### Static Length Scales of $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Chern-Simons Plasma

Dongsu Bak<sup>a</sup>, Kazem Bitaghsir Fadafan<sup>b</sup>, Hyunsoo Min<sup>a</sup>

a) Physics Department, University of Seoul, Seoul 130-743 KOREA (dsbak@uos.ac.kr, hsmin@dirac.uos.ac.kr)

b) Physics Department, Shahrood University of Technology P.O.Box 3619995161, Shahrood IRAN (bitaghsir@shahroodut.ac.ir)

#### ABSTRACT

Using gravity description, we compute various static length scales of  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  Chern Simons plasma in a strongly coupled regime. For this, we consider the  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  compactification of the type IIA supergravity down to four dimensions, and identify all the low-lying bosonic modes up to masses corresponding to the operator dimension 3 together with all the remaining  $\mathbb{CP}_3$ invariant modes. We find the true mass gap, the Debye screening mass and the corresponding dual operators to be probed in the field theory side.

# **1** Introduction

There has been great progress in understanding of AdS/CFT correspondence between string theories and gauge theories[1]. In addition to the well known duality between the type IIB string theory on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> background and  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory[1], the type IIA version of AdS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub> correspondence is put forwarded recently. The theory now known as the ABJM model is the three dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  U(N)× $\overline{U}$ (N) superconformal Chern-Simons theory with level (k,-k) and proposed to be dual to the type IIA string theory on AdS<sub>4</sub> ×  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  background[2]. Some test of this duality has been carried out based on the integrabilities with indication of some additional interesting structure[3, 4, 5].

The type IIA supergravity description is dual to the large *N* planar limit of the Chern-Simons theory where one is taking  $N, k \to \infty$  while holding the 't Hooft coupling  $\lambda = \frac{N}{k}$  fixed. Some probes of response properties of the finite temperature Chern-Simons theory are studied recently via the  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  invariant dimensional reduction of the type IIA supergravity[6]. For the study of its thermodynamic properties in the weak coupling regime, see Ref. [7].

In this note, we shall be concerned about the physics of the finite temperature ABJM plasma at strongly coupled regime and study its various static length scales. These are arising as spatially decaying scales when local operators are inserted at a certain point of the finite temperature plasma. Among them, we are particularly interested in the two scales of true mass gap  $m_g$  and Debye screening mass  $m_D$ . The inverse of the longest possible correlation length scale is the definition of the true mass gap while the lowest in the *CT* odd sector<sup>1</sup> is called the Debye screening mass following the definition of Yang-Mills plasmas[8, 9]. For the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM theory, these scales are identified at strong coupled regime in Ref [9]. It is argued there that these scales are well representing the universal characteristics of various Yang-Mills theories. For instance the ratios  $m_D/m_g$  for the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM theory and the  $N_f = 2$  QCD are matching with each other in the strong coupling limit, which supports such a picture[9].

In this note, we shall compute these length scales of the ABJM plasma using the description of black brane background, which has validity for the strongly coupled regime. For this purpose, we consider the  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  compactification of the type IIA supergravity down to four dimensions[10] and identify all the low lying bosonic modes up to masses corresponding to operator dimension  $\Delta = 3$  together with all the remaining  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  invariant higher dimensional modes[6]. They are described by 4d scalar, vector and graviton equations in the bulk of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unlike usual Chern-Simons theories, the ABJM theory has the parity *P* and the time-reversal *T* symmetries. The standard parity and time-reversal transformations of the ABJM theory bring its level (k, -k) to (-k, k). To define our *P* and *T*, one further interchanges the U(N) and the  $\overline{U}(N)$  gauge fields together with yet further exchange of all the matter fields with their adjoints, which brings its level back to (k, -k). The charge conjugation *C* is defined in the standard manner.

black brane background. For each gravity mode, one sets up eigenvalue problem with specific boundary conditions[11] and find mass (1/length) scales from eigenvalues determined by numerical analysis. We then identify the true mass gap, the Debye mass and their dual operator contents. Dynamic (non static) responses of the finite temperature system are characterized by equilibration time scales[12] and study of ABJM theory in this respect will be of interest. Also study of static scales in the U(1) charged plasma of the ABJM theory[6] will be interesting in many respect[13].

