

SU(N) Coherent States and Irreducible Schwinger Bosons

Manu Mathur¹ and Indrakshi Raychowdhury²

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences
JD Block, Sector III, Salt Lake City, Calcutta 700098, India

Abstract

We exploit the SU(N) irreducible Schwinger boson to construct SU(N) coherent states. This construction of SU(N) coherent state is analogous to the construction of the simplest Heisenberg-Weyl coherent states. The coherent states belonging to irreducible representations of SU(N) are labeled by the eigenvalues of the $(N - 1)$ SU(N) Casimir operators and are characterized by $(N - 1)$ complex orthonormal vectors describing the SU(N) group manifold.

I Introduction

The concept of coherent states was introduced by Schrödinger [1] in the context of a harmonic oscillator. These harmonic oscillator coherent states, also called canonical coherent states, have been widely used in physics [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The next important coherent states are spin coherent states or SU(2) coherent states which are associated with angular momentum or the SU(2) group. Like canonical coherent states, they too have found wide applications in different branches of physics such as quantum optics, statistical mechanics, nuclear physics and condensed matter physics [2, 5, 6, 7, 8]. It is known that these spin coherent states can also be constructed using harmonic oscillators by exploiting either the HolsteinPrimakov or the Schwinger boson representation of the SU(2) Lie algebra [9, 10, 11]. This harmonic oscillator formulation of spin coherent states is appealing because of its simplicity as this construction is analogous to the simplest and oldest canonical coherent state construction. Further, unlike the standard construction of coherent states [6], this method does not require any knowledge of group representations or group elements and their actions on a particular weight vector, leading to many technical simplifications (see section II). Infact, these SU(2) coherent states in terms of harmonic oscillators have been implicitly contained in the seminal work of Schwinger [9] way back in 1952. The aim of the present work is to show that the above simple, uniform and explicit construction of canonical and spin or SU(2) coherent states can also be easily extended to all higher SU(N) groups. This is in contrast to the standard construction of SU(N) coherent states (i.e., by applying the SU(N) group elements on a particular weight vector) which is known to become more and more tedious as N increases [12, 13]. In the past, this problem has led to various different approaches [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] to construct SU(3) and SU(N) coherent states. In [12] SU(N) coherent states belonging to SU(N) symmetric representations are constructed by using fixed order polynomials of complex N-plets. In [13] a very special characterization of SU(N) group elements is exploited to construct SU(N) coherent states. In [14, 15, 16] Schwinger boson representation of SU(N) Lie algebra is used to construct SU(N) coherent states. In [17] the Schwinger oscillator representation of SU(3)

¹manu@bose.res.in

²indrakshi@bose.res.in

coherent states is analyzed to discuss its relationship with the standard harmonic oscillator coherent states. In [18] SU(3) coherent states are constructed using a special parametrization of SU(3) group elements.

The present work exploits the SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons [19, 20] to construct SU(N) coherent states belonging to an arbitrary irreducible representation of SU(N). By definition, the SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons creation operators carry all the symmetries of SU(N) irreducible representations (see section III and IV). As a result all SU(N) irreducible representation states are monomials (not polynomials) of SU(N) irreducible Schwinger boson creation operators acting on the corresponding vacuum states. Therefore, as in the case of canonical and spin coherent states, they are the natural candidates for constructing the SU(N) coherent states. These coherent states are defined on the SU(N) group manifold characterized by an orthonormal set of $(N - 1)$ complex SU(N) vectors. In addition, the SU(N) coherent states are labeled by the integer eigenvalues of $(N - 1)$ Casimir operators which are the $(N - 1)$ types of Schwinger boson number operators (see sections III and IV).

The organization of the paper is as follows. We start with a very brief discussion on harmonic oscillator or canonical coherent states because the SU(N) coherent state construction in this work is analogous to this simplest construction. In section II, we illustrate this similarity by constructing SU(2) coherent states in terms of a doublet of harmonic oscillators or equivalently SU(2) Schwinger bosons. As mentioned earlier, this construction has been exploited by Schwinger [9] to compute SU(2) recoupling coefficients. At the end of section II, the simplifications obtained by this Schwinger boson approach to coherent states over the standard approach of applying a SU(2) group element on a particular weight vector are highlighted. In sections III and IV we further extend the above SU(2) construction to SU(3) and SU(N) respectively. These SU(3) and then SU(N) extensions of SU(2) coherent states are again trivial as they correspond to:

- replacing SU(2) Schwinger boson doublet by $(N - 1)$ SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons N-plets,
- replacing SU(2) group manifold (i.e., a doublet of complex numbers) by SU(N) group manifold (i.e., $N - 1$ N-plets of complex numbers).

The section III on SU(3) is added to make the transition from SU(2) (section II) to SU(N) (section IV) easy.

