

Oxygen and Neon Abundances of B-Type Stars in Comparison with the Sun *

Yoichi TAKEDA

*National Astronomical Observatory of Japan 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588
takeda.yoichi@nao.ac.jp*

Eiji KAMBE

*Okayama Astrophysical Observatory, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan
Asakuchi, Okayama 719-0232*

Kozo SADAKANE

*Astronomical Institute, Osaka Kyoiku University, Asahigaoka, Kashiwara-shi, Osaka 582-8582
and*

Seiji MASUDA

Tokushima Science Museum, Asutamu Land Tokushima, Itano-cho, Tokushima 779-0111

(Received 2010 June 17; accepted 2010 July 9)

Abstract

To revisit the long-standing problem of possible inconsistency concerning the oxygen composition in the current galactic gas and in the solar atmosphere (i.e., the former being appreciably lower by ~ 0.3 dex) apparently contradicting the galactic chemical evolution, we carried out oxygen abundance determinations for 64 mid- through late-B stars by using the O I 6156–8 lines while taking into account the non-LTE effect, and compared them with the solar O abundance established in the same manner. The resulting mean oxygen abundance was $\langle A^{\text{O}} \rangle = 8.71(\pm 0.06)$, which means that $[\text{O}/\text{H}]$ (star–Sun differential abundance) is ~ -0.1 , the difference being less significant than previously thought. Moreover, since the 3D correction may further reduce the reference solar oxygen abundance (8.81) by ~ 0.1 dex, we conclude that the photospheric O abundances of these B stars are almost the same as that of the Sun. We also determined the non-LTE abundances of neon for the sample B stars from Ne I 6143/6163 lines to be $\langle A^{\text{Ne}} \rangle = 8.02(\pm 0.09)$, leading to the Ne-to-O ratio of ~ 0.2 consistent with the recent studies. This excludes a possibility of considerably high Ne/O ratio once proposed as a solution to the confronted solar model problem.

Key words: line: formation — stars: abundances — stars: atmospheres — stars: early-type — Sun: abundances

1. Introduction

One of the controversial problems concerned by stellar spectroscopists over several decades is the inconsistency of CNO abundances between young early-type stars (e.g., B stars or A–F supergiants) and the Sun, where the former should reflect the composition of the current interstellar gas while the latter represents that of rather old galactic gas $\sim 5 \times 10^9$ yr ago. That is, various studies have embarrassingly suggested that the former is appreciably less than the latter by ~ 0.3 dex (see, e.g., Nissen 1993), which is just the opposite to what we speculate, because gas metallicity should rather increase with an elapse of time as a natural consequence of galactic chemical evolution.

Above all, the discrepancy of oxygen is important, which is (as one of the so-called α -elements) considered to be synthesized and distributed essentially by massive stars via type II supernovae. Unlike C and N which are known to suffer changes due to evolution-induced dredge-

up of CN-cycled processed material (e.g., giants or supergiants), the photospheric O abundance of any star is believed to generally retain the original composition of the gas from which it was formed, since the envelope mixing can not penetrate so deep in the interior as to salvage the O-burning products according to the standard theory of stellar evolution. Do we have to consider the possibility of much deeper mixing (i.e., dredge-up of ON-cycle products) due to some unknown non-canonical process, as discussed by Luck and Lambert (1985) being faced with the result of significant subsolar oxygen abundance by ~ 0.3 dex in F supergiants and Cepheids?

Meanwhile, a solution from a different viewpoint has recently been suggested, which is based on the argument that the actual solar CNO abundances (derived by considering 3D/NLTE corrections along with the most up-to-date atomic data) are by ~ 0.2 dex lower than those believed so far (cf. subsection 4.2 in Asplund et al. 2009). Actually, Przybilla, Nieva, and Butler’s (2008) recent non-LTE study of early B-type stars has demonstrated that the abundances agree well with these new solar values. Though this is surely a straightforward explanation (see also Luck & Lambert 1985), such a “downward revision”

* Based on data obtained at Okayama Astrophysical Observatory (Okayama, Japan).

has not been widely accepted yet, because it (in turn) causes a serious difficulty in interpreting data of solar seismological observations within the current framework of solar interior modeling (cf. subsection 4.3 in Asplund et al. 2009).

Given this confusing situation, we feel it necessary to reinvestigate whether or not such a Sun–gas abundance discrepancy really exists, based on a well-designed strategy and high-quality observational data, while paying attention to the following keypoints.

First, which class of stars, being young and representing the composition of current galactic gas, are the best objects for precisely determining the oxygen abundances? O-type stars are unsuitable for abundance studies because of their considerably unstable atmospheres with mass loss, while A-type stars tend to show abundance peculiarities (typically O-deficiency) in their atmospheres and are unlikely to keep their original composition. Regarding high-mass supergiants ranging over wide spectral classes (e.g., B–F), sufficient accuracy in abundance determinations is hard to attain because of the difficulty in modeling their low-gravity atmospheres (tending to be unstable) as well as in establishing atmospheric parameters. Accordingly, there is almost no better choice than to use B-type main-sequence stars for this purpose.

Second, which spectral lines should then be exploited in order to obtain differential oxygen abundances between the Sun and B-type stars as precisely as possible? Admittedly, several elaborate oxygen abundance analyses for B-stars have been done so far (e.g., Gies & Lambert 1992; Kilian 1992; Cunha & Lambert 1994; Korotin et al. 1999; Hempel & Holweger 2003; Przybilla et al. 2008; Niemczura et al. 2009). In our opinion, however, the weakpoint of these studies is that, although the abundances were established mostly from O II lines¹ (particularly seen in the spectra of early-B stars), they were directly compared with the solar oxygen abundance (based on O I or [O I] or OH lines) simply taken from the literature of solar composition (e.g., Anders & Grevesse 1989; Grevesse et al. 2007; Asplund et al. 2009). That is, in order to ensure an accurate abundance determination for a star relative to the Sun, a “differential analysis” is highly desirable, which is to carry out an analysis in the same manner for the Sun as well as B-stars by using the same line, by which uncertainties in gf values (an important source of systematic errors) can be canceled. We would here point out based on this standpoint that, among the several candidate oxygen lines observable in both B stars as well as in the Sun, O I triplet lines at the orange region of 6156–8 Å are most suitable for this purpose, because (1) they are neither too weak (reliably measurable

for both Sun/B-stars) nor too strong (less affected by uncertainties in microturbulence/damping parameters), (2) and the non-LTE effect is essentially negligible for the Sun (cf. Takeda & Honda 2005), which means that notorious ambiguities in neutral hydrogen collisions (serious source of uncertainties in non-LTE calculations for late-type stars such as the Sun) are irrelevant here.²

Thus, the primary motivation of this study is to determine the oxygen abundances of selected B-type main-sequence stars based on the O I 6156–8 lines and compare them with the solar abundance in order to see if there is any difference between these, while making use of our past experiences in O abundance determinations with these triplet lines for late-B through F supergiants or late-B/A dwarfs or FGK dwarfs including the Sun (cf. Takeda & Takada-Hidai 1998; Takeda et al. 1999; Takeda & Honda 2005).

