IceCube's In-Ice Radio Extension: Status and Results

H. Landsman^a, for the IceCube Collaboration¹, E. Cheng^a, E. Kulcyk^a, A.W. Laundrie^a, B. Rotter^b, L. Ruckman^b, P.W. Sandstrom^a, and G.S. Varner^b

> ^aDept. of Physics, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53703, USA ^bDept. of Physics and Astronomy, Univ. of Hawaii, Manoa, HI 96822, USA

Abstract

In 2006-2010, several Radio Frequency (RF) detectors and calibration equipment were deployed as part of the IceCube array at depths between 5 to 1400 meters in preparation for a future large scale GZK neutrino detector. IceCube's deep holes and wellestablished data handling system provide a unique opportunity for deep-ice RF detection studies at the South-Pole.

We will present verification and calibration results as well as a status-review of ongoing analyses such as ice-properties, RF noise

AbstractIn 2006-2010, several Radio Frequency (RF) detectors and calibration equipment were deployed as part of the
depths between 5 to 1400 meters in preparation for a future large scale GZK neutrino detector. IceCube's dec
stabilished data handling system provide a unique opportunity for deep-ice RF detection studies at the South-Po
We will present verification and calibration results as well as a status-review of ongoing analyses such as ice-pr
and reconstruction algorithms.
Keywords:
Neutrino astronomy, Radio frequency, RF, Ice, South Pole, GZK neutrinos, Neutrinos detection, Ice properties1. Introductionusing these detectors. Figure 1 and Table 1 s
ice locations and depths of the units describe
formation about their origin, possibly point sources such as
GRBs, AGNs, or SGRs, or high-energy cosmic rays produced
in the GZK process; they may also offer the chance to investi-
energies in the range of 10² - 10¹⁰ GeV, currently existing neu-
trino detectors, such as IceCube and Antares, are quite large,
incorporating hundreds of photo-multiplier tubes, sensitive to
photons in the optical wavelength range. In order to survey the
extremely high energy regime above 10¹⁰ GeV, however, even
already taking advantage of the Askaryan effect and the mas-
size volume of ice in Antarctic a for neutrino detection. Future
is to volume of ice in Antarctic a for neutrino detection. Future
is couth Pole.
Our unique access to IceCube's deep and wide holes have
provided us with an opportunity for deploying radio frequency
(PE) detector in the dapen Deal ice. These detectors requered
the sofur Pole.The South Pole.
OUR unique access to IceCube's deep and wide holes have
provided us with an opportunity for deploying radio frequency
(PE) detectore in the dapen Deal ice. These detectors represented theorem in the detector set is the s

provided us with an opportunity for deploying radio frequency (RF) detectors in the deep Polar ice. These detectors use the communication and time calibration systems developed for Ice-Cube and rely on the experience within the IceCube Collaboration for developing hardware and software and for building and deploying highly-sensitive equipment in the extreme South Pole environment as well as on radio technology expertise from the RICE and ANITA Collaborations.

In the following sections, the design of the deployed detectors will be discussed as well as some of the on-going studies

using these detectors. Figure 1 and Table 1 summarizes the inice locations and depths of the units described below.



Figure 1: Map of the IceCube radio detector deployments, plotted on top of the full IceCube array. Also shown the deployment depth of each unit relative to the surface. The distance between adjacent strings is 125 m. A description of each unit is summarized in Table 1.

2. Hardware description

IceCube's radio extension modules were installed during the Austral summers between 2006 and 2010 at depths of 5 to 1400 m. Each radio module was installed directly above Ice-Cube's digital optical modules (DOMs) immediately following a successful installation of the string. The RF components were mechanically attached to the ~ 3 km-long IceCube main cable (a 5-cm-wide cable bundle for communication and power)

¹http://www.icecube.wisc.edu

Preprint submitted to Nuclear Physics B

which simply served as a mechanical support; some of the modules, however, were tapped into one of the auxiliary twistedpairs within the main cable designed for specialized device operations, such as this one. The main cable, being a massive conducting object, can shadow the RF antennas, as discussed later.