In Section 2, we introduce the field theory definition of static length (1/mass) scales in terms of two point correlator of field theory operator. In Section 3, we consider the  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  compactification and identify the low-lying supergravity modes. In Section 4, we find a linearized fluctuation equation for each mode, from which we determine length scales by numerical analysis. The results are summarized in Table 2. Last section is devoted to the discussion of the true mass gap and the Debye mass. The relevant dual operator contents in the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  Chern-Simons theory are constructed starting from chiral primary operators of the ABJM theory.

### 2 Field theory definition of static length scales

In this section, we are mainly interested in static correlation length scales of the finite temperature  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  Chern-Simons plasma. From the view point of the field theory, one considers any gauge invariant operator  $O(\vec{r})$  which has an operator dimension  $\Delta$ . If this operator is inserted at some point, *e.g.*  $\vec{r} = \vec{0}$  of the finite temperature system, the perturbation is in general decaying exponentially at large distance once the longest possible length scale is finite. This characteristic length scale is our concern in this note. More precisely they can be measured by the study of spatial behaviors of static two-point correlator defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(\vec{r}) = \langle O^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) O(\vec{0}) \rangle, \qquad (2.1)$$

where the thermal expectation value is taken with respect to the finite temperature vacuum state. We shall take the separation in the  $x_1$  direction without loss of generality. Generic features of the above correlator can be understood as follows. To define the finite temperature field theory, we use the Euclidean field theory where the Euclidean time direction is circle compactified with size  $\beta = 1/T$ . We then consider a Minkowski field theory where the  $x_1$  direction is Wickrotated from the Euclidean field theory. The fictitious time translation generator is taken as our Hamiltonian  $H_{x_1}$ . Let us introduce its eigenbasis by

$$H_{x_1} \left| n \right\rangle = \varepsilon_n \left| n \right\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the above correlator can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{C}(x_1) = \sum_{n} e^{-\varepsilon_n x_1} |\langle n | O(\vec{0}) \rangle|^2.$$
(2.3)

At large distance, the lowest eigenvalue with nonvanishing amplitude  $\langle n | O(\vec{0}) \rangle$  basically controls the behavior of the two point correlator. We shall call the *i*-th lowest eigenvalue with nonvanishing  $\langle n | O(\vec{0}) \rangle$  as  $\mu_i$ . Projecting out the lowest nonvanishing contribution, one may find the next decay mass scale  $\mu_2$  and so on. Below we shall consider various low dimensional operators and compute length scales up to  $\mu_3$  using the gravity description.

These scales of various operators with a definite dimension are all important characteristics of the finite temperature field theory itself. Among them, there are two particularly interesting length scales. One is the longest possible correlation length for all possible operators. The inverse of this scale we call as the true mass gap  $m_g$ . The other scale is defined as the longest possible length scale within the CT odd sector to which the operators of charged excitation belong. The inverse of this Debye length scale is called as Debye mass  $m_D$ . This definition agree with that of QCD or the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang-Mills theory[8, 9]. These two are particularly important characteristic scales of a gauge theory plasma.

Finding these two scales in the strongly coupled regime of  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  Chern-Simons plasma will be the subject of the subsequent sections where we use the dual gravity description. Among the low lying supergravity modes, we shall survey possible eigenvalues of scales in order to find the true mass gap and the Debye mass.

# **3** $\mathbb{CP}_3$ compactification and the black brane background

The IIA supergravity compactified on the internal  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  space to the four dimensional spacetime has been much studied some time ago[10]. Its four dimensional spectra are all classified there, which we shall explain to the extent we need for our purpose. The bosonic spectra include towers of 4d scalars, vectors and spin two gravitons. These are classified by the symmetries of U(1) × SU(4) where SU(4) is the symmetry possessed by the  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  space and U(1) is from the circle related to the 11d interpretation. The corresponding massless U(1) charge counting the D0 brane is interpreted as di-baryon charge of the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  Chern-Simons theory[2]. For the SU(4) representation, we use the standard Dynkin labels ( $l_1 l_2 l_3$ ). The SU(4) singlet denoted by (000) is for the  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  invariant part of the spectra that form a consistent closed sector[6].

