

There are only finitely many distance-regular graphs with valency k at least three, fixed ratio $\frac{k_2}{k}$ and large diameter

Jongyook Park¹, Jack H. Koolen¹ and Greg Markowsky²
 jongyook@postech.ac.kr koolen@postech.ac.kr gmarkowsky@gmail.com

¹Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang 790-785, South Korea

²Pohang Mathematics Institute, POSTECH, Pohang 790-785, South Korea

October 12, 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we show that for given positive integer C , there are only finitely many distance-regular graphs with valency k at least three, diameter D at least six and $\frac{k_2}{k} \leq C$. This extends a conjecture of Bannai and Ito.

Key Words: distance-regular graphs, Bannai-Ito Conjecture
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05E30

1 Introduction

In 1984, Bannai and Ito made the following conjecture (Cf. [3, p.237]).

Conjecture: There are finitely many distance-regular graphs with fixed valency at least three.

This conjecture has been proved in [1]. In this paper we strengthen this result as follows.

Theorem 1 Let C be a positive integer. Then there are finitely many distance-regular graphs with valency $k > 2$, diameter $D \geq 6$ and $\frac{k_2}{k} \leq C$.

Remark: The Hadamard graphs of order 2μ ([4, Section 1.8]) have intersection array $\{2\mu, 2\mu - 1, \mu, 1; 1, \mu, 2\mu - 1, 2\mu\}$ and have $\frac{k_2}{k} = \frac{2\mu - 1}{\mu} < 2$. For each Hadamard matrix

of order 2μ , there exists a Hadamard graph of order 2μ , and for each $\mu = 2^n$ for $n \geq 0$, there exists a Hadamard matrix of order 2μ . The Taylor graphs, i.e., antipodal 2-covers of diameter three, have $k_2 = k$ and there are infinitely many Taylor graphs (see [4, Section 1.5]). The complement of a non-complete strongly regular graph is also a strongly regular graph. Thus, Theorem 1 is not true for $D \leq 4$. It is not known whether it is true for $D = 5$.

We remark further that in [12] it was shown that if $\frac{k_2}{k} \leq \frac{3}{2}$, then either ($D=3$ and the graph Γ is bipartite, a Taylor graph or the Johnson graph $J(7, 3)$) or ($D=4$ and the graph Γ is the 4-cube). Theorem 1 is an extension of this theorem as well.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we will show

Theorem 2 Let C be a positive integer. Then there are only finitely many distance-regular graphs with valency $k > 2$, diameter $D \geq 6$ and $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq C$.

Theorem 1 immediately follows from this theorem as $\frac{k_2}{k} = \frac{b_1}{c_2}$ and $b_2 \leq b_1$. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will give the definitions and basic facts required for the ensuing arguments. In Section 3 we give a lower bound on the second largest eigenvalue, and in Section 4 we will discuss distance-regular Terwilliger graphs. In Section 5 we consider distance-regular graphs with a fixed $\frac{b_t}{c_t}$ for some $t \geq 1$ and give a proof of Theorem 2.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

All the graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and simple (for unexplained terminology and more details, see [4]). Suppose that Γ is a connected graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)$, where $E(\Gamma)$ consists of unordered pairs of two adjacent vertices. The *distance* $d(x, y)$ between any two vertices x and y of Γ is the length of a shortest path connecting x and y in Γ . We denote v as the number of vertices of Γ and define the *diameter* D of Γ as the maximum distance in Γ . For a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, define $\Gamma_i(x)$ to be the set of vertices which are at distance precisely i from x ($0 \leq i \leq D$). In addition, define $\Gamma_{-1}(x) = \Gamma_{D+1}(x) := \emptyset$. We write $\Gamma(x)$ instead of $\Gamma_1(x)$ and denote $x \sim_\Gamma y$ or simply $x \sim y$ if two vertices x and y are adjacent in Γ . The *adjacency matrix* A of the graph Γ is the $(0,1)$ -matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and the (x, y) -entry is 1 whenever $x \sim y$ and 0 otherwise. The *eigenvalues* of the graph Γ are the eigenvalues of A .

For a connected graph Γ , the *local graph* $\Delta(x)$ at a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$ is the subgraph induced on $\Gamma(x)$. Let Δ be a graph. If the local graph $\Delta(x)$ is isomorphic to Δ for any vertex $x \in \Gamma(x)$, then we say Γ is *locally* Δ .