In addition, as a by-product of the analysis, we decided to study the neon abundances of B-type stars, since Ne I 6143/6163 lines suitable for abundance determinations exist in the targeted spectral region. Though comparatively minor interest seems to have paid to Ne and only a few abundance studies were available in the past (e.g., Auer & Mihalas 1973; Dworetzky & Budaj 2000; Hempel & Holweger 2003), this α element (similar to oxygen) got particular attention recently and elaborate abundance studies have been published (Cunha et al. 2006; Morel & Butler 2008) because a possibility of considerably higher Ne/O ratio (than has ever been thought) was proposed as a key to resolve the serious solar model problem encountered for the case of downward revision of solar CNO abundances mentioned above. Though recent studies tend to be against this possibility (see also subsection 4.3 in Asplund et al. 2009), we intend to check it independently by ourselves, which constitutes the second aim of the present investigation.

2. Observational Data

The targets of this study are the selected 64 mid-though-late B-type stars mostly with spectral classes of B2–B9 and luminosity classes of III–V (cf. table 1), which are apparently bright ($V \sim 4\text{--}6.5$) as well as sharp-lined ($v_e \sin i \lesssim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in Abt & Morrell’s 1995 catalogue). These program stars are plotted on the $\log L$ vs. $\log T_{\text{eff}}$ diagram in figure 1, where theoretical evolutionary tracks corresponding to different stellar masses are also depicted. We can see from this figure that the masses of our sample stars are in the range between $\sim 3M_{\odot}$ and $\sim 9M_{\odot}$.

The observations were carried out on 2006 October 17–23 by using the High-Dispersion Echelle Spectrograph

¹ Regarding the analyses of Hempel and Holweger (2003) and Niemczura et al. (2009), both of which focused mainly on late-B stars, O I lines were used. However, much attention does not seem to have been paid to the reference solar oxygen abundance, for which they simply adopted the literature values. Besides, the targets of these two studies appear to include not a few chemically-peculiar stars, which makes their results comparatively less informative, as far as our purpose of investigating the oxygen composition for normal B stars is concerned.

² This concerns the reason why we do not invoke the well-known O I triplet lines at 7771–5 Å. While these are stronger than O I 6156–8 and thus more easily measurable in the spectra of both the Sun and B stars, they suffer appreciably large non-LTE corrections. Therefore, uncertainties in H I collision rates prevents from precisely establishing the solar oxygen abundance if these near-IR triplet lines are to be invoked (e.g., appendix 1 in Takeda & Honda 2005; see also Fabbian et al. 2009 or Pereira et al. 2009a, b for the recent progress in this field).




Fig. 1. Plots of 64 program stars on the theoretical HR diagram ($\log(L/L_{\odot})$ vs. $\log T_{\text{eff}}$), where the bolometric luminosity (L) was evaluated from the apparent visual magnitude with the help of Hipparcos parallax (ESA 1997), Arenou et al.’s (1992) interstellar extinction correction, and Flower’s (1996) bolometric correction. Theoretical evolutionary tracks corresponding to the solar metallicity computed by Lejeune and Schaerer (2001) for five different initial masses (3, 4, 5, 7, and 9 M_{\odot}) are also depicted for comparison.

(HIDES; Izumiura 1999) at the coudé focus of the 188 cm reflector of Okayama Astrophysical Observatory (OAO). Equipped with a $4K \times 2K$ CCD detector at the camera focus, the HIDES spectrograph enabled us to obtain an echellogram covering a wavelength range of 5600–6800 Å with a resolving power of $R \sim 70000$ (case for the normal slit width of 200 μm) in the mode of red cross-disperser.

The reduction of the spectra (bias subtraction, flat-fielding, scattered-light subtraction, spectrum extraction, wavelength calibration, and continuum normalization) was performed by using the “echelle” package of the software IRAF³ in a standard manner. For most of the targets, we could accomplish sufficiently high S/N ratio of several hundreds.

3. Atmospheric Parameters

The effective temperature (T_{eff}) and the surface gravity ($\log g$) of each program star were determined from the colors of Strömgren’s *uvby* photometric system with the help of Napiwotzki, Schönberner, and Wenske’s (1993) *uvbybetanew* program,⁴ which is based on Moon’s (1985) UVBYBETA (estimation of intrinsic colors corrected for interstellar reddening) and TEFFLOGG ($T_{\text{eff}}/\log g$ determi-

nation from dereddened colors) programs. The observational data of $b-y$, c_1 , m_1 , and β were taken from Hauck and Mermilliod (1998) via the SIMBAD database. The resulting T_{eff} and $\log g$ are summarized in table 1. Their typical errors may be estimated as $\sim 3\%$ in T_{eff} and ~ 0.2 dex in $\log g$ for the present case of mid-through-late B stars, according to Napiwotzki et al. (1993; cf. their section 5). The model atmosphere for each star was then constructed by two-dimensionally interpolating Kurucz’s (1993) ATLAS9 model grid in terms of T_{eff} and $\log g$, where we exclusively applied the solar-metallicity models.

Regarding the microturbulence (ξ), which is necessary for abundance determinations, we could not establish this parameter based on our spectra because the number of strong lines was not enough. According to Lyubimkov, Rostopchin, and Lambert (2004), the range of ξ values is $\sim 0\text{--}5$ km s^{-1} for B-type main-sequence stars with masses of 4–11 M_{\odot} (cf. their figures 13 and 14). Therefore, we tentatively assumed $\xi = 3$ km s^{-1} with possible uncertainties of ± 2 km s^{-1} for all our sample stars. Fortunately, as shown in subsection 4.3 (cf. figures 3f and 4f), the resulting oxygen and neon abundances are not significantly affected by these ambiguities in ξ , thanks to the moderate strengths of the relevant spectral lines as well as to the large thermal velocity (which makes the role of microturbulence comparatively insignificant) because of their being light atoms (atomic numbers are 8 and 10).

