M}_m\} (m \in \mathbb{N})$ be an \mathcal{o} -set for K .

We can suppose that \widetilde{M}_* and $\begin{cases} NV_i \\ V_i \end{cases}$ intersect transversely.

Note that \widetilde{M}_* represents an element $\in H_3^{\infty}(\widetilde{X}_K^{\infty}; \mathbb{R})$. Recall that V_i is a compact oriented manifold and that $\partial V_i \subset \partial(\widetilde{X}_K^{\infty})$.

Hence $\begin{cases} \widetilde{M}_* \cap NV_i \\ \widetilde{M}_* \cap V_i \end{cases}$ is a compact oriented manifold $\begin{cases} F \times [-1, 1] \\ F, \end{cases}$

where the following hold.

- (1) F is a closed oriented surface. (F may not be connected.)
- (2) Let e_t be the embedding map $F \times \{t\} \hookrightarrow V_i \times \{t\}$. Then e_t and $e_{t'}$ are the same embedding maps $(\begin{cases} -1 \leq t \leq 1 \\ -1 \leq t' \leq 1 \end{cases})$ if we identify $F \times \{t\}$ with $F \times \{t'\}$ and if we do $V_i \times \{t\}$ with $V_i \times \{t'\}$.

Let $\widetilde{M}_* \cap NV_i = F \times [-1, 1]$ be called M_{*NV_i} .

Take $h^1 \subset V_i$ as in Proof of Theorem 4.4. Consider $h^1 \times [-1, 1] \subset NV_i$.

Claim 6.1. *We can suppose that the manifold $F \times [-1, 1] = \widetilde{M}_* \cap NV_i$ is embedded in the manifold $NV_i - (h^1 \times [-1, 1])$.*

Proof. Take the cocore C of $h^1 \subset V_i$. Note C is a 2-disc. We can suppose that F and C intersect transversely, if they intersect. The intersection $F \cap C$ is a set of circles. These circles are the boundaries of discs \hat{D} such that these discs \hat{D} are embedded in C . (Note that the discs \hat{D} may intersect each other but our proof may not mind that.) Regard these 2-discs \hat{D} as the cores of 4-dimensional 2-handles. Carrying out surgeries on \widetilde{M}_* by attaching these 2-handles \hat{D} along $F \cap C$, let $\widetilde{M}_* \cap (h^1 \times [0, 1]) = \phi$ and $(\widetilde{M}_* \cap V_i) \cap h^1 = \phi$.

Note: If the discs \hat{D} intersect each other, use the isotopy of \hat{D} .

Here, if necessary, we carry out these surgeries on \widetilde{M}_* ($* = 1, \dots, m$). These surgeries are done in the interior of the tubular neighborhood $N(h^1 \times [-1, 1])$ of $h^1 \times [-1, 1]$ in \widetilde{X}_K^∞ . Note that $N(h^1 \times [-1, 1])$ is a compact set. (See Note 2.6.) Suppose that $o(\widetilde{M}_\alpha, \widetilde{M}_\beta, \widetilde{M}_\gamma) = 1$ for a set $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$. By using isotopy of \widetilde{M}_* , we can suppose that $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ does not intersect $N(h^1 \times [-1, 1])$ before these surgeries.

Then we have the following. Suppose that we obtain a new intersection $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ after these surgeries. (Note that the new intersection consists of triple points and is an oriented 1-dimensional manifold.) Then the new intersection is a disjoint union of circles because of the following.

- (1) These surgeries are done in the interior of the compact set $N(h^1 \times [-1, 1])$.
- (2) The triple point set does not exist in $N(h^1 \times [-1, 1])$ before these surgeries. (Because of the above procedure.)

Therefore, even if we change \widetilde{M}_* in the above procedure, the o -invariant $o(\widetilde{M}_\alpha, \widetilde{M}_\beta, \widetilde{M}_\gamma)$ for an arbitrary set $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ does not change.

This completes the proof.

Suppose that $o(\widetilde{M}_\alpha, \widetilde{M}_\beta, \widetilde{M}_\gamma) = 1$ for a set $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$. Then $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma = \mathbb{R}$.

Claim 6.2. *The geometric number of the points, $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap V_i$, is one for each i .*

Proof. Recall Definition 3.1. The algebraic number of the points, $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap V_i$, is one for each i . The geometric number of the points, $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap V_i$, is finite for each i .

