

Large Nonlocal Non-Gaussianity from a Curvaton Brane

Yi-Fu Cai¹

*Department of Physics, Arizona State University,
Tempe, AZ, 85287-1504, USA*

*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P.O. Box 918-4, Beijing 100049, China*

Yi Wang²

*Physics Department, McGill University,
Montreal, H3A2T8, Canada*

Abstract

We use a generalized δN formalism to study the generation of the primordial curvature perturbation in the curvaton brane scenario inspired by stringy compactifications. We note that the non-Gaussian features, especially the trispectra, crucially depend on the decay mechanism in a general curvaton scenario. Specifically, we study the bispectra and trispectra of the curvaton brane model in detail to illustrate the importance of curvaton decay in generating nonlinear fluctuations. When the curvaton brane moves non-relativistically during inflation, the shape of non-Gaussianity is local, but the corresponding size is different from that in the standard curvaton scenario. When the curvaton brane moves relativistically in inflationary stage, the shape of non-Gaussianity is of equilateral type.

¹caiyf@mail.ihep.ac.cn

²wangyi@hep.physics.mcgill.ca

1 Introduction

As an alternative to inflation, the curvaton model [1] is a well-motivated proposal for explaining the observed scale-invariant primordial density perturbation in the framework of inflation (and some pioneering works on this mechanism have been considered in Refs. [2, 3, 4]). This model is based on the inflationary scenario of multiple fields, in which two fields are required at least. In this model the universe is composed of radiation decayed from the inflaton and the curvaton field. During inflation, the curvaton is subdominant and provides entropy (isocurvature) perturbation, and afterwards, the entropy perturbation can be converted to curvature perturbation as long as the curvaton decays into radiation before primordial nucleosynthesis. After the curvaton decays, the universe enters the standard thermal history, and then the primordial curvature perturbation leads to the formation of the large scale structure of our universe[5, 6].

Recently, a curvaton scenario realized in the frame of stringy inflationary models was presented in Ref. [7]. The idea of brane inflation proposed in [8], is recently successfully realized by virtue of warped compactifications[9]. In the stringy landscape a number of probe branes are allowed to move in warped throats, and under the description of supergravity (SUGRA) their dynamics is described by a Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action in the relativistic limit. When applied to cosmology, it was found that a relativistically moving D3-brane in a deformed AdS throat is able to drive inflation without slow-roll at early universe[10, 11]. This idea was later extended into the scenario of multiple brane inflation appeared in Refs. [12, 13] (see [14, 15] for earlier studies under slow-roll approximation). A distinguish feature of the model in this type is that a large positive non-local non-Gaussianity can be obtained due to an enhancement of a small sound speed for the perturbation[16, 17], which is in contrast to the prediction of a canonical single-field inflation model[18]. Established on the scenario of multiple brane inflation[12, 13] and motivated by the model of *spinflation* [19], an explicit construction of the curvaton brane model was recently realized in Ref. [20] in which angular degrees of freedom had been introduced to perform a relativistic rotation in a warped throat.

The investigation of non-Gaussianities is crucial in distinguishing the curvaton scenario from usual inflation models. A key prediction of a general curvaton model is that a sizable local non-Gaussianity can be obtained of which the value is mainly decided by the occupation of curvaton energy Ω_χ after inflation characterized by a transfer efficiency parameter

$$r = \frac{3\Omega_\chi}{4 - \Omega_\chi}$$

which is usually calculated on the hypersurface of the curvaton decay. As a consequence, the decay mechanism of a curvaton field plays an important role in determining the detailed information of primordial perturbation seeded by the curvaton field [21]. It was pointed out in Ref. [21] that at third order, the primordial curvature perturbation under the assumption of sudden curvaton decay is nicely consistent with that obtained in the case of a non-instantaneous decay in the limits of small r and $r \rightarrow 1$; however, their bispectra and trispectra deviate from the others in the middle band of the allowed value regime of r . The implications of the non-Gaussian features of curvature perturbation on the curvaton scenario was studied in [22, 23, 24].

In the present paper we extend the investigation of Ref. [21] relating non-Gaussian features of primordial curvature perturbation to the curvaton decay mechanism into a more general curvaton scenario. Specifically, we consider a model of curvaton brane. We study the generation of curvature perturbation and non-Gaussianity originating from the vacuum fluctuations on the curvaton brane in cases of a sudden decay on the slice of uniform curvaton density, and then compare their observational signatures with the standard curvaton model to illustrate the significance of the decay process of the curvaton field. Moreover, since the model of curvaton brane involves a sound speed parameter which describes the propagation of the curvaton fluctuations during inflation, it has interesting implications to cosmological observations as well. Note that in our scenario, the inflaton field can be realized by any string realization of inflation which is independent of the curvaton field. Thanks to the virtue of curvaton scenario, the detailed realization of inflation does not affect the calculation for curvaton perturbation, as long as the inflaton perturbation itself is negligible.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we point out that the non-Gaussian features in a curvaton mechanism crucially depend on the curvaton decay mechanism. We suggest the curvaton could decay on a slice of uniform curvaton density, and non-Gaussian fluctuation evaluated on this slice is mainly determined by a combination of the equation-of-state (EoS) of the curvaton and a generalized transfer efficiency parameter which will be shown in the main text. In Section 3, we study the model of curvaton brane as a specific example. We firstly describe the background evolution of a curvaton brane model in relativistic limit throughout the inflationary period and the process of curvaton decay. Afterwards, we develop an analytic investigation of the curvature perturbation and the non-Gaussianity generated in this model by solving the perturbation equation of the curvaton brane order by order. In Section 4 we consider a class of plausible curvaton brane dominant eras and derive the nonlinearity parameters characterizing non-Gaussian distribution of the primordial curvature perturbation in these cases respectively. Finally, we present concluding remarks in Section 5.

2 Curvaton decay and Non-Gaussianities

In this section, we first briefly review the standard calculation of bispectrum and trispectrum for curvaton mechanism, with the assumption that the decay hypersurface of the curvaton field is a uniform total energy density slice. Afterwards, we show that these non-Gaussian features behave different under different curvaton decay mechanisms. Especially, when the curvaton decay hypersurface is a uniform curvaton energy density surface, the trispectrum is significantly modified. We then apply our result to a curvaton model realized by a non-relativistic moving brane in a warped throat and study its cosmological implications.

2.1 Curvaton decay at uniform total energy density

The non-Gaussian features for curvaton decay at uniform total energy density slice is well studied in the literature. Here we briefly review the approach in [21].

