ISOTROPIC IDEALS OF METRIC n-LIE ALGEBRAS

RUIPU BAI, WANQING WU, AND ZHIQI CHEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a systematic study on isotropic ideals of metric *n*-Lie algebras. As an application, we show that the center of a non-abelian (n + k)-dimensional metric *n*-Lie algebra $(2 \le k \le n + 1)$, whose center is isotropic, is of dimension k - 1. Furthermore, we classify (n + k)-dimensional metric *n*-Lie algebras for $2 \le k \le n + 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of n-Lie algebras was introduced by Filippov [12] in 1985. It is strongly connected with many other fields, such as dynamics, geometry and string theory. Indeed, motivated by some problems of quark dynamics, Nambu [20] introduces a ternary generalization of Hamiltonian dynamics by means of the ternary Poisson bracket

$$[f_1, f_2, f_3] = \det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right).$$

Recently, a class of n-Lie algebras attract more and more attention. They are called metric n-Lie algebras, which are n-Lie algebras possessed invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms.

Metric *n*-Lie algebras have arisen in the work of Figueroa-O'Farrill and Papadopoulos [10] in the classification of maximally supersymmetric type IIB supergravity backgrounds [11], and in the work of Bagger and Lambert [1, 2] and Gustavsson [13] on a superconformal field theory for multiple M2-branes. But very few metric *n*-Lie algebras admit positive definite metrics (see [21, 15]). In fact, Ho-Hou-Matsuo [14] confirmed that there are no *n*-Lie algebras with positive definite metrics for n = 5, 6, 7, 8. Some messages in physics motivate us to study *n*-Lie algebras with invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms, such as the correspond between some dynamical systems involving zero-norm generators and gauge symmetries and negative-norm generators corresponding to ghosts (see [17, 18, 19]).

As we know, there is some progress on the structures of metric n-Lie algebras, such as the metric dimension of metric n-Lie algebras [6], Lorentzian metric n-Lie algebras [8], the double extension of n-Lie algebras [9] and the classification of index-2 metric 3-Lie algebras [18]. But there are many problems unsolved. In particular, the classification of metric n-Lie algebras is also open. In this paper, we mainly concern isotropic ideals of metric n-Lie algebras. Furthermore

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B05, 17D99.

Key words and phrases. Metric n-Lie algebra, isotropic ideal, isomaximal ideal.

based on the results about isotropic ideals, we classify (n+k)-dimensional metric *n*-Lie algebras for $2 \le k \le n+1$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list some fundamental notions. In Section 3, we study isotropic ideals of metric *n*-Lie algebras. In Section 4, we classify (n+k)-dimensional metric *n*-Lie algebras for $2 \le k \le n+1$.

Throughout this paper, all *n*-Lie algebras are of finite dimension and over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Obvious proof are omitted.

2. Fundamental notions

2.1. Basic notions on *n*-Lie algebras. An *n*-Lie algebra is a vector space \mathfrak{g} over a field \mathbb{C} equipped with an *n*-multilinear operation $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfying

$$[x_1, \cdots, x_n] = sign(\sigma)[x_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(n)}], \qquad (2.1)$$

$$[[x_1, \cdots, x_n], y_2, \cdots, y_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1, \cdots, [x_i, y_2, \cdots, y_n], \cdots, x_n]$$
(2.2)

for any $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, the permutation group on n letters. The subalgebra $[\mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}]$ generated by all vectors $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called the derived algebra of \mathfrak{g} , denoted by \mathfrak{g}^1 . If $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathfrak{g}$, then \mathfrak{g} is called a perfect n-Lie algebra.

An ideal I of an n-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called a solvable ideal, if $I^{(r)} = 0$ for some $r \ge 0$, where $I^{(0)} = I$ and $I^{(s+1)} = [I^{(s)}, \dots, I^{(s)}]$ for s > 0 by induction. If $[I, I, \mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$, then I is called an abelian ideal. The maximal solvable ideal of \mathfrak{g} is called the solvable radical, denoted by \mathfrak{r} .

An *n*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be simple if it has no proper ideals and dim $\mathfrak{g}^1 > 0$. If \mathfrak{g} is the direct sum of simple ideals, then \mathfrak{g} is strong semisimple (see [3]). By [16], there exists only one finite dimensional simple *n*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{C} , which is the perfect (n + 1)-dimensional *n*-Lie algebra; and every finite dimensional *n*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has a Levi-decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r},$$

where \mathfrak{s} is a strong semisimple subalgebra and \mathfrak{r} is the radical of \mathfrak{g} .

For a given subspace W of an *n*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the subalgebra

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}}(W) = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, W, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}] = 0 \}$$

is called the centralizer of W in \mathfrak{g} . The centralizer of \mathfrak{g} , i.e., the center of \mathfrak{g} , is denoted by $C(\mathfrak{g})$. If I is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , so is $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(I)$.

