GRIPS -

Gamma-Ray Imaging, Polarimetry and Spectroscopy www.grips-mission.eu*

Jochen Greiner · Karl Mannheim · Felix Aharonian · Marco Ajello · Lajos G. Balasz · Guido Barbiellini · Ronaldo Bellazzini · Shawn Bishop · Gennady S. Bisnovatij-Kogan · Steven Boggs · Andrej Bykov · Guido DiCocco · Roland Diehl · Dominik Elsässer · Suzanne Foley · Claes Fransson · Neil Gehrels · Lorraine Hanlon · Dieter Hartmann · Wim $Hermsen \cdot Wolfgang Hillebrandt \cdot Rene$ Hudec · Anatoli Iyudin · Jordi Jose · Matthias Kadler · Gottfried Kanbach · Wlodek Klamra · Jürgen Kiener · Sylvio Klose · Ingo Kreykenbohm · Lucien M. Kuiper · Nikos Kylafis · Claudio Labanti · Karlheinz Langanke · Norbert Langer · Stefan Larsson \cdot Bruno Leibundgut \cdot Uwe Laux · Francesco Longo · Kei'ichi Maeda · Radoslaw Marcinkowski · Martino Marisaldi · Brian McBreen · Sheila McBreen · Attila Meszaros · Ken'ichi Nomoto \cdot Mark Pearce \cdot Asaf Peer \cdot Elena Pian · Nikolas Prantzos · Georg Raffelt · Olaf Reimer · Wolfgang Rhode · Felix Ryde · Christian Schmidt · Joe Silk · Boris M. Shustov · Andrew Strong · Nial Tanvir · Friedrich-Karl Thielemann · Omar Tibolla · David Tierney · Joachim Trümper · Dmitry A. Varshalovich · Jörn Wilms · Grzegorz Wrochna · Andrzej Zdziarski · Andreas Zoglauer

Received: 21 April 2011 / Accepted: 2011

Jochen Greiner MPI für extraterrestrische Physik 85740 Garching, Germany Tel.: +49-89-30000-3847 E-mail: jcg@mpe.mpg.de Karl Mannheim Inst. f. Theor. Physik & Astrophysik, Univ. Würzburg 97074 Würzburg, Germany

Tel.: +49-931-318-500

 $\hbox{E-mail: } mannheim@astro.uni-wuerzburg.de\\$

^{*} See this Web-site for the author's affiliations.

Abstract We propose to perform a continuously scanning all-sky survey from 200 keV to 80 MeV achieving a sensitivity which is better by a factor of 40 or more compared to the previous missions in this energy range (COMPTEL, INTEGRAL; see Fig. 1). The Gamma-Ray Imaging, Polarimetry and Spectroscopy (" \mathbf{GRIPS} ") mission addresses fundamental questions in ESA's Cosmic Vision plan. Among the major themes of the strategic plan, GRIPS has its focus on the evolving, violent Universe, exploring a unique energy window. We propose to investigate γ -ray bursts and blazars, the mechanisms behind supernova explosions, nucleosynthesis and spallation, the enigmatic origin of positrons in our Galaxy, and the nature of radiation processes and particle acceleration in extreme cosmic sources including pulsars and magnetars. The natural energy scale for these non-thermal processes is of the order of MeV. Although they can be partially and indirectly studied using other methods, only the proposed GRIPS measurements will provide direct access to their primary photons. GRIPS will be a driver for the study of transient sources in the era of neutrino and gravitational wave observatories such as Ice-CUBE and LISA, establishing a new type of diagnostics in relativistic and nuclear astrophysics. This will support extrapolations to investigate star formation, galaxy evolution, and black hole formation at high redshifts.

Keywords Compton and Pair creation telescope \cdot Gamma-ray bursts \cdot Nucleosynthesis \cdot Early Universe

PACS 95.55.Ka · 98.70.Rz · 26.30.-k

1 Introduction

Photon energies between hard X-rays of 0.2 MeV and γ -rays of 80 MeV cover the range where many of the most-spectacular cosmic sources have their peak emissivity, so that essential physical processes of high-energy astrophysics can be studied most directly. Moreover, excitation and binding energies of atomic nuclei fall in this regime, which therefore is as important for high-energy astronomy as optical astronomy is for phenomena related to atomic physics. In addition, it includes the energy scale of the electron and pion rest mass. Current instrument sensitivities expose an "MeV-gap" exactly over this range (Fig. 1, left). The GRIPS mission will improve the sensitivity in this gap by a factor of 40 compared to previous missions. Therefore, the GRIPS all-sky survey with γ -ray imaging, polarimetry, and spectroscopy promises new discoveries, beyond its precision diagnostics of primary high-energy processes.

GRIPS would open the astronomical window to the bizarre and highly variable high-energy sky, to investigate fascinating cosmic objects such as γ -ray bursts, blazars, supernovae and their remnants, accreting binaries with white dwarfs, neutron stars or black holes often including relativistic jets, pulsars and magnetars, and the often peculiar cosmic gas in their surroundings. Many of these objects show MeV-peaked spectral energy distributions (Fig. 1, right) or characteristic spectral lines; we target such primary emission to understand the astrophysics of these sources.