In the simplest example of the Heisenberg-Weyl group, the Lie algebra contains three generators. It is defined in terms of creation annihilation operators (a, a^\dagger) satisfying

$$[a, a^\dagger] = \mathcal{I}, \quad [a, \mathcal{I}] = 0, \quad [a^\dagger, \mathcal{I}] = 0. \quad (1)$$

This algebra has only one infinite dimensional unitary irreducible representation. The states within this representation are the occupation number states $|n\rangle \equiv \frac{(a^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle$ with $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The coherent states of the Heisenberg-Weyl group are defined over a complex manifold as:

$$|z\rangle_{[\infty]} = \exp(za^\dagger) |0\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(z) |n\rangle. \quad (2)$$

In (2) the subscript $[\infty]$ on the coherent states is the irreducible representation index. It implies that these coherent states are defined over the infinite dimensional irreducible representation of the group. The sum in (2) runs over all the basis vectors $|n\rangle$ belonging to this infinite dimensional representation. The coefficients:

$$F_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \quad (3)$$

are the coherent state expansion coefficients which are analytic functions of the group manifold coordinate z . The resolution of identity property of the coherent state (2) follows from the group transformation property. Let us define the operator: $O_{[\infty]} \equiv \int e^{-|z|^2} dz d\bar{z} |z\rangle_{[\infty]} \langle z|_{[\infty]}$. Under the Heisenberg Weyl group element [6] $g_{hw} \equiv \exp(i\alpha + wa - \bar{w}a^\dagger) : |z\rangle_{[\infty]} \rightarrow e^{i\alpha + zw - \frac{w\bar{w}}{2}} |z - \bar{w}\rangle_{[\infty]}$. It is trivial to see that the operator $O_{[\infty]}$ defined above is invariant under g_{hw} . Therefore, by Schurs lemma it is proportional to unity operator.

The purpose of this work is to generalize (2), (3) for Heisenberg-Weyl group to SU(N) for arbitrary N (see (10), (12) for SU(2); (30), (32) for SU(3) and (47), (49) for SU(N)). We start with SU(2) construction [9, 10] first.

II SU(2) Coherent States

The Heisenberg Weyl coherent state construction can be readily generalized to the simplest compact group SU(2) by utilizing the Schwinger representation of SU(2) Lie algebra: $[J^a, J^b] = ie^{abc} J^c$. We define [9]:

$$J^a \equiv \frac{1}{2} a_\alpha^\dagger (\sigma^a)^\alpha_\beta a^\beta. \quad (4)$$

In (4) σ^a with $a = 1, 2, 3$ denote the three Pauli matrices. The doublet of harmonic oscillator creation and annihilation operators a^α and a_α^\dagger or equivalently Schwinger bosons in (4) satisfy the simple bosonic commutation relations $[a^\alpha, a_\beta^\dagger] = \delta^\alpha_\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$. The vacuum state $|0, 0\rangle$ of these two oscillators will be denoted by $|0\rangle$. Under SU(2) transformations the Schwinger boson creation operators transform as doublets:

$$a_\alpha^\dagger \rightarrow a_\beta^\dagger \left(\exp i\theta^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \right)_\alpha^\beta. \quad (5)$$

The defining equations (4) imply that the SU(2) Casimir operator is simply the total number operator:

$$C \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 a_\alpha^\dagger a^\alpha \equiv a^\dagger \cdot a. \quad (6)$$

The eigenvalues of C will be denoted by n . The various states in the irreducible representation $n (= 2j)$ are:

$$|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n\rangle_{[n]} \equiv a_{\alpha_1}^\dagger a_{\alpha_2}^\dagger \dots a_{\alpha_n}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (7)$$

The corresponding SU(2) Young tableau is shown in Figure (1). Note that the state in (7) is invariant under all $n!$ permutations of the SU(2) indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. This is because all SU(2) creation operators on the right hand side of (7) commute amongst themselves. In other words, the SU(2) Schwinger boson creation operators carry the symmetries of the SU(2) Young tableau³ which is shown in Figure (1). Therefore, the $(n+1)$ states in (7) belong to SU(2) irreducible representation with total angular momentum $j = \frac{n}{2}$.

The SU(2) group manifold S^3 can also be described by a doublet of complex numbers (z^1, z^2) of unit magnitude:

$$|z|^2 \equiv |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1. \quad (8)$$

This is because any SU(2) matrix \mathcal{U}_2 can be written as:

$$\mathcal{U}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ -z_2^* & z_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

³This obvious symmetry argument will not be true for higher SU(N) (sections III and IV) leading to the definition of SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons. In terms of SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons the SU(N) irreducible states will be monomials like (7).