An *n*-Lie module of an *n*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a vector space M with a multilinear skew-symmetric mapping $\rho: \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge (n-1)} \longrightarrow End(M)$ satisfying

$$[\rho(a), \rho(b)] = \rho(a)\rho(b) - \rho(b)\rho(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(b_1, \cdots, [a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}, b_i], \cdots, b_{n-1}),$$

$$\rho([a_1, \cdots, a_n], b_2, \cdots, b_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} \rho(a_1, \cdots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_n) \rho(a_i, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-1}),$$

where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}), b = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge (n-1)}$ (for more details see [7, 4, 5]). The regular representation on \mathfrak{g} is defined by

$$a \mapsto ad(a)$$
, for $a = (a_1, \cdots a_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge (n-1)}$.

2.2. Basic facts on metric *n*-Lie algebras. A metric *n*-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, B) is an *n*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form B, satisfying

$$B([x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_1], y_2) = -B([x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_2], y_1), \ \forall x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}.$$
 (2.3)

Such a bilinear form B is called a metric on \mathfrak{g} .

Let W be a subspace of a metric n-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, B) . The orthogonal complement of W is

$$W^{\perp} = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid B(w, x) = 0, \text{ for any } w \in W \}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] = C(\mathfrak{g})^{\perp}$. The subspace W is called non-degenerate if $B|_{W\times W}$ is nondegenerate, that is $W \cap W^{\perp} = 0$. If W is an ideal, then W^{\perp} is also an ideal, and W is a minimal ideal if and only if W^{\perp} is maximal. A subspace W is isotropic (coisotropic) if $W \subseteq W^{\perp}$ $(W^{\perp} \subseteq W)$.

A metric *n*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is decomposable if there exist non-degenerate ideals I and J, such that $\mathfrak{g} = I \oplus J$. Otherwise, \mathfrak{g} is indecomposable. An equivalent criterion for decomposability is the existence of a proper non-degenerate ideal: if I is a non-degenerate ideal, $\mathfrak{g} = I \oplus I^{\perp}$ is an orthogonal direct sum of ideals.

Definition 2.1. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra and I an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . If I is isotropic and is not contained in (does not contain) any isotropic ideal, then I is called an isomaximal ideal (an isominimal ideal) of \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 2.1. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra and V, W subspaces of \mathfrak{g} . Then dim $G = \dim W + \dim W^{\perp}$ and

$$V \subseteq W \Leftrightarrow V^{\perp} \supseteq W^{\perp}, \quad V^{\perp} \cap W^{\perp} \subseteq (V+W)^{\perp},$$
$$(V \cap W)^{\perp} \supseteq V^{\perp} + W^{\perp}, \quad (V^{\perp})^{\perp} = V.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra and J_1 , J_2 isotropic subspaces of G. Then $J_1 + J_2$ is isotropic if and only if $B(J_1, J_2) = 0$.

Lemma 2.3 ([6]). Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ the Levi-decomposition. Then \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} is isotropic if and only if \mathfrak{g} has no strong semisimple ideals. Moreover, we have

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{r}) = C(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}$$
 and $[\mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}] = \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}.$

Lemma 2.4 ([6]). Let W be a subspace of a metric n-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, B) and I an ideal. Then

- (1) $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(I) = [I, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]^{\perp}.$
- (2) W is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} if and only if W^{\perp} is contained in $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$.
- (3) One-dimensional non-degenerate ideals are contained in $C(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 2.5. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra and I a subalgebra (an ideal) of \mathfrak{g} . Then I is isotropic if and only if there exist linear endomorphisms D and T of I such that B(D(x), y) = B(x, T(y)) for any $x, y \in I$, where D is surjective and T is nilpotent.

Proof. Assume that I is isotropic. It is enough to let D(x) = x and T(x) = 0 for any $x \in I$. Conversely, since T is nilpotent, there exists an integer m such that $T^m = 0$. Then any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $B(D^m(x), y) = B(x, T^m(y)) = 0$. Therefore, B(I, I) = 0 since $D^m(I) = I$.

3. Isotropic ideals of metric n-Lie algebras

Firstly, we list some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ the Levi-decomposition and I an ideal.

- (1) If I is isotropic, then I is an abelian ideal of \mathfrak{g} .
- (2) If I is a non-degenerate abelian ideal, then $I \subseteq C(\mathfrak{g})$.
- (3) The center $C(\mathfrak{g})$ is isotropic if and only if $C(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^1$.
- (4) \mathfrak{g} is perfect if and only if $C(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$.
- (5) \mathfrak{g} has no strong semi-simple ideals if and only if $\mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \subseteq C(\mathfrak{r})$. Here $C(\mathfrak{r})$ denotes the center of \mathfrak{r} .

Lemma 3.2. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra, I an isotropic ideal satisfying $I^{\perp} \neq I$. Then $(I^{\perp}/I, B)$ is a metric n-Lie algebra.

Lemma 3.3. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra, I a minimal ideal. Then I is isotropic if and only if I is degenerate.