Unrivaled by any other method, the detection of highly penetrating γ -rays from cosmological γ -ray bursts will shed light on the first massive stars and galaxies which formed in obscuring gas clouds during the dark ages of the early Universe.




Fig. 1 Left: GRIPS will allow a major sensitivity improvement in an energy range (between hard X-rays and GeV γ -rays) which has been poorly explored, yet holds unique information for a wide range of astrophysical questions. The curves are for an exposure of 10^6 sec, $\Delta E = E$, and an E^{-2} spectrum. Right: Not only GRBs, but also blazar SEDs peak in the MeV range, and pulsars turn over from their maximum in the *Fermi* band. The combined γ -, X-ray and near-infrared coverage of blazars covers both emission components simultaneously.

Polarization measurements of γ -ray bursts and blazars will for the first time decipher the mechanism of jet formation in accreting high-spin black hole systems ranging from stellar to galactic masses.

The primary energy source of supernova (SN) light is radioactive decay, deeply embedded below the photosphere as it appears in conventional astronomical bands. The first direct measurement of the nickel and cobalt decay inside Type Ia SNe will pin down their explosion physics and disentangle their progenitor channels. This will impact the luminosity calibration of Type Ia SNe that serve as standard candles in cosmology. Similarly, the otherwise unobtainable direct measurement of the inner ejecta and the explosive nucleosynthesis of core collapse supernovae will allow to establish a physical model for these important terminal stages of massive-star evolution. Explosion asymmetries [11] and the links to long GRBs are important aspects herein. Pair-instability supernovae from very massive stars will be unambiguously identified through their copious radioactivity emission. These observations will be crucial for complementing neutrino and gravitational wave measurements, and for our understanding of the astrophysical processes and sources which underly and generate cosmic chemical evolution.

Nuclear de-excitation lines of abundant isotopes like ¹²C and ¹⁶O, the hadronic fingerprints of cosmic-ray acceleration, are expected to be discovered with GRIPS. Understanding the relative importance of leptonic and hadronic processes, and the role of cosmic rays in heating and ionizing molecular clouds and thus seeding interstellar chemistry will boost our understanding of both relativistic-particle acceleration and the cycle of matter. The detection of instabilities in the supercritical magnetospheres of magnetars, which are expected to lead to few-hundred keV to possibly MeV-peaked emission, will explore white territory on the field of plasma physics. Resolving the riddle of the positron annihilation line will shed new light on dark matter annihilation and other sources of anti-matter in the Galaxy.

We detail in the following sub-sections how GRIPS will answer the burning questions: How do stars explode? What is the structure of massive-star interiors




Fig. 2 The 200 keV–2 MeV GRB peak photon flux as a function of burst redshift. The positions of three Fermi/GBM+LAT GRBs as well as the highest redshift GRB 090423 are indicated (stars) with their predicted peak fluxes. The crossing of the dashed curves with the sensitivity curve (horizontal red line; 1s, 30° off-axis) marks the largest redshift up to which these bursts would have been detectable with GRIPS. The transition between solid and dashed curves marks the redshift below which also $E_{\rm peak}$ can be measured with GRIPS.

and of compact stars? How are cosmic isotopes created and distributed? How does cosmic-ray acceleration work? How is accretion linked with jets? Answering these questions will provide the basis to understand the larger astrophysical scales, like the interstellar medium as it evolves in galaxies, the supernova-fed intergalactic gas in galaxy clusters, and the cosmic evolution of elemental abundances.

2 Scientific Objectives

2.1 Gamma-Ray Bursts and First Stars

GRIPS will observe in the energy range where GRB emission peaks. With its energy coverage up to 80 MeV, GRIPS will firmly establish the high energy component seen in CGRO/EGRET (>10 MeV) and Fermi/LAT bursts (>100 MeV) in much larger numbers, and characterize its origin through polarisation signatures. GRIPS will measure the degree of polarisation of the prompt γ -ray burst emission to a few percent accuracy for more than 10% of the detected GRBs (see simulations in § 4), and securely measure how the degree of polarisation varies with energy and/or time over the full burst duration for dozens of bright GRBs. Also, the delay of GeV photons relative to emission at \sim hundred keV, observed in a few GRBs with Fermi/LAT, manifests itself already at MeV energies in Fermi/GBM, and will thus be a science target for GRIPS. These observations enable a clear identification of the prompt GRB emission processes, and determine the role played by magnetic fields.

Due to its outstanding sensitivity (Fig. 2), GRIPS will detect ~ 650 GRBs yr⁻¹ and measure the incidence of gas and metals through X-ray absorption spectroscopy and line-of-sight properties by enabling NIR spectroscopy with JWST. GRIPS should detect more than 20 GRBs at z>10 over 10 years, and the IRT will determine photometric redshifts for the bulk of the z>7 sources (Fig. 3).

If the GRB environments contain total hydrogen column densities of 10^{25} cm⁻², or higher, GRIPS holds the promise of measuring redshifts directly from the γ -ray spectrum via nuclear resonances, and will be sensitive to do so beyond $z\sim13$.

GRIPS will also detect a handful of short GRBs at z < 0.1, enabling a potential discovery of correlated gravitational-wave and/or neutrino signal.