Figure 1: SU(2) Young table in the $n = 2j$ representation. The monomial state (7) carries the horizontal permutation symmetries of this Young tableau.

with $\mathcal{U}_2^\dagger \mathcal{U}_2 = \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{U}_2^\dagger = 1$, $|\mathcal{U}_2| = 1$. At this stage one can trivially combine the SU(2) irreducible states in (7) and the SU(2) group manifold coordinates in (8) to construct the generating function of the SU(2) coherent states:

$$|z\rangle \equiv |z^1, z^2\rangle = \exp(z \cdot a^\dagger) |0\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z \cdot a^\dagger)^n}{n!} |0\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |z\rangle_n. \quad (10)$$

Above $z \cdot a^\dagger \equiv z^1 a_1^\dagger + z^2 a_2^\dagger$ and $|z\rangle_{[n]}$ is the coherent state in the SU(2) representation $j = n/2$:

$$|z\rangle_{[n]} = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n=1}^2 F^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}(z) a_{\alpha_1}^\dagger a_{\alpha_2}^\dagger \dots a_{\alpha_n}^\dagger |0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n=1}^2 F^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}(z) \underbrace{|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n=2j}\rangle}_{SU(2) \text{ irrep. } j=\frac{n}{2}} \quad (11)$$

Like in Heisenberg Weyl case (3), the SU(2) coherent state structure functions in the irreducible representation $j = \frac{n}{2}$ are:

$$F^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}(z^1, z^2) \equiv \frac{1}{n!} z^{\alpha_1} z^{\alpha_2} \dots z^{\alpha_n}. \quad (12)$$

Note that they are analytic functions of group manifold coordinates. The resolution of identity property again follows from the group transformation laws. The coherent state structure in (10) and the the SU(2) transformations (5) imply that under group transformations: $|z^1, z^2\rangle_{[n]} \rightarrow |z'^1, z'^2\rangle_{[n]}$ where (z'^1, z'^2) are the SU(2) rotated coherent state co-ordinates:

$$z'^\alpha = \left(\exp i(\theta^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2}) \right)_\beta^\alpha z^\beta. \quad (13)$$

Therefore, under the SU(2) transformations the coherent states $|z\rangle \equiv |z_1, z_2\rangle$ transform amongst themselves on S^3 as the constraint (8) remains invariant under (13). Again we define the operator:

$$\mathcal{O}_{[n]} \equiv \int d\mu(z) (|z\rangle_{[n]} \langle z|) = \int d^2 z^1 d^2 z^2 \delta(|z^1|^2 + |z^2|^2 - 1) |z\rangle_{[n]} \langle z|. \quad (14)$$

The operator $\mathcal{O}_{[n]}$ is invariant under all SU(2) transformations of the coherent states $|z\rangle_{[n]}$. Therefore,

$$[Q^a, \mathcal{O}_{[n]}] = 0, \quad \forall a = 1, 2, \dots, 8 \quad (15)$$

The Schur's Lemma implies that $\mathcal{O}_{[n]}$ is proportional to identity operator. Before generalizing (10) to SU(N), it is illustrative to briefly mention the standard group theoretical coherent state construction procedure [6]. We characterize the SU(2) group elements U by the Euler angles, i.e, $U(\theta, \phi, \psi) \equiv \exp -i\phi J_3 \exp -i\theta J_2 \exp -i\psi J_3$. The SU(2) coherent states are constructed as:

$$|\theta, \phi, \psi\rangle_j = U(\theta, \phi, \psi) |j, j\rangle, = \sum_{m=-j}^{+j} C_m(\theta, \phi, \psi) |j, m\rangle,$$

The coefficients $C_m(\theta, \phi, \psi)$ are given by,

$$C_m(\theta, \phi, \psi) = e^{-i(m\phi+j\psi)} \left[\frac{2j!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right]^{j-m} \left[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right]^{j+m}.$$

It is clear that the corresponding construction is difficult for higher SU(N) group as we need to know all the SU(N) representations, Euler angles and the group elements to implement this procedure. On the other hand, the coherent states in (10) are straightforward generalization of the Heisenberg-Weyl coherent states in (2) and bypass all the problems mentioned above. Our aim in this work is to further extend this simple coherent state construction to SU(N) with arbitrary N. As we will see the only new input required for this purpose is the replacement of SU(2) Schwinger bosons by SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons [19, 20]. We first deal with SU(3) group in detail.

III SU(3) Coherent States

We start with a brief review of SU(3) irreducible Schwinger bosons [19, 20] and then construct SU(3) coherent states.

III.1 The Irreducible Schwinger Boson Representations of SU(3)

As the rank of SU(3) group is two, we need two independent triplets to construct any arbitrary irrep of SU(3). We take them to be two independent harmonic oscillator triplets or equivalently Schwinger boson and denote them by: $a_\alpha^\dagger[1]$ and $a_\alpha^\dagger[2]$. The SU(3) generators in terms of these Schwinger bosons are:

$$Q^a = a^\dagger[1] \frac{\lambda^a}{2} a[1] + a^\dagger[2] \frac{\lambda^a}{2} a[2], \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, 8. \quad (16)$$

In (16) λ^a 's are the Gell-Mann matrices. Under SU(3) transformations both types of Schwinger boson creation operators transform as triplets:

$$a_\alpha^\dagger[i] \rightarrow a_\beta^\dagger[i] \left(\exp i\theta^a \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \right)_\alpha^\beta \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (17)$$

The defining equations (16) immediately imply that the two SU(3) Casimirs commuting with all the generators are the two total number operators:

$$C[1] \equiv \mathcal{N}_1 \equiv a^\dagger[1] \cdot a[1], \quad C_2 \equiv \mathcal{N}_2 \equiv a^\dagger[2] \cdot a[2]. \quad (18)$$

It is obvious that $[C[i], Q^a] = 0, \forall i = 1, 2; a = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ as each Q^a contains one creation and one annihilation operator of either type $[i=1]$ or $[i=2]$. Their eigenvalues are denoted by n_1 and n_2 respectively. The corresponding irreducible representation with $n_1 \geq n_2$ is denoted⁴ by $[n_1, n_2]$. The associated SU(3) Young tableau is shown in Figure (2).