During the first two seasons five detectors capable of full waveform (WF) digitization were deployed. These so called "clusters" consisted of a set of antennas and the central electronics module, and were built upon the experiences and technologies of ANITA, RICE, and IceCube. During the last season, a small array of envelope-detectors for transient detection study and additional calibration hardware were deployed at shallow depth.

2.1. Season I (2006-2007) and Season II (2008-2009): Fulldigitization detectors

In the 2006-2007 season, two clusters were deployed at two separate depths of $\sim 300 \,\text{m}$ and $\sim 1400 \,\text{m}$. Each cluster consists of a central sphere containing electronics (DRM:Digital Radio Module), a transmitting antenna for calibration (ACU: antenna calibration unit), and four receiver antennas. Each receiver channel consists of a 'Fat Dipole' antenna (with the gain centered at $\sim 400 \text{ MHz}$ in air and $\sim 250 \text{ MHz}$ in ice), and a set of front-end electronics (housed in a metal tube), including filters and amplifiers necessary for proper channel operation. Specifically, included in the front-end electronics are a 450 MHz notch filter for rejecting constant interference from the South Pole communication channel, a 200 MHz high-pass filter, and a $\sim 50 \text{ dB}$ low-noise amplifier (LNA). An additional $\sim 20 \, \text{dB}$ amplification is done at a later stage within the DRM for a total amplification of $\sim 70 \, \text{dB}$. The antennas are equally spaced over 40 m along the IceCube in-ice cable. A schematic of the 2006-2007 cluster is shown in Fig. 2. Triggering and digitization is done in a similar way to ANITA [6], namely, by splitting the signal from individual channel into four frequency bands and requiring threshold crossing in a programmed number of frequency bands and channels. The sampling speed is two giga-samples per second into a 256 ns-deep buffer. The digitized data are sent to the surface using the IceCube cable system. During the 2006-2007 season, a third cluster with only a transmission channel was also deployed. A detailed description of the electronics installed inside the DRM can be found elsewhere [7].

During the Austral summer of 2008-2009, three additional radio clusters were deployed. These clusters are based on the same design as above with a few minor modifications, including an addition of two low-frequency channels sensitive down to 100 MHz, an ACU with a higher transmission power, and a shorter spacing between antennas. The trigger logic board was also modified to act on three frequency bands (instead of four) plus the full-frequency band.

2.2. Season III(2009-2010): Transient detectors and calibration antennas

The idea of using an array of simple transient sensors to image the unique spatiotemporal signature of neutrino interactions in Antarctic ice was proposed by Gusev and Zheleznykh nearly thirty years ago [1]. In this type of detector system, the pattern of coincident hits among a large number of sensors provides event confirmation, indication of direction and energy, and information for rejecting sporadic noise on the basis of time-ofarrival and amplitude.

Six units of transient-prototype-detector were deployed in the 2009-2010 season. Each unit consists of a discone wideband omni-directional antenna feeding into a Transient Detector Assembly (TDA), an exploratory device, whose block diagram is shown in Fig. 3. Each unit is read out using an unmodified control Mother-Board (MB) developed for the IceCube DOM [8]. The IceCube cable and calibration system also facilitates timing calibration and data handling. The units were deployed in pairs above three IceCube strings, with one unit at z = -5 m and the other at z = -35 m. The Local Coincidence (LC) capabilities of the IceCube MB are also exploited; when LC is enabled, each TDA pair reads out data only if both of the pair are triggered in some adjustable time window. The rise-time of the output pulse from these units is on the order of 10-20 ns and is largely independent of amplitude.

Also deployed during the same season are a set of azimuthalsymmetric antennas for the attenuation length measurements mounted symmetrically around the support cable rather than adjacent to it.



Figure 2: The radio cluster, consisting of the DRM (Digital Radio Module) and 5 antennas (4 receivers and a transmitter).

Figure 3: Block diagram of the Transient Detector Assembly.

3. South Pole ice and ray tracing

The properties of ice at radio frequencies determine the feasibility and design of future GZK neutrino detectors. Specifically, the attenuation length affects the spacing between channels and the effective detector volume, whereas the index of refraction determines the reconstruction capabilities and simulation quality.