For the graviton modes we shall only consider the lowest mode corresponding to the 4d AdS graviton fluctuation. There is of course massive graviton tower which is not neutral under the SU(4) of  $\mathbb{CP}_3$ .

For the spin one, we shall consider the massless vector modes of (000) and (101) representations, where (101) corresponds to the adjoint representation of dimension 15. In addition, we shall consider massive  $M^2 = 2$  non-singlet gauge fields together with a singlet gauge field with  $M^2 = 12$ .

For scalars, the lowest modes consist of  $(101)^+$ ,  $(101)^-$  and  $(202)^+$  with  $M^2 = -2$ . We also consider non-singlet scalars with  $M^2 = 0$  whose representations are listed in Table 1. There are three singlet real scalars respectively with  $M^2 = 4$ , 10 and 18. Therefore the whole singlet spectra consist of one massless graviton, one massless and one massive vector fields and 3 real scalars. Note that there is no fermionic singlet contributions at all. The full Lagrangian for this consistent truncation of the IIA compactification is obtained in [6]<sup>2</sup>. The summary of the discussion is in Table 1.

	spin 0	spin 1	spin 2	
$\Delta = 1$	$(101)^+_{-2}$			
$\Delta = 2$	$(202)^+_{-2} \ (101)^{-2}$	$(000)_0^ (101)_0^-$		
$\Delta = 3$	$(303)^+_0 \ (202)^0 \ (400)^0$	$(101)_2^ (202)_2^-$	$(000)_0^+$	
	$(004)^{-}_{0} (210)^{-}_{0} (012)^{-}_{0}$	$(210)^{-}_{2} \ (012)^{-}_{2}$		
	$(020)_{0}^{-}$			
0 <sup>th</sup> super multiplet	$(101)^+_{-2} (101)^{-2}$	$(000)_0^- (101)_0^-$	$(000)_0^+$	
$\mathbb{CP}_3$ singlet sector	$(000)^+_4 (000)^{10} (000)^+_{18}$	$(000)_0^- (000)_{12}^-$	$(000)_0^+$	

Table 1: The low lying bosonic spectra up to the operator dimension 3 are presented. The upper and lower indices denote respectively the parity and the mass squared value  $M^2$ . The bosonic part of the lowest  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  super multiplet and the whole spectra of  $\mathbb{CP}_3$  singlet sector are presented in addition.

Turning off all the excited modes except the AdS gravity part, the 4d action becomes

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{16\pi G_4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left( R + 6 \right)$$
(3.1)

where the 4d Newton constant is given by[6]

$$\frac{1}{16\pi G_4} = \frac{N^2}{12\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}.$$
(3.2)

Note also that we have scaled away the AdS curvature radius  $R_s$  using the scaling property of the 4d action, which is possible even including all the remaining modes.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For the compactification from the M-theory view point, see also Ref. [14], in which the resulting spectra involves extra modes not present in the type IIA compactification.

We consider the well known black brane solution with a planar symmetry, in which the metric takes a form

$$ds_4^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} \left[ h(z) \, dx_0^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \frac{dz^2}{h(z)} \right] \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$h(z) = 1 - \left(\frac{z}{z_H}\right)^3. \tag{3.4}$$

This black brane background is dual to the finite temperature version of the ABJM theory. Due to the quantum scale invariance of theory, this finite temperature field theory depends on only one dimensionful parameter which is the temperature T. Hence the theory possesses only one finite-temperature phase corresponding to high temperature limit. This temperature is identified with the Hawking temperature of the black brane,

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi} |h'(z_H)| = \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{1}{z_H}.$$
(3.5)

We further set the only length scale  $z_H = 1$  in the black brane metric as  $h(z) = 1 - z^3$ . This corresponds to the mass unit  $4\pi T/3$ , which can be recovered whenever needed.

# 4 Static length scales

In this section, we shall find the static mass scales by analyzing linear fluctuation equations in the black brane background. As explained in the previous section, there are various 4d bulk modes up to spin 2, which are dual to field theory operators of definite scaling dimension.