The monomial states constructed out of the two SU(3) fundamental Schwinger bosons:

$$|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{n_1}; \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n_2}\rangle \equiv \left(a_{\alpha_1}^\dagger[1] a_{\alpha_2}^\dagger[1] \cdots a_{\alpha_{n_1}}^\dagger[1] \right) \left(a_{\beta_1}^\dagger[2] a_{\beta_2}^\dagger[2] \cdots a_{\beta_{n_2}}^\dagger[2] \right) |0\rangle \quad (19)$$

⁴Note that n_1 and n_2 are the numbers of two SU(3) triplets and not triplets and anti-triplets. As an example, $[1, 1]$ represents the anti-triplet 3^* representation.




Figure 2: SU(3) Young table in the $[n_1, n_2]$ representation. The monomial state (27) in terms of SU(3) irreducible Schwinger bosons and not (19) carries all the symmetries of this SU(3) Young tableau.

are eigenstates of $C[1]$ and $C[2]$ with eigenvalues n_1 and n_2 respectively. However, unlike SU(2) case where the monomial states (7) are SU(2) irreducible, the corresponding monomial states (19) are SU(3) reducible and do not form the SU(3) irreducible representation $[n_1, n_2]$. Like in SU(2) case, the monomial state (19) carries the horizontal symmetries of SU(3) Young tableaux as the Schwinger bosons creation operators on the right hand side commute amongst themselves. However, the vertical antisymmetry needs to be imposed to get SU(3) irreducibility. We achieve this by imposing the following constraint [20, 21]:

$$\hat{L}_{12} \equiv a^\dagger[1] \cdot a[2] = 0 \quad (20)$$

on the monomial states (19). As an example, the simple $[n_1 = 1, n_2 = 1]$ irreducible representation of SU(3) corresponds to the anti-triplet 3^* and the corresponding states are given by:

$$|\alpha; \beta\rangle_{[n_1=1, n_2=1]} = -|\beta; \alpha\rangle_{[n_1=1, n_2=1]} \equiv (a_\alpha^\dagger[1]a_\beta^\dagger[2] - a_\beta^\dagger[1]a_\alpha^\dagger[2])|0\rangle. \quad (21)$$

The three states in (21) trivially satisfy the constraint (20) as they are anti-symmetric. Therefore, they belong to SU(3) irreducible representation 3^* . In recent works [20, 19] we have defined SU(3) irreducible Schwinger bosons $A^\dagger[i]$ with $i = 1, 2$ as:

$$A_\alpha^\dagger[1] = a_\alpha^\dagger[1] \quad (22)$$

$$A_\alpha^\dagger[2] = a_\alpha^\dagger[2] - \frac{1}{N_1 - N_2 + 2} (a^\dagger[2] \cdot a[1]) a_\alpha^\dagger[1] \quad (23)$$

The SU(3) irreducible Schwinger bosons in (23) are constructed such that [19, 20]:

$$\begin{aligned} [(a^\dagger[1] \cdot a[2]), A^{\dagger\alpha}[1]] &\simeq 0 \\ [(a^\dagger[1] \cdot a[2]), A^{\dagger\beta}[2]] &\simeq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Where, ' $\simeq 0$ ' means that the commutators are weakly zero. In other words, the above commutators annihilate all SU(3) irreducible states satisfying (20). The irreducible Schwinger bosons further satisfy:

$$[A_\alpha^\dagger[1], A_\beta^\dagger[1]] = 0, \quad [A_\alpha^\dagger[2], A_\beta^\dagger[2]] = 0. \quad (25)$$

The defining equations (23) imply that their transformation properties are exactly same as (33):

$$A_\alpha^\dagger[i] \rightarrow A_\beta^\dagger[i] \left(\exp i\theta^a \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \right)_\alpha^\beta \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (26)$$

We now consider the most general monomial state constructed out of SU(3) irreducible Schwinger bosons:

$$|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n_1}; \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{n_2}\rangle_{[n_1, n_2]} \equiv \left(A_{\beta_1}^\dagger [2] A_{\beta_2}^\dagger [2] \dots A_{\beta_{n_2}}^\dagger [2] \right) \left(A_{\alpha_1}^\dagger [1] A_{\alpha_2}^\dagger [1] \dots A_{\alpha_{n_1}}^\dagger [1] \right) |0\rangle. \quad (27)$$

This monomial state directly creates the SU(3) Young tableau with n_1 and n_2 boxes in the first and second rows respectively. This is because the inbuilt constraint (20) ensures the vertical antisymmetry and the commutators (25) ensure the horizontal symmetries of SU(3) Young tableau. Therefore, the monomial states in (27) belong to $[n_1, n_2]$ irreducible representation of SU(3). We now exploit this simple fact to further extend the definition of Heisenberg Weyl, SU(2) coherent states (2) and (10) to SU(3) group.