4. Abundance Determinations

4.1. Non-LTE Calculations

The non-LTE calculations for oxygen were performed in the same manner as in Takeda and Honda’s (2005) analysis on oxygen lines of solar-type stars by using an O I atomic model consisting of 87 terms and 277 radiative transitions.⁵ See Takeda (2003) and the references therein for more computational details.

As for the statistical-equilibrium calculations for Ne, we newly constructed an atomic model of Ne I comprising 94 terms (including up to $2p^5 10d'$ with $E = 173609$ cm^{-1}) and 1034 radiative transitions, based on Kurucz and Bell’s (1995) atomic data. Regarding the photoionization cross sections, we adopted the data provided by the Opacity Project via the TOPbase system (Cunto, Mendoza 1992) for the lowest 46 terms, while the hydrogenic approximation was assumed for the remaining terms. Regarding the collisional rates, we followed the recipe adopted in subsection 3.1.3 of Takeda (1991), which is largely based on the treatment of Auer and Mihalas (1973). Note that neutral-hydrogen collisions (though included formally) are essentially negligible compared to electron collisions in the present case of B-type stars.

³ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

⁴ (<http://www.astro.le.ac.uk/~rn38/uvbybeta.html>).

⁵ Regarding the work of Takeda and Takada-Hidai (1998) and Takeda et al. (1999), which also focused on the analysis of O I 6156–8 lines in late B–F supergiants and late B–A dwarfs, respectively, a slightly different O I atomic model comprising 86 terms and 294 radiative transitions (Takeda 1992, 1997) was used. However, as far as the relevant O I 6156–8 high-excitation lines are concerned, both atomic models give essentially the same results.

We carried out non-LTE calculations for O as well as for Ne on a grid of 36 ($=9 \times 4$) model atmospheres resulting from combinations of nine T_{eff} values (9000, 10000, 12000, 14000, 16000, 18000, 20000, 22000, 24000 K) and four $\log g$ values (3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5) with Anders and Grevesse’s (1989) solar oxygen and neon abundances of 8.93 (O) and 8.09 (Ne) (being consistent with the ATLAS9 model atmospheres we use in this study), so that we can obtain the depth-dependent non-LTE departure coefficients for any star by interpolating this grid.

4.2. Synthetic Spectrum Fitting

Now that the non-LTE departure coefficients for O I and Ne I lines relevant for each star are available, with which the non-LTE theoretical spectrum of O I 6156–8 and Ne I 6143/6163 lines can be computed, we carried out spectrum-synthesis analyses by applying Takeda’s (1995) automatic-fitting procedure to the 6140–6165 Å region while regarding A^{O} and A^{Ne} (as well as $v_e \sin i$ and radial velocity) as adjustable parameters to be established.⁶ The adopted atomic data of the relevant O I and Ne I lines are presented in table 2. How the theoretical spectrum for the converged solutions fits well with the observed spectrum is displayed in figure 2 and the resulting abundances (A^{O} and A^{Ne}) are given in table 1.

4.3. Equivalent Widths and Abundance Uncertainties

While the non-LTE synthetic spectrum fitting directly yields the final abundance solution, this approach is not necessarily suitable when one wants to evaluate the extent of non-LTE corrections or to study the abundance sensitivity to changing the atmospheric parameters (i.e., it is tedious to repeat the fitting process again and again for different assumptions or different atmospheric parameters). Therefore, with the help of Kurucz’s (1993) WIDTH9 program (which had been considerably modified in various respects; e.g., inclusion of non-LTE effects while multiplying the line opacity as well as the line source function by appropriate factors computed from departure coefficients, treatment of total equivalent width for multi-component blended lines by integrating the synthesized spectrum; etc.), we computed the equivalent widths for the O I 6156–8 triplet as a whole⁷ (W_{6156-8}^{O}), Ne I 6143 line (W_{6143}^{Ne}), and Ne I 6163 line (W_{6163}^{Ne}) “inversely” from the abundance solutions (resulting from non-LTE spectrum synthesis) along with the adopted atmospheric model/parameters, since they are much easier to handle. Based on such evaluated W values, the non-LTE as well as LTE abundances were freshly computed to derive the non-LTE correction (Δ). These W and Δ values are also given in table 1.




Fig. 3. Oxygen abundances derived from synthetic spectrum fitting, along with the abundance-related quantities specific to the O I 6156–8 triplet, plotted against T_{eff} . (a) W_{6156-8} (total equivalent width), (b) Δ_{NLTE} (non-LTE correction), (c) $A_{\text{NLTE}}^{\text{O}}$ (non-LTE oxygen abundance; where the indicated error bars represent the root-sum-square of $\delta_{T+} [\equiv (|\delta_{T+}| + |\delta_{T-}|)/2]$, $\delta_g [\equiv (|\delta_{g+}| + |\delta_{g-}|)/2]$, and $\delta_\xi [\equiv (|\delta_{\xi+}| + |\delta_{\xi-}|)/2]$), (d) δ_{T+} and δ_{T-} (abundance variations in response to T_{eff} changes of +3% and –3%), (e) δ_{g+} and δ_{g-} (abundance variations in response to $\log g$ changes of +0.2 dex and –0.2 dex), and (f) $\delta_{\xi+}$ and $\delta_{\xi-}$ (abundance variations in response to perturbing the standard ξ value by $\pm 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; i.e., changing to 5 km s^{-1} and 1 km s^{-1}). The signs of δ 's are $\delta_{T+} > 0$, $\delta_{T-} < 0$, $\delta_{g+} < 0$, $\delta_{g-} > 0$, $\delta_{\xi+} < 0$, and $\delta_{\xi-} > 0$.

We then estimated the uncertainties in A^{O} and A^{Ne} by repeating the analysis on the W values while perturbing the standard atmospheric parameters interchangeably by $\pm 3\%$ in $T_{\text{eff}}^{\text{std}}$, ± 0.2 dex in $\log g^{\text{std}}$, and $\pm 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in ξ^{std} (which are the typical uncertainties of the parameters we adopted; cf. section 3). Figures 3 (O) and 4 (Ne) graphically show the resulting abundances, equivalent widths, non-LTE corrections, and abundance variations in response to parameter changes as functions of T_{eff} .