Fig. 3 GRB afterglow photometric redshift accuracy of the IRT filter set as in Fig. 4.3.2. Black dots show 900 simulated afterglows and shaded area represents the 1σ statistical uncertainty of the photo-z analysis averaged over 30 afterglows in relative ($\eta = \Delta z/(1+z)$) terms. For the 7 < z < 17 redshift range, the photo-z can be determined to better than 20%. At z > 17.5 (K-dropout), the error gets larger due to the gap above the K band and the widths of the L (M) bands; yet, the redshift accuracy is more than sufficient for any follow-up decision.

2.2 Blazars

GRIPS will catalogue about 2000 blazars, thus probing blazar evolution to large redshifts. These observations will pinpoint the most massive halos at large redshifts, thus severely constraining models of structure evolution. This large sample of blazars will establish their (evolving) luminosity function and thus determine the fractional contribution of blazars to the diffuse extragalactic background. GRIPS is expected to detect ~ 10 blazars at z > 8.

Studies of their nonthermal radiation mechanisms will be supported through spectro-polarimetric measurements. The link between the inner accretion disk and the jet can be probed with correlated variability from the thermal to the nonthermal regime, using GRIPS auxiliary instruments. This will localize the dominant region of high-energy emission.

Through nuclear lines detected in nearby AGNs, and through tracing variability, GRIPS will probe the injection of accelerated particles into the jet plasma.




Fig. 4 Nuclear interactions with photons occur in the range of nuclear excitation levels (~MeV), collective-nucleon resonances such as Pygmy (5–10 MeV) and Giant Dipole resonances (15-30 MeV), and individual nucleon excitations at higher energies such as the Delta resonance. GRIPS energies cover these line features, and thus provide a capability for measurements of fully-ionized matter in extreme plasma, when atomic transitions are absent.

2.3 Supernovae and Nucleosynthesis

GRIPS will search the nearby Universe out to 20 Mpc for 56 Ni decay γ -ray lines from SNIa, with an expectation of 10–20 significant detections. Establishing ratios

of various lines from the ⁵⁶Ni decay chain (see Fig. 5), and their variation with time, are key GRIPS objectives. Even if the lines are significantly Doppler-broadened, the 0.1–3 MeV continuum can be used to test different explosion scenarios (e.g. [17]). Combined with optical-IR data, one can determine/constrain unburnt WD material [14], and with annihilation lines from ⁵⁶Co and ⁴⁸V decay positrons, one has a sensitive probe (via e⁺ propagation) of the magnetic field structure (combed vs. scrambled) in expanding SNIa remnants.

GRIPS will also detect several (3–5) nearby core-collapse supernovae (ccSNe). As for SNIa and SN1987A, the γ -ray escape from the ejecta reflects hydrodynamic large scale mixing during and after the explosion. Comparing γ -ray characteristics of different classes of SNe, including pair-instability SN (due to high ($\sim 3~{\rm M}_{\odot}$) $^{56}{\rm Ni}$ mass [6]), and hyper-energetic SNe linked to GRBs (1–2), GRIPS will probe the range of variations, thus offering a direct view of the central supernova engines, and help revealing their progenitors.

The Galactic census of ccSNe and their associated 44 Ti emission, deepened by an order of magnitude in flux by GRIPS, will sample ccSNe that occurred anywhere in the Galaxy during the past several centuries (estimated rate 1/50y). Presently, Cas A (age of 340 y) is still the only clearly-detected 44 Ti source, while we expect to see about a handful of such young remnants. The (currently indirectly inferred) amount of 44 Ti in SN1987A will be measured with GRIPS at $>5\sigma$ significance. Together, this will clarify how typical or anomalous 44 Ti ejection is in ccSNe, with direct implications for non-sphericities of core-collapses as GRBs present it in its extremes.

Novae will be GRIPS-tested for $^7\mathrm{Be}$ and $^{22}\mathrm{Na}$ emission to distances of ~ 5 kpc, resulting in valuable constraints for theoretical models. Predicted annihilation line flashes (from the decay of $^{18}\mathrm{F}$ and $^{13}\mathrm{N}$) are detectable throughout the Galaxy, and since they occur prior to the optical emission, enable the earliest possible detections of novae and early follow-up. The discovery of an unexpected high-energy emission in the symbiotic binary V407 Cygni, attributed to shock acceleration in the ejected nova shell after interaction with the wind of the red giant [2], opens up new possibilities that will be probed in more detail with GRIPS.

GRIPS will measure diffuse radioactivity afterglows of nuclear burning inside massive stars and supernova, at the Myr time scale (²⁶Al and ⁶⁰Fe decays), for dozens of nearby (~kpc) stellar associations and groups. Combined with the stellar census for these groups as obtained from other observations (e.g. GAIA), this provides unique measurements of isotopic yields, serving as calibrators for nucleosynthesis in massive stars. These radioactivities also trace the flow and dynamical state of hot interstellar cavities, as they merge with the ambient ISM, their radioactive clock directly relates to the age of the stellar group, and hence to the stellar-mass range that could have exploded as SN. For nearby ($\leq 1 \text{ kpc}$) stellar groups, the distribution of ejecta as compared to the parental molecular-cloud morphology thus provides a diagnostoc for molecular-cloud destruction via feedback from massive stars and supernovae. GRIPS will provide a Galactic map of ⁶⁰Fe emission, essential for disentangling the contributions of different candidate source types to ²⁶Al. Line ratios for specific groups will constrain ⁶⁰Fe production of massive stars beyond $\sim 40 \ \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, which directly relates to (uncertain) convectivelayer evolution and stellar rotation [13] (Fig. 6). GRIPS will also detect these two key radioactivities, for the first time, in the local group (M31, LMC, SMC).