Proof. The minimality of the ideal I implies $I \cap I^{\perp} = 0$ or $I \cap I^{\perp} = I$. If I is degenerate, i.e., $I \cap I^{\perp} \neq 0$, then $I \cap I^{\perp} = I \subseteq I^{\perp}$. The converse is trivial.

Theorem 3.4. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra with non-trivial solvable radical \mathfrak{r} and I an isomaximal ideal. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$$

Furthermore assume that (\mathfrak{g}, B) is indecomposable. Then

(1) $I^{\perp} \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$.

- (2) I^{\perp}/I is an abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}/I .
- (3) $I^{\perp}/I = [I^{\perp}/I, I^{\perp}/I, \mathfrak{g}/I, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}/I] \oplus C_{\mathfrak{g}/I}((I^{\perp}/I) \cap (I^{\perp}/I)).$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ be the Levi decomposition. Since the ideal I is isotropic, by Lemma 3.1, I is abelian. Then $I \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$ and $B(I, \mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}) = 0$. Thanks to Lemma 2.2, $I + (\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^{\perp})$ is an isotropic ideal. This implies $I + (\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}) = I$, i.e., $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \subseteq I$.

If (\mathfrak{g}, B) is indecomposable, then $\mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$ by Lemma 3.1. Together with Lemma 2.1,

$$I^{\perp} \subseteq (\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^{\perp})^{\perp} = \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \subseteq \mathfrak{r}.$$

Furthermore, I^{\perp}/I is a solvable subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}/I . Set

$$Z = \{ x \in I^{\perp} | [x, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}] \in I, \text{ for any } u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1} \in I^{\perp} \}.$$

Then Z is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , $I \subseteq Z$, and $Z/I = C(I^{\perp}/I)$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$Z/I = [I^{\perp}/I, \cdots, I^{\perp}/I]^{\perp}.$$
 (3.1)

Since $B([I^{\perp}, \dots, I^{\perp}], Z) = B(I^{\perp}, [Z, I^{\perp}, \dots, I^{\perp}]) = 0$, we have $[I^{\perp}, \dots, I^{\perp}] \cap Z$ is an isotropic ideal of \mathfrak{g} . Thanks to $B([I^{\perp}, \dots, I^{\perp}] \cap Z, I) = 0$ and Lemma 2.2, $([I^{\perp}, \dots, I^{\perp}] \cap Z) + I$ is an isotropic ideal of \mathfrak{g} . Therefore $[I^{\perp}, \dots, I^{\perp}] \cap Z \subseteq I$. It follows that $[I^{\perp}/I, \dots, I^{\perp}/I] \cap (Z/I) = 0$. By the identity (3.1), we obtain

$$I^{\perp}/I = [I^{\perp}/I, \cdots, I^{\perp}/I] \oplus (Z/I) = (I^{\perp}/I)^{1} \oplus (Z/I).$$
 (3.2)

Therefore,

$$(I^{\perp}/I)^1 = [I^{\perp}/I, \cdots, I^{\perp}/I] = [(I^{\perp}/I)^1, \cdots, (I^{\perp}/I)^1] = (I^{\perp}/I)^{(2)}.$$

Since I^{\perp}/I is solvable, we have $(I^{\perp}/I)^1 = 0$, i.e., I^{\perp}/I is abelian.

For the last assertion, let

$$Z_1 = \{ x \in I^{\perp} | \ [x, y, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-2}] \in I, \text{ for any } y \in I^{\perp}, \ u_1, \cdots, u_{n-2} \in \mathfrak{g} \}.$$

Then $I \subseteq Z_1, Z_1$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , and $Z_1/I = (C_{\mathfrak{g}/I}(I^{\perp}/I)) \cap (I^{\perp}/I)$. Similarly, we have

$$Z_1/I = [I^{\perp}/I, I^{\perp}/I, \mathfrak{g}/I, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}/I]^{\perp}$$

and $([I^{\perp}, I^{\perp}, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}] \cap Z_1) + I$ is an isotropic ideal of \mathfrak{g} . Thus $[I^{\perp}, I^{\perp}, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}] \cap Z_1 \subseteq I$. It follows that $[I^{\perp}/I, I^{\perp}/I, \mathfrak{g}/I, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}/I] \cap (Z_1/I) = 0$. Therefore, the theorem follows. \Box

Corollary 3.5. If (\mathfrak{g}, B) is an indecomposable metric n-Lie algebra with the non-zero isomaximal center, then \mathfrak{g} is solvable.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}^1 \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{s} = 0$.

Theorem 3.6. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be an indecomposable metric n-Lie algebra without strong semi-simple ideals and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ the Levi decomposition. Then one and only one of the following cases holds up to isomorphisms.

- The radical r is an isomaximal ideal, and r is isomorphic to s as s-module in the regular representation.
- (2) There exists a nonzero vector subspace V of \mathfrak{r} satisfying

$$[V, \mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}] \subseteq V, \ \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \oplus V, \ B(\mathfrak{s}, V) = 0,$$

 $B|_{V \times V}$ and $B_{(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}) \times (\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}^{\perp})}$ are non-degenerate.