For a graph Γ , a partition $\Pi = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_\ell\}$ of the vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ is called *equitable* if there are constants β_{ij} such that each vertex $x \in P_i$ has exactly β_{ij} neighbors

in P_j ($1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$). The *quotient matrix* $Q(\Pi)$ associated with the equitable partition Π is the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry $Q(\Pi)_{(i,j)}$ is β_{ij} ($1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$). Note that the eigenvalues of the quotient matrix $Q(\Pi)$ are also eigenvalues (of the adjacency matrix A) of Γ [5, Theorem 9.3.3].

A connected graph Γ with diameter D is called *distance-regular* if there are integers b_i, c_i ($0 \leq i \leq D$) such that for any two vertices $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ with $d(x, y) = i$, there are precisely c_i neighbors of y in $\Gamma_{i-1}(x)$ and b_i neighbors of y in $\Gamma_{i+1}(x)$, where we define $b_D = c_0 = 0$. In particular, any distance-regular graph is regular with valency $k := b_0$. Note that a (non-complete) connected *strongly regular graph* is just a distance-regular graph with diameter two. We define $a_i := k - b_i - c_i$ for notational convenience. Note that $a_i = |\Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_i(x)|$ holds for any two vertices x, y with $d(x, y) = i$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$).

For a distance-regular graph Γ and a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, we denote $k_i := |\Gamma_i(x)|$, and it is easy to see that $k_i = \frac{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i}$ and hence does not depend on x . The numbers a_i, b_{i-1} and c_i ($1 \leq i \leq D$) are called the *intersection numbers*, and the array $\{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{D-1}; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_D\}$ is called the *intersection array* of Γ . We define

$$h = h(\Gamma) := |\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq D - 1 \text{ and } (c_i, a_i, b_i) = (c_1, a_1, b_1)\}|.$$

Some standard properties of the intersection numbers are collected in the following lemma.

Lemma 3 ([4, Proposition 4.1.6])

Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with valency k and diameter D . Then the following holds:

- (i) $k = b_0 > b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_{D-1}$;
- (ii) $1 = c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_D$;
- (iii) $b_i \geq c_j$ if $i + j \leq D$.

Suppose that Γ is a distance-regular graph with valency $k \geq 2$ and diameter $D \geq 1$. Then Γ has exactly $D + 1$ distinct eigenvalues, namely $k = \theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_D$ ([4, p.128]), and the *multiplicity* of θ_i ($0 \leq i \leq D$) is denote by m_i . For an eigenvalue θ of Γ , the sequence $(u_i)_{i=0,1,\dots,D} = (u_i(\theta))_{i=0,1,\dots,D}$ satisfying $u_0 = u_0(\theta) = 1$, $u_1 = u_1(\theta) = \theta/k$, and

$$c_i u_{i-1} + a_i u_i + b_i u_{i+1} = \theta u_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, D - 1)$$

is called the *standard sequence* corresponding to the eigenvalue θ ([4, p.128]).

Recall that a *clique* of a graph is a set of mutually adjacent vertices. A clique \mathcal{C} of a distance-regular graph with valency k , diameter $D \geq 2$ and smallest eigenvalue θ_D , is called *Delsarte clique* if \mathcal{C} contains exactly $1 - \frac{k}{\theta_D}$ vertices. It is known that for any clique \mathcal{C} in Γ , the clique is Delsarte if and only if it is a completely regular code with covering radius $D - 1$. A non-complete distance-regular graph Γ is called *geometric* if

there exists a set C of Delsarte cliques such that each edge of Γ lies in a unique $C \in C$.

The *strong product* $G \boxtimes H$ of graphs G and H is a graph such that the vertex set of $G \boxtimes H$ is the Cartesian product $V(G) \times V(H)$ and any two different vertices (u, v) and (u', v') are adjacent in $G \boxtimes H$ if and only if $(u = u' \text{ or } u \text{ is adjacent to } u')$ and $(v = v' \text{ or } v \text{ is adjacent to } v')$. For a given positive integer s , the *s-clique extension* of a graph G is the strong product $G \boxtimes K_s$ of G and K_s , where K_s is the complete graph (or clique) of size s .

A graph Γ is said to be *of order* (s, t) if $\Gamma(x)$ is a disjoint union of $t + 1$ cliques of size s for every vertex x in Γ . In this case, Γ is a regular graph with valency $k = s(t + 1)$ and every edge lies in a unique clique of size $s + 1$.