In the local ansatz of non-Gaussianity, the curvature perturbation can be expanded order

by order as follows,

$$\zeta(x) = \zeta_1(x) + \frac{3}{5}f_{NL}\zeta_1^2(x) + \frac{9}{25}g_{NL}\zeta_1^3(x) + \mathcal{O}(\zeta_1^4) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_n(x)}{n!}, \quad (1)$$

where ζ_1 is the Gaussian fluctuation, and ζ_n are the non-Gaussian components of order ζ_1^n . The relation between ζ_n and the non-Gaussian parameters yields the following non-Gaussian estimators in a general curvaton model,

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{6} \frac{\zeta_2}{\zeta_1^2}, \quad g_{NL} = \frac{25}{54} \frac{\zeta_3}{\zeta_1^3}. \quad (2)$$

The correlation functions are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2) \rangle &= (2\pi)^3 P(k_1) \delta^3\left(\sum_{n=1}^2 \mathbf{k}_n\right), \\ \langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle &= (2\pi)^3 B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \delta^3\left(\sum_{n=1}^3 \mathbf{k}_n\right), \\ \langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle &= (2\pi)^3 T(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) \delta^3\left(\sum_{n=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_n\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $P(k_1)$ is related to the dimensionless power spectrum in form of

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k_1) \equiv \frac{k_1^3}{2\pi^2} P(k_1). \quad (4)$$

To insert the ansatz (1) into Eq. (3), one can relate the bispectrum B and the trispectrum T with P as follows,

$$B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \frac{6}{5} f_{NL} [P(k_1)P(k_2) + 2 \text{ perm.}] . \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) &= \frac{54}{25} g_{NL} [P(k_1)P(k_2)P(k_3) + 3 \text{ perm.}] \\ &+ \tau_{NL} [P(k_1)P(k_2)P(|\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_3|) + 11 \text{ perm.}] . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

On super-Hubble scales, the curvature perturbation on uniform density slice can be written as

$$\zeta_i(x) = \delta N(x) + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\bar{\rho}_i(t)}^{\rho_i(x)} \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_i}{\tilde{\rho}_i + P_i(\tilde{\rho}_i)}, \quad (7)$$

where the subscript i denotes either inflaton, curvaton or total energy density. As there is no interaction between inflaton and curvaton, the inflaton perturbation, which will be transferred into radiation after inflaton decay, ζ_r , and the curvaton perturbation ζ_χ are both conserved on super-Hubble scales.

As a first step, one need to write down the relation between the curvaton fluctuation $\delta\chi$ and its curvature perturbation. Choosing the spatial flat slice, Eq. (7) for curvaton becomes

$$\rho_\chi = \bar{\rho}_\chi e^{3\zeta_\chi}, \quad (8)$$

in the neighborhood of the curvaton decay hypersurface.

Consider the curvaton perturbation that is initially originated from vacuum fluctuations inside the Hubble horizon. These perturbation modes could satisfy a Gaussian distribution at the Hubble exit. For a curvaton brane, this assumption applies when the brane is moving non-relativistically. In this case, we have

$$\chi_* = \bar{\chi}_* + \delta_1 \chi_* . \quad (9)$$

This Hubble-crossing value can be related to the initial amplitude of curvaton oscillation, which takes the form

$$g(\chi_*) = g(\bar{\chi}_* + \delta_1 \chi_*) = \bar{g} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(n)}}{n!} \left(\frac{\delta_1 \chi}{g'} \right)^n . \quad (10)$$

The detailed form of $g(\chi_*)$ is a model-dependent function which is determined by the explicit potential of the curvaton field in the non-relativistic limit. For example, if the curvaton potential is quadratic all the way until curvaton decay, then $g(\chi_*) \propto \chi_*$. In this case, the energy density can be written as

$$\rho_\chi = \frac{1}{2} m^2 g^2 = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \left[\bar{g} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(n)}}{n!} \left(\frac{\delta_1 \chi}{g'} \right)^n \right]^2 . \quad (11)$$

Therefore, the combination of Eqs. (8) and (11) leads to

$$\zeta_{\chi 1} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\delta_1 \chi}{\bar{\chi}} , \quad \zeta_{\chi 2} = \frac{3}{2} \left(-1 + \frac{g g''}{g'^2} \right) \zeta_{\chi 1}^2 , \quad \zeta_{\chi 3} = \frac{9}{4} \left(2 - 3 \frac{g g''}{g'^2} + \frac{g^2 g'''}{g'^3} \right) \zeta_{\chi 1}^3 . \quad (12)$$

A second step of the calculation is to relate ζ_χ to ζ . In the sudden decay approximation, the relation is quite simple and can be computed analytically. However, we also note that this relation crucially depends on the curvaton decay mechanism, which will be discussed in the next subsection. In this section, we assume the curvaton decays on a uniform total density hypersurface $H = \Gamma$, where Γ is the decay rate of the curvaton. This can be realized in the framework of particle physics, namely the curvaton has a ‘‘life time’’ and then decays to particles through their coupling terms. Then on the curvaton decay hypersurface we have

$$\rho_r + \rho_\chi = \bar{\rho} . \quad (13)$$

In a usual case the curvaton is oscillating around its vacuum before its decay. Thus one obtains a pressureless field fluid on average with $P_\chi = 0$ for curvaton, and $P_r = \rho_r/3$ for the radiation from inflaton. Making use of Eq. (7), one can get

$$\rho_r = \bar{\rho}_r e^{4(\zeta_r - \zeta)} , \quad \rho_\chi = \bar{\rho}_\chi e^{3(\zeta_\chi - \zeta)} . \quad (14)$$

As a consequence, ζ and ζ_χ are related on the decay hypersurface as follows

$$(1 - \Omega_\chi) e^{4(\zeta_r - \zeta)} + \Omega_\chi e^{3(\zeta_\chi - \zeta)} = 1 , \quad (15)$$

where $\Omega_\chi = \bar{\rho}_\chi / (\bar{\rho}_r + \bar{\rho}_\chi)$ is the dimensionless density parameter for the curvaton at the decay moment. A necessary condition for the curvaton mechanism working is based on the assumption that the fluctuation ζ_r seeded by the inflaton field is negligible. Then ζ and ζ_χ can be related order by order as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= r\zeta_{\chi 1}, & \frac{\zeta_2}{\zeta_1^2} &= \frac{3}{2r} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) - r - 2, \\ \frac{\zeta_3}{\zeta_1^3} &= \frac{9}{4r^2} \frac{g(3g'g'' + gg''')}{g'^3} - \frac{9}{r} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) - \frac{9gg''}{2g'^2} + \frac{1}{2} + 10r + 3r^2, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where r is the transfer efficiency defined in the Introduction. Finally, we have the nonlinearity parameter f_{NL} in a usual curvaton model as follows,

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{4r} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) - \frac{5}{3} - \frac{5r}{6}. \quad (17)$$

Additionally, the non-Gaussian estimator of the third order fluctuation can be simplified in the absence of nonlinear evolution of the curvaton field between the Hubble exit and the start of curvaton oscillation which yields $g'' = g''' = 0$,

$$g_{NL} = \frac{25}{54} \left(-\frac{9}{r} + \frac{1}{2} + 10r + 3r^2 \right). \quad (18)$$

Notice that Eq. (18) corresponds to the simplest example where a canonical curvaton has a quadratic potential. In this case, one obtains $g_{NL} \propto r^{-1}$ which indicates that the trispectrum is more difficult to be detected in the simplest curvaton model. However, if g is of a nontrivial form, the trispectrum g_{NL} could scale as r^{-2} in the small r limit.

We would like to emphasize two key features of the above calculation. Firstly, the curvature perturbation ζ , ζ_χ and ζ_r studied in the above are gauge invariant. Therefore, although we study their dynamics in the gauge of spatial flat slice, their values are irrelevant to the gauge choice. Secondly, in the sudden decay approximation, ζ is conserved right after the universe evolves through the curvaton decay hypersurface and the curvature perturbation should be calculated exactly on this hypersurface. This is because, if one calculates at any earlier time, ζ is not conserved; if one calculates at any later time, one can no longer use the information stored in ζ_χ . Having the above comments in mind, it is clear that if the curvaton decay surface is different from the uniform total energy density surface, the non-Gaussian features are also changed. This is the right topic we shall investigate in the next subsection.

2.2 Curvaton decay at uniform curvaton density

In this subsection, we phenomenologically assume that curvaton decay happens on a uniform curvaton density slice. The realization of this assumption on a brane is discussed in the next subsection.