Proof. If \mathfrak{r} is isotropic, then $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}$. By Lemma 2.3, $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}$. For every isomaximal ideal I, thanks to Theorem 3.4, $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$. It follows that \mathfrak{r} is an isomaximal ideal. By Theorem 3.6 in [6], \mathfrak{r} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{s} as a \mathfrak{s} -module.

Assume that \mathfrak{r} is non-isotropic. By Lemma 2.3, \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} is properly contained in \mathfrak{r} . Let $L = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}$.

Firstly, we have $B|_{L\times L}$ is non-degenerate. In fact, let $x = s + z \in L$ satisfying B(x, L) = 0, where $s \in \mathfrak{s}, z \in \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}$. Clearly, $B(s + z, \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}) = B(s, \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}) = 0$. Namely, $s \in \mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{s}$. That is, s = 0. Similarly, z = 0. Then $B|_{L\times L}$ is non-degenerate.

Furthermore we have $B|_{L^{\perp} \times L^{\perp}}$ is non-degenerate and

$$\mathfrak{g} = L \oplus L^{\perp}, \ B(\mathfrak{s}, \ L^{\perp}) = 0, \ B(\mathfrak{r}^{\perp}, \ L^{\perp}) = 0, \ \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \oplus L^{\perp}.$$

It follows that $B([\mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}, L^{\perp}], \mathfrak{s}) = B(L^{\perp}, [\mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}]) = 0$ and $B([\mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}, L^{\perp}], \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}) = 0$. That is, $[\mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}, L^{\perp}] \subseteq L^{\perp}$.

It is well known that the metric dimension of strong semisimple *n*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} is *m* if $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{s}_m$, where \mathfrak{s}_i are simple ideals. The following theorem is to determine the metric dimension of metric *n*-Lie algebras with isomaximal radicals.

Theorem 3.7. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a perfect metric n-Lie algebra without semisimple ideals and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ the Levi decomposition. Then dim $\mathfrak{g} \ge 2(n+1)$. Furthermore assume that the radical \mathfrak{r} is isotropic. Then

- (1) \mathfrak{r} is the unique isomaximal ideal.
- (2) dim $\mathfrak{g} = 2m(n+1)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_m$, where $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{s}_i \oplus \mathfrak{r}_i$ (as the direct sum of subalgebras) are ideals of \mathfrak{g} ,

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{s}_m, \quad \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{r}_m,$$

and \mathfrak{r}_i is isomorphic to \mathfrak{s}_i as \mathfrak{s}_i -module in the regular representation, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

- (3) $B(\mathfrak{g}_i,\mathfrak{g}_j)=0$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$.
- (4) The metric dimension of g is 2m. Moreover there exists a basis of g such that the matrix form of every metric B associated with the basis is

$$B = diag(B_1, \cdots, B_m), \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i I_0 & \mu_i I_0 \\ \mu_i I_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.3)

where $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{C}, \ \lambda_i \mu_i \neq 0, \ 1 \leq i \leq m$, and I_0 is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -unit matrix.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{g} is perfect and has no strong semisimple ideals, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{r}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{r} \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{s} \neq 0.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.3, $[\mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}] = \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \neq 0$. By the representation properties given by [7, 5], we have $\dim \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} > n$. Therefore, $\dim \mathfrak{g} \geq 2(n+1)$.

Assume that \mathfrak{r} is isotropic. Then the result (1) follows from Theorem 3.4.

Now let $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{s}_m$ be the decomposition of the strong semisimple subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . By Theorem 3.6 in [6], \mathfrak{r} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{s} as a \mathfrak{s} -module in the regular representation. Then

$$\mathfrak{r}=\mathfrak{r}_1\oplus\mathfrak{r}_2\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{r}_m,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{s}_i, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{r}_j] = \delta_{ij} \mathfrak{r}_j, \ 1 \le i, j \le m,$$
(3.4)

that is, \mathfrak{r}_i is isomorphic to \mathfrak{s}_i as an \mathfrak{s}_i -module in the regular representation of \mathfrak{s}_i .

Set $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{s}_i \oplus \mathfrak{r}_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$. For every $i \neq k$,

ļ

$$B([\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{s}_k,\mathfrak{s},\cdots,\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{r}],\mathfrak{r}) = B([\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{s}_k,\mathfrak{s},\cdots,\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{r}],\mathfrak{r}^{\perp}) = 0,$$
$$B([\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{s}_k,\mathfrak{s},\cdots,\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{r}],\mathfrak{s}) = B(\mathfrak{r},[\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{s}_k,\mathfrak{s},\cdots,\mathfrak{s}]) = 0.$$

It follows that $[\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{s}_k,\mathfrak{s},\cdots,\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{r}]=0$. Then

$$[\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{s},\cdots,\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{r}]=[\mathfrak{s}_i,\cdots,\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{r}_i]=\mathfrak{r}_i.$$

Therefore \mathfrak{g}_i is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . This proves the result (2).