⁶ The abundances of C (A^{C}) or Fe (A^{Fe}) were also treated as variables in some cases where features of these lines were appreciable.

⁷ We here computed total equivalent width for the whole triplet (i.e., not the equivalent widths for the three individual features) in order to maintain consistency (or to enable direct comparison) with Takeda and Takada-Hidai (1998) and Takeda et al. (1999), where the triplet lines were occasionally merged and hard to resolve due to considerable line broadening.




Fig. 4. Neon abundances derived from synthetic spectrum fitting, along with the abundance-related quantities specific to the Ne 6143 line (the stronger Ne line of the two), plotted against T_{eff} . Some cautions should be made regarding the signs of δ 's: while $\delta_{\xi+} < 0$ and $\delta_{\xi-} > 0$ generally hold for all the T_{eff} range, the signs of Ne abundance variations in response to changing T_{eff} and $\log g$ are inverted around some critical T_{eff} (~ 17000 – 18000 K); that is, $\delta_{T+} < 0$ ($\delta_{T-} > 0$) and $\delta_{g+} > 0$ ($\delta_{g-} < 0$) on the lower- T_{eff} side, and vice versa on the higher- T_{eff} side. Otherwise, the same as in figure 3.

5. Discussion

5.1. Oxygen: Consistency with the Solar Composition

We can now compare the resulting oxygen abundances of B-type stars with the solar oxygen abundance ($A_{\odot}^{\text{O}} = 8.81$) derived by Takeda and Honda (2005) in the same manner as we did in this study (i.e., spectrum fitting of O I 6156–8 lines). The histogram of star–Sun differential abundances ($[\text{O}/\text{H}] \equiv A^{\text{O}} - 8.81$) for the 64 stars is depicted in figure 5, where the result for late B through F supergiants derived by Takeda and Takada-Hidai (1998) is also shown.⁸ As manifestly seen in figure 3c, some ~ 5 – 10 stars at $T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 17000$ K exhibit appreciable discrepancies




Fig. 5. Histogram of oxygen abundances relative to the Sun ($[\text{O}/\text{H}] \equiv A_{\text{NLTE}}^{\text{O}} - 8.81$, where the solar oxygen abundance derived by Takeda and Honda (2005) with Kurucz's (1993) 1D model is here adopted as the reference abundance as shown by the vertical dashed (green) line, while the position for the modified solar abundance corrected for the 3D correction (-0.12 dex) is also marked by the vertical dotted (brown) line. These indicated positions of the reference solar oxygen abundance would be shifted leftward by -0.06 dex (no 3D) and -0.08 dex (with 3D), if Holweger and Müller's (1974) semi-empirical solar photospheric model is used instead of Kurucz's (1993) model atmosphere for the Sun. Solid (blue) line \cdots mid-to-late B-type stars derived in this study. Dashed (red line) \cdots late B through F supergiants based on the results of Takeda and Takada-Hidai (1998). (See subsection 5.1 for a remark concerning the comparison of the current photospheric abundances between the Sun and B-type stars.)

from the main trend (i.e., O-deficiency by several tenths dex), which means that chemically peculiar stars are included in late-B stars of lower T_{eff} . These outliers are recognized in figure 5 as a long tail at $[\text{O}/\text{H}] \lesssim -0.3$. Thus, excluding those 7 stars with $A^{\text{O}} < 8.51$ or $[\text{O}/\text{H}] < -0.3$ (HD 223229, 185330, 30122, 49606, 53244, 23408, 181470), we conclude by averaging the results for the remaining 57 stars that $\langle A^{\text{O}} \rangle = 8.71(\pm 0.06)$ (the value following \pm is the standard deviation) or $\langle [\text{O}/\text{H}] \rangle = -0.10(\pm 0.06)$. This leads to a consequence that the oxygen abundance deficit of B stars relative to the Sun has been appreciably reduced down to ~ 0.1 dex, as compared to the previously claimed value of ~ 0.3 dex.

Moreover, there is a possibility that even this small gap may almost vanish in the end. The reference solar oxygen abundance (8.81) we adopted here is the value derived by Takeda and Honda (2005) by a non-LTE analysis of O I 6156–8 lines in Moon (solar flux) spectra using Kurucz's (1993) ATLAS9 solar (1D) model atmosphere, just similar to the present study of B stars. While the non-LTE effect turned out to be negligible for these triplet lines in the solar case, no consideration was made for the 3D effect due to atmospheric inhomogeneities caused by convective granular motions. We should alert to the correction for this effect (3D correction) to be applied to the solar oxygen abundance derived from classical 1D model atmospheres, though it should be irrelevant for B-type stars. As a

⁸ Though $[\text{O}/\text{H}]$ values were computed with Anders and Grevesse's (1989) solar abundance of 8.93 in that paper, they have been rescaled by using the solar abundance of 8.81 adopted here.

matter of fact, an appreciable amount of this correction seems probable. According to Caffau et al. (2008), the 3D correction for the 1D oxygen abundance determined from the O I 6158 line with Holweger and Müller’s (1974) solar model is $\Delta(3D-1D_{HM}) = -0.14$, while that with Kurucz’s ATLAS solar model is $\Delta(3D-1D_{KU}) = -0.12$ (cf. their table 3 and subsection 5.3.3). Asplund et al. (2004; cf. their table 3) derived almost the same result (-0.15) for $\Delta(3D-1D_{HM})$. Since Caffau et al.’s (2008) $\Delta(3D-1D_{KU})$ correction should be relevant for Takeda and Honda’s (2005) case, our reference solar oxygen abundance could be lowered by -0.12 dex to be 8.69, which is in remarkable agreement with the average (8.71) of B-stars mentioned above. Consequently, we may state that “the oxygen abundances of young mid-through-late B stars, which are considered to keep the composition of current galactic gas, are consistent with the solar photospheric oxygen abundance without any significant discrepancy.”

Yet, from a quantitative point of view, some care should be made in comparing the current photospheric oxygen abundances of the Sun and B stars, since the composition of the galactic gas as well as that of the solar photosphere (both of which must have been the same at the birth of the Sun) have suffered slight changes over these $\sim 5 \times 10^9$ yr due to two processes:

— First, a small enrichment in the galactic gas (~ 0.04 dex for the case of O and Ne; see, e.g., Chiappini et al. 2003) is expected as a result of the galactic chemical evolution (for the present case of α elements, they are synthesized and distributed by massive stars via type II supernovae). — Second, as a consequence of the diffusion process in the envelope of the Sun, the current solar photospheric abundance is supposed have suffered a marginal decrease (~ 0.04 dex; cf. subsection 3.11 in Asplund et al. 2009) as compared to the bulk solar abundance (i.e., original composition).