If curvaton decay does not happen on the uniform total energy density slice $\rho = \bar{\rho}(t_{\text{dec}})$, instead, happens on the uniform curvaton energy density slice

$$\rho_\chi = \bar{\rho}_\chi(t_{\text{dec}}), \quad (19)$$

we can calculate the relation between ζ , ζ_r and ζ_χ on the uniform curvaton density slice³. In this case we apply Eq. (7) on the slice (19) and thus have

$$\zeta_\chi = \delta N . \quad (20)$$

For radiation, we have

$$\zeta_r = \zeta_\chi + \frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{\rho_r}{\bar{\rho}_r} \right) , \quad (21)$$

and from Eq. (21), we can solve ρ_r as

$$\rho_r = \bar{\rho}_r e^{4(\zeta_r - \zeta_\chi)} . \quad (22)$$

For the total energy density, we have

$$\zeta = \zeta_\chi + \frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{\rho_r + 3(\bar{\rho}_\chi + \bar{P}_\chi)/4}{\bar{\rho}_r + 3(\bar{\rho}_\chi + \bar{P}_\chi)/4} \right) , \quad (23)$$

in which we have applied the relation $d\tilde{\rho} = d\tilde{\rho}_r$, since on the slice of uniform curvaton density ρ_χ is a constant and can be absorbed into the background.

Inserting Eq. (22) into Eq. (23), we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1-3w}{4} \Omega_\chi \right) e^{4(\zeta - \zeta_\chi)} = (1 - \Omega_\chi) e^{4(\zeta_r - \zeta_\chi)} + \frac{3(1+w)}{4} \Omega_\chi , \quad (24)$$

where we have introduced an EoS parameter $w \equiv \bar{P}_\chi/\bar{\rho}_\chi$ for the curvaton. This equation should be used to replace Eq. (15) for doing the subsequent calculation when the curvaton decay slice has uniform curvaton energy density.

Again, we consider the limit of negligible ζ_r , as is assumed in a usual curvaton scenario. Of course, one could generalize the calculation into the case of mixed perturbations of inflaton and curvaton, however, this is beyond the scope of the current paper. Thus the relation between ζ_χ and $\delta\chi_*$ is in principle not modified compared with the last subsection. However, if we want to consider general EoS for curvaton, the relation in the spatial flat slice (analog to Eq. (8)) becomes

$$\rho_\chi = \bar{\rho}_\chi e^{3(1+w)\zeta_\chi} . \quad (25)$$

When $\delta\chi_*$ is Gaussian, to expand the above equations order by order, we have the following generic relation for linear curvature perturbation:

$$\zeta_1 = \tilde{r} \zeta_{\chi 1} , \quad (26)$$

³Another approach to calculating curvature perturbation can be chosen on the slice of uniform total density at some moment t_T after curvaton decay. At that moment, we have the total energy density $\rho_{tot}(t_T) = \rho_{r,\chi}(t_T) e^{4(\zeta_{\chi 2} - \delta N_T)} + \rho_r(t_T) e^{4(\zeta_{rT} - \delta N_T)}$ and the curvature perturbation $\zeta_T = \delta N_T$, where the subscript ‘‘T’’ denotes the time t_T . However, after curvaton decay the universe has already entered a radiation dominant stage and thus is always adiabatic which yields a conserved curvature perturbation $\zeta_T = \zeta(t_{dec})$ at large scales. Therefore, the result will not change if we compute the generation of primordial perturbation at the slice of curvaton decay as shown in the main text. We are grateful to Misao Sasaki for pointing out this approach.

where we have introduced a generalized transfer efficiency as

$$\tilde{r} \equiv \frac{3(1+w)\Omega_\chi}{4 + (-1 + 3w)\Omega_\chi}, \quad (27)$$

which encodes the information of the EoS of the curvaton field.

To proceed, we solve the curvature perturbation order by order again and derive the non-Gaussian fluctuations as follows,

$$\frac{\zeta_2}{\zeta_1^2} = \frac{3(1+w)}{2\tilde{r}} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) + \frac{1-3w}{\tilde{r}} - 4, \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta_3}{\zeta_1^3} = & \frac{9(1+w)^2}{4\tilde{r}^2} \frac{g(3g'g'' + gg''')}{g'^3} + \frac{9(1+w)(1-3w)}{2\tilde{r}^2} \frac{gg''}{g'^2} + \frac{(5-3w)(1-3w)}{2\tilde{r}^2} \\ & - \frac{18(1+w)}{\tilde{r}} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) - \frac{12(1-3w)}{\tilde{r}} + 32. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Substituting the above results into the non-Gaussian estimators (2), we are able to obtain the nonlinearity parameters of local shape.

Very interestingly, large trispectrum can be generated even in the case of a constant g . This conclusion is quite different from that obtained in the previous subsection. Another thing worthy to note is the generalized transfer efficiency parameter \tilde{r} contains a factor $1+w$ in its expression. As a consequence, when $w \rightarrow -1$, the value of \tilde{r} can be strongly suppressed and thus the amplitude of non-Gaussian fluctuations can also be enhanced. This result indicates that the non-Gaussianity could be amplified if there exists a secondary inflation. This property was earlier discovered in several specific curvaton models [25] and [13], in the case that curvaton decay still occurs on the slice of uniform total energy density. For example, in [25] a secondary inflation was achieved in the limit of $n \rightarrow 0$ in their model; while in [13] the similar background solution was obtained due to the survival of a brane with a light mass term. In our work, however, we point out clearly that the mechanism of enhancing the non-Gaussian fluctuations due to a secondary inflation is a generic feature in a curvaton model if the field decays on the slice of uniform curvaton density as we analyzed.

2.3 Application to models of non-relativistic curvaton branes

The decay mechanism in the previous subsection has interesting application in the case of brane inflation. This is because a single D-brane is a BPS object, which is stabilized by supersymmetry. The decay of a D-brane is typically expected to be through the collision process with an anti D-brane.

We first consider the case when the curvaton is the position modulus of a D3 brane⁴. The annihilation of a curvaton D3 and an anti D3 takes place when the distance between

⁴One should be careful in imposing initial conditions to a curvaton brane. Usually one can impose initial condition in two ways in curvaton scenario. One possibility is that the curvaton originally sits at the minimum of the potential. If the total inflationary e-folding number is much larger than 60, the random walk of curvaton will finally set the initial condition for curvaton for the last 60 inflationary e-folds. Another possibility is to impose the initial condition without the above random walk assumption. For brane inflation,

the curvaton D3 and the anti-D3 is of order string length. After that, the potential of the brane-anti-brane system becomes tachyonic, and the system decays into lower dimensional solitons.

In the framework of moduli stabilization, it is natural to assume that the position modulus of the D3 is stabilized on the tip of the deformed warped throat. This indicates that the open string modes characterizing the position of the anti-D3 are very massive, and so the anti-D3 is not allowed to move and even its fluctuations are strongly depressed. In this case, the relative position between the curvaton D3 and the anti-D3 is described by the position of the curvaton D3, up to a constant. Thus the curvaton decay surface becomes the uniform curvaton energy density slice, instead of the uniform total energy density slice.

When the curvaton D3 moves non-relativistically, the brane position can be described by a scalar field with a canonical kinetic term. The most natural curvaton decay mechanism is that the D3 moves towards the anti-D3 and then annihilates into a system of tachyon condensate[32] without an oscillation period. In this case, the EoS of the position modulus evolves from $w \simeq -1$ into $w \simeq 0$ gradually as happened in tachyon cosmology[33]. Thus from Eqs. (28) and (29), the non-Gaussianities can be enhanced during the occurrence of a secondary tachyonic inflation with $w \simeq -1$ which will dilute other matter components in a few e-folds; otherwise, we can also obtain large non-Gaussianities due to a small value of Ω_χ if the curvaton brane decays into a pressureless tachyon condensate directly.