Table 1: Lateral coordinates and depth of the RF hardware with additional information including number of antennas (Tx: transmitting; Rx: receiving) and detector type. Coordinates are relative to the center of the IceCube array at surface (See Fig 1)

num	Name	Season deployed	Tx	Rx	Location (x,y,z) [m]	IceCube hole	Туре
1	Doris	Ι	1	4	(22, 509, -1443)	78	Full digitization
2	Sophie	Ι	1	4	(257, 211, -289)	57	Full digitization
3	Tx2006	Ι	1	0	(195, 126, -1439)	47	Transmitter only
4	Sally	II	1	3+1 low freq.	(46, -34, -245)	36	Full digitization
5	Danielle	II	1	3+1 low freq	(35, -365, -1394)	10	Full digitization
6	Susan	II	1	4	(361, -423, -240)	6	Full digitization
7	Tx2010	III	2	0	(-280, 23, -1400)	43	Transmitter only
8	Top8 and Bottom8	III	0	2	(-210, -404, -5/ - 35)	8	Transient detection
9	Top9 and Bottom9	III	0	2	(-88, -384, -5/ - 35)	9	Transient detection
10	Top16 and Bottom16	III	0	2	(-166, -288, -5/ - 35)	16	Transient detection

The existing in-ice RF attenuation data from the South Pole were obtained by sending signals down into the ice using a surface transmitter and recording the signals reflected on the bedrock below. This measurement provided an average RF attenuation over the round-trip, weighted by the temperature profile along the path [9].

The latest index of refraction measurement at the South Pole reported in [10] combines results using the RICE array down to 150 m and ice cores down to 240 m. The model used is of the form $n(z) = n_{deep} + (n_{shallow} - n_{deep})e^{n_c \cdot z}$, where $n_c \approx -0.0132 \text{ m}^{-1}$, $n_{deep} \approx 1.78$, and $n_{shallow} \approx 1.35$. The changing index of refraction causes rays to curve in the ice layers, especially in the soft ice layers on top of the glacier (firn), and decreases the angular acceptance of shallow-deployed detectors by causing total reflection of rays propagating between the layers. Since there is no analytical solution to the ray-tracing problem, detailed simulation is needed for accurate ray tracing; this, however, requires extensive computation. We have been able to decrease the computation time by an order of magnitude using a semi-analytical method.

Uncertainties in index of refraction lead to uncertainties of the order of a few ns in the time difference measured between two receivers a few meters apart (geometry-dependent); therefore, a precise knowledge of index of refraction is necessary for a sub-ns-resolution detector.

When looking at possible paths connecting a transmitter and a receiver both in ice there will either be no solution or two solutions (direct ray and refracted ray) to the ray-tracing problem. The refraction may take place at the ice-air boundary on the surface, but also within ice, as illustrated in Fig. 4A. If reflections from the bedrock are taken into account there will be additional solutions, which will be attenuated due to the longer paths.

3.1. Attenuation length measurement

A point-to-point attenuation length measurement using the hardware described here is on-going. The source of difficulties in this measurement includes the in-ice ray optics limiting the field of view of the antennas and the shadowing of the support cable adjacent to the receiving and transmitting antennas. In the upcoming season (2010-2011), a set of special antennas that

are mounted symmetrically around (rather than adjacent to) the support cable will be used for attenuation length measurements.



Figure 4: A. Some examples of Ray Tracing solutions showing the direct- and refracted-rays solutions. The depths and separation between the transmitter and the receivers in this figure correspond to the geometry described in the text of "Sally"s transmitter and the transient detectors in hole 16. B. Average WF collected on the transient detectors in hole 16 for the top (solid) and bottom (dashed) antennas. The time delay between the direct and the refracted ray detected by the bottom antenna is consistent with simulations (137 ns).

3.2. Index of refraction measurement

The extremely shallow location of the TDA units makes them sensitive to small variations in the index of refraction model, especially to $n_{shallow}$ and n_c . Five out of six TDA units were able to trigger on a calibration pulse transmitted by the ACU on "Sally" at -245 m. The sixth unit that did not see the pulser (Top antenna at hole 8) was in the shaded area where no solution exists. Limits on the ice model parameters can be made by measuring

the trigger time differences between different units as well as the time differences between the direct and refracted ray. This is illustrated in Fig 4B where an average WF from a pulser run for the top and bottom detectors in hole 16 is shown. The expected time delay between the direct and refracted ray for the top antenna was calculated to be about 14 ns, and the direct and refracted peaks are not resolvable. For the bottom antenna the simulated time delay was about 137 ns, in good agreement with the measurement. Figure 5 shows preliminary constraints on $n_{shallow}$ and n_c based on combined time differences measured between detectors.