Accordingly, from a purely theoretical prediction, the oxygen composition of B-type stars (reasonably regarded as equivalent to that in the current interstellar gas) would be somewhat higher by ~ 0.1 dex ($0.04 + 0.04$) than that in the solar photosphere. Therefore, we should bear in mind that too much meaning should not be put to the apparently very good agreement (8.69 and 8.71) we obtained here, and that the presently accomplished consistency is nothing but on the order of $\lesssim 0.1$ dex. There may be some other factors to be considered if a much better quantitative consistency is to be pursued.⁹

⁹ As a possibility, some ambiguity may still exist in the reference solar oxygen abundance, depending on the solar photospheric model, for which we adopted Takeda and Honda’s (2005) result derived by using Kurucz’s (1993) ATLAS9 model (KU) for the solar atmosphere. In order to check this point, we carried out a spectrum-fitting analysis on the O I 6156–8 feature in the Moon spectrum in the same way as done by Takeda and Honda (2005) but with Holweger and Müller’s (1974) semi-empirical solar model (HM), and obtained a slightly lower solar oxygen abundance by 0.06 dex (see also table 3 of Takeda 1994). If we further consider the difference of 0.02 dex in the extent of (negative) 3D correction mentioned above [$\Delta(3D-1D_{HM}) - \Delta(3D-1D_{KU}) = (-0.14) - (-0.12)$], the 3D solar oxygen




Fig. 6. Non-LTE oxygen abundances ($A^{\text{O}}_{\text{NLTE}}$; upper panel (a)) along with the corresponding non-LTE corrections (Δ_{NLTE} ; lower panel (b)), which were derived for various class of stars in the same manner as this study (i.e., profile fitting on the O I 6156–8 triplet), plotted against $\theta_{\text{eff}} (\equiv 5040/T_{\text{eff}})$. Filled circles (blue) \dots mid-to-late B stars (this study), open circles (pink) \dots late B through F supergiants (Takeda & Takada-Hidai 1998), St. Andrew’s crosses (\times ; purple) \dots non-variable late-B dwarfs (Takeda et al. 1999), Greek crosses ($+$; purple) \dots non-variable A dwarfs (Takeda et al. 1999), and dots (black) \dots FGK dwarfs (Takeda & Honda 2005). The solar abundance derived by Takeda and Honda (2005) with Kurucz’s (1993) 1D model atmosphere is indicated by a big (red) filled circle along with the horizontal dashed (green) line (panel (a)). Another solar oxygen abundance corrected for 3D effect of -0.12 dex according to Caffau et al. (2008) is also depicted in panel (a) by the horizontal dotted (brown) line.

Finally, the overview display for the oxygen abundances of various types of stars derived from O I 6156–8 lines in the same manner is presented in figure 6, where the results of this study are combined with those of Takeda and Takada-Hidai (1998), Takeda et al. (1999), and Takeda and Honda (2005). We can see that the oxygen abundances of B-type stars (this study) and late B–F supergiants (Takeda & Takada-Hidai 1998) are almost within $\pm \lesssim 0.1$ – 0.2 dex around the solar abundance, especially when the 3D-correction is taken into account.

5.2. Neon: Confirmation of Recent Results

Figure 4c indicates that the distribution of neon abundances derived for the program stars are well homogeneous, which can also be recognized by the histogram of A^{Ne} displayed in figure 7. Yet, only two stars (HD 178065 and HD 181470), both of which are near to the low- T_{eff} end ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 10000$ – 12000 K) among the targets, show a marked deficit (by ~ -0.6 dex) compared to others. This may reflect the tendency of Ne-deficiency presumably due to gravitational settling in late-B chemically pe-

abundance corresponding to HM model would be 8.61 (i.e., $8.69 - 0.06 - 0.02$). Interestingly, this is by 0.1 dex smaller than the mean abundance of B-type stars, and is more consistent with the theoretical expectation.




Fig. 7. Histogram of neon abundances derived in this study ($A_{\text{NLTE}}^{\text{Ne}}$). Markedly low Ne abundances (~ 7.4) exhibited by the two stars (HD 178065 and HD 181470) are outside of the range of the abscissa.

cular stars (such as HgMn stars) reported by Dworetzky and Budaj (2000). Excluding these two stars, we obtain $\langle A^{\text{Ne}} \rangle = 8.02(\pm 0.09)$. This neon abundance for mid-to-late B stars agrees fairly well with the recent result of Morel and Butler (2008), who concluded 7.97 ± 0.07 for 18 nearby early-B stars based on their non-LTE analysis on Ne I and Ne II lines. It is worth mentioning that their value becomes 8.03 ± 0.08 (i.e., almost in perfect agreement) when only Ne I lines were used (cf. their subsection 5.1) as we did. Our result is also in accord with the value of 8.08 ± 0.03 derived by Przybilla, Nieva, and Butler (2008) for six B-type stars within the error bar. Similarly, a reasonable consistency is seen with other previously published work of Ne abundances of B-type stars (Kilian 1994; Cunha et al. 2006; Hempel & Holweger 2003; Dworetzky & Budaj 2000; Sigut 1999), though these studies suggested a marginally high (by 0.1 dex) neon abundance of $A^{\text{Ne}} \sim 8.1$ than ours (see subsection 5.1 of Morel & Butler 2008 for an extensive discussion).

Regarding the connection to the solar Ne abundance, especially with regard to a possibility of considerably high Ne/O ratio (~ 0.5) which might resolve the serious solar model problem encountered for the case of downward revision in the CNO abundances by ~ 0.2 dex (i.e., significant discrepancy between modeling and seismological observation), our view is essentially the same as that of Morel and Butler (2008). Assuming that the solar neon abundance may be regarded as equivalent to that derived for B stars (8.0), we obtain the Ne/O ratio of only ~ 0.2 ($10^{-0.7}$), even if the 3D-corrected (lower) solar oxygen abundance (8.7) is adopted, which rules out any chance of very high solar Ne/O for such a remedy. Thus, the solution to this problem should be sought elsewhere (see, e.g., Asplund et al. 2009, who concluded $\text{Ne}/\text{O} = 0.17$).