For every $1 \le i \ne j \le m$,

$$\begin{split} B(\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{s}_j) &= B([\mathfrak{s}_i,\cdots,\mathfrak{s}_i],\mathfrak{s}_j) = B(\mathfrak{s}_i,[\mathfrak{s}_i,\cdots,\mathfrak{s}_i,\mathfrak{s}_j]) = 0, \\ B(\mathfrak{r}_i,\mathfrak{r}) &= 0, \quad B(\mathfrak{r}_i,\mathfrak{s}_j) = B(\mathfrak{r}_i,[\mathfrak{s}_j,\cdots,\mathfrak{s}_j]) = B([\mathfrak{r}_i,\mathfrak{s}_j,\cdots,\mathfrak{s}_j],\mathfrak{s}_j) = 0 \end{split}$$

This implies $B(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{r}_0$ is an indecomposable perfect *n*-Lie algebra, where \mathfrak{s}_0 is the simple subalgebra and the radical \mathfrak{r}_0 is isomorphic to \mathfrak{s}_0 as the \mathfrak{s}_0 -module in the regular representation. Thanks to the result (2), dim $\mathfrak{r}_0 = n + 1$. Let x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} be a basis of \mathfrak{s}_0 satisfying

$$[x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+1}] = (-1)^i x_i, \ 1 \le i \le n+1.$$

Let y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} be a basis of \mathfrak{r}_0 satisfying

$$[x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, \hat{x}_j, \cdots, x_{n+1}, y_j] = (-1)^{n-j+i} y_i, \ 1 \le i < j \le n+1;$$
$$[x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, \hat{x}_j, \cdots, x_{n+1}, y_k] = 0, \ 1 \le i \ne j \ne k \ne i \le n+1.$$

Assume that B is a symmetric invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}_0 . Then

$$B(x_i, x_j) = B((-1)^i [x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+1}], x_j)$$

= $B(x_k, (-1)^{n-k-1} [x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, \hat{x}_k, \cdots, x_{n+1}, x_j]) = 0, k \neq i \neq j \neq k$

$$B(x_i, y_j) = B([x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+1}], y_j)$$

= $B(x_k, (-1)^{n-k+1}[x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, \hat{x}_k, \cdots, x_{n+1}, y_j]) = 0, k \neq i \neq j \neq k.$
 $B(x_i, x_i) = B((-1)^i [x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+1}], x_i)$
= $B(x_j, (-1)^{n-j+i+1}[x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, \hat{x}_j, \cdots, x_{n+1}, x_i]) = B(x_j, x_j), i \neq j.$
 $B(x_i, y_i) = B((-1)^i [x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_{n+1}], y_i)$
= $B(x_j, (-1)^{n-j+i+1}[x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, \hat{x}_j, \cdots, x_{n+1}, y_i]) = B(x_j, y_j), i \neq j.$

Similarly $B(y_i, y_j) = 0$, for $i \neq j$. Therefore for any metric B_0 on \mathfrak{g}_0 , there exists a basis $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}$ such that the matrix of B_0 associated with the basis is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda I_0 & \mu I_0\\ \mu I_0 & 0\end{array}\right)$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in F$, $\lambda \mu \neq 0$, I_0 is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ - unit matrix. Thanks to Theorem 3.1 in [13], the metric dimension of \mathfrak{g}_0 is 2. Therefore, the metric dimension of \mathfrak{g} is 2m and the matrix of any metric on \mathfrak{g} is (3.3).

Concerning the simple case in Theorem 3.7, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.8. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a perfect metric n-Lie algebra. Then dim $\mathfrak{g} \ge m(n+1)$, where m is a positive integer.

4. Classifications of (n + k)-dimensional metric *n*-Lie algebras

In this section we classify (n + k)-dimensional metric *n*-Lie algebras, where $2 \le k \le n + 1$. Theorem 4.3 plays a fundamental role in the classification, which is based on the results of section 3. First, we give a well-known fact.

Lemma 4.1. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra. Then there is a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = C_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$$
 (as the orthogonal direct sum of ideals), (4.1)

where the center of \mathfrak{g}_1 is isotropic, and $C_1 = 0$ or C_1 is a non-degenerate abelian ideal.

Theorem 4.2. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a metric n-Lie algebra, where dim $\mathfrak{g} = n + k$ and $2 \le k \le n + 1$. Then dim $\mathfrak{g}^1 \le n + k - 1$. Furthermore if \mathfrak{g} is unsolvable, then \mathfrak{g} is reductive, that is, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus C(\mathfrak{g})$, where \mathfrak{s} is the semisimple ideal of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. If \mathfrak{g} is solvable, then $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]$ is a proper ideal of \mathfrak{g} . It implies dim $\mathfrak{g}^1 \leq n + k - 1$.