Now we consider another curvaton brane model achieved by a probe anti-D3 with angular motion at the bottom of a warped throat with approximate isometries[20]. As usual, if a KS throat is isometric, its warp factor is independent of the angular coordinates. However, in a general case, there are some corrections which make the warp factor dependent on angular coordinates. For example, since the compact C-Y manifold cannot have exact continuous isometries, the isometries of the bulk must be broken when the finite throat is glued on this bulk, and then the warp factor depends on angles. Moreover, a nonperturbative effect which stabilizes the Kähler moduli could bring a potential for the branes[34, 35, 36]. The form of this potential depends on the precise embedding of the wrapped branes. For a general embedding which does not admit supersymmetric vacua on the tip, this potential could become dependent on angles as well. Namely, an estimate of the nonperturbative effect which is dominated by the warp factor in the case of the Kuperstein embedding[37] of the D7-brane gives rise to a mass term for the angular degrees of freedom, which is determined by the minimal radial location reached by the D7 and the deformation parameter of the conifold.

In the model of curvaton brane involving angular degrees of freedom, one may choose the flattest angular direction along the rotation of the curvaton field. Since in this model the curvaton brane is acted by an anti-D3, its radial coordinate is almost fixed on the tip of the throat as explained above. In the limit of a non-relativistic anti-D3, one could finely tune the model parameters to allow the curvaton anti-D3 to rotate slowly so that it can survive during inflation. After inflaton decay, the anti-D3 is able to oscillate due to the existence of

one can show that the quantum fluctuation during one Hubble time is typically smaller than the classical motion [26]. If this conclusion also applies for curvaton, one should expect only the latter possibility for brane curvaton initial condition. On the other hand, our scenario is motivated by string theory. As inflation in string theory usually needs some fine-tuning [27], one expects the e-folding number is not much larger than 60. This also supports the latter possibility. We thank Xingang Chen for discussion on this point.

a nearly quadratic potential in angular space.

In the above, we have introduced two explicit realizations of the curvaton field in string compactification, i.e., by the distance of a light D3 brane in the throat, as well by the flattest angular degree of freedom of anti-D3 stabilized at the bottom of the warped throat. For a light D3 brane, it decays only when it arrives at the tip of the warped throat and annihilates with an anti-D3; while for the angular degree of freedom of an anti-D3, it decays only when the anti-D3 rotates to the position which is parallel to others. In these cases, the value of the curvaton field is almost the only parameter which determines the annihilation of curvaton brane, and thus the curvaton decay. In this sense, the inflaton energy density is not relevant to the curvaton decay. Therefore, the curvaton decay slice is the uniform curvaton field value slice, and thus the uniform curvaton energy density slice.

Finally, we would like to point out that our calculation of curvature perturbation on uniform curvaton density decay hyper-surface is not limited to curvaton brane, but is generically applicable to any other models in which the curvaton decays in the uniform curvaton density slice. For example, our calculation could be applicable for the curvaton scenarios in which the curvaton decays from a narrow band preheating. In this preheating type of curvaton scenario, curvaton decays each time when its field value crosses zero, which corresponds to a uniform curvaton energy density slice instead of a uniform total energy slice. Our method also has significant implications if applied to the curvaton scenario in the frame of bouncing cosmology⁵. However, since these scenarios are beyond the scope of the current work, we would like to leave the detailed analysis on these issues in future projects.

3 The model of a relativistic curvaton brane

In this section we extend the approach to studying the generation of non-linearities on the uniform curvaton density slice into the case involving a sound speed parameter. This can be obtained in an example of a relativistic curvaton brane model. Specifically, in the frame of warped compactifications, we consider a system constructed by a number of D3-branes in a background metric field with negligible covariant derivatives of the field strengths and assume that these branes are falling into the warped throats, this system can be described by a DBI action which has a stringy origin.

3.1 Background analysis

We phenomenologically consider a double field inflation model with its action in form of

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left\{ X - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{f} \sqrt{1 - 2fY} - W(\chi) \right\}, \quad (30)$$

where

$$X \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi, \quad Y \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \chi \partial_\mu \chi, \quad f \equiv \frac{1}{h^4 T_3}. \quad (31)$$

⁵Some observational signatures of bouncing cosmology were extensively studied in the literature, such as curvature perturbations[28, 29], non-Gaussianities[30], and constraints from current observations[31].

We shall find a solution in which ϕ behaves as inflaton, which dominates the energy density during inflation, and χ behaves as curvaton, which can be realized by a mobile probe brane in a warped throat during inflation.

To assume the curvaton χ is moving relativistically, we can obtain a small sound speed defined by

$$c_s \equiv \sqrt{1 - 2fY} \ll 1, \quad (32)$$

at the limit of $2fY \rightarrow 1$. The continuous equation of χ leads to

$$\dot{\chi} \simeq -\frac{\sqrt{1 - f\dot{\chi}^2}}{3H} W'. \quad (33)$$

One can solve $\dot{\chi}^2$ from this equation as

$$2Y = \dot{\chi}^2 = \frac{W'/(3H)}{1 + fW'/(3H)}. \quad (34)$$

To make this equation consistent with the inequality (32), the following condition is expected to be satisfied in the model,

$$fW'/(3H) \gg 1. \quad (35)$$

Another necessary condition is that the assumption that inflaton dominates the energy density:

$$V \gg W. \quad (36)$$

Making use of Eq. (36) and the Friedmann equation $3M_p^2 H^2 \simeq V$, Eq. (35) can be rewritten as

$$f^2 W'^2 M_p^2 \gg 3V \gg 3W. \quad (37)$$

Assuming that the curvaton potential is a mass term $W = m^2 \chi^2/2$, we need

$$f^2 m^2 M_p^2 \gg \frac{3}{2}. \quad (38)$$

If this condition is satisfied, we could have certain parameter space to let χ behave as a relativistically moving curvaton. Theoretically, this relation could be possible in condition of that the warp factor h is finely tuned to be sufficiently small by adjusting the position of the curvaton brane in the throat. In this case a sufficiently small warp factor yields a large value of f and therefore the field χ is able to slowly roll down along the potential even this potential is not enough flat. Consequently, χ is able to stay at sub-Planckian regime safely, so that the backreaction on the warped throat could be controllable.

Now let us examine the dynamics after inflation. Depending on the choice of f and m , as well as the brane position, the curvaton might keep to stay in the relativistic regime, or slow down and approach to a standard kinetic term. Practically, if the curvaton keeps in the relativistic regime until it decays, there is no oscillation stage of curvaton, and a secondary inflation driven by curvaton is possible when the curvaton start to dominate. On the other hand, when the curvaton becomes non-relativistic before its decay, one can either have a stage of secondary inflation or curvaton oscillation.

3.2 Perturbation

In a relativistic version of curvaton brane scenario, the calculation of perturbation is the same as that of a single scalar field with generalized kinetic terms in the slow roll approximation. The only change is to write ζ_χ as the perturbation variable instead of ζ . In this section, we shall first calculate the three point function explicitly, which shows the equivalence with single field DBI inflation. After that, we directly apply the result of four point function of DBI inflation to a relativistic curvaton brane scenario.

In the curvaton scenario, one can neglect the perturbation of the inflaton field. As the curvaton field is subdominant during inflation, one can ignore the perturbation of the metric. The second order action of the curvaton takes the form

$$S_2(\chi) \supseteq \int d^4x \frac{a^3}{2} \left\{ \frac{\dot{\delta\chi}^2}{c_s^3} - \frac{(\partial_i \delta\chi)^2}{a^2 c_s} \right\}, \quad (39)$$

in which the next-to-leading order terms have been neglected due to a suppression of the slow roll condition.