6. Conclusion

In order to see whether any significant difference exists between the oxygen composition in the current galactic gas (almost equivalent to the photospheric material of young stars such as B-type stars) and in the solar atmosphere, which has been reported so far (i.e., the former being appreciably lower by ~ 0.3 dex) and regarded as a serious problem because it apparently contradicts the chemical evolution of the Galaxy, we determined non-LTE oxygen abundances for 64 mid- through late-B stars by using the O I 6156–8 lines based on high-dispersion spectral data collected at Okayama Astrophysical Observatory, and compared them with the solar O abundance established in the same manner.

We then obtained $\langle A^{\text{O}} \rangle = 8.71(\pm 0.06)$ as the average oxygen abundance of the sample B stars, resulting in the star–Sun differential abundance of $\langle [\text{O}/\text{H}] \rangle = -0.10$ in comparison with $A_{\odot}^{\text{O}} = 8.81$ derived by Takeda and Honda (2005), which means that the difference is less significant than previously thought. Moreover, if an appropriate 3D-effect correction of ~ -0.1 dex is applied to the solar abundance according to Caffau et al. (2008), the $\langle A^{\text{O}} \rangle$ for these B stars turns out to be almost the same as A_{\odot}^{O} . We thus conclude that no essential abundance discrepancy exists between the Sun and the current galactic gas, as far as oxygen is concerned.

This does not imply, however, that the abundance consistency of the interstellar gas and the solar photosphere similarly holds for other elements. While the apparently subsolar trend of C or N in B-type stars (see, e.g., figure 1 of Nissen 1993) may admittedly be mitigated (as we found for oxygen in this study) when the downward revision of the solar abundances is invoked (Asplund et al. 2009), its authenticity is still controversial. Also, since the tendency of slight metallicity deficit by several tenths dex as compared to the Sun has been reported even for superficially normal B or A-type stars (e.g., Sadakane 1990; Niemczura 2003; Niemczura et al. 2009), we should bear in mind a possibility of some non-canonical composition change in the galactic gas over these several billion years, such as massive infall of metal-poor primordial gas onto the disk (see, e.g., Takeda et al. 2008).

As a by-product of oxygen abundance analysis, we also determined the Ne abundances for these B-type stars from Ne I 6143/6163 lines and obtained $\langle A^{\text{Ne}} \rangle = 8.02(\pm 0.09)$, which is in agreement with other published studies such as the recent work by Morel and Butler (2008). On the assumption that the solar photospheric Ne abundance is nearly the same with this result for B stars (such as the case of oxygen we confirmed), the solar Ne/O ratio was estimated to be ~ 0.2 . This rules out a possibility of a very high solar Ne/O ratio as much as ~ 0.5 , which was once suggested to circumvent the solar model problem caused by the recently claimed downward revision of solar CNO abundances (Asplund et al. 2009).

This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated by CDS, Strasbourg, France.

References

- Abt, H. A., & Morrell, N. I. 1995, *ApJS*, 99, 135
- Anders, E., & Grevesse, N. 1989, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 53, 197
- Arenou, F., Grenon, M., & Gomez, A. 1992, *A&A*, 258, 104
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., Allende Prieto, C., & Kiselman, D. 2004, *A&A*, 417, 751
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 481
- Auer, L. H., & Mihalas, D. 1973, *ApJ*, 184, 151
- Caffau, E., Ludwig, H.-G., Steffen, M., Ayres, T. R., Bonifacio, P., Cayrel, R., Freytag, B., & Pelez, B. 2008, *A&A*, 488, 1031
- Chiappini, C., Romano, D., & Matteucci, F. 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 63
- Cunha, K., Hubeny, I., & Lanz, T. 2006, *ApJ*, 647, L143
- Cunha, K., & Lambert, D. L. 1994, *ApJ*, 426, 170
- Cunto, W., & Mendoza, C. 1992, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, 23, 107
- Dworetzky, M. M., & Budaj, J. 2000, *MNRAS*, 318, 1264
- ESA 1997, *The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues*, ESA SP-1200, available from NASA-ADC or CDS in a machine-readable form (file name: hip_main.dat)
- Fabbian, D., Asplund, M., Barklem, P. S., Carlsson, M., & Kiselman, D. 2009, *A&A*, 500, 1221
- Flower, P. J. 1996, *ApJ*, 469, 355
- Gies, D. R., & Lambert, D. L. 1992, *ApJ*, 387, 673
- Hauck, B., & Mermilliod, M. 1998, *A&AS*, 129, 431
- Hempel, M., & Holweger, H. 2003, *A&A*, 408, 1065
- Holweger, H., & Müller, E. A. 1974, *Sol. Phys.*, 39, 19
- Izumiura, H. 1999, in *Proc. 4th East Asian Meeting on Astronomy, Observational Astrophysics in Asia and its Future* ed. P. S. Chen (Kunming: Yunnan Observatory), 77
- Kilian, J. 1992, *A&A*, 262, 171
- Kilian, J. 1994, *A&A*, 282, 867
- Korotin, S. A., Andrievsky, S. M., & Luck, R. E. 1999, *A&A*, 351, 168
- Kurucz, R. L. 1993, *Kurucz CD-ROM*, No. 13 (Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics)
- Kurucz, R. L., & Bell, B. 1995, *Kurucz CD-ROM*, No. 23 (Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics)
- Lejeune, T., & Schaerer, D. 2001, *A&A*, 366, 538
- Luck, R. E., & Lambert, D. L. 1985, *ApJ*, 298, 782
- Lyubimkov, L. S., Rostopchin, S. I., & Lambert, D. L. 2004, *MNRAS*, 351, 745
- Moon, T. T. 1985, *Commun. Univ. London Obs.*, No. 78
- Morel, T., & Butler, K. 2008, *A&A*, 487, 307
- Napiwotzki, R., Schönberner, D., & Wenske, V. 1993, *A&A*, 268, 653
- Niemczura, E. 2003, *A&A*, 404, 689
- Niemczura, E., Morel, T., & Aerts, C. 2009, *A&A*, 506, 213
- Nissen, P. E. 1993, in *Inside the Stars*, *Proc. IAU Coloq. 137*, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 40, eds. W. W. Weiss & A. Baglin (Astron. Soc. Pacific: San Francisco), p. 108
- Pereira, T. M. D., Kiselman, D., & Asplund, M. 2009a, *A&A*, 507, 417
- Pereira, T. M. D., Asplund, M., & Kiselman, D. 2009b, *A&A*, 508, 1403
- Przybilla, N., Nieva, M.-F., & Butler, K. 2008, *ApJ*, 688, L103
- Sadakane, K. 1990, in *Accuracy of element abundances from stellar atmospheres*, *Lecture Note in Physics*, No. 356, ed. R. Wehrse (Berlin and New York: Springer), 57
- Sigut, T. A. A. 1999, *ApJ*, 519, 303
- Takeda, Y. 1991, *A&A*, 242, 455
- Takeda, Y. 1992, *PASJ*, 44, 309
- Takeda, Y. 1994, *PASJ*, 46, 53
- Takeda, Y. 1995, *PASJ*, 47, 287
- Takeda, Y. 1997, *PASJ*, 49, 471
- Takeda, Y. 2003, *A&A*, 402, 343
- Takeda, Y., & Honda, S. 2005, *PASJ*, 57, 65
- Takeda, Y., Sato, B., & Murata, D. 2008, *PASJ*, 60, 781
- Takeda, Y., & Takada-Hidai, M. 1998, *PASJ*, 50, 629
- Takeda, Y., Takada-Hidai, M., Jugaku, J., Sakaue, A., & Sadakane, K. 1999, *PASJ*, 51, 961
- Wiese, W. L., Smith, M. W., & Glennon, B. M. 1966, *Atomic Transition Probabilities Vol. I. — Hydrogen Through Neon*, NSRDS-NBS4 (Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office)