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 4 (Cf. [4, Proposition 4.3.2 and 4.3.3] and [6, Theorem 1.7.2]) *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with valency k and intersection number $c_2 = 1$. Then Γ is of order (s, t) and $b_1 = t(a_1 + 1) = \frac{t}{t+1}k$, where $t \geq 1$ is an integer and $s = a_1 + 1$. Moreover if $t = 1$ holds, then Γ is a line graph.*

A *Terwilliger graph* is a connected non-complete graph Γ such that, for any two vertices u, v at distance two, the subgraph induced on $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$ in Γ is a clique of size μ (for some fixed $\mu \geq 1$). A *conference graph* is a strongly regular graph with parameters $v, k = \frac{v-1}{2}, a_1 = \frac{v-5}{4}$ and $c_2 = \frac{v-1}{4}$. An *antipodal graph* is a connected graph Γ with diameter $D > 1$ for which being at distance 0 or D is an equivalence relation. If, moreover, all equivalence classes have the same size r , then Γ is also called an *antipodal r-cover*.

Let x and y be vertices of a distance-regular graph Γ . When $d(x, y) = t$ and $c_t = 1$, we denote by $p[x, y]$ the unique shortest path connecting x and y . Let u, v be vertices of a distance-regular graph Γ at distance s . Let Ψ be the subgraph induced on $\Gamma_s(u)$ and let Ψ_v be the connected component of Ψ containing v . Let $\Delta(u, v) = \bigcup_{x \in \Psi_v} p[u, x]$.

For a vertex x of a graph Γ , we write $\widehat{\Gamma}(x)$ for the set of vertices consisting of x and its neighbors. Let $x \equiv y$ if $\widehat{\Gamma}(x) = \widehat{\Gamma}(y)$. Then, \equiv is an equivalence relation, and we shall write $\overline{\Gamma}$ for the quotient Γ / \equiv and \overline{x} for the equivalence class of the vertex x . (I.e., $\overline{\Gamma}$ has vertices \overline{x} for $x \in V(\Gamma)$ and $\overline{x} \sim_{\overline{\Gamma}} \overline{y}$ when $x \sim_{\Gamma} y$ and $\overline{x} \neq \overline{y}$.) $\overline{\Gamma}$ is called the *reduced graph* of Γ , and Γ is called reduced when all equivalence classes have size one.

Recall the following interlacing result.

Theorem 5 (Cf.[7]) *Let $m \leq n$ be two positive integers. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, that is similar to a (real) symmetric matrix, and let B be a principal $m \times m$ submatrix of A . Then, for $i = 1, \dots, m$,*

$$\theta_{n-m+i}(A) \leq \theta_i(B) \leq \theta_i(A)$$

holds, where A has eigenvalues $\theta_1(A) \geq \theta_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \theta_n(A)$ and B has eigenvalues $\theta_1(B) \geq \theta_2(B) \geq \dots \geq \theta_m(B)$.

3 A lower bound on the second largest eigenvalue

In this section, we give a lower bound on the second largest eigenvalue and characterize the distance-regular graphs which attain this bound. This analysis will figure prominently in the proof of Theorem 2.

Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with valency k and diameter D . Then the distinct eigenvalues of Γ are those of the $(D+1) \times (D+1)$ tri-diagonal matrix

$$L_\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ c_1 & a_1 & b_1 & & & \\ & c_2 & a_2 & b_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & c_{D-1} & a_{D-1} & b_{D-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & c_D & a_D \end{bmatrix},$$

where c_i, a_i and b_i ($0 \leq i \leq D$) are the intersection numbers of Γ .

Let $L_\Gamma(i) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k & & \mathbf{0} \\ c_1 & a_1 & b_1 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b_{i-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & & c_i & a_i \end{bmatrix}$ be the $(i+1) \times (i+1)$ upper left square submatrix

of L_Γ , where $0 \leq i \leq D$. Here note that the largest eigenvalue of $L_\Gamma(i)$, say μ_i , is at least the average valency of the induced subgraph on $\{x\} \cup \Gamma(x) \cup \Gamma_2(x) \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_i(x)$ for a vertex x of the distance-regular graph Γ . i.e., $\mu_i > a_i + c_i$ holds.

Lemma 6 *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 2t + 2$ for some positive integer t . Then the second largest eigenvalue θ_1 of Γ is at least the largest eigenvalue μ_t of $L_\Gamma(t)$. Moreover, $\theta_1 = \mu_t$ if and only if the graph Γ is an antipodal distance-regular graph with diameter $D = 2t + 2$.*

Proof: Let x and y be vertices of Γ at distance $D(\geq 2t + 2)$. Then the induced subgraph of Γ on $(\bigcup_{i=0}^t \Gamma_i(x)) \cup (\bigcup_{j=0}^t \Gamma_j(y))$ consists of two disjoint components and has

the eigenvalue μ_t with multiplicity at least two. Thus, the inequality $\theta_1 \geq \mu_t$ holds by Theorem 5.

