

Chiral Thermodynamics of Dense QCD

Chihiro SASAKI

Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

We show a phase diagram of a two-flavored parity doublet model on top of the nuclear matter ground state within the mean field approximation. An exotic phase with unbroken center symmetry of chiral group is also discussed. When crossing this phase boundary the baryon number susceptibility exhibits a characteristic feature.

§1. Parity doubled nucleons

Model studies of hot and dense matter have suggested a rich phase structure of QCD at temperatures and quark chemical potentials of order Λ_{QCD} . Our knowledge on the phase structure however remains limited and the description of strongly interacting matter does not reach a consensus yet.¹⁾ In particular, properties of baryons near the chiral symmetry restoration are poorly understood. The realistic modeling of dense baryonic matter must take into account the existence of the nuclear matter saturation point, i.e. the bound state at baryon density $\rho_0 = 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, like in Walecka type models.²⁾ Several chiral models with pure hadronic degrees of freedom^{3),4)} have been constructed in such a way that the nuclear matter has the true ground state. An alternative approach is to describe a nucleon as a dynamical bound-state of a diquark and a quark.⁵⁾

In the mirror assignment of chirality to nucleons,^{6),7)} dynamical chiral symmetry breaking generates a mass difference between parity partners and the chiral symmetry restoration does not necessarily dictate the chiral partners to be massless. Mirror baryons embedded in linear and non-linear chiral Lagrangians have been applied to study their phenomenology in vacuum,⁶⁾⁻⁸⁾ nuclear matter^{9),10)} and neutron stars.¹¹⁾ Identifying the true parity partner of a nucleon is also an issue. In the mirror models $N(1535)$ is usually taken to be the negative parity state. This choice however fails to reproduce the decay width to a nucleon and η . This might indicate another negative parity state lighter than the $N(1535)$,¹⁰⁾ which has not been observed so far.

The parity doublet model has been applied to a hot and dense hadronic matter and the phase structure of a chiral symmetry restoration as well as a liquid-gas transition of nuclear matter was explored.¹²⁾ In Fig. 1 we show the phase diagram for two different masses of the negative parity state, $m_{N^-} = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$ and 1.2 GeV . The latter is considered to be an phenomenological option. At zero temperature the system experiences a first-order liquid-gas transition at $\mu_B = 923 \text{ MeV}$ and the baryon density shows a jump from zero to a finite value $\rho \neq 0$. The order of chiral phase transition and its location depend on the set of parameters, especially on mass of the negative parity state. Roughly speaking this phase transition occurs when the baryon chemical potential reaches the mass of the negative parity state,




Fig. 1. The phase diagram in the parity doublet model.¹²⁾ The mass of the negative parity nucleon was taken to be 1.5 GeV (left) and 1.2 GeV (right).

$\mu_B \sim m_{N^-}$. If we take the most frequently used value $m_{N^-} = 1500$ MeV, then in addition to the nuclear liquid-gas phase transition we obtain a weak first-order chiral transition at $\rho \sim 10\rho_0$. With a lower mass $m_{N^-} = 1200$ MeV we get no true chiral phase transition but only a crossover at much lower density $\rho \sim 3\rho_0$. The liquid-gas transition survives up to $T = 27$ MeV. Above this temperature there is no sharp phase transition but the order parameter is still attracted by the critical point: the order parameter typically shows a double-step structure and this makes an additional crossover line terminating at the liquid-gas critical point. Another crossover line corresponding to the chiral symmetry restoration follows the steepest descent of the second reduction in $\langle\sigma\rangle$. With increasing temperature the two crossover lines become closer and finally merge.

In contrast, the trajectory of a meson-to-baryon “transition” defined from the ratio of particle number densities is basically driven by the density effect with the hadron masses being not far from their vacuum values. The line is almost independent of the parameter set and goes rather close to the liquid-gas transition line. The chiral crossover and the meson-baryon transition lines intersect at $(T, \mu_B) \sim (150, 450)$ MeV. The parity doublet model thus describes 3 domains: a chirally broken phase with mesons thermodynamically dominating, another chirally broken phase where baryons are more dominant and the chirally restored phase, which can be identified with quarkyonic matter.¹³⁾ It is worthy to note that this intersection point is fairly close to the estimated triple point at which hadronic matter, quarkyonic matter and quark-gluon plasma may coexist.¹⁴⁾

§2. Role of the tetra-quark at finite density

There is a possibility of two different phases *with broken chiral symmetry* at finite density where a rather unorthodox pattern of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking could be realized.¹⁵⁾ This pattern keeps the center of chiral group unbroken, i.e.

$$SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \rightarrow SU(N_f)_V \times (Z_{N_f})_A, \quad (2.1)$$

where a discrete symmetry $(Z_{N_f})_A$ is the maximal axial subgroup of $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$. The center Z_{N_f} symmetry protects a theory from condensate of quark

bilinears $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$. Spontaneous symmetry breaking is driven by quartic condensates which are invariant under both $SU(N_f)_V$ and Z_{N_f} transformation. In a system with the breaking pattern (2.1) the quartic condensate is the strict order parameter which separates different chirally-broken phases ^{*)}.