Fig. 2. Synthetic spectrum fitting at the 6140–6165 Å region for determining the abundances of O and Ne (along with C and Fe for higher- T_{eff} stars). The best-fit theoretical spectra are shown by solid lines, while the observed data are plotted by symbols. In each panel (from left to right), the spectra are arranged (from top to bottom) in the descending order of T_{eff} as in table 1, and an offset of 0.1 is applied to each spectrum (indicated by the HD number) relative to the adjacent one.

Table 1. Basic data of the target stars and the results of abundance analyses.

HD	Sp.Type	T_{eff}	$\log g$	A_{N}^{O}	W_{6156-8}^{O}	$\Delta_{6156-8}^{\text{O}}$	A_{N}^{Ne}	W_{6143}^{Ne}	$\Delta_{6143}^{\text{Ne}}$	W_{6163}^{Ne}	$\Delta_{6163}^{\text{Ne}}$
029248	B2 III	22651	3.58	8.63	23.2	-0.28	7.89	18.8	-0.45	5.0	-0.36
000886	B2 IV	21667	3.83	8.77	37.8	-0.22	8.09	31.5	-0.49	9.1	-0.33
035708	B2.5 IV	21082	4.09	8.77	40.1	-0.17	8.13	35.5	-0.47	10.7	-0.29
035039	B2 IV-V	20059	3.69	8.72	42.1	-0.22	8.06	36.8	-0.53	11.0	-0.34
042690	B2 V	19299	3.81	8.71	44.8	-0.20	8.06	39.7	-0.53	12.2	-0.32
032249	B3 V	18890	4.13	8.69	44.3	-0.16	7.95	32.8	-0.43	9.9	-0.28
034447	B2 V	18480	4.10	8.69	46.6	-0.16	8.02	38.7	-0.46	12.0	-0.28
196035	B3 IV	17499	4.36	8.70	52.4	-0.12	8.08	42.3	-0.43	13.8	-0.24
043157	B5 V	17486	4.12	8.61	44.0	-0.15	7.94	36.5	-0.43	11.3	-0.26
160762	B3 IV	17440	3.91	8.74	59.2	-0.18	8.08	48.8	-0.55	16.0	-0.30
223229	B3 IV	17327	4.20	8.49	35.2	-0.13	7.82	28.5	-0.36	8.5	-0.24
176502	B3 V	16821	3.89	8.78	69.5	-0.19	8.04	46.6	-0.52	15.2	-0.29
041753	B3 V	16761	3.90	8.57	46.1	-0.17	7.94	39.7	-0.47	12.5	-0.28
025558	B3 V	16707	4.29	8.75	63.5	-0.13	8.01	38.4	-0.39	12.3	-0.23
044700	B3 V	16551	4.21	8.73	63.4	-0.14	8.04	41.4	-0.42	13.5	-0.24
186660	B3 III	16494	3.57	8.73	67.5	-0.23	8.06	53.2	-0.62	17.7	-0.34
181858	B3 IVp	16384	4.19	8.74	65.9	-0.14	8.07	43.4	-0.44	14.4	-0.24
023793	B3 V+...	16264	4.15	8.73	65.9	-0.15	8.01	39.3	-0.41	12.7	-0.24
185330	B5 II-III	16167	3.77	8.35	31.7	-0.17	8.15	57.2	-0.61	20.0	-0.31
027396	B4 IV	16028	3.91	8.66	60.3	-0.17	7.95	38.9	-0.44	12.4	-0.26
034798	B5 IV/V	15943	4.27	8.77	73.1	-0.13	8.05	38.3	-0.38	12.6	-0.21
184171	B3 IV	15858	3.54	8.69	67.3	-0.22	8.01	49.2	-0.57	16.2	-0.32
198820	B3 III	15852	3.86	8.80	81.2	-0.19	8.13	52.6	-0.55	18.2	-0.28
030122	B5 III	15765	3.72	8.38	35.5	-0.17	8.15	57.1	-0.60	20.1	-0.30
020756	B5 IV	15705	4.43	8.68	62.0	-0.11	8.07	34.4	-0.32	11.3	-0.18
037971	B4/B5 III	15532	3.63	8.67	67.2	-0.21	8.17	59.1	-0.63	21.0	-0.31
026739	B5 IV	15490	3.92	8.75	76.6	-0.17	8.04	42.4	-0.45	14.0	-0.25
209008	B3 III	15353	3.50	8.72	75.6	-0.23	8.00	47.2	-0.54	15.6	-0.30
028375	B3 V	15278	4.30	8.77	79.1	-0.12	8.10	35.8	-0.34	11.9	-0.19
011415	B3 III	15174	3.54	8.73	78.5	-0.22	8.05	49.0	-0.54	16.5	-0.29
147394	B5 IV	14898	4.01	8.76	82.6	-0.16	8.03	34.6	-0.36	11.3	-0.21
019268	B5 V	14866	4.24	8.75	80.8	-0.13	8.01	28.6	-0.29	9.2	-0.17
189944	B4 V	14793	4.01	8.79	89.4	-0.16	8.11	38.3	-0.39	12.9	-0.21
181558	B5 III	14721	4.15	8.71	76.6	-0.14	8.06	31.9	-0.32	10.5	-0.18
224990	B4 III	14569	3.99	8.54	56.2	-0.14	7.95	28.2	-0.32	8.9	-0.19
175156	B3 II	14561	2.79	8.73	86.8	-0.33	8.00	56.1	-0.68	19.0	-0.37
199578	B5 V	14480	4.02	8.70	78.3	-0.15	8.14	36.8	-0.37	12.5	-0.20
209419	B5 III	14404	3.82	8.73	84.9	-0.18	7.99	32.3	-0.36	10.4	-0.21
202753	B5 V	14318	3.84	8.68	78.5	-0.17	7.91	27.3	-0.32	8.5	-0.20
023300	B6 V	14207	3.84	8.73	86.9	-0.17	8.02	31.6	-0.35	10.3	-0.20
182255	B6 III	14190	4.29	8.72	82.7	-0.12	8.04	23.4	-0.27	7.5	-0.14
041692	B5 IV	14157	3.19	8.70	87.7	-0.27	8.00	45.1	-0.53	15.1	-0.29
049606	B7 III	14121	3.82	8.41	47.1	-0.15	7.90	25.4	-0.31	7.9	-0.19
212986	B5 III	14121	4.27	8.75	88.6	-0.12	8.08	24.5	-0.29	8.0	-0.14
016219	B5 V	14113	4.06	8.72	85.7	-0.14	7.93	22.1	-0.24	6.9	-0.16
188892	B5 IV	14008	3.38	8.67	83.2	-0.23	8.01	39.5	-0.46	13.1	-0.26
206540	B5 IV	13981	4.01	8.72	87.0	-0.15	8.00	24.9	-0.28	7.9	-0.17
191243	B5 Ib	13923	2.50	8.66	81.3	-0.35	7.95	52.3	-0.67	17.5	-0.38
210424	B5 III	13740	3.99	8.69	85.8	-0.14	7.86	18.0	-0.22	5.5	-0.15
201888	B7 III	13689	4.01	8.74	93.8	-0.15	8.06	24.3	-0.27	7.9	-0.16
011857	B5 III	13600	3.88	8.69	87.1	-0.16	7.98	22.6	-0.25	7.2	-0.16
053244	B8 II	13467	3.42	8.46	59.8	-0.19	7.83	23.5	-0.30	7.2	-0.21
155763	B6 III	13397	4.24	8.78	105.9	-0.12	8.23	23.6	-0.27	8.0	-0.13
173117	B8 III	13267	3.63	8.65	87.4	-0.18	7.98	23.8	-0.32	7.6	-0.18
017081	B7 IV	13063	3.72	8.73	104.0	-0.18	8.00	20.9	-0.24	6.7	-0.16
023408	B8 III	12917	3.36	8.49	68.6	-0.19	8.06	28.5	-0.35	9.5	-0.20
196426	B8 IIIp	12899	3.89	8.73	105.0	-0.15	7.89	13.9	-0.19	4.2	-0.13
179761	B8 II-III	12895	3.46	8.69	99.9	-0.20	7.92	20.7	-0.26	6.5	-0.18
011529	B8 III	12858	3.43	8.74	109.5	-0.22	8.01	24.5	-0.31	8.0	-0.19
178065	B9 III	12243	3.49	8.60	94.1	-0.18	7.38	5.1	-0.16	1.4	-0.13
038899	B9 IV	10774	4.02	8.73	145.4	-0.10	8.26	5.3	-0.09	1.6	-0.06
043247	B9 II-III	10301	2.39	8.55	123.1	-0.23	7.80	8.0	-0.19	2.4	-0.14
209459	B9.5 V	10204	3.53	8.66	142.5	-0.13	8.08	3.6	-0.10	1.1	-0.07
181470	A0 III	10085	3.92	8.44	95.8	-0.08	7.41	0.5	-0.05	0.1	-0.04