Now we show that $\theta_1 = \mu_t$ if and only if the graph Γ is antipodal with $D = 2t + 2$. Let $(1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_D)$ be the standard sequence corresponding to θ_1 and let $[1 \ u'_1 \ u'_2 \ \dots \ u'_t]^T$ be the eigenvector of $L_\Gamma(t)$ corresponding to μ_t . Then $u'_1 = \frac{\mu_t}{k}$ and $c_i u'_{i-1} + a_i u'_i + b_i u'_{i+1} = \mu_t u'_i$ holds for $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$.

If $\theta_1 = \mu_t$, then clearly $u_i = u'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and hence $u_{t+1} = 0$, as $c_t u'_{t-1} + a_t u'_t = \mu_t u'_t = \theta_1 u_t = c_t u_{t-1} + a_t u_t + b_t u_{t+1}$ and $b_t > 0$. Also we have $u_{t+1} = 0 > u_{t+2} > \dots > u_D$ by [4, p.130]. The Perron-Frobenius Theorem [4, Theorem 3.1.1] allows us to conclude that θ_1 is the largest eigenvalue of

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{t+2} & b_{t+2} & & \mathbf{0} \\ c_{t+3} & a_{t+3} & b_{t+3} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b_{D-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & & c_D & a_D \end{bmatrix}$$

as the b_i 's and c_i 's are positive integers. Let $\Sigma(x)$ be the induced subgraph of Γ on $\bigcup_{i=t+2}^D \Gamma_i(x)$ and let z be a vertex of Γ at distance $2t + 2$ from x . Then the induced

subgraph of Γ on $\bigcup_{i=0}^t \Gamma_i(z)$, say $\Pi(z)$, is a subgraph of $\Sigma(x)$ with largest eigenvalue μ_t .

Let $\Lambda(z)$ be the connected component of $\Sigma(x)$ that contains z . Then the following hold:

- (a) The largest eigenvalue of $\Lambda(z)$ is at most θ_1 ;
- (b) $\Lambda(z)$ contains $\Pi(z)$ as an induced subgraph; and
- (c) $\Pi(z)$ has eigenvalue μ_t .

This means that by the Perron-Frobenius Theorem, $\Pi(z)$ must be equal to $\Lambda(z)$, and hence is a connected component of $\Sigma(x)$. This implies that $D = 2t + 2$, $c_D = k$, $b_{D-1} = 1$ and $a_1 = a_{D-1}$. As $\Pi(z)$ is connected, any vertex of $\Pi(z) \setminus \Gamma_t(z)$ has degree k and any vertex of $\Gamma_t(z)$ has degree less than k . By [4, Proposition 4.2.2 (ii)], we know that the graph Γ is antipodal and that the converse is true. This completes the proof. \square

Remark: Lemma 6 was shown in [13] for $t = 1$.

4 Terwilliger graphs

The proof of Theorem 2 proceeds differently for different types of distance-regular graphs. The type considered in this section is those with $c_2 > 1$ but which do not

contain an induced quadrangle, commonly known as Terwilliger graphs.

Lemma 7 *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Then there are only finitely many non-complete strongly regular Terwilliger graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-m$.*

Proof: Let Γ be a strongly regular graph and let x and y be vertices of Γ at distance two. If $c_2 = 1$, then there is a maximal clique C (with $a_1 + 2$ vertices) in $\Gamma_2(x)$ containing y . Then the distance $d(x, C) = 2$. Hence, C can not be a Delsarte clique, and Γ is not geometric. So, we may assume $c_2 \geq 2$. If Γ is geometric, then Γ contains a quadrangle, as $c_2 \geq 2$ ([11, Lemma 4.2]), and hence Γ is not Terwilliger graph. By a result of Neumaier [14] (Cf.[11, Theorem 1.1]), there are only finitely many non-geometric strongly regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-m$. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 7, we have

Proposition 8 Let $T \geq 1$ be a real number. Then there are only finitely many distance-regular Terwilliger graphs with $c_2 \geq 2$ and second largest eigenvalue at least $\frac{b_1}{T} - 1$.