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is unsolvable. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ be the Levi-decomposition, where $\mathfrak{s} \neq 0$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{s} = n + 1$, \mathfrak{r} is a \mathfrak{s} -module with $\dim \mathfrak{r} = k - 1 < n + 1$. Thanks to [7],

$$[\mathfrak{s},\cdots,\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{r}]=0$$

Therefore, $B(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}) = B([\mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}], \mathfrak{r}) = B(\mathfrak{s}, [\mathfrak{s}, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}]) = 0$. It implies $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{s}^{\perp}$. By dim $\mathfrak{s} + \dim \mathfrak{s}^{\perp} = \dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{s} + \dim \mathfrak{r}, \ \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{r}^{\perp}$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} and $[\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$.

Assume that $[\mathfrak{r}, \dots, \mathfrak{r}] \neq 0$. Then dim $\mathfrak{r} = n$ and there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of \mathfrak{r} such that $[e_1, \dots, e_n] = e_1$. Therefore

$$B(e_1, e_i) = B([e_1, \cdots, e_n], e_i) = B(e_1, (-1)^i [e_2, \cdots, e_n, e_i, e_i]) = 0, \text{ for } i \neq 1,$$
$$B(e_1, e_1) = B([e_1, \cdots, e_n], e_1) = B(e_n, [e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}, e_1]) = 0.$$

That is, $B(e_1, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$. This contradicts the non-degeneracy of B. Therefore $\mathfrak{r} = C(\mathfrak{g})$. It follows that \mathfrak{g} is reductive and dim $\mathfrak{g}^1 = n + 1 \leq n + k - 1$.

Theorem 4.3. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a non-abelian (n + k)-dimensional metric n-Lie algebra with the isotropic center, where $2 \le k \le n + 1$. Then dim $C(\mathfrak{g}) = k - 1$.

Proof. Suppose that dim $C(\mathfrak{g}) = l$. Thanks to Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.1, there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_l, e_{l+1}, \dots, e_{l+t}, e'_1, \dots, e'_l\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that the non-zero products are

$$B(e_r, e'_s) = \delta_{rs}, \quad B(e_{l+i}, e_{l+j}) = \delta_{ij}. \tag{4.2}$$

where $1 \leq r, s \leq l, 1 \leq i, j \leq t, C(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle e_1, \cdots, e_l \rangle$, and $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \langle e_1, \cdots, e_{l+t} \rangle$. By dim $C(\mathfrak{g}) + \dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = \dim \mathfrak{g} = n + k$, we have l < k.

If l = k - 2, then n + k - l = n + 2, thanks to eqs. (2.3) and (4.2), the non-zero brackets of basis vectors are

$$C_{i,j}^{1} = [e_{l+1}, \cdots, \widehat{e}_{l+i}, \cdots, \widehat{e}_{l+j}, \cdots, e_{l+t}, e'_{1}, \cdots, e'_{l}] = \mu_{i,j}^{1,i} e_{l+i} + \mu_{i,j}^{1,j} e_{l+j},$$

$$C_{i,r}^{2} = [e_{l+1}, \cdots, \widehat{e}_{l+i}, \cdots, e_{l+t}, e'_{1}, \cdots, \widehat{e}'_{r}, \cdots, e_{l}] = \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r} e_{r} + \mu_{i,r}^{2,i} e_{l+i},$$

$$C_{r,s}^{3} = [e_{l+1}, \cdots, e_{l+t}, e'_{1}, \cdots, \widehat{e}'_{r}, \cdots, \widehat{e}'_{s}, \cdots, e'_{l}] = \lambda_{r,s}^{3,r} e_{r} + \lambda_{r,s}^{3,s} e_{s},$$

where $1 \le i, j \le t, \ 1 \le r, s \le l$. Furthermore for $1 \le i \ne j \le t, \ 1 \le r \ne s \le l$, we obtain

$$\mu_{i,j}^{1,i} = (-1)^{t-r-i-1} \lambda_{j,r}^{2,r}, \quad \mu_{i,j}^{1,j} = (-1)^{t-r-j} \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r}, \quad \mu_{i,r}^{2,i} = (-1)^{-j-i} \mu_{j,r}^{2,j},$$

$$\lambda_{r,s}^{3,r} = (-1)^{t-r-i} \mu_{i,s}^{2,i}, \quad \lambda_{r,s}^{3,s} = (-1)^{t-s-i-1} \mu_{i,r}^{2,i}, \quad \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r} = (-1)^{-s-r} \lambda_{i,s}^{2,s}.$$

For $1 \le i \ne j \le t, 1 \le r \ne s \le l$,

$$(-1)^{t-r-i}\mu_{i,r}^{2,i}C_{i,j}^{1} = \lambda_{j,r}^{2,r}C_{i,r}^{2} - \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r}C_{j,r}^{2}, \quad (-1)^{t-r-i}\lambda_{i,r}^{2,r}C_{r,s}^{3} = \mu_{i,s}^{2,i}C_{i,r}^{2} - \mu_{i,r}^{2,i}C_{i,s}^{2}, \\ (-1)^{s}\mu_{i,s}^{2,i}\lambda_{j,r}^{2,r}C_{i,r}^{2} + (-1)^{r}\mu_{i,r}^{2,i}\lambda_{i,s}^{2,s}C_{j,s}^{2} = (-1)^{r}\mu_{i,r}^{2,i}\lambda_{j,s}^{2,s}C_{i,s}^{2} + (-1)^{s}\mu_{i,s}^{2,i}\lambda_{i,r}^{2,r}C_{j,r}^{2}.$$

It follows that \mathfrak{g}^1 is spanned by $C_{1,1}^2, C_{1,2}^2, \cdots, C_{1,l}^2, C_{2,l}^2, \cdots, C_{t,l}^2$. It contradicts dim $\mathfrak{g}^1 = l + t$. Therefore, $l \neq k-2$.