III.2 Construction of SU(3) Coherent States

Similar to SU(2) case (8) and (9), the eight dimensional SU(3) group manifold can be characterized by two complex triplets: $z_\alpha[1]$ and $z_\alpha[2]$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) which satisfy the orthonormality constraints:

$$\bar{z}[1] \cdot z[1] = 1 = \bar{z}[2] \cdot z[2], \quad \bar{z}[1] \cdot z[2] = 0. \quad (28)$$

This is because any SU(3) matrix \mathcal{U}_3 can be written as:

$$\mathcal{U}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1[1] & z_1[2] & (\bar{z}[1] \wedge \bar{z}[2])_1 \\ z_2[1] & z_2[2] & (\bar{z}[1] \wedge \bar{z}[2])_2 \\ z_3[1] & z_3[2] & (\bar{z}[1] \wedge \bar{z}[2])_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

with $\mathcal{U}_3 \mathcal{U}_3^\dagger = \mathcal{U}_3^\dagger \mathcal{U}_3 = 1$ and $\det(\mathcal{U}_3) = |\mathcal{U}_3| = 1$ due to the orthonormality constraints (28).

We define the SU(3) coherent states generating function as:

$$|z[1], z[2]\rangle \equiv \exp(z[2] \cdot A^\dagger[2]) \exp(z[1] \cdot A^\dagger[1]) |0\rangle \quad (30)$$

Note that this construction is SU(3) extension of SU(2) coherent state generating function (10). We can project SU(3) coherent state in the representation $[n_1, n_2]$ by considering the corresponding term in the generating function (30):

$$\begin{aligned} |z[1], z[2]\rangle_{[n_1, n_2]} &\equiv \frac{(z[2] \cdot A^\dagger[2])^{n_2}}{n_2!} \frac{(z[1] \cdot A^\dagger[1])^{n_1}}{n_1!} |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n_1}=1}^3 \sum_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n_2}=1}^3 F^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n_1}; \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n_2}}(z[1], z[2]) \underbrace{|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n_1}; \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{n_2}\rangle_{[n_1, n_2]}}_{\text{SU(3) irrep. } [n_1, n_2]}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

In (31) the SU(3) coherent state structure functions,

$$F^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n_1}; \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n_2}}(z[1], z[2]) = \frac{1}{n_1! n_2!} z[1]^{\alpha_1} z[1]^{\alpha_2} \dots z[1]^{\alpha_{n_1}} z[2]^{\beta_1} z[2]^{\beta_2} \dots z[2]^{\beta_{n_2}}. \quad (32)$$

are analytic functions of SU(3) group manifold co-ordinates. Like in SU(2) case, the resolution of identity property follows from the group transformation laws. Using the SU(3) transformations (26), we find that the SU(3) coherent states transform as: $|z[1], z[2]\rangle_{[n_1, n_2]} \rightarrow |z'[1], z'[2]\rangle_{[n_1, n_2]}$ where

$$z'^\alpha[1] = \left(\exp i(\theta^a \frac{\lambda^a}{2}) \right)_\beta^\alpha z^\beta[1], \quad z'^\alpha[2] = \left(\exp i(\theta^a \frac{\lambda^a}{2}) \right)_\beta^\alpha z^\beta[2]. \quad (33)$$

Again like in SU(2) case, $z[1]$ & $z[2]$ transform like SU(3) triplets, the orthonormality conditions (28) remains invariant under the SU(3) transformations. In other words, the coherent state (48) defined at a point $(z[1], z[2])$ transform to the coherent state at $(z'[1], z'[2])$ on the SU(3) group manifold. Therefore, the operator $\mathcal{O}_{[n_1, n_2]}$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{[n_1, n_2]} \equiv \int d\mu(z) \left(|z[1], z[2]\rangle_{[n_1, n_2]} \langle z[1], z[2]| \right) \quad (34)$$

with SU(3) Haar measure

$$\int d\mu(z) \equiv \left(\int d^2z[1] d^2z[2] \right) \left(\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^2 \delta(z[\alpha].z^*[\beta] - \delta_{\alpha, \beta}) \right)$$

is invariant under all SU(3) transformations (33):

$$[Q^a, \mathcal{O}_{[n_1, n_2]}] = 0, \quad \forall a = 1, 2, \dots, 8 \quad (35)$$

Therefore, by Schur's Lemma $\mathcal{O}_{[n_1, n_2]}$ is proportional to identity operator.

The SU(3) coherent states (31) and the structure functions (32) are straightforward generalization of the SU(2) coherent states (10) and the corresponding structure functions (12) respectively. The latter, in turn, are SU(2) generalization of the oldest Heisenberg-Weyl or harmonic oscillator coherent states (2) and the associated structure functions (3).

