

The standard model and the four dimensional superstring

B. B. Deo

*Department of Physics, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar-751004, India**

P. K. Jena

72, Dharma Vihar, Khandagiri, Bhubaneswar-751030, India†

(Dated: 31 Jan, 2011)

Starting from the Nambu-Goto bosonic string, a four dimensional superstring model is constructed using the equivalence of one boson to two Majorana-Weyl fermions. The conditions of anomaly cancellation in a ‘heterotic’ string theory lead to the correct result and is found to be consistent with the requirements of the standard model.

PACS numbers: 11.25.-q, 12.10.Dm

Keywords: superstring, anomaly, standard model.

String theories are promising candidates for providing an unified theory of the physical world as they offer the possibility of explaining the spectra of the chiral matter field interacting through the gauge and gravitational forces. One can work with the Nambu-Goto **(author?)** [1, 2] action involving the string coordinates $X^\mu(\sigma, \tau)$ in the world sheet (σ, τ) whose dimension turns out to be 26. The most pressing problem faced by the string theory is that of breaking a 26 dimensional string theory down to four dimensions. Unless such a dimensional reduction is achieved, the theory cannot have any connection with the observable quantities of the real physical world.

The Nambu-Goto bosonic string in the world sheet (σ, τ) in 26 dimensions is described by the action **(author?)** [1]

$$S_B = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma \partial_\alpha X^\mu(\sigma, \tau) \partial^\alpha X_\mu(\sigma, \tau), \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots, 25. \quad (1)$$

This action can be rewritten as the sum of (i) the action for four bosonic coordinates X^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and (ii) the action for 44 fermions having $SO(44)$ symmetry. This decomposition is possible by using Mandelstam’s proof of the equivalence between one boson to two fermions, in the infinite volume limit, in $(1+1)$ dimensional field theory. These fermions are taken to be the Majorana-Weyl fermions. The corresponding fermionic-bosonic action takes the form **(author?)** [3, 4, 5]

$$S_{FB} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[\partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial^\alpha X_\mu - i \sum_{j=1}^6 \bar{\psi}^{\mu,j} \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi_{\mu,j} + i \sum_{k=1}^5 \bar{\phi}^{\mu,k} \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha \phi_{\mu,k} \right] d^2\sigma, \quad (2)$$

where $\rho^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\rho^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \rho^0$ and $\bar{\phi} = \phi^\dagger \rho^0$. The Dirac operators $\rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha$ are hermitian since the matrices ρ^0 and ρ^1 are imaginary. Further, the fermionic fields are $\psi^{\mu,j} = \psi^{(+)\mu,j} + \psi^{(-)\mu,j}$ and $\phi^{\mu,k} = \phi^{(+)\mu,k} + \phi^{(-)\mu,k}$. Out of the 44 fermions, there are $6 \times 4 = 24$ ‘neutrinos’ of one type and $5 \times 4 = 20$ ‘neutrinos’ of another type which differ in their ‘neutrino-like’ phase. This phase difference leads to the opposite signs in the 2nd and 3rd terms in the action of equation (1). This action is invariant under $SO(6) \times SO(5)$ as well as under $SO(3, 1)$. It is also invariant under the supersymmetric transformation

$$\delta X^\mu = \bar{\epsilon} (e^j \psi_j^\mu - e^k \phi_k^\mu), \quad \delta \psi^{\mu,j} = -i \epsilon e^j \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu, \quad \delta \phi^{\mu,k} = i \epsilon e^k \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu, \quad (3)$$

where ϵ is a constant anticommuting spinor. The e^j are arrays of 11 numbers with one ‘1’ in the j th position and the rest equal to zero. Similarly, the e^k are arrays of 11 numbers with only one ‘-1’ in the k th position and the rest equal to zero. The e^j and e^k satisfy $e^j e_j = 6$ and $e^k e_k = 5$. The commutator of two supersymmetric transformations gives a world sheet transformation. Further, the fermionic combination $\Psi^\mu = (e^j \psi_j^\mu - e^k \phi_k^\mu)$ is the superpartner of X^μ .