We use ζ_χ to denote the curvature perturbation in the uniform curvaton energy density slice. To leading order in c_s , one can write

$$\zeta_\chi = -\frac{H\delta\chi}{\dot{\chi}}. \quad (40)$$

We assume that the curvaton field is not directly coupled to the inflaton field (except for the coupling via gravity). In this case, as shown in [38], ζ_χ is a conserved quantity on super-Hubble scales. At the moment of Hubble-crossing, one can solve out $\delta\chi_* = \frac{H_*}{2\pi}$, and so the corresponding power spectrum is given by

$$P_\zeta = \tilde{r}^2 P_{\zeta_\chi} = \tilde{r}^2 \frac{H^4}{4\pi^2 \dot{\chi}^2}. \quad (41)$$

3.2.1 Bispectrum

To expand the action into cubic order, we obtain the dominant part of the action as follows,

$$S_3(\chi) \supseteq \int d^4x \frac{a^3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) \left\{ \frac{\delta\chi^3}{c_s^3 \dot{\chi}} - \frac{\delta\chi (\partial_i \delta\chi)^2}{a^2 c_s \dot{\chi}} \right\}. \quad (42)$$

The initial correlation function of χ can be calculated using the time dependent perturbation theory. Firstly, the mode function of the perturbation can be written as

$$u_k = \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} (1 + ikc_s \tau) e^{-ikc_s \tau}, \quad u'_k = \frac{H\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{2}} c_s^2 \tau e^{-ikc_s \tau}. \quad (43)$$

One can show that the first term in the third order action leads to a contribution to the three-point function as

$$\langle \zeta_\chi^3 \rangle \supset \frac{3H^8}{2\dot{\chi}^4} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\sum \mathbf{k}_i)}{K_3^3 k_1 k_2 k_3}, \quad (44)$$

and the second term in the third order action leads to a contribution to the three-point function as

$$\langle \zeta_\chi^3 \rangle \supset -\frac{H^8}{4\chi^4} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\sum \mathbf{k}_i) (\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)}{k_1 k_2^3 k_3^3} \left(\frac{1}{K_3} + \frac{k_2 + k_3}{K_3^2} + \frac{2k_2 k_3}{K_3^3} \right) + 2 \text{ perm.} . \quad (45)$$

Summing them up, we have

$$\langle \zeta_\chi^3 \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) P_{\zeta_\chi}^2 (2\pi)^7 \delta^3(\sum \mathbf{k}_i) \left(-\frac{1}{K_3} \sum_{i>j} k_i^2 k_j^2 + \frac{1}{2K_3^2} \sum_{i \neq j} k_i^2 k_j^3 + \frac{1}{8} \sum_i k_i^3 \right) . \quad (46)$$

Substituting Eq. (26) into Eq. (46), the three-point function for curvature perturbation ζ takes the form

$$\langle \zeta^3 \rangle = \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) P_{\zeta_\chi}^2 (2\pi)^7 \delta^3(\sum \mathbf{k}_i) \left(-\frac{1}{K_3} \sum_{i>j} k_i^2 k_j^2 + \frac{1}{2K_3^2} \sum_{i \neq j} k_i^2 k_j^3 + \frac{1}{8} \sum_i k_i^3 \right) + \langle \zeta^3 \rangle_{\text{loc}} , \quad (47)$$

where $K_3 = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$, and $\langle \zeta^3 \rangle_{\text{loc}}$ is given by Eqs. (5) and (2). The leading order non-Gaussianity is a combination of equilateral shape and local shape. In the $c_s \rightarrow 0$ limit, the shape becomes completely equilateral. When c_s is not very close to zero, the contribution $\langle \zeta^3 \rangle_{\text{loc}}$ is also important.

3.2.2 Trispectrum

For the trispectrum, as shown in [39, 40], the correlation function includes a scalar propagation part and a contact interaction part. The detailed shape is complicated. Instead of providing the complete form of the lengthy shape function (as shown in the appendix), we would like to study the representative shape here. The trispectrum can be approximated by

$$\langle \zeta^4 \rangle = \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2} (2\pi)^9 P_\zeta^3 \delta^3(\sum \mathbf{k}_i) \frac{\alpha k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2 k_4^2}{c_s^4 (K_4)^5} + \langle \zeta^4 \rangle_{\text{loc}} + \langle \zeta^4 \rangle_{\text{cross}} , \quad (48)$$

where $K_4 = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4$, and α is a constant in order of unity. The local part $\langle \zeta^4 \rangle_{\text{loc}}$ is given by Eqs. (6) and (2). The cross correlation can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \zeta^4 \rangle_{\text{cross}} &= \frac{3}{5} f_{NL}^{\text{loc}} \langle (\zeta * \zeta)(\mathbf{k}_1) \zeta(\mathbf{k}_2) \zeta(\mathbf{k}_3) \zeta(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle + 3 \text{ perm.} \\ &= \frac{3}{5} f_{NL}^{\text{loc}} P(k_2) \langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \zeta(\mathbf{k}_3) \zeta(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle + 11 \text{ perm.} , \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and $\langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \zeta(\mathbf{k}_3) \zeta(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle$ is calculated using Eq. (46).

4 Non-Gaussian features of curvaton fluctuations in specific examples

In the above section we have studied the generation of curvature perturbation up to third order. In order to obtain a much intuitive insight of these non-Gaussian features, one still

needs to evaluate the nonlinearity parameters f_{NL} and g_{NL} in explicit cases. As we have introduced in Section 2.3, there exist two plausible evolution trajectories for the curvaton brane after inflaton decay. We study these possibilities respectively in the following. In these specific examples, we generically consider the curvaton potential is almost quadratic around the moment of curvaton decay so that we are able to apply the formalism developed in Section 2. Therefore, when we compute the non-Gaussian fluctuations at curvaton decay, the probe brane has already become non-relativistic as a canonical field. However, one may notice that the sound speed parameter c_s still exists in the following computation, since it was inherited from the Hubble-crossing of curvaton fluctuation during inflation. On the other hand, when the probe brane is still moving relativistically near curvaton decay, one can use the techniques developed in [13] to generalize the calculation of this Section.

4.1 Case of $w \rightarrow -1$

We first consider the case that near the curvaton decay, the curvaton brane has EoS $w \rightarrow -1$. The theoretical realization of this scenario was discussed in Ref. [13]. If we consider the curvaton brane is falling into the AdS-like throat and neglect the backreaction of the branes upon the background geometry, the warp factor usually takes the form of $f(\chi) = \lambda/\chi^4$. Note that, the amplitude of the curvature perturbation depends on the term $\dot{\chi}$ of which the lower bound corresponds to its value near the bottom of the warp throat. The warp factor at the infrared (IR) end of the throat is given by $h_{IR} \simeq \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi K}{3g_s M}\right)$ where g_s is the string coupling, M and K are the RR charge on the S_3 cycle and NS-NS charge on the dual cycle respectively. Therefore, at the relativistic limit, one obtains

$$\dot{\chi}_{IR} = \chi_{IR}^2 \sqrt{\frac{1 - c_s^2}{\lambda}} \simeq \sqrt{T_3} h_{IR}^2, \quad (50)$$

at the IR cutoff.