We shall begin with the scalar mode whose equation takes the form

$$\nabla^2 \Phi - M^2 \Phi = 0, \qquad (4.1)$$

which is defined for the interval  $z \in [0, 1]$  for our Euclidean black brane background. At the boundary z = 0 where the field theory is defined, the scalar field generally behaves as

$$\Phi = a(x)z^{3-\Delta} + b(x)z^{\Delta} + \cdots$$
(4.2)

where  $\cdots$  denotes all the remaining powers of z. The  $\Delta$  here corresponds to the scaling dimension of the field theory operator dual to  $\Phi$ . By a straightforward investigation, one finds

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3 + \sqrt{9 + 4M^2} \right), \tag{4.3}$$

with an exception of  $\Delta = 1$ . For this exception, one has

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3 - \sqrt{9 + 4M^2} \right) = 1 \tag{4.4}$$

with  $M^2 = -2$ . To set up the eigenvalue problem, we consider the ansatz  $\Phi = U(z)e^{-kx_1}$ , which describes an exponentially decaying response of the perturbation. The equation for U(z) becomes

$$z^{4} \left(\frac{h}{z^{2}}U'\right)' + (z^{2}k^{2} - M^{2})U = 0.$$
(4.5)

Having a second order equation, one has in general two linearly independent solutions before imposing boundary condition at the horizon. From the general analysis, the term a(x) corresponds to adding the source term

$$\delta I_{SCS} = \int d^3 x \, a(x) \, O(x) \tag{4.6}$$

to the field theory and b(x) is then interpreted as the expectation value  $\langle O(x) \rangle = b(x)$  where the expectation is taken in the presence of the source term a(x). For the scalar, we impose the boundary condition at the horizon given by

$$h(z)U'(z)|_{z=1} = 0, (4.7)$$

which kills the logarithmically singular term at the horizon. This boundary condition insures that the on-shell supergravity action does not receive any boundary contribution at the horizon. In addition the finiteness of each individual term in (4.5) is insured by the boundary condition.

For the eigenvalue problem, we shall further require the source term *a* to vanish by adjusting  $k^2$ , which will fix the static scales of our concern. We consider the six lowest masses  $M^2 = -2, -2, 0, 4, 10, 18$  corresponding to operator dimensions  $\Delta = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ . The results are presented in Table 2 in the unit of  $4\pi T/3$ .

For massive vector modes, the Maxwell equation takes a form,

$$\partial_{\mu}(\sqrt{g}F^{\mu\nu}) = M^2 \sqrt{g} \left(A^{\nu} + \nabla^{\nu} \phi\right), \qquad (4.8)$$

with

$$\partial_{\mu} \left[ \sqrt{g} \left( A^{\mu} + \nabla^{\mu} \phi \right) \right] = 0.$$
(4.9)

The scalar field  $\varphi$  is arising as a 4d Poincarè dual to three form field strength  $H_{\mu\nu\lambda}$  and gets absorbed into the massive gauge degree by the Higgs mechanism[6]. We use the  $\varphi = 0$  gauge leading to the condition,

$$\partial_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{g}A^{\mu}\right) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

For the massless case of  $M^2 = 0$ , this condition does not follow from the equations of motion but can be incorporated as a part of the gauge fixing condition. Near boundary gauge fields in general behave as

$$A_{\bar{\mu}} = a_{\bar{\mu}}(x) z^{2-\Delta} + b_{\bar{\mu}}(x) z^{\Delta-1} + \cdots$$
(4.11)

where  $\bar{\mu} = 0, 1, 2$  is for the boundary directions at z = 0 and the dimension of the dual current operator is given by

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3 + \sqrt{1 + 4M^2} \right). \tag{4.12}$$

For the length scales, we start with an ansatz,  $A_{\mu} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu}(z) e^{-kx_1}$  and consider the massive case first. Turning on only  $A_2$  leads to a consistent equation

$$z^{2} \left( h \mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime} \right)^{\prime} + \left( z^{2} k^{2} - M^{2} \right) \mathcal{A}_{2} = 0.$$
(4.13)

Similarly turning on only  $A_0$  leads to

$$z^{2}h\mathcal{A}_{0}^{\prime\prime} + (z^{2}k^{2} - M^{2})\mathcal{A}_{0} = 0.$$
(4.14)