We can suppose that $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap P_i = \phi$ for each i . Because: If it is not an empty set, use the isotopy of P_i .

We can suppose that $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap Q_i = \phi$ for each i . Because: If it is not an empty set, use the isotopy of Q_i .

If the geometric number, $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap V_i$, is not one, carry out surgeries on V_i by using 4-dimensional 1-handles with the following properties.

- (1) Each of the handles is embedded in \widetilde{X}_K^∞ .
- (2) The core of each of the handles is a 1-dimensional ‘submanifold with boundary’ of this \mathbb{R} .

Note that, since the geometric number of the points, $(\text{this } \mathbb{R}) \cap V_i$, is finite, the number of these surgeries is finite.

Note that the new V_i is orientable. Because: We can suppose that the two points along which each of the above 1-handles is attached have the opposite orientations.

This completes the proof.

We can suppose that \widetilde{M}_* and Y_i intersect transversely.

Let $M_{*i} = Y_i \cap \widetilde{M}_*$ ($* = 1, \dots, m$). Then we have the following.

- (1) $\partial M_{*i} = N_{*i-} \amalg N_{*i+} \subset \partial Y_i$.
- (2) $M_{*i} \cap NV_i = N_{*i-}$.
- (3) $M_{*i} \cap NV_{i+1} = N_{*i+}$.
- (4) For each set $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$, $M_{\alpha i} \cap M_{\beta i} \cap M_{\gamma i}$ is a disjoint union $S \amalg I$, where S is a set of circles and where I is the empty set or a single segment I with the following properties:
 - (i) $(\text{One of } \partial I) \subset NV_i$.
 - (ii) $(\text{The other of } \partial I) \subset NV_{i+1}$.

Note that ∂I is two points. Note that $(\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma) \cap V_i$ is geometrically one point. (See Claim 6.2.)

Claim 6.3. *We can suppose that $Q_i \cap M_{*i} = \phi$.*

Proof. See Figure 4.5.1. We can take Q in the tubular neighborhood of h^1 in S^4 . Let $C(\widetilde{X}_K^\infty)$ be the collar neighborhood of \widetilde{X}_K^∞ . Take the above $N(h^1 \times [-1, 1])$, which is the tubular neighborhood of $h^1 \times [-1, 1]$ in \widetilde{X}_K^∞ .

Then it holds that we can take Q_i in $U = N(h^1 \times [-1, 1]) \cup C(\widetilde{X}_K^\infty)$.

By the definition of \widetilde{M}_i and Claim 6.1, we can take \widetilde{M}_i outside U . Hence $Q_i \cap M_{*i} = \phi$.

Next we consider $P_i \cap M_{*i}$. (Recall that $P_i \cong S^1$.) We can suppose that $P_i \cap M_{*i}$ is a finite set of points. Each point is oriented by P_i , M_{*i} , and S^4 .

Claim. We can suppose that the orientations of these points are same.

Proof. Suppose that there are two points ($\subset P_i \cap M_{*i}$) such that the two points sits side by side in P_i and that they have different orientations.

Carry out a surgery on M_{*i} along the two points by using a 4-dimensiona 1-handle with the following properties.

- (1) The handle is embedded in Y_i .
- (2) The core of the handle is a 1-dimensional ‘submanifold with boundary’ of P_i . (Note $P_i \cong S^1$.)

Note that the new M_{*i} is orientable. Because: The two points along which the above 1-handle is attached have the opposite orientations.

Repeat this surgery.

If necessary, we carry out these surgeries on all M_{*i} ($i = 1, \dots, m$). These surgeries are done in the interior of the compact set

$$W = \overline{N(P_i) \cap \{N(h^1 \times [-1, 1]) - C(\widetilde{X}_K^\infty)\}},$$

where we have the following.

- (1) $N(P_i)$ is the tubular neighborhood of P_i in Y_i .
- (2) We take $N(h^1 \times [-1, 1])$ so that $P_i \cap (\text{the interior of } N(h^1 \times [-1, 1])) \neq \phi$ and that $P_i \cap (\text{the interior of } N(h^1 \times [-1, 1]))$ is connected.