2.4 Annihilation of Positrons

GRIPS will probe positron escape from candidate sources along the Galactic plane through annihilation γ -rays in their vicinity. For several microquasars and pulsars, point-source like appearance is expected if the local annihilation fraction f_{local} exceeds 10% ($I_{\gamma} \sim 10^{-2} \cdot f_{local}$ ph cm⁻²s⁻¹). GRIPS will enable the cross-correlation of annihilation γ -ray images with candidate source distributions, such as ²⁶Al and Galactic diffuse MeV emission (where it is dominated by cosmic-ray interactions with the ISM) [both also measured with GRIPS at superior quality], point sources derived from *INTEGRAL*, *Swift*, *Fermi*, and *H.E.S.S.* measurements of pulsars and accreting binaries, and with candidate dark-matter related emission profiles.

GRIPS will deepen the current INTEGRAL sky image by at least an order of magnitude in flux, at similar angular resolution. Comparing Galactic-disk and -bulge emission, limits on dark-matter produced annihilation emission will constrain decay channels from neutralino annihilation in the gravitational field of our Galaxy. GRIPS will also be able to search for γ -ray signatures of dark matter for nearby dwarf galaxies.




Fig. 5 Supernova light from originates produced radioactivity in their inner regions. Gamma-rays from radioactivity penetrate the SN envelope. Here a core-collapse SN is shown, with gamma-ray emitting isotopes such as ⁵⁶Ni being produced from infalling nuclei these are decomposed and re-assembled. Gamma-ray lines and their changing relative intensities are direct messengers of the explosion characteristic physics, for the different SNIa and also core-collapse supernova variants.




Fig. 6 Convection inside massive stars is complex, and the yields of 60 Fe are sensitive to detail. Variations with different prescriptions of convection zones (Ledoux versus Schwarzschild criterion), and mass loss (reduced versus Langer1989), affect 60 Fe yields for high-mass stars by up to an order of magnitude, respectively [13].




Fig. 7 INTEGRAL/SPI image of the annihilation emission at 511 keV along the inner Galaxy [5], illustrating the bright, dominating bulge-like emission. The color scales with S/N.

2.5 Cosmic Rays

GRIPS will observe diffuse nuclear de-excitation lines and inverse-Compton emission from the inner Galaxy. Collisions of particles with energies above the thermal regime are responsible for such excitation and emission, so that the acceleration process from the thermal pool to relativistic energies and the Cosmic-Ray-ISM connection will be probed with unprecedented sensitivity. Furthermore, characteristic nuclear de-excitation lines expected from Wolf-Rayet enriched supernova remnant environments, could be discovered, testing one of the current CR origin models. Nuclear de-excitation lines produced in the environments of SNRs and accreting binaries offer unique laboratories for gauging models of cosmic ray production, acceleration, transport, and interaction with their surroundings. GRIPS will establish this tool for particle acceleration sites in the Galaxy, and will also contribute to this topic in the context of solar flares (see section 2.7).

2.6 Compact Stellar Objects: Pulsars, Magnetars, Accreting Binaries

GRIPS will detect 30–40 pulsars in the 0.2–80 MeV energy range. This estimate is based on the Fermi pulsar catalogue extrapolated to lower energies, as well as extrapolation to higher energies of the hard spectra of the youngest pulsars detected by INTEGRAL and RXTE/HEXTE at hard X-ray energies. Most of the latter pulsars appear to reach their maximum luminosity in the MeV regime.

GRIPS-determined light-curves and phase-resolved spectra in the $0.2-80~{\rm MeV}$ range will provide decisive constraints on the HE-emission geometry in pulsar magnetosphere and the acceleration processes located therein. For brighter pulsars, polarisation data will identify the nature of the emission.

Measurements of pulsar wind nebulae (prominent examples at MeV energies are the Crab nebula and Vela X-1) will track the outflow of relativistic particles and fields.

GRIPS will search for photon splitting in strong B-fields in selected pulsars and AXPs/SGRs. Splitting suppresses the creation of pairs, and inhibits escape of any near-surface emission above about $10{\text -}20~\text{MeV}$.

GRIPS will search for long orbit neutron star/massive star binaries and for tight accreting systems harboring neutron stars or black holes. These systems (e.g. Cyg X-1) exhibit hard power-law spectral tails beyond 100 keV when they are in their low/hard emission state. It is important to determine how these hard power laws continue into the MeV range, because spectral features (lines, breaks,




Fig. 8 Observed spectral energy distribution of SNR Kes 73 and its compact object AXP 1E1841-045 (total and pulsed emissions) This illustrates the importance of sensitive measurements between 100 keV and 100 MeV to sample the break energy region.

cut-offs) are unique fingerprints of non-thermal particle populations expected in those sources. GRIPS will probe accretion physics in the high-energy domain of stellar black holes, thus aid our understanding of the more-extreme circumstances encountered in accretion onto supermassive black holes.