For the longitudinal mode, we turn on both  $A_z$  and  $A_1$ , which leads to the equation

$$z^{2}\left(h\mathcal{A}_{1}'\right)' + \frac{2k^{2}z^{3}}{M^{2} - z^{2}k^{2}}h\mathcal{A}_{1}' + (z^{2}k^{2} - M^{2})\mathcal{A}_{1} = 0, \qquad (4.15)$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}_{z} = \frac{kz^{2}}{M^{2} - z^{2}k^{2}}\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}.$$
(4.16)

Therefore there are three independent modes for each massive gauge field. In order to find the eigenvalue mass scales, we require again  $a_{\bar{\mu}}$  to zero and impose the horizon boundary condition where we discard the logarithmically singular term[11]. Namely suppose the solution for vector and gravity modes behaves as

$$S_{\text{mode}} = C_1 (1-z)^{\beta} + C_2 (1-z)^{\beta} \ln (1-z) + \cdots$$
(4.17)

near horizon region. We find that  $\beta = 0$  for  $\Phi$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $G_S$  while  $\beta = 1$  for  $A_0$ ,  $G_T$ . Then we choose the boundary condition,

$$(1-z)\left[\frac{S_{\text{mode}}(z)}{(1-z)^{\beta}}\right]'|_{z=1} = 0.$$
(4.18)

We now turn to the case of massless gauge field. The longitudinal mode in (4.15) is no longer describing an extra degree and can be discarded by a gauge fixing conditions,  $A_1 = 0$ 

	spin 0	spin 1			spin 2	
		$A_2$	$A_0$	$A_1$	$G_S$	$G_T$
	.699401					
$\Delta = 1$	2.82963					
	5.05667					
	1.71821	1.49111	2.72176			
$\Delta = 2$	3.93965	3.85854	4.99591			
	6.17364	6.12306	7.25013			
	2.72176	2.56799	3.79539	1.46635	2.14858	3.60605
$\Delta = 3$	4.99591	4.92662	6.07826	3.93809	4.79041	5.96935
	7.25013	7.20491	8.33987	6.22908	7.11657	8.26276
	3.72304					
$\Delta = 4$	6.03029					
	8.30414					
	4.72364	4.62907	5.85503	3.53049		
$\Delta = 5$	7.05352	6.99910	8.17063	6.00571		
	9.34436	9.30618	10.4567	8.32808		
	5.72397					
$\Delta = 6$	8.07028					
	10.3755					

Table 2: The low lying decay mass scales up to operator dimension 3 are presented in the unit  $4\pi T/3$ . We also include the mass scales for all the remaining SU(4) invariant modes of  $\Delta = 4, 5, 6$ .

and  $\partial_{\mu}\sqrt{g}A^{\mu} = 0$ , while the equations in (4.13) and (4.14) are for two transverse degrees of the massless gauge field. Nonetheless, one may evaluate eigenvalues arising from (4.15) for  $M^2 = 0$ . We find that the results precisely agree with those from the transverse mode of (4.14). In fact one may show that the equation (4.15) follows from (4.14) by the change of the gauge fixing conditions to  $A_0 = 0$  and  $\partial_{\mu}\sqrt{g}A^{\mu} = 0$ . Note also that the equation (4.15) for the massless case agree precisely with the massless scalar equation for  $\Delta = 3$ . This explains the full agreement between  $\Delta = 3$  scalar spectra and  $\Delta = 2$  spectra for  $A_0$  in Table 2.

With this setup, we consider the cases of  $M^2 = 0, 2, 12$  which correspond to the dimensions of current operators  $\Delta = 2, 3, 5$  respectively. The resulting eigenvalues are presented in Table 2.

Finally we consider the metric perturbation

$$\delta R_{\mu\nu} + 3\delta g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (4.19)$$

which is describing the linear fluctuation of the massless AdS<sub>4</sub> graviton. Near the boundary

z = 0, the graviton fluctuation behaves as

$$\delta g_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} = a_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}(x) \, z^{1-\Delta} + b_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}(x) \, z^{\Delta-2} + \cdots$$
(4.20)

where  $\Delta = 3$  for our massless metric perturbation. The field  $\delta g_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$  is dual to the energy momentum tensor operator of the boundary field theory. Its operator dimension that is protected quantum mechanically is three as just stated. There are two independent physical modes, whose detailed forms will be identified below. We shall use the ansatz