Suppose that the triple point set $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ intersects W before these surgeries. By using isotopy of \widetilde{M}_* , we can suppose that $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ does not intersect W . Suppose that the triple point set $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ is obtained after these surgeries. Then the new triple point set $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ is a disjoint union of circles. Because we have the following.

- (1) These surgeries are done in the interior of the compact set W .

(2) The triple point set does not exist in W before these surgeries.
(Because of the above procedure.)

Therefore, the o -invariant $o(\widetilde{M}_\alpha, \widetilde{M}_\beta, \widetilde{M}_\gamma)$ for an arbitrary set $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ does not change. This completes the proof.

Claim 6.4. *We can suppose that there is a compact 3-dimensional ‘submanifold with boundary’ $E \subset X_K = S^4 - N(K)$ such that $E \cong ($ the punctured $S^1 \times S^2)$ and that $\partial E = Q$. (Recall $Q \cong S^2$.)*

Proof. We can take Q in $t = 0$ in Figure 4.1.1. Take a 3-ball G in $t = 0$ in Figure 4.1.1 so that $\partial G = Q$. Note that $G \cap K$ is a single circle S^1 .

Attach a 4-dimensional 2-handle h^2 to G along this S^1 , where the following hold.

- (1) h^2 is embedded in S^4 .
- (2) the core of h^2 is a 2-dimensional ‘submanifold with boundary’ of K .

After this surgery by this h^2 , G is changed into E as in Claim 6.4. This completes the proof.

Note that $E \cap P$ is a single point by the construction (see Figure 4.1.1 and Figure 4.5.1). Note that $E \cap V \neq \phi$.

Let \widetilde{E} be the lift of E associated with the projection map $\widetilde{X}_K^\infty \rightarrow X_K$.

Let $E_{Y_i} = \widetilde{E} \cap Y_i$. Let $E_{NV_i} = \widetilde{E} \cap NV_i$.

Note that $\partial E_{Y_i} - \partial Y_i = Q_i$ by the construction (see Figure 4.1.1 and Figure 4.5.1). Note that we do not suppose that the orientation of ∂E_{Y_i} coincides with that of Q_i . Their orientations may coincide or may not. We determine the orientation of E_{Y_i} (and hence that of $\partial E_{Y_i} - \partial Y_i$) after several lines from here. Take the tubular neighborhood $N(Q_i)$ of Q_i in Y_i . Let us $\overline{E_{Y_i} - N(Q_i)}$ call E_{Y_i} again.

Claim. *We have $E_{Y_i} \cap M_{*i} = \phi$.*

Proof. We can suppose that $E_{Y_i} \subset N(h^1 \times [-1, 1]) \cap C(\widetilde{X}_K^\infty)$. We can suppose that M_{*i} exists outside $N(h^1 \times [-1, 1]) \cap C(\widetilde{X}_K^\infty)$. Therefore $E_{Y_i} \cap M_{*i} = \phi$.

Let ν be the number of the points $P_i \cap M_{*i}$ ($\nu \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$). Take ν copies of E_{Y_i} . Let each E_{Y_i} be parallel each other. Note $\partial N(Q_i) \cong S^1 \times S^2$. We can suppose the following.

- (1) The intersection (each E_{Y_i}) $\cap \partial N(Q_i)$ is a 2-sphere.

- (2) This 2-sphere is $p \times S^2 \subset S^1 \times S^2 \cong \partial N(Q_i)$, where p is a point \in (this S^1).
- (3) Each 2-sphere is parallel to other 2-spheres in $S^1 \times S^2 \cong \partial N(Q_i)$.

We give an orientation to each E_{Y_i} so that the orientation of $P_i \cap E_{Y_i}$ is the opposite one of that of $P_i \cap M_{*i}$. Note that we do not suppose the orientation of E_{Y_i} coincides with that of Q_i .

Carry out surgeries on

‘ $M_{*i} \amalg (\nu \text{ copies of } E_{Y_i})$ ’ by using 4-dimensional ν 1-handles with the following properties. (Note that $M_{*i} \cap E_{Y_i} = \emptyset$ by the above Claim.)

- (1) The handles are embedded in Y_i .
- (2) The handles are attached along two points such that one point is in $P_i \cap (\nu \text{ copies of } E_{Y_i})$ and that the other point is in $P_i \cap M_{*i}$. (Note that the orientation of M_{*i} is compatible with that of E_{Y_i} .)
- (3) The core of each 1-handle is a 1-dimensional ‘submanifold with boundary’ of P_i . (Note $P_i \cong S^1$.)