2.7 Solar Flares

Solar flare measurements will be a natural by-product of the continuous sky survey carried out by GRIPS as the Sun passes regularly through its field of view. The number of observed flares depends on the phase of the solar cycle during the GRIPS mission. Lightcurves in characteristic (nuclear-line and continuum) energy bands and high statistics spectra will be obtained. Comparison of these with data from the fleet of solar-terrestrial satellites and ground level observatories will bear on the still somewhat limited understanding of the enigmatic flare processes and their implications for cosmic particle acceleration. Gamma-rays in the MeV regime provide the means to directly study particle acceleration and matter interactions in these magnetised, non-thermal plasmas. Polarisation measurements are of great value for disentangling these dynamic processes.

Beyond this astrophysical reason, observing solar flares and 'space weather' are of great importance for our natural and technological environment. GRIPS would add an MeV-monitor to this program.

3 Mission profile

The GRIPS mission requires that a mass of 5.1 t be delivered into an equatorial, circular, low-Earth orbit (LEO) with an altitude of 500 km. The primary launcher requirements are determined by the low inclination LEO orbit and by the payload mass. The Soyuz Fregat 2B has a capacity of 5.3 t and is the only viable launch option in the Call. The GRIPS mission comprises two satellites containing the science instruments: Gamma-Ray Monitor and the X-ray/Infrared telescopes respectively. These can readily be accommodated within the fairing specifications with a diameter of 3.8 m and a height of 7.7 m.

The orbit was selected to fulfill the following requirements in an optimized way: (i) Low background, (ii) High science data fraction per orbit, (iii) High downlink rate for data transmission and good longitudinal coverage of the orbit by ground stations, (iv) High probability of the mission to remain at the chosen orbit for a mission life-time of ≈ 10 years. The requirement for a low background means low inclination, preferably 0° to avoid the radiation belts and the South Atlantic Anomaly. It is now well established that the background in hard X-ray and γ -ray instruments in a LEO can be a factor of 100 lower than in a Highly Eccentric Orbit, such as that of INTEGRAL.

Both satellites of GRIPS (with an inter-satellite distance of 500-2000 km) should be 3-axis stabilized with closed loop attitude control, following the tradition of many recent astronomical space missions, and presenting no new problems in the control of the dynamics of the spacecrafts. GRIPS will have, however, three distinct features:

- 1. GRIPS/GRM will do zenith-pointing all the time.
- 2. For each localised GRB, GRIPS/XRM&IRT should be alerted via inter-satellite link and autonomously slew with the co-aligned X-ray and Infrared telescopes towards the GRB location, similar to Swift [7]. With ≈ 2 GRBs/day, and autonomous XRM&IRT observations for at least the next 24 hrs, a large fraction of the GRIPS/XRM&IRT satellite operation will be to follow-up GRBs.
- 3. After the first ~ 100 s X-ray observation the X-ray afterglow position will be determined with an accuracy of <45'', and another satellite slew commanded to place the afterglow in the IRT FOV. Despite the large X-ray FOV we expect no confusion of the afterglow with persistent X-ray sources for two reasons: (i) Based on the X-ray afterglow intensity distribution at 30 min after the burst, 92% of all known X-ray sources are fainter than the faintest GRB afterglow, resulting in a chance coincidence of 10% to have one unrelated X-ray source in the total 7 square-degree FOV which is brighter than the faintest X-ray afterglow. (ii) From the ~ 3 yr eROSITA survey after 2014 there will be a complete catalog of all faint X-ray sources (modulo variability) taken with exactly the same telescope/detector characteristics.

Highest scientific quality will be reached if all detected photons of GRM can be telemetered to Earth with all their interaction parameters. In GRM we expect about 68 000 cts/s, thus about 1.5 Mbps. The XRM produces about 110 cts/s, or 10.6 kbps, and the IRT about 1.2 Mbps. Since this has to be transmitted to ground in about 8 min passes out of 96 min orbital period, we require a downlink rate of 35 Mbps.




Fig. 9 GRIPS configuration in the two-satellite option, where the GRM is on one satellite (left), and XRM and IRT on the other (right). The GRM satellite would just do the zenith scanning all-sky survey, while XRM/IRT would re-point with the whole (second) satellite to GRBs, similar to *Swift*.

4 Proposed Model Payload

GRIPS will carry three major telescopes: the Gamma-Ray Monitor (GRM), the X-Ray Monitor (XRM) and the Infrared Telescope (IRT). The **GRM** is a combined Compton scattering and Pair creation telescope sensitive in the energy range 0.2– 80 MeV. It will follow the successful concepts of imaging high-energy photons used in COMPTEL (0.7–30 MeV) and EGRET (>30 MeV) but combines them into one instrument. New detector technology and a design that is highly focused on the rejection of instrumental background will provide greatly improved capabilities. Over an extended energy range the sensitivity will be improved by at least an order of magnitude with respect to previous missions. In combination with improved sensitivity, the large field of view (FOV), better angular and spectral resolution of GRM will allow the scientific goals outlined in § 2 to be accomplished. The XRM is based on the mature concept and components of the eROSITA X-ray telescope, which is scheduled for a space mission on the Russian platform Spektrum-XG in 2013. The **IRT** is based on the telescope as proposed for the *EUCLID* mission. It uses the same main mirror and telescope structure (most importantly distance between M1 and M2). Instead of the suite of EUCLID instrumentation, just one instrument is foreseen: a 7-channel imager to determine photometric redshifts of GRB afterglows in the range 7 < z < 35.