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}(z) \, e^{-kx_1} \,. \tag{4.21}$$

For the tensor mode, we turn on only  $G_{02}$  component, which leads to

$$h\left(z^{2} \mathcal{G}_{02}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} + \left(z^{2} k^{2} - 2h\right) \mathcal{G}_{02} = 0.$$
(4.22)

The treatment of the scalar mode is more involved. We turn on  $\mathcal{G}_{00}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{11}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{22}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{zz}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{1z}$ , which turns out to be consistent[15]. The Einstein equations imply that

$$\mathcal{G}_{22} = -\frac{1}{h} \mathcal{G}_{00}, \qquad \mathcal{G}_{zz} = \frac{3(1-h)}{h^2(3+h)} \mathcal{G}_{00}, \qquad (4.23)$$

and

$$2k \mathcal{G}_{1z} = -\frac{1}{z^2} (z^2 \mathcal{G}_{11})' + \frac{3(1-h)}{z^2 (3+h)} \left(\frac{z^2 \mathcal{G}_{00}}{h}\right)' + \frac{18(1-h)}{zh^2(3+h)} \mathcal{G}_{00}, \qquad (4.24)$$

where  $G_{11}$  is the gauge freedom. Thus  $G_{1z}$  can be set to zero by adjusting  $G_{11}$ . For our purpose, we choose instead

$$\mathcal{G}_{11} = \frac{3(1-h)}{h(3+h)} \, \mathcal{G}_{00} \,, \tag{4.25}$$

such that

$$2k \mathcal{G}_{1z} = \frac{36(1-h)}{zh^2(3+h)} \mathcal{G}_{00}.$$
(4.26)

This leads to the equation

$$(zh)^{2}\left(\mathcal{G}_{00}^{\prime\prime}+\frac{k^{2}}{h}\mathcal{G}_{00}\right)+zh\left(3-h\right)\mathcal{G}_{00}^{\prime}+\left(27+9h-51h^{2}-h^{3}\right)\frac{(3-h)}{(3+h)^{2}}\mathcal{G}_{00}=0.$$
 (4.27)

Further introducing  $S = G_{00}/h$ , one is led to the equation,

$$\left(z^{2}h\mathcal{S}'\right)' + z^{2}k^{2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{4h}{(3+h)^{2}}\left(h^{2} + 18h - 27\right)\mathcal{S} = 0, \qquad (4.28)$$

which will be the starting point of our analysis for the scalar graviton mode. For the length scales, again we require  $a_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$  to vanish and impose the horizon boundary condition that the logarithmically singular terms should be absent. The results are presented in Table 2.

# 5 True mass gap and Debye mass

From the analysis of the last section, one finds that the lowest value lies in the scalar sector of  $\Delta = 1$ . Thus we find

$$m_g = 0.699401 \ (4\pi T/3).$$
 (5.1)

This scalar belongs to the parity even sector so that the corresponding scalar operator belongs to the *CT* even sector as expected generally for *CT* invariant theories. The corresponding operator can be constructed using the scalars and fermions ( $\phi^I$ ,  $\psi_J$ ) of the ABJM theory, where upper (lower) indices I, J = 1, 2, 3, 4 label the SU(4) fundamental (anti-fundamental) representation. The chiral primary operator of  $\Delta = 1$  takes the form

$$O_I^J = \operatorname{tr} \phi_I^{\dagger} \phi^J - \frac{1}{4} \delta_I^J \operatorname{tr} \phi_K^{\dagger} \phi^K$$
(5.2)

which is traceless. This corresponds to the (101) representation of 15 components.

For the Debye screening mass, one needs to identify the *CT* eigenvalue of each operator. For the scalar and pseudo scalar, the corresponding operators has CT = +1 and -1 respectively. Namely  $CT[\Phi^{\pm}] = \pm 1$  where the superscript denotes the parity of each mode. Similarly one has  $CT[A_0^{\pm}] = \pm 1$ ,  $CT[A_1^{\pm}] = CT[A_2^{\pm}] = \mp 1$  for the vector operators and  $CT[G_S] = -CT[G_T] = +1$ for the energy momentum tensor. Therefore we conclude that

$$m_D = 1.71821 \ (4\pi T/3),$$
 (5.3)