In columns 1 through 4 are given the HD number, the spectral type (from SIMBAD database), the effective temperature (in K), and the logarithmic surface gravity (in cm s^{-2}). Columns 5–7 and 8–12 show the results of the abundance analysis for O and Ne, respectively. That is, A_{N} is the non-LTE abundance (in the usual normalization of $H = 12.00$) derived from the spectrum-synthesis fitting, W is the equivalent width (in mÅ) for the total O 6156–8 triplet (comprising 9 components; cf. table 2) or Ne 6143 or Ne 6163 inversely computed from A_{N} , and Δ is the non-LTE correction ($\equiv A_{\text{N}} - A_{\text{L}}$). The 64 stars are arranged in the descending order of T_{eff} .

Table 2. Adopted atomic data of O I and Ne I lines.

Desig.	Species	transition	$\lambda(\text{\AA})$	χ_{low} (eV)	$\log gf$
O 6156	O I	$3p^5P_1-4d^5D_0$	6155.961	10.74	-1.40
	O I	$3p^5P_1-4d^5D_1$	6155.971	10.74	-1.05
	O I	$3p^5P_1-4d^5D_2$	6155.989	10.74	-1.16
O 6157	O I	$3p^5P_2-4d^5D_1$	6156.737	10.74	-1.52
	O I	$3p^5P_2-4d^5D_2$	6156.755	10.74	-0.93
	O I	$3p^5P_2-4d^5D_3$	6156.778	10.74	-0.73
O 6158	O I	$3p^5P_3-4d^5D_2$	6158.149	10.74	-1.89
	O I	$3p^5P_3-4d^5D_3$	6158.172	10.74	-1.03
	O I	$3p^5P_3-4d^5D_4$	6158.187	10.74	-0.44
Ne 6143	Ne I	$3s[3/2]_2-3p[3/2]_2$	6143.063	16.62	-0.07
Ne 6163	Ne I	$3s[1/2]_0-3p[1/2]_1$	6163.594	16.72	-0.61

The atomic data of these O I lines (multiplet 10) were adopted from Kurucz and Bell's (1995) compilation, which are based on the NBS values (Wiese et al. 1966), while those for the Ne I lines were taken from Morel and Butler (2008).