Proof: Let Γ be a distance-regular Terwilliger graph with $c_2 \geq 2$ and second largest eigenvalue at least $\frac{b_1}{T} - 1$, and let x be a vertex of Γ . Then the reduced graph $\overline{\Delta(x)}$ of $\Delta(x)$ is a strongly regular Terwilliger graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \frac{b_1}{\theta_1 + 1} \geq -1 - T$, by [4, Theorem 1.16.3] and [4, Theorem 4.4.3]. By Lemma 7, the number of vertices of $\Delta(x)$ is bounded. That is, the valency of Γ , say k , is bounded. As $c_2 \geq 2$, we have $h = 1$, and hence the diameter D of Γ is at most 4^k by [4, Theorem 5.9.8]. This completes the proof. \square

Note in [11, Theorem 6.2], they have a similar result when second largest eigenvalue is replaced by smallest eigenvalue.

In the case $T = 2$, we can classify the corresponding Terwilliger graphs.

Proposition 9 Let Γ be a distance-regular Terwilliger graph with $c_2 \geq 2$. If the second largest eigenvalue θ_1 is bigger than $\frac{b_1}{2} - 1$, then Γ is either the icosahedron, the Conway-Smith graph, or the Doro graph.

Proof: Let x be a vertex of Γ . Then the local graph $\Delta(x)$ at x is also a Terwilliger graph and has smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \frac{b_1}{\theta_1 + 1} > -3$ by [4, Theorem 4.4.3]. This implies that $\Delta(x)$ is an s -clique extension of a strongly regular Terwilliger graph Σ with parameters $(\bar{v}, \bar{k}, \bar{a}_1, \bar{c}_2)$ and smallest eigenvalue η_{min} bigger than -3 by [4, Theorem 1.16.3] and Theorem 5. If Σ has a non-integral eigenvalue, then Σ must be

a conference graph with $\overline{c_2} = 1$, as Σ is a Terwilliger graph. Thus, Σ is the pentagon. As $\overline{a_1} = 0$, we know $s = 1$ by [4, Theorem 1.16.3]. The graph Γ is therefore the icosahedron by [4, Proposition 1.1.4].

From this point on, we will assume that Σ has only integral eigenvalues. Then the smallest eigenvalue η_{min} of Σ is -2 , as $\eta_{min} = -1$ implies that Σ is a complete graph. By [4, Theorem 3.12.4], the graph Σ is the Petersen graph. As $\overline{a_1} = 0$, we know $s = 1$ by [4, Theorem 1.16.3]. The graph Γ is therefore either the Conway-Smith graph or the Doro graph by [4, Theorem 1.16.5]. \square

5 Distance-regular graphs with a fixed $\frac{b_t}{c_t}$

First, we will consider distance-regular graphs which have a fixed $\frac{b_t}{c_t}$ for some $t \geq 1$. We will show that either the diameter or valency can be bounded in terms of $\frac{b_t}{c_t}$. We will isolate the special case of $\frac{b_2}{c_2}$, as in this case we may obtain an especially good diameter bound. These considerations will lead to the proof of Theorem 2, given at the end of the section.

Lemma 10 *Let $C \geq \frac{1}{2}$ be a real number and t be a positive integer. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with valency k and diameter $D \geq t + 1$. If $\frac{b_t}{c_t} \leq C$, then either $D \leq 8C^2t$ or $k \leq 2C$.*

Proof: Claim 1: If $D \geq 4t$, then $k \leq 2C$ or $\frac{b_{4t}}{c_{4t}} \leq \frac{1}{2}C$.

Case 1) First consider the case $c_t \geq 2$. Let l be the minimum integer such that $c_l > c_{2t-1}$. Then by [2, Theorem 1.1], we have $2t \leq l \leq (2t-1) + (2t-2) = 4t-3$. As $c_l > c_{l-1}$ and $l \geq 2t$, we find $c_l \geq c_t + c_{l-t} \geq 2c_t$ by [10, Proposition 1 (ii)] and hence $\frac{b_l}{c_l} \leq \frac{1}{2}C$. So, $\frac{b_{4t}}{c_{4t}} \leq \frac{b_l}{c_l} \leq \frac{1}{2}C$.

From now on, we may assume $c_t = 1$. i.e., $b_t \leq C$. In **Case 2)** we consider the case $h \geq 2$ and then we treat the case $h = 1$ in **Case 3)**.