IV SU(N) coherent state

Like the previous section on SU(3), this section has two parts. In the first part we briefly describe the SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons [20] and in the second part we exploit it to define SU(N) coherent states.

IV.1 Irreducible Schwinger Boson Representations of SU(N)

The rank of SU(N) group is $(N - 1)$. Therefore, the fundamental constituents required to construct any arbitrary irrep. of SU(N) can be chosen to be $N - 1$ independent Schwinger boson N -plets: $a^{\dagger\alpha}[1], a^{\dagger\alpha}[2], a^{\dagger\alpha}[3], \dots, a^{\dagger\alpha}[N - 1]$ with $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$. The SU(N) generators in terms of these Schwinger bosons are:

$$Q^a = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a^\dagger[i] \frac{\Lambda^a}{2} a[i], \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, (N^2 - 1). \quad (36)$$

Above Λ^a 's are the generalization of Gell-Mann matrices for SU(N). The $N(N - 1)$ Harmonic oscillators present in (36) creates a $N(N - 1)$ dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{HO}}^{N(N-1)}$. The SU(N) transformations are:

$$a_\alpha^\dagger[i] \rightarrow a_\beta^\dagger[i] \left(\exp i\theta^a \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \right)_\alpha^\beta \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, (N - 1). \quad (37)$$

The defining equations (36) imply that the $(N - 1)$ Casimirs associated with SU(N) group, denoted by C_i , are the $(N - 1)$ number operators

$$\mathcal{N}_i \equiv C_i = a^\dagger[i] \cdot a[i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, (N - 1). \quad (38)$$




Figure 3: SU(N) Young table in the $[n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{N-1}]$ representation. The SU(N) irreducible Schwinger boson monomial state (44) and not (39) carries all the symmetries of this SU(N) Young tableau.

A particular SU(N) irreducible representation is labeled by their eigenvalues: $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]$. The SU(N) monomial eigenstate:

$$\left| \alpha_1^{[1]} \dots \alpha_{n_1}^{[1]}; \alpha_1^{[2]} \dots \alpha_{n_2}^{[2]}; \dots; \alpha_1^{[N-1]} \dots \alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]} \right\rangle \equiv \underbrace{a_{\alpha_1^{[1]}}^\dagger[1] \dots a_{\alpha_{n_1}^{[1]}}^\dagger[1]}_{n_1 \text{ of } a^\dagger[1] \dots} \dots \underbrace{a_{\alpha_1^{[N-1]}}^\dagger[N-1] \dots a_{\alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]}}^\dagger[N-1]}_{\dots n_{N-1} \text{ of } a^\dagger[N-1]} |0\rangle, \quad (39)$$

satisfies

$$C_i \left| \alpha_1^{[1]} \dots \alpha_{n_1}^{[1]}; \alpha_1^{[2]} \dots \alpha_{n_2}^{[2]}; \dots; \alpha_1^{[N-1]} \dots \alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]} \right\rangle = n_i \left| \alpha_1^{[1]} \dots \alpha_{n_1}^{[1]}; \alpha_1^{[2]} \dots \alpha_{n_2}^{[2]}; \dots; \alpha_1^{[N-1]} \dots \alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]} \right\rangle.$$

However, like in SU(3) case (19), these states are SU(N) reducible due to the presence of SU(N) invariants. These invariants can be removed by implementing the symmetries of the associated SU(N) Young tableau in Figure 3 which contains n_i boxes in the i^{th} row.

Like in SU(3) case we impose the following $\frac{(N-1)(N-2)}{2}$ constraints [21, 20]:

$$\hat{L}_{ij} = a^\dagger[i] \cdot a[j] \approx 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1) \text{ and } i < j. \quad (40)$$

on the monomial states (39). Like in SU(3) case, we can trivialize all the constraints in (40) in terms of SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons [20]. The SU(N) irreducible Schwinger boson creation operators acting on the vacuum directly create states having all the symmetries of the corresponding Young tableaux. These new irreducible Schwinger bosons $A^\dagger[i]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ are related to the ordinary Schwinger bosons in (36) as follows:

$$A^{\dagger\alpha}[k] = a^{\dagger\alpha}[k] + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum'_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}} F_{i_1}^k F_{i_2}^k \dots F_{i_r}^k \hat{L}_{ki_1} \hat{L}_{i_1 i_2} \dots \hat{L}_{i_{r-1} i_r} a^{\dagger\alpha}[i_r]. \quad (41)$$

In (41) $k = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)$ and the prime over the second summation (\sum') implies that the ordering $k > i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_r$ has to be maintained. The general form of $F_i^k(n_1, \dots, n_{N-1})$ is given by,

$$F_i^k = -\frac{1}{n_i - n_k + 1 + k - i}. \quad (42)$$

The defining equations (41) imply that the SU(N) irreducible Schwinger bosons also transform as SU(N) N-plets:

$$A_\alpha^\dagger[i] \rightarrow A_\beta^\dagger[i] \left(\exp i\theta^a \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \right)_\alpha^\beta \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1). \quad (43)$$