The key parameter related to the generation of primordial curvature perturbation in the curvaton model is the perturbation transfer efficiency \tilde{r} defined in Eq. (27). From the definition, one learns that this parameter is determined by the EoS w and density parameter Ω_χ of the curvaton. If the curvaton brane decays when its energy density is still sub-dominant, then there could be a double suppression on the value of \tilde{r} because of a combined effect of $w \rightarrow -1$ and $\Omega_\chi \rightarrow 0$.

However, if the curvaton does not decay until it becomes dominant, the universe enters a secondary inflation driven by the curvaton. The energy density of the curvaton will catch up with that of radiation decayed from inflaton in the first several e-folds. As a consequence, it yields $\Omega_\chi \rightarrow 1$ at the moment of curvaton decay. Note that Eq. (27) can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{3\Omega_\chi}{4(1 - \Omega_\chi)/(1 + w) + \Omega_\chi} \simeq \frac{3}{4(1 - \Omega_\chi)/(1 + w) + 1}, \quad (51)$$

and thus one concludes that the order of magnitude of \tilde{r} is determined by the ratio between $1 - \Omega_\chi$ and $1 + w$. Namely, when $(1 - \Omega_\chi)/(1 + w) \gg 1$, one has $\tilde{r} \ll 1$; and when $(1 - \Omega_\chi)/(1 + w) \ll 1$, one has $\tilde{r} \simeq 3$.

The correlation functions are given by Eqs. (47) and (48) respectively. One can decompose the non-Gaussian estimator into local and equilateral ones by comparing their squeezed limit. The local components for f_{NL} and g_{NL} are given by Eqs. (28), (29) and (2). The equilateral components for f_{NL} and g_{NL} are given by [17, 39]

$$f_{NL}^{\text{eq}} = \frac{35}{108\tilde{r}} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right), \quad g_{NL}^{\text{eq}} \simeq \frac{0.542}{c_s^4 \tilde{r}^2}, \quad (52)$$

which implies the equilateral non-Gaussian fluctuations can be doubly enhanced if both \tilde{r} and c_s^2 have small values.

4.2 Case of $w \rightarrow 0$

After inflation, the curvaton brane will arrive at the tip of the throat. At that moment, either the open string modes of the curvaton brane lead to a matter-like tachyon condensate with $w \simeq -c_s^2 \rightarrow 0$ as studied in Ref. [7], or a non-perturbatively moduli stabilization brings a quadratic potential for the angular modes of the brane which gives rise to a non-relativistic oscillation of the curvaton with $w \simeq 0$ in average and $c_s \simeq 1$ [41]. Consequently, we have two plausible ending processes of the curvaton brane which yields different results for non-Gaussianities.

4.2.1 Local Non-Gaussianity

According to the analysis performed in Section 2.2, we learn that the non-Gaussian fluctuations in local limit are insensitive to the sound speed c_s but mainly depend on the parameter of transfer efficiency \tilde{r} . When $w \simeq 0$ the form of \tilde{r} coincides with the usual transfer efficiency r . Therefore, following Eqs. (28) and (29), the non-Gaussian estimators (2) give the nonlinearity parameter of local shape as follows,

$$f_{NL} \simeq \frac{5}{4r} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) + \frac{5}{6r} - \frac{10}{3}, \quad (53)$$

when the curvaton decay takes place on the slice of uniform curvaton density.

In the limit of $r \rightarrow 1$ when the curvaton dominates the universe before it decays, the nonlinearity parameter is given by,

$$f_{NL} \rightarrow -\frac{5}{4} \left(1 - \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right), \quad (54)$$

of which the value is negative and sizable if g'' is negligible. On the other hand, we have a local non-Gaussianity in the limit of $r \ll 1$ which takes the form,

$$f_{NL} \rightarrow \frac{5}{4r} \left(\frac{5}{3} + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right), \quad (55)$$

which is amplified by a small r . We notice that the above result is consistent with the result obtained in a usual canonical curvaton model only in the case of $r \rightarrow 1$, but deviates from the usual model in the case of $r \rightarrow 0$ with a factor of order $O(1)$.

In the absence of higher nonlinear evolution of the χ field between the Hubble exit and the decay moment, we would have $g''' = 0$ for simplicity. In this case, the third order perturbation gives

$$g_{NL} \simeq \frac{25(5-8r)}{24r^2} \frac{gg''}{g'^2} + \frac{125}{108r^2} - \frac{125}{9r} + \frac{400}{27}. \quad (56)$$

Again we consider two limited cases and list the results as follows,

$$g_{NL} \rightarrow \frac{25}{12} \left(1 - \frac{3gg''}{2g'^2}\right) \Big|_{r \rightarrow 1}, \quad (57)$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{125}{108r^2} \left(1 + \frac{9gg''}{2g'^2}\right) \Big|_{r \rightarrow 0}. \quad (58)$$

The result in the first limit is in agreement with that of a usual curvaton model. However, the latter one is quite different since its form is enhanced by order of r^{-2} even when $g'' = 0$, but in a canonical curvaton model with a quadratic potential we have $g_{NL} \propto r^{-1}$ when g'' is negligible.

In order to understand the above analytic study more clearly, we compare the nonlinearity parameters f_{NL} and g_{NL} of local shape in the model of curvaton brane with the results obtained in a usual scenario in Figure. 1. In this figure, we numerically plot the nonlinearity parameters as functions of the transfer efficiency r , and consider the simplest curvaton model without nonlinear evolution of the χ field between the Hubble exit and the moment of curvaton decay which gives a linear function of $g(\chi)$. The red solid curve represents for the non-Gaussianity of local shape when the curvaton field decays on the hypersurface of uniform curvaton density; while, the blue dash line denotes the non-Gaussianity of local shape when the curvaton decay takes place on the slice of uniform total energy density. One notices that these curves coincide at the limit of $r \rightarrow 1$, but possess different behavior when r is less than unity. The result for g_{NL} is more manifest than for f_{NL} .

4.2.2 Equilateral non-Gaussianity

Now we study the non-Gaussian fluctuation of equilateral shape in the case of $w \rightarrow 0$. Since we have pointed out that the sound speed c_s^2 can be either very small in the case of tachyon condensate or approach unity when the curvaton obtains a quadratic potential, we phenomenologically treat the sound speed as a free parameter in the following. Again, we obtain the equilateral components for f_{NL} and g_{NL} in form of

$$f_{NL}^{\text{eq}} = \frac{35}{108r} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right), \quad g_{NL}^{\text{eq}} \simeq \frac{0.542}{c_s^4 r^2}. \quad (59)$$

This result also indicates that both small r and c_s^2 are able to amplify the equilateral non-Gaussianity in the model of curvaton brane with $w \simeq 0$.