Case 2) Let us assume $h \geq 2$. If $h \geq t$, then $b_1 = b_t (\leq C)$ and $c_2 = 1$, and hence $k \leq 2b_1 \leq 2C$ by Lemma 4. We may therefore assume $t > h (\geq 2)$. As $h \geq 2$, by [8, Theorem 2], $c_{2h+3} \geq 2$ and hence $\frac{b_{2h+3}}{c_{2h+3}} \leq \frac{1}{2}C$. Then $\frac{b_{4t}}{c_{4t}} \leq \frac{b_{2h+3}}{c_{2h+3}} \leq \frac{1}{2}C$, as $t > h (\geq 2)$.

Case 3) Now we assume $h = 1$. If $c_{t+1} \geq 2$, then $\frac{b_{4t}}{c_{4t}} \leq \frac{b_{t+1}}{c_{t+1}} \leq \frac{b_{t+1}}{2} \leq \frac{b_t}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}C$, so we may assume $c_{t+1} = 1$. We may also assume $c_{2t} = 1$, as $c_{2t} \geq 2$ implies $\frac{b_{4t}}{c_{4t}} \leq \frac{b_{2t}}{c_{2t}} \leq \frac{b_t}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}C$. In [9, Theorem 1.1], it was shown that if $c_{t+1} = 1$ for some $t > 0$, then for any two vertices u and v at distance t , there exists a distance regular

graph $\Delta(u, v)$ with intersection array $\{a_t + 1, a_t - a_1, \dots, a_t - a_{t-1}; 1, 1, \dots, 1\}$ as a subgraph of Γ , where the a_i 's are the intersection numbers of Γ .

Let x and z be vertices of Γ at distance $2t$. Then there is the unique vertex y such that $d(x, y) = t$ and $d(y, z) = t$, as $c_{2t} = 1 = c_{2t-1} = \dots = c_1$. This implies $V(\Delta(x, y)) \cap V(\Delta(y, z)) = \{y\}$. Let us consider the vertex y . Then the valency $a_t + 1$ of the graph $\Delta(y, z)$ is at most the intersection number b_t of Γ . This shows $a_t + c_t = a_t + 1 \leq b_t \leq C$. Thus, $k = a_t + c_t + b_t \leq 2b_t \leq 2C$.

Claim 2: If $k > 2C$, then $D \leq 8C^2t$.

Let $2^s \leq C < 2^{s+1}$ for an integer $s \geq -1$ and assume $k > 2C$. We will show by induction on s that $D \leq 8C^2t$. If $s = -1$, then $\frac{1}{2} \leq C < 1$, so $\frac{b_t}{c_t} \leq C < 1$ and hence $D \leq t + t = 2t$ by Lemma 3 (iii). Thus, **Claim 2** holds for $s = -1$. Now assume that **Claim 2** holds for s . Let $2^{s+1} \leq C < 2^{s+2}$ and $k > 2C$. If $D \leq 4t$, then $D \leq 8C^2t$ holds, as $C \geq 2^{s+1} = 1$. We may therefore assume $D > 4t$. Then, by **Claim 1**, $\frac{b_{4t}}{c_{4t}} \leq \frac{1}{2}C$. By the induction hypothesis, $D \leq 8 \times (\frac{1}{2}C)^2 \times 4t = 8C^2t$. This proves **Claim 2**, and **Claim 2** completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The case $t = 2, c_2 > 1$ may be of special interest, as in most cases one can improve the diameter bound markedly if desired.

Lemma 11 *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$ and $c_2 \geq 2$. If Γ contains a quadrangle and $\frac{b_2}{c_2} < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ holds for some integer $\alpha \geq 2$, then the diameter D is at most $\alpha + 1$.*

Proof: First, we will consider the case $c_2 \geq a_1$. As Γ contains a quadrangle, the girth of Γ is at most four, and this implies that the sequence $\{c_i\}_{i=1, \dots, D}$ is a strictly increasing sequence by [4, Theorem 5.2.5]. Note that the inequality $c_i \geq \frac{i}{2}c_2$ holds for $i \geq 2$ by [4, Theorem 5.4.1] and [10, Proposition 1 (ii)]. Thus, we have $\frac{b_2}{c_\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \times \frac{b_2}{c_2} < 1$, and hence D is at most $\alpha + 1$ by Lemma 3(iii).