Figure 5: Excluded parameters space for index of refraction based on time delays between hits . This area includes systematic uncertainties from the following uncertainties: timing resolution, slewing, geometry and n_{deep} .



Figure 6: Average trigger rate vs. wind speed. The meteorological data were taken from the "Clean Air" automatic weather station, operated by The Antarctic Meteorological Research Center (AMRC) and the Antarctic Automatic Weather Station (AWS) Program[11].

4. Wind-generated EMI

Over four days in 2010 (July 15-16 and July 21-22), the volume of data collected by the radio detectors increased dramatically from $\sim 200 \text{ MBytes}$ for a normal day to more than 5

GBytes. The trigger rate study shows that the timing of the elevated noise rates coincided with the periods of winds stronger than ~ 20 knots (Fig 6). Most of the WFs captured on the deep clusters during these strong winds were clearly downgoing while the WFs on the shallow clusters are mostly saturated. Preliminary reconstruction study has pointed to an area around large structures in the Dark Sector as the origin of this interference. More sophisticated reconstruction efforts are in progress to better characterize the source of the noise.

Since the South Pole is electrically insulated electrostatic charge accumulates easily leading to a discharge causing EMI. There are two possible mechanisms as to how electrostatic charge builds up in strong winds: "precipitation charging" [12] where blowing snow causes structures to charge up, and "snow-storm electrification" [13] where charge separation occurs near the snow surface.

5. Conclusion

Work is under way using the RF IceCube-radio-extension modules for characterizing the ice properties and the South Pole RF environment. Understanding the nature and origin of the wind-related interference, index of refraction and attenuation lengths of ice will provide a valuable guide for designing a future GZK neutrino detector.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support from the U.S. National Science Foundation-Office of Polar Program and U.S. National Science Foundation-Physics Division.

References

- G. A. Gusev, I. M. Zheleznykh, Neutrino and Muon Detection from the radio emission of cascades created by them in natural dielectric media, JETP Lett. 38 (1983) 611–614.
- [2] I. Kravchenko, et al., RICE limits on the diffuse ultra-high energy neutrino flux, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 082002.
- [3] P. W. Gorham, et al., Observational Constraints on the Ultra-high Energy Cosmic Neutrino Flux from the Second Flight of the ANITA Experiment, Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 022004.
- [4] L. Gerhardt, et al., A prototype station for ARIANNA: a detector for cosmic neutrinos, arXiv:1005.5193 (2010).
- [5] K. Hoffman, The Askaryan Radio Array, These Proceedings (2010).
- [6] G. S. Varner, et al., The large analog bandwidth recorder and digitizer with ordered readout (LABRADOR) ASIC, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A583 (2007) 447–460.
- [7] H. Landsman, L. Ruckman, G. S. Varner, AURA A radio frequency extension to IceCube, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A604 (2009) S70–S75.
- [8] R. Abbasi, et al., IceCube Collaboration Contributions to the 2009 International Cosmic Ray Conference, arXiv:1004.2093 (2010).
- [9] S. Barwick, et al., South Polar in situ radio-frequency ice attenuation, J. Glaciology 51 (2005) 231.
- [10] I. Kravchenko, et al., South Polar in situ radio-frequency ice attenuation, J. Glaciology 50 (2004) 522.
- [11] AMRC, AWC, Surface observation from the cair aws, *ftp* : //amrc.ssec.wisc.edu/pub/southpole/surface_observations (2010).
- [12] S. B. Dunham, Electrostatic charging by solid precipitation, J. Atmospheric Sciences 23 (1966) 412.
- [13] M. Gordon, P. Taylor, The electric field during blowing snow events, Boundary-Layer Meteorology 130 (2009) 97–115. 10.1007/s10546-008-9333-7.