*Electronic address: bdeo@iopb.res.in

†Electronic address: prasanta.jena@yahoo.com

In order to cancel the anomalies and isolate the natural ghosts, the Faddeev-Popov ghosts b and c are introduced (author?) [6, 7]. The corresponding FP ghost action is (author?) [5],

$$S_{FP} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2\sigma (c^+ \partial_- b_{++} + c^- \partial_+ b_{--}), \quad (4)$$

with the anticommutation relations

$$\{b_{\pm\pm}(\sigma, \tau), c^\pm(\sigma', \tau)\} = 2\pi\delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \quad (5)$$

The mode expansions for the Faddeev-Popov ghosts are given by

$$c^\pm = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{-in(\tau \pm \sigma)}, \quad b_{\pm\pm} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n e^{-in(\tau \pm \sigma)}, \quad (6)$$

which lead to the canonical anticommutation relations

$$\{c_m, b_n\} = \delta_{m,-n}, \quad \{c_m, c_n\} = \{b_m, b_n\} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Using the mode expansion and the energy-momentum tensor, obtained from the action, we get the Virasoro generators for the ghosts G as

$$L_m^G = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (m-n) b_{m+n} c_{-n} - a\delta_{m,-n}, \quad (8)$$

where the normal ordering constant equals 1. The action S_{FB} of equation(2) describes the left moving sector.

In the right moving sector we have, in addition, 11 superconformal ghosts which appears puzzling. But the light-cone gauge is ghost free. The light-cone fields are

$$\psi_j^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_j^0 \pm \psi_j^3), \quad \phi_k^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_k^0 \pm \phi_k^3), \quad (9)$$

with anticommutators having negative sign,

$$\{\psi_j^+(\sigma), \psi_{j'}^-(\sigma')\} = -\delta_{jj'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \quad \{\phi_j^+(\sigma), \phi_{j'}^-(\sigma')\} = -\delta_{jj'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \quad (10)$$

The total energy-momentum tensor, which comes from the (0,3) coordinates is given by

$$T^{gh}(z) = \frac{i}{2} (\psi^{0j} \partial_z \psi_{0j} + \psi^{3j} \partial_z \psi_{3j}) + \frac{i}{2} (\phi^{0j} \partial_z \phi_{0j} + \phi^{3j} \partial_z \phi_{3j}). \quad (11)$$

The corresponding correlation function is

$$\langle T^{gh}(z), T^{gh}(\omega) \rangle = \frac{11}{2} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^4} + \dots \quad (12)$$

These ghosts contribute 11 to the central charge whereas the field components are only $\frac{D}{4} = 1$. The appropriate action is taken to be

$$S = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^3 \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial^\alpha X_\mu - i \sum_{\mu=1,2} (\bar{\psi}^{\mu,a} \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi_{\mu,a} - \bar{\phi}^{\mu,b} \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha \phi_{\mu,b}) + i \sum_{\mu=0,3} (\bar{\psi}^{\mu,a} \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi_{\mu,a} - \bar{\phi}^{\mu,b} \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha \phi_{\mu,b}) \right]. \quad (13)$$

The anomaly cancellation is automatic in this case.

The heterotic string **(author?)** [8] has the advantage of having two independently moving sectors of closed strings, namely the right moving sector propagating as function of $(\sigma + \tau)$ and the the left moving sector as a function of $(\sigma - \tau)$. The term ‘heterosis’, meaning ‘hybrid vigor’ makes fundamental use of this splitting. It has been shown **(author?)** [8, 9, 10] that the heterotic string has no tachyons, no anomalies and is finite to one loop as considered here.

The number of compactified dimensions in the left moving sector is $(26 - D) = 22$. In this sector, the three contributions to anomaly are as follows **(author?)** [9].

$$\text{Left movers : } X_\mu \longrightarrow D; b, c \text{ ghosts} \longrightarrow 26; \psi^a \longrightarrow \frac{N}{2}. \quad (14)$$

The sum of the three contributions to anomaly must vanish so that the number of fermions in the set ψ^a , for $D = 4$, is equal to

$$N_L = 2(26 - D) = 44. \quad (15)$$

On the other hand, the contributions to the anomaly from the right moving sector are

$$\text{Right movers : } X_\mu, \psi_\mu \longrightarrow \frac{5}{4}D; b, c, \beta, \gamma \longrightarrow -26 + 11 = -15; \psi^a \longrightarrow \frac{N}{2}. \quad (16)$$