 ${\bf Table\ 1}\ {\bf Scientific\ requirement\ vs.\ payload\ property$

4.1 Gamma-Ray Monitor

Two physical processes dominate the interaction of photons with matter in the γ -ray energy band from 200 keV to $\sim\!80\,\mathrm{MeV}$: Compton scattering at low energies, and electron-positron pair production at high energies, with the crossover at $\sim 8\,\mathrm{MeV}$ for most detector materials. In both cases the primary interaction produces long-range secondaries whose directions and energies must be determined to reconstruct the incident photon properties.

4.1.1 Conceptual Design and Key characteristics

The GRM will employ two separate detectors as was the case for previous Compton and pair creation telescopes. The first detector is a tracker (D1), in which the initial Compton scatter or pair conversion takes place, and the other a calorimeter (D2), which absorbs and measures the energy of the secondaries (Tab. 2). In the case of Compton interactions, the incident photon scatters off an electron in the tracker. The interaction position and the energy imparted to the electron are measured. The scattered photon interaction point and energy are recorded in the calorimeter. From the positions and energies of the two interactions the incident photon angle is computed from the Compton equation. The primary-photon incident direction is then constrained to an event circle on the sky. For incident energies above about 2 MeV the recoil electron usually receives enough energy to penetrate several layers, allowing it to be tracked. This further constrains the incident direction of the photon to a short arc on the event circle. GRM will determine GRB locations to better than 1° (radius, 3σ).

Table 2 Gamma-ray Monitor Key characteristics

	mass+margin [kg]
Si DSSD	50 + 2.5
Structure	20+4
$LaBr_3$	500 + 25
Structure	240 + 48
Plastic	130 + 6.5
Structure	40+8
	420+84
	1578
D1	196 608
D2	104960
ACS	8
	301 576
D1/D2	$1.0~\mathrm{keV}$ / $12.3~\mathrm{keV}$
D1/D2	$10~{\rm keV}$ / $20~{\rm keV}$
D1/D2	$5~{\rm keV}$ / $10~{\rm keV}$
LEO, $i=0^{\circ}$	$68000 \mathrm{cts/s}$
at 1 MeV	1.8 deg
onboard	$\sim 0.5 \deg$
10^6 s, scan	$3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ ph/cm}^2/\text{s}$
	Structure LaBr ₃ Structure Plastic Structure D1 D2 ACS D1/D2 D1/D2 LEO, $i=0^{\circ}$ at 1 MeV onboard




Fig. 10 Left: Geometry and size of the detector module used for the simulations. Right: Expected size and assembly of the Gamma-Ray Monitor and related electronics (top) on a generic satellite bus (bottom).

The differential Klein-Nishina cross-section for Compton scattering contains a strong dependence on the polarisation of the incident γ -ray photon. Scattered photons are emitted preferentially perpendicular to the direction of the electric field vector of the incoming photon. The strongest azimuthal modulation in the distribution of scattered photons will be for the lowest γ -ray energies and scatter angles of 60°-90°. This makes a Compton telescope with a calorimeter covering a large solid angle a unique polarimeter.

In the case of pair production, the incident photon converts into an electronpositron pair in the tracker. These two particles are tracked and determine the incident photon direction. The total energy is measured through the deposits absorbed in the tracker and/or the calorimeter.

In addition to the 'telescope-mode' described above, the D2 detectors can also be read out in the so-called 'spectroscopy-mode', i.e. recording interactions that deposit energy only in the calorimeter. Using the side walls and the bottom of D2 as separate units a coarse localisation and high-quality spectra of GRB events can be obtained. This mode of operation follows the examples of BATSE on CGRO, the ACS in INTEGRAL and the GBM on Fermi.

4.1.2 GRM design, simulations and electronics

The design of a new high-energy γ -ray telescope must be based on numerical simulations as well as experimental detector developments. The baseline design and input to the Monte-Carlo simulations of the GRM is shown in Fig. 10. The top part shows the detector head with the central stack of double-sided Si-strip detectors (tracker D1) surrounded by the pixellated calorimeter (D2) and an anticoincidence system (ACS) made of plastic scintillator. The simulations were carried out with the tools of the MEGALIB software suite [20,21], which, in addition to allowing

modelling of the instrument functions, also generates realistic background environments and traces their impact on the telescope for the chosen orbit. Below the γ -ray detector are the GRM electronics and a generic spacecraft bus. In the simulations the bus of the Advanced Compton Telescope study was used [4].