Thus we made a new submanifold $M_{*i}^\#$ from M_{*i} , ‘ ν copies of E_{Y_i} ’, and ‘the above ν 1-handles’ by these surgeries. Note that these surgeries can avoid making any self-intersection of $M_{*i}^\#$ for each i by using the above 1-handles appropriately. Note that $\partial M_{*i}^\# - \partial Y_i = \partial E_{Y_i} - \partial Y_i$ and that $\partial M_{*i}^\# - \partial Y_i$ is a set of the 2-spheres $\subset \partial N(Q_i)$.

Claim. These surgeries do not change $I \subset M_{\alpha i} \cap M_{\beta i} \cap M_{\gamma i}$ for each $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$.

Proof. If necessary, we carry out these surgeries on all $M_{*i} (i = 1, \dots, m)$. These surgeries are done in the interior of the compact set $N(P_i)$, where $N(P_i)$ is the tubular neighborhood of P_i in Y_i . Suppose that the triple point set $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ intersects $N(P_i)$ before these surgeries. By using isotopy of \widetilde{M}_* , we can suppose that $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ does not intersect $N(P_i)$. Suppose that the triple point set $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ is obtained after these surgeries. Then the new triple point set $\widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma$ is a disjoint union of circles. Because we have the following.

- (1) These surgeries are done in the interior of the compact set $N(P_i)$.
- (2) The triple point set does not exist in $N(P_i)$ before these surgeries. (Because of the above procedure.)

This completes the proof.

Carry out the ribbon-move surgery along P_i and Q_i . Then, by Claim 5.2, we have the following.

- (1) \widetilde{X}_K^∞ is changed into $\widetilde{X}_{K'}^\infty$.
- (2) Let Y_{Ki} be changed into Y'_{Ki} . Then Y'_{Ki} is $Y_{K'i}$. Recall that Y_{Ki} and Y_i are same (it is written in §5 and before Definition 5.1.).

After this ribbon-move surgery, we can carry out the following surgeries.

Take 3-dimensional 3-handles in new $Y'_{Ki} = Y_{K'i}$. Strictly to say, the 3-dimensional 3-handles are in $Y'_{Ki} - (Y'_{Ki} \cap Y_{Ki})$. Attach these 3-dimensional 3-handles to $M_{*i}^\#$ along all of the 2-spheres $\partial M_{*i}^\# - \partial Y_{Ki} = \partial E_{Y_i} - \partial Y_{Ki}$. Thus we obtain a new 3-manifold M'_{*i} with boundary.

When we change M_{*i} , we do not change $I(\subset \widetilde{M}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{M}_\beta \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma)$, $\partial M_{*i}(\subset \partial Y_{Ki})$, or $(\partial E_{Y_i} \cap \partial Y_{Ki})$.

Note: In the above procedure, we may move I by isotopy. However, we do not change the diffeomorphism type of I . Furthermore, we do not move ∂I .

Hence we have the following.

- (1) $\partial M'_{*i} = \partial M_{*i} \amalg (\partial E_{Y_i} \cap \partial Y_{Ki})$.
- (2) $\widetilde{M}'_* = (\amalg_{i=-\infty}^\infty M'_{*i}) \cup (\amalg_{i=-\infty}^\infty \{\nu \text{ copies of } E_{NV_i}\}) \cup (\amalg_{i=-\infty}^\infty M_{*NV_i})$ is an open 3-manifold without boundary. (Note that E_{NV_i} is defined after a few lines from the proof of Claim 6.4. Note that M_{*NV_i} is defined before a few lines from Claim 6.1.)

\widetilde{M}'_* is a submanifold of $\widetilde{X}_{K'}^\infty$. \widetilde{M}'_* represents an element $\in H_3^\infty(\widetilde{X}_{K'}^\infty; \mathbb{R})$.

- (3) $\{\widetilde{M}'_1, \dots, \widetilde{M}'_m\} (m \in \mathbb{N})$ is an \mathcal{o} -set for K' .
- (4) For each set $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $\mathcal{o}(\widetilde{M}'_\alpha, \widetilde{M}'_\beta, \widetilde{M}'_\gamma) = \mathcal{o}(\widetilde{M}_\alpha, \widetilde{M}_\beta, \widetilde{M}_\gamma)$.