The condition for cancellation of anomaly in this sector, for $D = 4$, leads to the number of fermions as

$$N_R = 3 \left(10 - \frac{5}{6}D \right) = 20. \quad (17)$$

We now construct the super Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras for compactified models. Our aim is to find the superconformal anomaly of the super Virasoro ghosts for the various fermionic representations **(author?)** [11, 12]. Using the fermionic fields ψ^a , it is possible to construct representations of the super Kac-Moody generators J_B^a, J_F^a and the super Virasoro generators T_B, T_F . With the Grassman variable θ , the super Kac-Moody generators are

$$J^a(z, \theta) = J_F^a + \theta J_B^a, \quad (18)$$

where

$$J_F^a = \sqrt{k}\psi^a, \quad J_B^a = -\frac{i}{2}f^{abc}\psi^b\psi^c. \quad (19)$$

Here, f^{abc} are the structure constants and k is the level number. The anomaly term is found to be

$$k = c_2(G), \quad (20)$$

where $c_2(G)$ is the value of the quadratic conserved operator for the group G , which for the standard model is $SU(n)$ so that $c_2(G) = n$. We also have the relations

$$T(z, \theta) = T_F + \theta T_B, \quad (21)$$

with

$$T_B = -\frac{1}{2}\psi^a\partial\psi_a, \quad \text{and} \quad T_F = -\frac{i}{12\sqrt{k}}f^{abs}\psi^a\psi^b\psi^c. \quad (22)$$

The conformal anomaly for this representation of super Virasoro algebra is

$$c = \frac{1}{2}d(G), \quad (23)$$

where $d(G)$ is the dimension of the group G . So, for $SU(n)$, we have

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - 1). \quad (24)$$

If we have a super Kac-Moody generator \tilde{J} , such that

$$J_B^a = \tilde{J}^a - \frac{i}{2}f^{abc}\psi^b\psi^c \quad \text{and} \quad J_F^a = \sqrt{k}\psi^a, \quad (25)$$

the expressions for T_B and T_F become

$$T_B = -\frac{1}{2}\psi^a\partial\psi_a + \frac{1}{2k}\tilde{J}^a\tilde{J}^a, \quad (26)$$

$$T_F = -\frac{i}{12\sqrt{k}}f^{abs}\psi^a\psi^b\psi^c + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}}\psi^a\tilde{J}^a. \quad (27)$$

If \tilde{k} is added to the level number k , so that $k = \tilde{k} + c_2(G)$, the conformal anomaly becomes

$$c = \frac{1}{2}d(G) + d(G)\frac{k - c_2(G)}{k}. \quad (28)$$

The first term comes from the ψ^a field and the second term from the energy-momentum tensor. Since $d(G) = n^2 - 1$ and $c_2(G) = n$, we find that

$$\hat{c} = (n^2 - 1) \left[1 - \frac{2n}{3k} \right] = c \frac{2}{3}. \quad (29)$$

From this equation (29), we get the following.

1. If $G = SU(3)$, quarks are triplets, $\hat{k} \geq 1$ and $\hat{c} \geq 4$.
2. If $G = SU(2)$, quarks are doublets, $\hat{k} \geq 1$ and $\hat{c} \geq \frac{5}{4}$.
3. If $G = U(1)$, $\hat{c} \geq 1$.

So, the total contribution to anomaly for the Standard Model is

$$\hat{c} \geq \left(4 + \frac{5}{3} + 1 \right) = 6\frac{2}{3}. \quad (30)$$

Indeed this is exactly the result we require, i.e., $\hat{c} = 6\frac{2}{3}$ or $c = 10$.

Pursuing further, we note that our action has $SO(6) \otimes SO(5)$ symmetry. In order to descend to the Standard Model group $SU_C(3) \otimes SU_L(2) \otimes U_Y(1)$, one usually introduces Higgs which break the gauge symmetry and supersymmetry. But the use of Wilson's loops

$$U_\gamma = P \exp \left(\oint_\gamma A_\mu dx^\mu \right), \quad (31)$$

breaks the gauge symmetry while keeping the supersymmetry intact (author?) [12, 13]. Here, P stands for the ordering of each term with respect to the closed path γ . The group $SO(6) = SU(4)$ descends to $SU_C(3) \otimes U_{B-L}(1)$ by choosing one element of U_0 of $SU(4)$ such that $U_0^2 = 1$. The element generates the permutation group Z_2 , so that $\frac{SO(6)}{Z_2} = SU_C(3) \otimes U_{B-L}(1)$, without breaking supersymmetry. Similarly, $SO(5) \rightarrow SO(3) \otimes SO(2) = SU(2) \otimes U(1)$, which gives $\frac{SO(5)}{Z_2} = SU(2) \otimes U(1)$. Thus

$$\frac{SO(6) \otimes SO(5)}{Z_2 \otimes Z_2} = SU_C(3) \otimes U_{B-L}(1) \otimes U_R(1) \otimes SU_L(2), \quad (32)$$

which makes an identification with the usual low energy phenomenology. However, this is not the Standard Model as there is an additional $U(1)$. This difficulty is overcome by reducing the rank by one as discussed below (author?) [11, 12]. We have for the three Wilson's loops,

$$g(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} - 2\theta_1, \frac{2\pi}{3} + \theta_2, \frac{2\pi}{3} + \theta_3 \right). \quad (33)$$