The D1 detector consists of 64 layers each containing a mosaic of 8×8 double-sided Si strip detectors of area $10\times10\,\mathrm{cm^2}$, each of those having 96 strips per side. The layers are spaced at a distance of 5 mm. The D2 calorimeter is made of LaBr₃ prisms ($5\times5\,\mathrm{mm^2}$ cross-section) which are read out with Si Drift Diode (SDD) photodetectors. The upper half of the D2 side walls feature scintillators of 2 cm length and the lower half has 4 cm thick walls. The side wall crystals are read out by one SDD each. The bottom calorimeter is 8 cm thick and is read out on both ends of the crystals to achieve a depth resolution of the energy deposits.

The whole detector is surrounded by a plastic scintillator counter that acts as an ACS against charged particles. Read out of the scintillation light, which is collected with embedded wavelength-shifting fibers to ensure the ACS uniformity, will be done with Si APD detectors.

Most of the structural material that holds the detector elements will be fabricated with carbon-fiber compounds rather than aluminum in order to reduce the background of activation radioactivity produced by cosmic-rays. The parameters and properties of the detector subsystems that were used in the simulations are listed in Table 2.

The layout of the GRM electronics is unchanged relative to our CV2007 proposal, and the interested reader is referred to [9].

4.1.3 Performance

GRIPS GRM features marked improvements when compared to the previous generation of Compton and pair creation instruments. The tracking volume (D1) embedded in the well-type calorimeter (D2) affords a large solid angle to detect the scattered components, which leads to a much wider FOV than COMPTEL, and moreover to a good polarimetric response. Low-energy pair particles are imaged in the tracker with much less scattering because, in contrast to the EGRET and Fermi-LAT chambers with their metal conversion plates, the GRM has very thin and fully active tracking detectors.

Table 3 Continuum point-source sensitivity of the Gamma-ray Monitor after 10^6 sec effective exposure; $\Delta E/E \sim 1$ and comparison with previous instruments.

E	GRIPS on-axis all-sky scan pointing (average)		Other ¹ on-axis pointing
$\frac{(\text{MeV})}{}$	$(ph/cm^2/s)$	$(ph/cm^2/s)$	$\left \text{ (ph/cm}^2/\text{s)} \right $
0.2	4.0×10^{-5}	1.3×10^{-4}	I: 1.4×10^{-4}
1.0	1.0×10^{-5}	2.9×10^{-5}	C: 4.0×10^{-4}
5.0	6.5×10^{-6}	2.8×10^{-5}	C: 8.0×10^{-5}
20	1.0×10^{-6}	4.9×10^{-6}	C: 1.0×10^{-5}
50	3.2×10^{-7}	1.0×10^{-6}	E: 7.8×10^{-7}
80	2.4×10^{-7}	7.9×10^{-7}	E: 2.5×10^{-7}

 $[\]overline{}^{1}$ C=COMPTEL, I=IBIS, E=EGRET.




Fig. 11 Field of view of the Gamma-Ray Monitor. In spectroscopy mode it is nearly 2π .

These performance enhancements can only be quantified numerically taking into account the interaction, detection, and reconstruction processes. Therefore, the performance of the GRM in an equatorial low-Earth orbit was extensively simulated with the MGGPOD suite and analyzed with the MEGAlib package (see Zoglauer et al. 2008 for an earlier version). MEGAlib contains a geometry and detector description tool that was used to set up the detailed modelling of the GRM with its detector types and characteristics. The geometry file is then used by the MGGPOD simulation tool to generate artificial events. The event reconstruction algorithms for the various interactions are implemented in different approaches (χ^2 and Bayesian). The high level data analysis tools allow response matrix calculation, image reconstruction (list-mode likelihood algorithm), detector resolution and sensitivity determination, spectra retrieval, polarisation modulation determination etc. Based on many billions of simulated events we have derived a good understanding of the properties of GRIPS. Fine-tuning the detector concept since the CV2007 proposal has led to a substantial reduction in read-out channels at identical sensitivity.

Continuum sensitivity: GRIPS will achieve a major improvement in sensitivity over previous and presently active missions (Tab. 3), e.g. a factor 40 improvement over COMPTEL around 1–2 MeV, or a factor of >20 over IBIS above 300 keV. The FOV (Fig. 11) extends to large off-axis angles: in 'telescope-mode' up to $\sim 50^{\circ}$ incidence angle for the 50% level, or all-sky in 'spectroscopy-mode'.

Table 4 Break-down of GRIPS improvement relative to COMPTEL at 1.8 MeV

Parameter	COMPTEL	GRIPS	Improve- ment	Sensitivity improvement
Effective area (similar selection)	16 cm^2	195 cm^2	12.2	3.49
Observing time (pointing vs. scanning)	0.35	1	2.9	1.69
Energy resolution	59 keV	17 keV	3.5	1.87
Angular resolution	3.9	1.5	2.6	1.61
Field-of-View (HWHM)	30°	45°	2.2	1.48
Background (orbit, passive material,			3.0	1.73
tracker shielding)				
Total				45.2


Table 5 Narrow-line point-source sensitivity of the GRM after 10⁶ sec effective exposure.