Assuming (2.1) at finite density, it has been shown that an intermediate phase between chiral symmetry broken and its restored phases can be realized using a general Ginzburg-Landau free energy.¹⁸⁾ The pion decay constant is read from the Noether current as $F_\pi = \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + \frac{8}{3}\chi_0^2}$ with χ_0 and σ_0 being the expectation values of 4-quark and 2-quark scalar fields, determined from the gap equations. The effective potential deduced in the mean field approximation describes three distinct phases characterized by the two order parameters: Phase I represents the system where both chiral symmetry and its center are spontaneously broken due to non-vanishing expectation values χ_0 and σ_0 . The center symmetry is restored when σ_0 becomes zero. However, chiral symmetry remains broken as long as χ_0 is non-vanishing, where the pure 4-quark state is the massless Nambu-Goldstone boson (phase II). The chiral symmetry restoration takes place under $\chi_0 \rightarrow 0$ which corresponds to phase III. With an explicit breaking of chiral symmetry one would draw a phase diagram mapped onto (T, μ) plane as in Fig. 2. A characteristic feature with unbroken center




Fig. 2. Schematic phase diagram mapped onto (T, μ) plane.

symmetry is found in the baryon number susceptibility. The Z_2 invariance prohibits a Yukawa coupling of χ to baryons. Consequently, the baryon number susceptibility exhibits a maximum when across the Z_2 cross over.

§3. Summary and Discussions

The parity doublet model within the mean field approximation describes the nuclear matter ground state at zero temperature and a chiral crossover at zero chemical potential at a reasonable temperature, which are the minimal requirements to describe the QCD thermodynamics. The first-order phase transitions appear only at low temperatures, below $T \sim 30$ MeV. Nevertheless, at higher temperature they still affect the order parameter which exhibits a substantial decrease near the liquid-gas *and* chiral transitions. If the chiral symmetry restoration is of first order, criticality

^{*)} A similar phase structure was found in an $O(2)$ model¹⁶⁾ and in the Skyrme model on crystal.¹⁷⁾

around the end points of the two first-order phase transitions will be the same due to the identical universality class.¹⁹⁾

A possibility of a non-standard breaking pattern leads to a new phase where chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken while its center symmetry is restored. This might appear as an intermediate phase between chirally broken and restored phases in (T, μ) plane. The appearance of this phase also suggests a new critical point in low temperatures.¹⁸⁾ A tendency of the center symmetry restoration is carried by the net baryon number density which shows a rapid increase indicating baryons more activated, and this is reminiscent of the quarkyonic transition.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful for fruitful collaboration with M. Harada, I. Mishustin and S. Takemoto. Partial support by the Hessian LOEWE initiative through the Helmholtz International Center for FAIR (HIC for FAIR) is acknowledged.

References

- 1) C. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. A **830**, 649C (2009).
- 2) B. D. Serot and J. D. Walecka, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **6**, 515 (1997).
- 3) J. Boguta, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 34 (1983), I. Mishustin, J. Bondorf and M. Rho, Nucl. Phys. A **555**, 215 (1993), G. W. Carter and P. J. Ellis, Nucl. Phys. A **628**, 325 (1998), P. Papazoglou, S. Schramm, J. Schaffner-Bielich, H. Stoecker and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C **57**, 2576 (1998), P. Papazoglou, D. Zschesche, S. Schramm, J. Schaffner-Bielich, H. Stoecker and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 411 (1999).
- 4) V. Koch, T. S. Biro, J. Kunz and U. Mosel, Phys. Lett. B **185**, 1 (1987), M. Buballa, Nucl. Phys. A **611**, 393 (1996), I. N. Mishustin, L. M. Satarov and W. Greiner, Phys. Rept. **391**, 363 (2004).
- 5) W. Bentz and A. W. Thomas, Nucl. Phys. A **696**, 138 (2001), W. Bentz, T. Horikawa, N. Ishii and A. W. Thomas, Nucl. Phys. A **720**, 95 (2003).
- 6) C. E. Detar and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2805 (1989).
- 7) Y. Nemoto, D. Jido, M. Oka and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4124 (1998), D. Jido, Y. Nemoto, M. Oka and A. Hosaka, Nucl. Phys. A **671**, 471 (2000), D. Jido, T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3252 (2000), D. Jido, M. Oka and A. Hosaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106**, 873 (2001).
- 8) S. Gallas, F. Giacosa and D. H. Rischke, arXiv:0907.5084 [hep-ph].
- 9) T. Hatsuda and M. Prakash, Phys. Lett. B **224**, 11 (1989).
- 10) D. Zschesche, L. Tolos, J. Schaffner-Bielich and R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 055202 (2007).
- 11) V. Dexheimer, S. Schramm and D. Zschesche, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 025803 (2008), V. Dexheimer, G. Pagliara, L. Tolos, J. Schaffner-Bielich and S. Schramm, Eur. Phys. J. A **38**, 105 (2008).
- 12) C. Sasaki and I. Mishustin, arXiv:1005.4811 [hep-ph].
- 13) L. McLerran and R. D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. A **796**, 83 (2007), Y. Hidaka, L. D. McLerran and R. D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. A **808**, 117 (2008), L. McLerran, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. A **824**, 86 (2009).
- 14) A. Andronic *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **837**, 65 (2010).
- 15) I. I. Kogan, A. Kovner and M. A. Shifman, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 016001 (1999).
- 16) Y. Watanabe, K. Fukushima and T. Hatsuda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **111**, 967 (2004).
- 17) M. Rho, arXiv:0711.3895 [nucl-th]; B. Y. Park, J. I. Kim and M. Rho, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 035203 (2010).
- 18) M. Harada, C. Sasaki and S. Takemoto, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 016009 (2010).
- 19) A. M. Halasz, A. D. Jackson, R. E. Shrock, M. A. Stephanov and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 096007 (1998).