Therefore, as in SU(2) case, the Hilbert space created by the monomials of SU(N) irreducible Schwinger boson (41) creation operators is isomorphic to the space of irreducible representations of SU(N). In other words the state

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \alpha_1^{[1]}, \alpha_2^{[1]}, \dots, \alpha_{n_1}^{[1]}, \alpha_1^{[2]}, \alpha_2^{[2]}, \dots, \alpha_{n_2}^{[2]}, \dots, \alpha_1^{[N-1]}, \alpha_2^{[N-1]}, \dots, \alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]} \right\rangle_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]} \\ & \equiv \underbrace{\left(A^{\dagger\alpha_1^{[N-1]}}[N-1] \dots A^{\dagger\alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]}}[N-1] \right)}_{n_{N-1} \text{ of } A^\dagger[N-1]} \dots \underbrace{\left(A^{\dagger\alpha_1^{[2]}}[2] \dots A^{\dagger\alpha_{n_2}^{[2]}}[2] \right)}_{n_2 \text{ of } A^\dagger[2]} \underbrace{\left(A^{\dagger\alpha_1^{[1]}}[1] \dots A^{\dagger\alpha_{n_1}^{[1]}}[1] \right)}_{n_1 \text{ of } A^\dagger[1]} |0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

carries all the symmetries of an SU(N) Young tableaux shown in Figure 3.

IV.2 Construction of SU(N) Coherent States

Like in SU(2) and SU(3) cases in (8) and (28) respectively, we characterize the SU(N) group manifold by $N-1$ number of complex N-plets: $\{z_\alpha[i]\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ and $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N$ following orthonormality constraints:

$$\bar{z}[\alpha] \cdot z[\beta] = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}. \quad (45)$$

With the above parametrization any SU(N) matrix has the following form:

$$\mathcal{U}_N = \begin{pmatrix} z_1[1] & z_1[2] & \dots & \dots & z_1[N-1] & (\bar{z}[1] \wedge \bar{z}[2] \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{z}[N-1])_1 \\ z_2[1] & z_2[2] & \dots & \dots & z_2[N-1] & (\bar{z}[1] \wedge \bar{z}[2] \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{z}[N-1])_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_N[1] & z_N[2] & \dots & \dots & z_N[N-1] & (\bar{z}[1] \wedge \bar{z}[2] \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{z}[N-1])_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (46)$$

At this stage we generalize (11) and (31) to define the SU(N) coherent state generating function as:

$$|z[1], z[2], \dots, z[N-1]\rangle \equiv \exp(z[N-1] \cdot A^\dagger[N-1]) \dots \exp(z[2] \cdot A^\dagger[2]) \exp(z[1] \cdot A^\dagger[1]) |0\rangle. \quad (47)$$

Note that the coherent state generating function (47) contains all possible irreducible representations of SU(N). Further, the expressions for SU(N+1) and SU(N) coherent states differ only by the last exponential factor in (47). Therefore, the present SU(N) coherent state construction is iterative in nature. Now, projecting out a specific coherent state denoted by the set of particular values of the SU(N) Casimirs, i.e., $A^\dagger[i] \cdot A[i]$ having eigenvalue n_i with $i = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)$ and $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{N-1}$ we get the SU(N) coherent state in the irreducible representation $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} & |z[1], z[2], \dots, z[N-1]\rangle_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]} \equiv \frac{(z[N-1] \cdot A^\dagger[N-1])^{n_{N-1}}}{n_{N-1}!} \dots \frac{(z[2] \cdot A^\dagger[2])^{n_2}}{n_2!} \frac{(z[1] \cdot A^\dagger[1])^{n_1}}{n_1!} |0\rangle \\ & = \sum_{\alpha_1^{[1]}, \dots, \alpha_{n_1}^{[1]}=1}^N \sum_{\alpha_1^{[2]}, \dots, \alpha_{n_2}^{[2]}=1}^N \sum_{\alpha_1^{[N-1]}, \dots, \alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]}=1}^N F^{\alpha_1^{[1]} \dots \alpha_{n_1}^{[1]} \dots \alpha_1^{[N-1]} \dots \alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]}}(z[1], z[2], \dots, z[N-1]) \underbrace{\left| \alpha_1^{[1]} \dots \alpha_{n_1}^{[1]} \dots \alpha_1^{[N-1]} \dots \alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]} \right\rangle}_{SU(N) \text{ irrep. state (44)}}_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

In (48) the SU(N) coherent state structure functions are given by:

$$F^{\alpha_1^{[1]} \dots \alpha_{n_1}^{[1]} \dots \alpha_1^{[N-1]} \dots \alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]}} = \frac{1}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_{N-1}!} z[1]^{\alpha_1^{[1]}} \dots z[1]^{\alpha_{n_1}^{[1]}} \dots z[N-1]^{\alpha_1^{[N-1]}} \dots z[N-1]^{\alpha_{n_{N-1}}^{[N-1]}}. \quad (49)$$