7. PROBLEMS

Here, we submit Problem 2.3 again.

Problem 7.1. (essentially same as Problem 2.3.) Classify 2-knots by the ribbon-move equivalence.

In particular, the following problems interest us.

Problem 7.2. Is there a nontrivial 2-knot K with the following properties?

- (1) A Seifert hypersurface of K is a punctured integral homology sphere.
- (2) K is ribbon-move equivalent to the trivial knot.

Problem 7.3. Is there a nontrivial 2-knot K with the following properties?

- (1) A Seifert hypersurface of K is a punctured integral homology sphere.
- (2) $\mu(K)=0$.
- (3) K is not ribbon-move equivalent to the trivial knot.


[34] proved that a Seifert hypersurface of the five twist spun knot of the trefoil knot is the punctured Poincaré homology sphere. (Note 3.4 quotes this result.) This 2-knot is called Z .

Problem 7.4. Is $\begin{cases} Z\#Z \\ Z\#(-Z) \end{cases}$ ribbon-move equivalent to the trivial knot? (Note that $-Z$ is the 2-knot which has the opposite orientation of Z .)

We introduce another local move.

Definition 7.5. Let K be a 2-knot $\subset S^4$. Embed $S^1 \times D^3$ trivially in S^4 , where S^1 is a circle and where D^3 is a close 3-disc. Suppose that the following hold.

- (1) $K \cap (S^1 \times D^3)$ is $(S^1 \times I) \amalg (S^1 \times I)$, where I is the interval.
- (2) $K \cap (S^1 \times D^3)$ is




where we have the following.

- (i) The bold line and its interior in the above figure represent the 3-disc D^3
- (ii) The arrows of finite lines represent a submanifold of K .
- (iii) $S^1 \times$ (each of the two arrows) means each of the above $(S^1 \times I) \amalg (S^1 \times I)$.

Fix this chart of $S^1 \times D^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$.

Let K' be a 2-knot with the following properties.

- (1) $K \cap (S^1 \times D^3)$ is



in the above chart.

$$(2) K' \cap \overline{S^4 - (S^1 \times D^3)} = K \cap \overline{S^4 - (S^1 \times D^3)}.$$

Then we say that K' is obtained from K by one *XO-move*.

If K'' is obtained from K by a sequence of XO-moves then we say that K'' is *XO-move equivalent* to K .

All n -twist spun knots could be XO-move equivalent to the trivial knot. The obstructions for ribbon-moves in [16, 17] could not be obstructions for XO-moves.

Problem 7.6. (1) Are all 2-knots XO-move equivalent to the trivial knot?

(2) Is the o -invariant an obstruction for XO-moves?

REFERENCES

- [1] I. R. Aitchison and J. H. Rubinstein: Fibered knots and involutions on homotopy spheres. *Four-manifold theory (Durham, N.H., 1982)*, 1-74, *Contemp.Math.*, 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984.
- [2] S. Akbulut and R. Kirby: A potential smooth counterexample in dimension 4 to the Poincaré conjecture, the Schoenflies conjecture, and the Andrews-Curtis Conjecture *Topology* 24 (1985), 375-390.
- [3] S. E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson: There exist inequivalent knots with the same complement *Ann. of Math.* 103 (1976), 349-353.
- [4] A. J. Casson and C. McA. Gordon: Cobordism of classical knots *Progress in Mathematics* 62, 1975.
- [5] A. J. Casson and C. McA. Gordon: On slice knots in dimension three *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* 32, 1978.
- [6] M. S. Farber: Duality in an infinite cyclic covering and even dimensional knot *Math. USSR Izv* 11, 749-782, 1978.
- [7] P. M. Gilmer and C. Livingston: The Casson-Gordon invariant and link concordance *Topology* 31, 475-492, 1992.
- [8] R. E. Gompf: On Cappell-Shaneson 4-spheres *Topology Appl.* 38 (1991) 123-136.
- [9] M. Kervaire: Les noeuds de dimensions supérieures *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* 93, 225-271, 1965.
- [10] R. Kirby: The topology of 4-manifolds *Lecture Notes in Math (Springer Verlag)* vol. 1374, 1989.
- [11] J. Levine: Polynomial invariants of knots of codimension two *Ann. of Math.* 84, 537-554, 1966.
- [12] J. Levine: Knot modules I *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 229, 1-50, 1977.
- [13] J. Levine: Link invariants via the eta-invariant *Comment. Math. Helveticii* 69, 82-119, 1994.
- [14] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff: Characteristic classes *Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.*, 1974.
- [15] E. Ogasa: A new obstruction for ribbon-moves of 2-knots: 2-knots fibred by the punctured 3-torus and 2-knots bounded by the Poicaré sphere math.GT