If l < k - 2, then l < n - 1, that is, $n - l \ge 2$. We obtain an (n - l)-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$ (as vector spaces) with the multiplication given as follows

$$[x_1, \cdots, x_{n-l}]_0 = [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-l}, e'_1, \cdots, e'_l], \quad \forall x_1, \cdots, x_{n-l} \in \mathfrak{g}_0.$$
(4.3)

It is easy to check

$$B([x_1,\cdots,x_{n-l-1},z]_0,y) = -B([x_1,\cdots,x_{n-l-1},y]_0,z)$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_{n-l-1}, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. It follows that (\mathfrak{g}_0, B) is a metric (n-l)-Lie algebra.

We claim $\mathfrak{g}_0^1 = \langle e_{l+1}, \cdots, e_{l+t} \rangle$ and $C(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \langle e_1, \cdots, e_l, e'_1, \cdots, e'_l \rangle$.

In fact, set $[x_1, \dots, x_{n-l}]_0 = \sum_{r=1}^l a_r e_r + \sum_{j=1}^l b_j e_{l+j}$, where $x_1, \dots, x_{n-l} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Thanks to the identity (4.2), for $1 \leq s \leq l$

$$a_s = B(a_s e_s, e'_s) = B([x_1, \cdots, x_{n-l}]_0 - \sum_{r=1, r \neq s}^l a_r e_r - \sum_{j=1}^l b_j e_{l+j}, \ e'_s) = 0.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}_0 = C(\mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^1$. Thus (\mathfrak{g}_0^1, B) is a *t*-dimensional perfect metric (n - l)-Lie algebra. By Corollary 3.8, dim $\mathfrak{g}_0^1 \ge m(n-l+1)$ for some positive integer *m*. It is a contradiction. Therefore, l = k - 1.

Theorem 4.4. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a non-abelian (n + k)-dimensional metric n-Lie algebra, where $2 \leq k \leq n+1$ and the center is isotropic. Then there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, \dots, e_{n+1}$, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{n+k} of \mathfrak{g} such that $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} \rangle$, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$, and

$$B(e_r, e_{n+1+s}) = \delta_{rs}, \quad B(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $1 \le r, s \le k-1$; $k \le i, j \le n+1$, the multiplication table under the basis is

(1)
$$\begin{cases} [e_k, \cdots, \widehat{e_i}, \cdots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \cdots, e_{n+k}] = (-1)^{n+i} a e_i, & k \le i \le n+1, \\ [e_k, \cdots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \cdots, \widehat{e_{n+1+r}}, \cdots, e_{n+k}] = (-1)^{r+1} a e_r, & 1 \le r \le k-1, \end{cases}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \neq 0$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 4.3, there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, \dots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{n+k}$ of \mathfrak{g} satisfying the identity (4.4), where $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} \rangle$, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$. Let

$$[e_k, \cdots, \hat{e}_q, \cdots, e_{n+k}] = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a_{qr} e_r + \sum_{i=k}^{n+1} b_{qi} e_i, \quad k \le q \le n+k.$$

The theorem follows from the direct computation according to eqs. (2.3) and (4.4).

Theorem 4.5. Let (\mathfrak{g}, B) be a non-abelian (n + k)-dimensional metric n-Lie algebra, where $2 \le k \le n+1$. Then (\mathfrak{g}, B) is one of the following cases up to isomorphisms.

- (1) If the center of \mathfrak{g} is isotropic, then \mathfrak{g} is the case (1) in Theorem 4.4.
- (2) Assume that the center of \mathfrak{g} is non-isotropic. If \mathfrak{g} is reductive, there exists a basis $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, e_1, \dots, \dots, e_{n+1}$ of \mathfrak{g} , satisfying

$$\begin{cases}
B(x_p, x_q) = \delta_{pq}, \ 1 \le p, q \le k - 1; \\
B(x_p, e_i) = 0, \ 1 \le p \le k - 1, \ 1 \le i \le n + 1; \\
B(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}, \ 1 \le i, j \le n + 1,
\end{cases}$$
(4.5)

and the multiplication table is given as follows:

(2)
$$[e_1, \cdots, \widehat{e}_r, \cdots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^{r+1} c e_r,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0, 1 \leq r \leq n+1$.