arising from the  $\Delta = 2$ , parity-odd scalar mode. The corresponding operators can be constructed as follows. Let us first consider the chiral primary operator

$$O_{IJ}^{KL} = \operatorname{tr} \phi_{(I}^{\dagger} \phi^{(K} \phi_{J)}^{\dagger} \phi^{L)} - (\operatorname{trace part})$$
(5.4)

which has total 84 independent components. This corresponds to  $\Delta = 2$  operator of the (202) representation, which is not the desired one since we are looking for the operator of (101) representation. The only remaining possibility is<sup>3</sup>

$$\tilde{O}_I^J = \operatorname{tr} \psi_I \psi^{\dagger J} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_I^J \operatorname{tr} \psi_K \psi^{\dagger K}, \qquad (5.5)$$

which may be obtained from  $O_I^J$  by acting supercharges twice. This has all the desired properties including the parity oddness and, with its help, one may probe the Debye screening mass.

Final comments are in order. The ratio  $m_D/m_g$  at strong coupling limit takes the value 2.45669 and its comparison with those of other Chern-Simons-matter theories will be of interest. In Ref. [7], the thermal scalar mass scale is obtained in the weak coupling regime of the

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ We follow the spinor convention in Ref. [4].

ABJM field theory. Relating this scalar mass scale to our mass scales calls for a closer look. Once introducing the scalar mass terms, one can also find the gauge self-energy part  $\Pi_{00}(0)$  following the computation in [16]. Due to the difference in the form of the classical (Chern-Simons) kinetic term from that of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM theory, the identification of the decaying mass scale requires an additional work compared to that of the Debye mass of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM theory[17]. After surveying possible mass scales, one can identify the weak coupling behaviors of the Debye mass and, perhaps, the true mass gap if it does not include any non perturbative effects. We view this problem very interesting but it requires a separate study.

## Acknowledgement

KB would like to thank M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari for valuable discussions. This work was supported in part by KRF R01-2008-000-10656-0, SRC-cquest-R11-2005-021.

# References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38 (1999) 1113] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].
- [2] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, JHEP 0810, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].
- [3] J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, JHEP 0809, 040 (2008) [arXiv:0806.3951 [hep-th]].
- [4] D. Bak and S. J. Rey, JHEP 0810, 053 (2008) [arXiv:0807.2063 [hep-th]].
- [5] D. Bak, H. Min and S. J. Rey, Nucl. Phys. B 827, 381 (2010) [arXiv:0904.4677 [hep-th]];
   D. Bak, H. Min and S. J. Rey, arXiv:0911.0689 [hep-th].
- [6] D. Bak and S. Yun, arXiv:1001.4089 [hep-th].
- [7] M. Smedback, arXiv:1002.0841 [hep-th].
- [8] P. B. Arnold and L. G. Yaffe, Phys. Rev. D 52, 7208 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9508280].
- [9] D. Bak, A. Karch and L. G. Yaffe, JHEP 0708, 049 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0994 [hep-th]].
- [10] B. E. W. Nilsson and C. N. Pope, Class. Quant. Grav. 1, 499 (1984).
- [11] For the method used in this paper, see R. C. Brower, S. D. Mathur and C. I. Tan, Nucl. Phys. B 587, 249 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0003115].

- [12] D. Bak, M. Gutperle and A. Karch, JHEP 0712, 034 (2007) [arXiv:0708.3691 [hep-th]];
   D. Bak, M. Gutperle and S. Hirano, JHEP 0702, 068 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701108].
- [13] S. J. Rey, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 177, 128 (2009) [arXiv:0911.5295 [hep-th]]; D. Bak and S. J. Rey, arXiv:0912.0939 [hep-th].
- [14] A. Casher, F. Englert, H. Nicolai and M. Rooman, Nucl. Phys. B 243, 173 (1984);
   J. P. Gauntlett, S. Kim, O. Varela and D. Waldram, JHEP 0904, 102 (2009)
   [arXiv:0901.0676 [hep-th]].
- [15] N. R. Constable and R. C. Myers, JHEP 9910, 037 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9908175].
- [16] R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D 35, 664 (1987).
- [17] C. j. Kim and S. J. Rey, Nucl. Phys. B 564, 430 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9905205].