Now suppose $a_1 > c_2$. As Γ contains a quadrangle, we know that the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} c_n - b_n &\geq c_{n-1} - b_{n-1} + a_1 + 2 \geq \dots \\ &\geq c_2 - b_2 + (n-2)a_1 + (n-2)2 > (n-1)c_2 - b_2 \end{aligned}$$

holds by [4, Theorem 5.2.1] for $n \geq 3$. If $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ is an integer, then by putting $n-1 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, we have $c_{\alpha/2+1} > b_{\alpha/2+1}$, as $b_2 < \frac{\alpha}{2}c_2$, which implies $D < \alpha + 2$ by Lemma 3(iii). Likewise, if $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ is not an integer, then we put $n-1 = \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$. We then have $c_{n-1} - b_{n-1} > -\frac{c_2}{2}$ and $c_n - b_n > \frac{c_2}{2}$. Thus, $(c_{n-1} - b_n) + (c_n - b_{n-1}) > 0$. Therefore, at least one of $c_{n-1} - b_n$ and $c_n - b_{n-1}$ is positive, and D can be at most $2n - 2 = \alpha + 1$. \square

We finally come to the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2: Let C be a positive integer. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with valency k , diameter $D \geq 6$ and $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq C$. Then either $k \leq 2C$ or $D \leq 16C^2$ by Lemma 10. There are only finitely many distance-regular graphs Γ with $k \leq 2C$ by [1], so we may assume $D \leq 16C^2$. Note that the second largest eigenvalue θ_1 of Γ is at least $\mu_2 > a_2 + c_2$ by Lemma 6. Note further that the number v of vertices of Γ is at most $(3 + C + C^2 + \dots + C^{D-2})k_2$, as $k_i = k \frac{b_1 b_2}{c_1 c_2} \dots \frac{b_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} \leq k_2 C^{i-2}$ for $2 \leq i \leq D$ and $1 + k \leq 2k_2$.

If $c_2 = 1$, then $b_2 \leq C$ and Γ is a graph of order (s, t) . Thus, by Lemma 4, either Γ is a line graph with $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}k - 1$ or $a_1 \leq \frac{1}{3}k - 1$. If Γ is a line graph with $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}k - 1$, then by [4, Theorem 4.2.16], Γ is the flag graph of a regular generalized $2d$ -gon of order (s, s) for some $s > 1$ (which has diameter $2d$ and intersection array $\{2s, s, \dots, s; 1, 1, \dots, 1\}$). Clearly in this case $s = b_2 \leq C$ and $D = 2d$. By [4, Theorem 6.5.1], we know that $2d \in \{6, 8\}$, since $s > 1$, and hence there are only finitely many distance-regular graphs with $D \geq 6$, $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq C$, $c_2 = 1$ and $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}k - 1$. We may therefore assume $a_1 \leq \frac{1}{3}k - 1$. As $a_2 + c_2 \leq C$ implies $k = a_2 + b_2 + c_2 \leq 2C$, we may assume $a_2 + c_2 > C$. Hence, $\theta_1 > \frac{1}{2}k$, as $b_2 \leq C$ and $\theta_1 > a_2 + c_2 > C$. Then the standard sequence $(u_i(\theta_1))_{i=0, \dots, D}$ satisfies $u_1(\theta_1) = \frac{\theta_1}{k} > \frac{1}{2}$ and $b_1 u_2(\theta_1) = ((\theta_1 - a_1)u_1(\theta_1) - 1)$. So $b_1 u_2(\theta_1) > \frac{1}{12}k - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{24}k$, unless $k \leq 12$, and thus $u_2(\theta_1) > \frac{1}{24}$, as $b_1 < k$. Then the multiplicity m_1 of θ_1 is at most $\frac{v}{\sum_{i=0}^D u_i(\theta_1)^2 k_i} < \frac{(3+C+C^2+\dots+C^{D-2})k_2}{u_2(\theta_1)^2 k_2} < \frac{(3+C+C^2+\dots+C^{D-2})k_2}{(1/24)^2 k_2} = 576(3 + C + C^2 + \dots + C^{D-2})$ by [4, Theorem 4.1.4]. As $k \leq \frac{(m_1+2)(m_1-1)}{2}$ (by [4, Theorem 5.3.2]), there are only finitely many distance-regular graphs with $D \geq 6$, $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq C$, $c_2 = 1$ and $a_1 \leq \frac{1}{3}k - 1$.