- [16] E. Ogasa: Ribbon moves of 2-links preserve the μ -invariants of 2-links *University of Tokyo Preprint series UTMS 97-35* 1997; math.GT/0004008; *Journal of knot theory and its ramifications* vol13, 2004, 669-687.
- [17] E. Ogasa: Ribbon-moves of 2-knots: The torsion linking pairing and the η invariants of 2-knots, *Journal of knot theory and its ramifications* Vol. 16, No. 5 (2007) 523-543 math.GT/0004007, *UTMS2000-22*, math.GT/0407164.
- [18] E. Ogasa: The intersection of spheres in a sphere and a new geometric meaning of the Arf invariant @ *Journal of knot theory and its ramifications (2002), no. 8, 1211-1231, UTMS 95-7*, math.GT/0003089 in <http://xxx.lanl.gov>
- [19] E. Ogasa: Intersectional pair of n -knots, local moves of n -knots, and their associated invariants of n -knots, *Mathematical Research Letters*, 1998, 5, 577-582, *UTMS95-50*
- [20] E. Ogasa: The intersection of spheres in a sphere and a new application of the Sato-Levine invariant @ *Proceedings of the American Mathematical society* 126, 1998, PP.3109-3116, *UTMS95-54*.
- [21] E. Ogasa: Some properties of ordinary sense slice 1-links: Some answers to the problem (26) of Fox @ *Proceedings of the American Mathematical society* 126, 1998, P.2175-2182, *UTMS96-11*.
- [22] E. Ogasa: The projections of n -knots which are not the projection of any unknotted knot *Journal of knot theory and its ramifications* 10, 2001, 121-132, math.GT/0003088, *UTMS 97-34*.
- [23] E. Ogasa: Singularities of projections of n -dimensional knots @ *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 126, 1999, 511-519, *UTMS96-39*.
- [24] E. Ogasa: Link cobordism and the intersection of slice discs @ *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 31, 1999, 1-8
- [25] E. Ogasa: Local move identities for the Alexander polynomials of high dimensional knots and inertia groups @ *Journal of knot theory and its ramifications* vol18, 2009 531-545, math.GT/0512168, *UTMS97-63*
- [26] E. Ogasa: Nonribbon 2-links all of whose components are trivial knots and some of whose band-sums are nonribbon knots *Journal of knot theory and its ramifications* 10, 2001, 913-922
- [27] E. Ogasa: Supersymmetry, homology with twisted coefficients and n -dimensional knots *International Journal of Modern Physics A* Vol. 21, pp.4185-4196, 2006. hep-th/0311136.
- [28] D. Rolfsen: Knots and links *Publish or Perish, Inc.* 1976.
- [29] D. Ruberman: Doubly slice knots and the Casson-Gordon invariants *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 279, 569-588, 1983.
- [30] D. Ruberman: The Casson-Gordon invariants in high-dimensional knot theory *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 306, 579-595, 1988.
- [31] S. Smale: Generalized Poincaré Conjecture in Dimensions Greater Than Four *Annals of Math.* 74(2) 391-406 1961.
- [32] N. Steenrod: Topology of Fiber bundles *Ann. of Math. Studies 50 Princeton Univ. Press* 1951.
- [33] R. F. Thom: Quelques proprietes globales des varietes differentiables *Comment Math Helv* 28 pp17-86 1954.

[34] Zeeman: Twisting spun knots *Trans. AMS*, 115, 471-495, 1965.

COMPUTER SCIENCE, MEIJI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA, KANAGAWA,
244-8539, JAPAN
E-mail address: pqr100pqr100@yahoo.co.jp, ogasa@mail1.meijigakuin.ac.jp