If \mathfrak{g} is non-reductive, that is, $C(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}^1 \neq 0$. Then there exists a basis x_1, \dots, x_l , $e_1, \dots, e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+k-l}$ of \mathfrak{g} satisfying $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_l, e_1, \dots, e_{k_{1}-1} \rangle$, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k_{1}-1}, e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$,

$$\begin{cases} B(x_p, x_q) = \delta_{pq}, \ 1 \le p, q \le l; \\ B(x_p, e_m) = 0, \ 1 \le p \le l, \ 1 \le m \le n + k - l; \\ B(e_r, e_s) = \delta_{rs}, \ k_1 \le r, s \le n + 1; \\ B(e_i, e_{n+1+j}) = \delta_{ij}, \ 1 \le i, j \le k_1 - 1, \\ B(e_{n+1+i}, e_{n+1+j}) = 0, \ 1 \le i, j \le k_1 - 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.6)$$

and the multiplication table is as follows

(3)
$$\begin{cases} [e_{k_1}, \cdots, \widehat{e_i}, \cdots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \cdots, e_{n+k-l}] = (-1)^{n+i} \ a \ e_i, \ k_1 \le i \le n+1; \\ [e_{k_1}, \cdots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \cdots, \widehat{e_{n+1+r}}, \cdots, e_{n+k-l}] = (-1)^{r+1} \ a \ e_r, \ 1 \le r \le k_1 - 1, \\ where \ 1 \le l < k-1, \ k_1 = \dim(C(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}^1) = k-l-1 \ge 1, \ a \in \mathbb{C}, a \ne 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The result (1) follows the Theorem 4.4. The case (2) and identity (4.5) follow from the direct computation by [12, 16] and the identity (2.3). Lastly assume that the center of \mathfrak{g} is non-isotropic and \mathfrak{g} is non-reductive. The theorem follows from Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.3.

Summarizing above result, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.6. Let \mathfrak{g} be a (n+k)-dimensional metric n-Lie algebra with $2 \le k \le n+1$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = 0$ or $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = n+1$.

Acknowledgments

The first and second authors are partially supported by NSF of China (10871192) and NSF of Hebei Province, China (A2010000194).

References

- J. BAGGER and N. LAMBERT, Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes, *Phys. Rev.* D 77 (2008), 065008.
- [2] J. BAGGER and N. LAMBERT, Modeling multiple M2s, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007), 045020.
- [3] R. BAI and D. MENG, The strong semisimple n-Lie algebra, Comm. Algebra 31(11) (2003): 5331–5341.
- [4] R. BAI and D. MENG, The representation of simple (n + 1)-dimensional n-Lie algebras, J. Math. Res. Exposition 26(4) (2006): 659–673.
- [5] R. BAI and D. MENG, Representations of strong semisimple n-Lie algebras, Adv. Math (China) 35(6) (2006): 739–746.
- [6] R. BAI, W. WU and Z. LI, Metric n-Lie Algebras, arXiv: 1004.3825.
- [7] A. DZHUMADIL'DAEV, Representations of Vector Product n-Lie algebras, Commu. Algebra 32 (2004): 3315–3326.
- [8] J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL, Lorentzian Lie n-algebras, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008), 113509.
- [9] J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL, Metric Lie n-algebras and double extensions, arXiv: 0806.3534 [math.RT].

- [10] J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and G. PAPADOPOULOS, Plucker-type relations for orthogonal planes, J. Geom. Phys. 49 (2004), 294331.
- [11] J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and G. PAPADOPOULOS, Maximal supersymmetric solutions of ten- and elevendimensional supergravity, J. High Energy Phys. 03, 048.
- [12] V. FILIPPOV, n-Lie algebras, Sib. Mat. Zh. 26(6) (1985): 126–140.
- [13] A. GUSTAVSSON, Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 811 (2009), 66.
- [14] P. Ho, R. Hou and Y. MATSUO, Lie 3-algebra and multiple M₂-branes, J. High Energy Phys. 08, 020.
- [15] Y. JIN, W. LIU and Z. ZHANG, Real simple n-Lie algebras admitting metric structures, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009), 485206.
- [16] W. LING, On the structure of n-Lie algebras, Dissertation, University-GHS-Siegen, Siegen, 1993.
- [17] P. MEDEIROS, J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and E. MEDEZ-ESCORBAR, LORENTZIAN Lie 3-algebras and their Bagger-Lambert moduli: space, J. High Energy Phys. 08, 111.
- [18] P. MEDEIROS, J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and E. MEDEZ-ESCORBAR, Metric Lie 3-algebras in Bagger-Lambert theory, J. High Energy Phys. 08, 045.
- [19] P. MEDEIROS, J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and E. MEDEZ-ESCORBAR, Metric 3-Lie algebras for unitary Bagger-Lambert theory, J. High Energy Phys. 09, 037.
- [20] Y. NAMBU, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1973): 2405–2412.
- [21] G. PAPADOPOULOS, M2-branes, 3-Lie algebras and Plucker relations, J. High Energy Phys. 08, 054.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, P.R. CHINA *E-mail address:* bairp1@yahoo.com.cn

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P.R. China E-mail address: wuwanqing8888@126.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA *E-mail address*: chenzhiqi@nankai.edu.cn