The first Wilson loop integral vanishes as the angle integral is from $\theta_1 = \frac{2\pi}{9}$ to $\frac{2\pi}{3} - \frac{4\pi}{9} = \frac{2\pi}{9}$. The second loop, for which $\theta_2 = 0$ to $2\pi - \frac{2\pi}{3} = \frac{4\pi}{3}$, is described by a length parameter R . The third loop, with $\theta_3 = 0$ to $2\pi - \frac{2\pi}{3} = \frac{4\pi}{3}$, is also described by the same parameter R . The polar components of the gauge fields are taken as nonzero constants so that $gA_{\theta_2}^{15} = \vartheta_{15}$ for $SO(6) = SU(4)$. The diagonal generator t_{15} breaks the symmetry. Similarly, for $SO(5)$, we have $g'A_{\theta_3}^{10} = \vartheta'_{10}$ with the corresponding diagonal generator being t'_{10} . The generators of both $SO(6)$ and $SO(5)$ are 4×4 matrices and the group Z_3 can be written as $T = T_{\theta_1} T_{\theta_2} T_{\theta_3}$. The unbroken symmetry $SU(3) \otimes SU(2)$ is not affected since $T_{\theta_1} = 1$. But $T_{\theta_2} = \exp\left(it_{15} \int_0^{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \vartheta_{15} R d\theta_2\right) \neq 1$, breaks the $SU(4)$ symmetry and $T_{\theta_3} = \exp\left(it'_{10} \int_0^{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \vartheta'_{10} R d\theta_3\right) \neq 1$, breaks the $SO(5)$ symmetry. The remaining product of Z_3 is $T_{\theta_2} T_{\theta_3} = \exp\left(i \int_0^{\frac{4\pi}{3}} (\vartheta'_{10} t'_{10} + \vartheta_{15} t_{15}) R d\theta\right)$. The arbitrary constants ϑ_{15} and ϑ'_{10} are chosen so as to give

$$\vartheta'_{10} t'_{10} + \vartheta_{15} t_{15} = 0, \frac{3}{2R}, \dots \quad (34)$$

With this choice the term in the exponential in the product $T_{\theta_2} T_{\theta_3}$ becomes equal to zero or multiples of $2\pi i$. Thus, $T = U(1)$ and the rank is reduced by one, leading to

$$\frac{SO(6) \otimes SO(5)}{Z_3} = SU_C(3) \otimes SU_L(2) \otimes U_Y(1). \quad (35)$$

Thus the absence of fermions in the 26 dimensional string theory is not the problem. The number 26 appears over and over again in the string theory in 4 or 10 dimensions. So, even though supersymmetry emerges as an 'accident' as we come from 26 to 4 dimensions, the theory gives the correct truncation of the original bosonic string theory.

-
- [1] Y. Nambu, in *Symmetries and Quark Models*, ed. R. Chand. (Gordon and Breach, 1970)
 - [2] T.Goto, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **46**, 1560, (1971)
 - [3] B. B. Deo, *Phys. Lett.* **B557**, 115 (2003)
 - [4] B.B.Deo and L. Maharana, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A21**, 237 (2006)
 - [5] B.B.Deo and L. Maharana, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A20**, 99 (2005)
 - [6] L.D.Faddeev and V.N.Popov, *Phys. Lett.* **B25**, 29 (1967)
 - [7] A.M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett.* **B103**, 207 (1981)
 - [8] M.B.Green, J.H.Schwarz and E.Witten, *Superstring Theory*, Vol-I (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987)
 - [9] M.Kaku, *Introductions to Superstrings* (Springer Verlag, New York, 1988)
 - [10] J.Polchinski, *String Theory*, Vol-I and II (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998)
 - [11] S.P. Mishra, *Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity* (Wiley, 1992)
 - [12] B.B.Deo, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A21**, 6575 (2006)
 - [13] B.B.Deo, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A13**, 2971 (1998)