The states in (48) depend smoothly on the SU(N) group manifold coordinates. We now check the resolution of identity. Like in the previous SU(2) and SU(3) sections, under SU(N) transformations (43) all the $(N-1)$ coherent state co-ordinates $z[i]$ transform as N-plets:

$$z_\alpha[i] \rightarrow z'_\alpha[i] = z_\beta[i] \left(\exp i \sum_{a=1}^{N^2-1} \theta^a \Lambda^a \right)_\alpha^\beta. \quad (50)$$

We again define the operator $\mathcal{O}_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]}$ as:

$$\mathcal{O}_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]} \equiv \int d\mu(z) \left(|z[1], z[2], \dots, z[N-1]\rangle_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]} \langle z[1], z[2], \dots, z[N-1]| \right)_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]}. \quad (51)$$

In (51) $\int d\mu(z)$ is the SU(N) invariant Haar measure:

$$\int d\mu(z) \equiv \left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \int d^2 z[\alpha] \right] \prod_{\alpha, \beta} \delta(z[\alpha].z^*[\beta] - \delta_{\alpha, \beta}).$$

Under SU(N) transformations (50), $\mathcal{O}_{[N]}$ remains invariant. Therefore,

$$[Q^a, \mathcal{O}_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]}] = 0, \quad \forall a = 1, 2, \dots, N^2 - 1. \quad (52)$$

The Schur's Lemma implies:

$$\mathcal{O}_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]} = I_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]}. \quad (53)$$

In (53) $I_{[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N-1}]}$ is proportional to identity operator in the irreducible representation subspace. We again emphasize that the SU(N) coherent states in (48) are the most straightforward extension of the Heisenberg Weyl, SU(2) and SU(3) coherent states in (2), (11) and (31) respectively.

V Conclusions

We have exploited SU(N) irreducible Schwinger boson creation operators to construct SU(N) coherent states. This construction is analogous to the simplest and the oldest harmonic oscillator coherent state construction. This procedure is iterative in N. It is also self contained as it does not require any prior knowledge of SU(N) group elements and their representations. This novel SU(N) coherent state construction can be used to compute SU(N) Clebsch-Gordan and recoupling coefficients. This amounts to generalizing the Schwinger method to compute these coefficients for SU(2) (see section 3 of [9] on the addition of angular momenta) to SU(N). This is particularly interesting as these SU(N) coupling coefficients for arbitrary N are not yet known in closed form. The work in this direction is in progress and will be reported elsewhere.

References

- [1] E. Schrödinger, *Naturwissenshafter*, **14**, 644, (1926).
- [2] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B-S 1985 *Coherent States: Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (Singapore: World Scientific),
Inomata A, Kuratsuji H and Gerry C C 1992 *Path Integrals and Coherent States of SU (2) and SU (1, 1)* (Singapore: World Scientific).
- [3] R. J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev.* **130** (1963) 2529.
- [4] E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10** 277. *Phys. Rev.* **131** (1963) 2766.
- [5] W. M. Zhang, D. H. Feng and R. Gilmore, “Coherent states: Theory and some Applications,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 867 (1990).
- [6] Perelomov A 1986 *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications* (New York: Springer),
Perelomov A 1977 *Sov. Phys.Usp.* 20 703,
A. M. Perelomov, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **26**, 222 (1972).
- [7] A. Auerbach, *Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism* , (Springer-Verlag, N.Y.), A. Auerbach and D.P. Arovas, in *Field Theories In Condensed Matter Physics*, Ed. Z. Tesanovich, Addison-Wesley (1990).
- [8] A. Klein E. R. Marshalek, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **63**, 375-557 (1991).
- [9] Schwinger J 1952 Atomic Energy Commission Report No NYO-3071,
Mattis D 1982 *The Theory of Magnetism* (New York: Harper and Row).
- [10] Radcliffe J M 1971 *J. Phys. A: Gen. Phys.* **4** 313
- [11] Eriksson K-E and Skagerstam B-S 1979 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **12** 2175
- [12] Gitman D M and Shelepin A 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 313.
- [13] Kae Nemoto, *J. Phys. A* **33** (2000) 3493.
- [14] Manu Mathur, Diptiman Sen, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 4181.
- [15] M. Mathur and H. S. Mani, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 5351 (2002) [arXiv:quant-ph/0206005].
- [16] R. R. Puri, *Phys. Rev. A* **50**, (1994) 5309.
- [17] S. Chaturvedi, N. Mukunda, *J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) 5278.
- [18] S. Gnutzmann and M. Kus, *J. Phys. A* **31**, (1998) 9871.
- [19] Ramesh Anishetty, Manu Mathur and Indrakshi Raychowdhury, *J. Math. Phys.* **50**, 053503 (2009) [arXiv:0901.0644 [math-ph]].
- [20] Manu Mathur, Indrakshi Raychowdhury and Ramesh Anishetty, arXiv:1003.5487 [math-ph] (to be published in *J. Math. Phys.*).
- [21] M. Moshinsky, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **34** 813 (1962), *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 1128 (1963).