From this point forth, we assume $c_2 \geq 2$. As $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq C$, it follows that $b_2 \leq \frac{C}{C+1}k$ and hence $a_2 + c_2 \geq \frac{1}{C+1}k > \frac{1}{C+1}b_1 - 1$. First consider the case where Γ is a Terwilliger graph. Let $T \leq C + 1$ be the maximal integer such that $\theta_1 > a_2 + c_2 \geq \frac{b_1}{T} - 1$. Then there are only finitely many distance-regular Terwilliger graphs with $D \geq 6$, $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq C$ and $c_2 \geq 2$ by Proposition 8. We need therefore only consider the case where Γ is not a Terwilliger graph, i.e., Γ contains a quadrangle as an induced subgraph. As $D \geq 6$, we have $a_1 < a_1 + 2 \leq \frac{k+c_D}{6} \leq \frac{k}{3}$ by [4, Corollary 5.2.2]. If $b_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}k$, then $\theta_1 > a_2 + c_2 = k - b_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}k$, and hence $\theta_1 - a_1 \geq \frac{1}{6}k$. In the same manner as above, we obtain $u_2(\theta_1) > \frac{1}{24}k$, unless $k \leq 24$. Then $m_1 \leq 576(3 + C + C^2 + \dots + C^{D-2})$ and $k \leq \frac{(m_1+2)(m_1-1)}{2}$ hold, and therefore there are only finitely many distance-regular non-Terwilliger graphs with $D \geq 6$, $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq C$, $c_2 \geq 2$ and $b_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}k$. Thus, we may assume $b_2 > \frac{1}{2}k$. Note that if we put $c_2 + b_2 = \alpha k$, for some $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$, then $a_2 = k - (b_2 + c_2) = (1 - \alpha)k$ and $\alpha k = c_2 + b_2 < (1 + C)c_2$ hold. This implies $\theta_1 > a_2 + c_2 > (1 - \alpha)k + \frac{\alpha}{C+1}k \geq \frac{1}{C+1}k$. As $c_2 \geq \frac{b_2}{C} > \frac{k}{2C}$ and $b_1 < k$, we have $k_2 = k \frac{b_1}{c_2} < 2Ck$. Hence we obtain $v \leq (3 + C + C^2 + \dots + C^{D-2})k_2 < 2(3C + C^2 + \dots + C^{D-1})k$, which implies $m_1 < \frac{v}{u_1(\theta_1)} \leq \frac{v}{1/(C+1)} \leq (C+1)(3 + C + C^2 + \dots + C^{D-2})$. As $k \leq \frac{(m_1+2)(m_1-1)}{2}$, we see that there are only finitely many distance-regular non-Terwilliger graphs with $D \geq 6$, $\frac{b_2}{c_2} \leq C$, $c_2 \geq 2$ and $b_2 > \frac{1}{2}k$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

We end this paper with the following question:

Question: Can Theorem 2 be strengthened as follows. Let C and t be positive integers. Are there only finitely many distance-regular graphs with valency at least three, diameter at least $2t + 2$ and $\frac{bt}{ct} \leq C$?

6 Acknowledgements

The second author was partially supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Grant # 2009-0089826). The third author was supported by Priority Research Centers Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Grant #2009-0094070).

References

- [1] S. Bang, A. Dubickas, J.H. Koolen, V. Moulton, There are only finitely many distance-regular graphs of fixed valency greater than two, arXiv:0909.5253.
- [2] S. Bang, A. Hiraki, J.H. Koolen, Improving diameter bounds for distance-regular graphs, *European J. Combin.* 27 (1) (2006), 79-89.
- [3] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic combinatorics I: Association schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [4] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] C.D. Godsil, *Algebraic combinatorics*, Chapman and Hall Mathematics Series, *Chapman and Hall, New York*, 1993.
- [6] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [7] W.H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 226/228 (1995), 593-616.
- [8] A. Hiraki, A distance-regular graph with strongly closed subgraphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 14 (2) (2001), 127-131.
- [9] A. Hiraki, Distance-regular subgraphs in a distance-regular graph, III, *European J. Combin.* 17 (7) (1996), 629-636.

- [10] J.H. Koolen, On subgraphs in distance-regular graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 1 (4) (1992), 353-362.
- [11] J.H. Koolen, S. Bang, On distance-regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-m$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 100 (6) (2010), 573-584.
- [12] J.H. Koolen, Jongyook Park, Distance-regular graphs with large a_1 or c_2 , arXiv:1008.1209v1.
- [13] J.H. Koolen, Jongyook Park, Hyonju Yu, An inequality involving the second largest and smallest eigenvalue of a distance-regular graph, arXiv:1004.1056v1.
- [14] A. Neumaier, Strongly regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue $-m$, *Arch. Math.* (Basel) 33 (4) (1979/1980) 392-400.