From above analysis, if the curvaton brane moves non-relativistically during inflation with $c_s^2 \simeq 1$, there are $f_{NL} \propto 1/r$ and $g_{NL} \propto 1/r^2$ for both the local and equilateral shapes, but the amplitudes of local shape is much larger than equilateral ones; however, if the curvaton brane moves relativistically with $c_s^2 \simeq 0$ in inflationary stage, the amplitudes of f_{NL} and




Figure 1: Absolute values of the nonlinearity parameters f_{NL} and g_{NL} of local shape as a function of the transfer efficiency r in the model of curvaton brane and the usual curvaton scenario. Here we assume that $g(\chi)$ is linear for simplicity.

g_{NL} could be greatly amplified by the order of $1/c_s^2$ and $1/c_s^4$ respectively. As a consequence, one concludes that when the mobile curvaton brane is non-relativistic, the shape of non-Gaussianity is mainly of local type with its corresponding size being different from that in the canonical curvaton model, but becomes of equilateral type in the case of a relativistic curvaton brane.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have calculated the nonlinear primordial curvature perturbation in the curvaton scenario using a generalized δN formalism. If curvaton decay does not occur on the slice of uniform total energy density but on the slice of uniform curvaton density instead, we find that the dynamics of the nonlinear fluctuations behaves different from the usual scenario. Specifically, we consider a model of curvaton brane which can provide a theoretical realization of the curvaton decay we expected. In the frame of this model, we have presented a full analysis on the sizes and shapes of its bispectra and trispectra respectively. We arrive at an important conclusion that the generation of nonlinearities is sensitive to the mechanism of curvaton decay, which is mainly determined by the EoS w , the density occupation Ω_χ of the field χ at curvaton decay and its sound speed c_s during inflation. Explicitly speaking, our results show that the nonlinearity parameter characterizing the second order perturbation f_{NL} is proportional to $1/\tilde{r}$ in which the transfer efficiency relies on the EoS w and the density occupation Ω_χ of the curvaton. For the equilateral shape, the size of f_{NL} is amplified by a large value of the factor $1/c_s^2$, and therefore when the curvaton brane moves relativistically the shape of non-Gaussianity is mainly of equilateral type; however, at the squeeze limit the nonlinearity parameter f_{NL} decouples from the sound speed and its size is qualitatively consistent with the result obtained in a usual canonical curvaton model. Moreover, for the third order fluctuations, we find the nonlinearity parameter g_{NL} is enhanced by the factor

$1/c_s^4$ in equilateral shape and decouples in local limit, and in the simplest example its size is proportional to $1/\tilde{r}^2$ which is quite different from the usual scenario.

As an end, we would like to highlight the importance of our study in this paper. The mechanism of curvaton decay after inflation could determine the relation of the primordial curvature perturbation and the decay hypersurface. This process is rather robust and should be considered in any specific curvaton model, and the example of curvaton brane analyzed in the current work is a good illustration to emphasize its importance. Additionally, the signatures of curvaton decay imprinted on the primordial curvature perturbation could provide a new window to explore the combination of early universe physics and astronomical observations.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Neil Barnaby, Xingang Chen, Damien Easson, Andrew Frey, Takeshi Kobayashi, Shinji Mukohyama, Misao Sasaki, and Xinmin Zhang for valuable comments on our work. Y.F.C. thanks the Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe and the Research Center for the Early Universe at the University of Tokyo, Tokyo University of Science, and the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at Kyoto University for their hospitality when this work was finalized. The work of Y.F.C. is supported in part by the Arizona State University Cosmology Initiative. Y.W. thanks the Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics for hospitality. The work of Y.W. is supported in part by NSERC and an IPP postdoctoral fellowship.

Appendix: Full shape function of the trispectrum

The shape of trispectrum for a relativistic brane curvaton is the same as that when the brane behaves as an inflaton. For completeness, here we present the full shape function of the trispectrum, which is calculated in [39]. As usual, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
c_s^2 &\equiv \frac{P_{,X}}{P_{,X} + 2XP_{,XX}} , \\
\Sigma &\equiv XP_{,X} + 2X^2P_{,XX} , \\
\lambda &\equiv X^2P_{,XX} + \frac{2}{3}X^3P_{,XXX} , \\
\mu &\equiv \frac{1}{2}X^2P_{,XX} + 2X^3P_{,XXX} + \frac{2}{3}X^4P_{,XXXX} .
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

There are two classes of contributions to the trispectrum, namely, the contact interaction and scalar exchange diagrams. They are shown in Fig. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. Using the method of time dependent perturbation theory, the former can be calculated from the forth order action, and the latter can be calculated by applying the third order action twice.

We will skip the lengthy calculation, and refer the readers to [39] for details. It turns out




Figure 2: Two classes of contributions to the trispectrum. The contribution (a) is denoted by “contact interaction” diagram, and the contribution (b) is denoted by “scalar exchange” diagram.

that the trispectrum for ζ_χ can be written as

$$\langle \zeta_\chi^4 \rangle = (2\pi)^9 P_{\zeta_\chi}^3 \delta^3\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_i\right) \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{k_i^3} \mathcal{T}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_{12}, k_{14}), \quad (61)$$

where \mathcal{T} has six components:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} = & \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Sigma}\right)^2 T_{s1} + \frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1\right) T_{s2} + \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1\right)^2 T_{s3} + \left(\frac{\mu}{\Sigma} - \frac{9\lambda^2}{\Sigma^2}\right) T_{c1} \\ & + \left(\frac{3\lambda}{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{c_s^2} + 1\right) T_{c2} + \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1\right) T_{c3}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where T_{c1} , T_{c2} and T_{c3} are contributions from contact interaction, which are given by

$$T_{c1} \equiv 36 \left(\frac{\mu}{\Sigma} - \frac{9\lambda^2}{\Sigma^2}\right) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 k_i^2}{K^5}, \quad (63)$$

$$T_{c2} \equiv -\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{3\lambda}{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{c_s^2} + 1\right) \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 (\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4)}{K^3} \left[1 + \frac{3(k_3 + k_4)}{K} + \frac{12k_3 k_4}{K^2}\right] + 23 \text{ perm.}; \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{c3} \equiv & \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1\right) \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4)}{K} \left[1 + \frac{\sum_{i<j} k_i k_j}{K^2} + \frac{3k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4}{K^3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{k_i}\right) + 12 \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4}{K^4}\right] \\ & + 23 \text{ perm.} . \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

T_{s1} , T_{s2} and T_{s3} come from interaction with scalar exchange. As shown in Eq. (42), there are two dominant terms in the curvaton third order action. One can show that the coupling coefficients of these two terms are of order λ/Σ and $\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1$, respectively. T_{s1} denotes the contribution that both interaction vertices in Fig. 2(b) have coupling λ/Σ , and thus is proportional to $(\lambda/\Sigma)^2$. T_{s2} denotes the contribution which is proportional to $\lambda/\Sigma(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1)$. Additionally, T_{s3} denotes the contribution with two powers of $\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1$.

The summation $T_{s1} + T_{s2} + T_{s3}$ are given by a summation of the following contributions from Eq. (66) to Eq. (80):

$$\frac{9}{8} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \right)^2 k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2 k_4^2 k_{12} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 + k_{12})^3 M^3} + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (66)$$

$$\frac{9}{4} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \right)^2 k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2 k_4^2 k_{12} \frac{1}{M^3} \left(\frac{6M^2}{K^5} + \frac{3M}{K^4} + \frac{1}{K^3} \right) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (67)$$

$$- \frac{3}{32} \frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) (\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) k_{12} k_1^2 k_2^2 \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 + k_{12})^3} F(k_3, k_4, M) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (68)$$

$$- \frac{3}{16} \frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) (\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2}{k_{12}} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 + k_{12})^3} F(k_{12}, k_4, M) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (69)$$

$$- \frac{3}{16} \frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) (\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) k_1^2 k_2^2 k_{12} G_{ab}(k_3, k_4) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (70)$$

$$- \frac{3}{8} \frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) (\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2}{k_{12}} G_{ab}(k_{12}, k_4) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (71)$$

$$- \frac{3}{16} \frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) k_3^2 k_4^2 k_{12} G_{ba}(k_1, k_2) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (72)$$

$$\frac{3}{8} \frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right) (\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_{12}) \frac{k_1^2 k_3^2 k_4^2}{k_{12}} G_{ba}(-k_{12}, k_2) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{1}{2^7} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) (\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) k_{12} F(k_1, k_2, k_1 + k_2 + k_{12}) F(k_3, k_4, M) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{1}{2^5} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) (\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{k_3^2}{k_{12}} F(k_1, k_2, k_1 + k_2 + k_{12}) F(k_{12}, k_4, M) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (75)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2^5} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) (\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{k_1^2 k_3^2}{k_{12}^3} F(k_{12}, k_2, k_1 + k_2 + k_{12}) F(k_{12}, k_4, M) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{1}{2^6} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) (\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) k_{12} G_{bb}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{1}{2^5} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{k_3^2}{k_{12}} G_{bb}(k_1, k_2, k_{12}, k_4) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (78)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2^5} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{k_1^2}{k_{12}} G_{bb}(-k_{12}, k_2, k_3, k_4) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (79)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2^4} \left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{k_1^2 k_3^2}{k_{12}^3} G_{bb}(-k_{12}, k_2, k_{12}, k_4) + 23 \text{ perm. .} \quad (80)$$

where

$$\mathbf{k}_{12} = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \quad M = k_3 + k_4 + k_{12}, \quad K = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4, \quad (81)$$

and F , G_{ab} , G_{ba} , G_{bb} are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, m) \\ \equiv & \frac{1}{m^3} [2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)m + m^2], \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{ab}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ \equiv & \frac{1}{M^3 K^3} [2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)M + M^2] \\ & + \frac{12}{M^2 K^4} [2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)M] + \frac{12}{MK^5} \alpha_1\alpha_2, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{ba}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ \equiv & \frac{1}{M^3 K} + \frac{1}{M^3 K^2} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + M) + \frac{1}{M^3 K^3} [2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)M + M^2] \\ & + \frac{12}{M^2 K^4} [2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)M] + \frac{12}{MK^5} \alpha_1\alpha_2, \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{bb}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \\ \equiv & \frac{1}{M^3 K} [2\alpha_3\alpha_4 + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)M + M^2] \\ & + \frac{1}{M^3 K^2} \left[2\alpha_3\alpha_4(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + (2\alpha_3\alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))M + \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i M^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{2}{M^3 K^3} \left[2 \prod_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i + (2\alpha_3\alpha_4(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_1\alpha_2(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4))M + \sum_{i<j} \alpha_i\alpha_j M^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{6}{M^2 K^4} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i \right) \left(2 + M \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \right) + \frac{24}{MK^5} \prod_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Note that in G_{ab} , G_{ba} and G_{bb} , the K and M are defined as $K = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4$ and $M = k_3 + k_4 + k_{12}$ (which changes correspondingly in permutations), but not in terms of α_i 's.

References

- [1] D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, Phys. Lett. B **524**, 5 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0110002].
- [2] S. Mollerach, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 313 (1990).
- [3] A. Linde and V. Mukhanov, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 535 (1997) [arXiv:astro-ph/9610219].
- [4] K. Enqvist and M. S. Sloth, Nucl. Phys. B **626**, 395 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0109214].
- [5] D. H. Lyth, C. Ungarelli and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 023503 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0208055].
- [6] D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 103516 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0306500].
- [7] S. Li, Y. F. Cai and Y. S. Piao, Phys. Lett. B **671**, 423 (2009) [arXiv:0806.2363 [hep-ph]].
- [8] G. R. Dvali and S. H. H. Tye, Phys. Lett. B **450**, 72 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9812483].
- [9] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. M. Maldacena, L. P. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, JCAP **0310**, 013 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308055].
- [10] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 103505 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0310221].
- [11] X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 063506 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0408084].
- [12] Y. F. Cai and W. Xue, Phys. Lett. B **680**, 395 (2009) [arXiv:0809.4134 [hep-th]].
- [13] Y. F. Cai and H. Y. Xia, Phys. Lett. B **677**, 226 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0062 [hep-th]].
- [14] J. M. Cline and H. Stoica, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 126004 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0508029].
- [15] See also N-flation with tachyonic fields: Y. S. Piao, R. G. Cai, X. M. Zhang and Y. Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 121301 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0207143].
- [16] M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 123505 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404084].
- [17] X. Chen, M. x. Huang, S. Kachru and G. Shiu, JCAP **0701**, 002 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0605045].
- [18] J. M. Maldacena, JHEP **0305**, 013 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603].
- [19] D. A. Easson, R. Gregory, D. F. Mota, G. Tasinato and I. Zavala, JCAP **0802**, 010 (2008) [arXiv:0709.2666 [hep-th]].
- [20] J. Zhang, Y. F. Cai and Y. S. Piao, JCAP **1005**, 001 (2010) [arXiv:0912.0791 [hep-th]].
- [21] M. Sasaki, J. Valiviita and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 103003 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0607627].
- [22] Q. G. Huang, Phys. Lett. B **669**, 260 (2008) [arXiv:0801.0467 [hep-th]].

- [23] Q. G. Huang and Y. Wang, JCAP **0809**, 025 (2008) [arXiv:0808.1168 [hep-th]].
- [24] J. O. Gong, C. Lin and Y. Wang, JCAP **1003**, 004 (2010) [arXiv:0912.2796 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [25] Q. G. Huang, JCAP **0811**, 005 (2008) [arXiv:0808.1793 [hep-th]].
- [26] X. Chen, S. Sarangi, S. H. Henry Tye and J. Xu, JCAP **0611**, 015 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0608082].
- [27] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6410 (1994) [arXiv:astro-ph/9401011]; X. Chen, JCAP **0812**, 009 (2008) [arXiv:0807.3191 [hep-th]].
- [28] Y. F. Cai, T. t. Qiu, R. Brandenberger and X. m. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 023511 (2009) [arXiv:0810.4677 [hep-th]].
- [29] Y. F. Cai and X. Zhang, JCAP **0906**, 003 (2009) [arXiv:0808.2551 [astro-ph]]; Y. F. Cai and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043520 (2009) [arXiv:0906.3341 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [30] Y. F. Cai, W. Xue, R. Brandenberger and X. Zhang, JCAP **0905**, 011 (2009) [arXiv:0903.0631 [astro-ph.CO]]; Y. F. Cai, W. Xue, R. Brandenberger and X. m. Zhang, JCAP **0906**, 037 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4938 [hep-th]].
- [31] Y. F. Cai, T. t. Qiu, J. Q. Xia and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 021303 (2009) [arXiv:0808.0819 [astro-ph]]; J. Liu, Y. F. Cai and H. Li, arXiv:1009.3372 [astro-ph.CO].
- [32] J. M. Cline, H. Firouzjahi and P. Martineau, JHEP **0211**, 041 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0207156].
- [33] G. W. Gibbons, Phys. Lett. B **537**, 1 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0204008].
- [34] M. Berg, M. Haack and B. Kors, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 026005 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0404087].
- [35] D. Baumann, A. Dymarsky, I. R. Klebanov, J. M. Maldacena, L. P. McAllister and A. Murugan, JHEP **0611**, 031 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607050].
- [36] O. DeWolfe, L. McAllister, G. Shiu and B. Underwood, JHEP **0709**, 121 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703088].
- [37] S. Kuperstein, JHEP **0503**, 014 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0411097].
- [38] D. H. Lyth, K. A. Malik and M. Sasaki, JCAP **0505**, 004 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0411220].
- [39] X. Chen, B. Hu, M. x. Huang, G. Shiu and Y. Wang, JCAP **0908**, 008 (2009) [arXiv:0905.3494 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [40] F. Arroja, S. Mizuno, K. Koyama and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043527 (2009) [arXiv:0905.3641 [hep-th]].

[41] T. Kobayashi and S. Mukohyama, JCAP **0907**, 032 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2835 [hep-th]].