	GRIPS		SPI
_	on-axis	all-sky scan	on-axis
\mathbf{E}	pointing	(average)	pointing
(keV)	$(ph/cm^2/s)$	$(ph/cm^2/s)$	$(ph/cm^2/s)$
511	3.4×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-5}	5.1×10^{-5}
1157	2.4×10^{-6}	7.8×10^{-6}	3.0×10^{-5}
1809	1.5×10^{-6}	4.1×10^{-6}	3.2×10^{-5}

Line sensitivity: The narrow-line point-source sensitivity of the GRM for three astrophysically important γ -ray lines is given in Tab. 5. For the standard operation mode, the all-sky scan, the resulting sensitivity is slightly worse than in pointing mode since the exposure is distributed over most of the sky and not concentrated into a small FOV like for INTEGRAL. This reduction is, however, offset by the large geometrical factor (effective area \times solid angle), the uniformity of the scan, and the permanent accumulation of exposure. As a consequence, after 5 years in orbit, GRIPS will achieve a factor 40 sensitivity improvement over COMPTEL (in 9 yrs) in e.g. the 1809 keV line. A breakdown into the various factors is shown in Tab. 4, where we have assumed (there is no way to compare this directly) that the different measurement techniques, i.e. tracking and multiple Compton interaction in GRIPS vs. time-of-flight and PSD selection in COMPTEL, have about the same efficiency.

Polarisation: Linearly polarised γ -rays preferentially Compton scatter perpendicular to the incident polarisation vector, resulting in an azimuthal scatter angle distribution (ASAD) which is modulated relative to the distribution for unpolarised photons. The sensitivity of an instrument to polarisation is given by the ratio of the amplitude of the ASAD and its average, which is called the modulation factor μ . The modulation is a function of incident photon energy, E, and the Compton scatter angle, θ , between the incident and scattered photon directions (see Fig. 12 for the MEGA prototype calibration).

GRIPS is a nearly perfect polarimeter (Fig. 13): The well-type geometry allows the detection of Compton events with large scatter angles which carry most of the polarisation information. The best polarisation sensitivity is achieved in the $200-400~{\rm keV}$ range.






Fig. 12 Measured polarisation response of the MEGA prototype for two different energies. Within measurement errors and statistics, all values are in agreement with GEANT4 simulations: the polarisation angle of 90° is reproduced to $82\%\pm24\%$ (0.7 MeV) and $86\%\pm11\%$ (2.0 MeV), respectively. The measured modulation is 0.17 ± 0.04 and 0.13 ± 0.03 as compared to the simulated values 0.19 (at 0.7 MeV) and 0.14 (2.0 MeV). (From [19])




Fig. 13 Polarisation sensitivity of GRM. Various models of GRB spectra are shown which differ in their break energy and their high-energy power law slope; see legend for the parameter pair for each model. Note that at the bright end the minimal detectable polarisation changes much more slowly than the fluence.

Gamma-ray Bursts: We have created model spectra with parameters for $E_{\rm peak}$, high-energy power law slope β and peak flux, which cover their distribution as observed with BATSE (4th BATSE catalog; Paciesas et al. 1999). Note that the GBM/Fermi distribution of these parameters is, within statistics, identical to those of BATSE despite the wider energy range of GBM, thus indicating that the parameter space is complete. The faintest BATSE GRBs are clearly 'detected' by GRIPS with spectra extending from 100 keV up to 2 MeV and having more than 5 energy bins with 3σ each. From these simulations we estimate that GRIPS will be a factor of 3 more sensitive than BATSE below 1 MeV and detect about a factor of 2.5 more GRBs than BATSE. Folding in the smaller field of view of GRIPS compared to BATSE, we find that GRIPS will detect 665 GRBs/yr. For GRBs at an off-axis angle of >70°, only poor localisations, if any, will be possible, so we expect 440 GRBs/yr with good positions and XRM follow-up.

The present Swift samples of GRBs, both large biased samples as well as smaller but nearly complete samples [10], indicate a fraction of $5.5\pm2.8\%$ GRBs at z>5. Using standard cosmology and star formation history description, this translates into a fraction of 1% of all GRBs located at z>10, or 0.1% of all GRBs at z>20. With 440 GRBs per year, and a nominal lifetime of 5 yrs (goal 10 yrs) we would expect 22 (goal 44) GRBs at z>10, and 2 GRBs (goal 4) at z>20. This includes a duty cycle of the instrument similar to that of BATSE and a detection rate of X-ray afterglows of 98%, as for Swift/XRT. Measurements with the IRT will ensure that high-z (z>7) candidates are flagged immediately, and thus receive special attention in the optical/NIR identification and spectroscopic follow-up. The above numbers imply that (1) the detection frequency of GRBs at z>20 is high enough to achieve at least one detection during the mission lifetime, and (2) that GRIPS will clearly detect the cut-off in the z-distribution IF star formation starts at a certain redshift (below \sim 25) throughout the Universe. Measuring this cut-off, or no cut-off up to $z\sim$ 25, would in turn be a limit to the earliest time when stars formed.

Number of expected sources: Extrapolation from Swift/BAT (15–150 keV): Since this requires the assumption that the spectra do not cut off at some intermediate energy, we base our extrapolation on the 10% fraction of blazars (out of the total AGN population) for which there is no spectral break. We assume conservatively a photon index of -1.5 and using the logN-logS identified during




Fig. 14 The GEANT models for the MEGA satellite version (right), prototype (middle) and a photograph of the actual prototype detector (open to show the DSSD and CsI detectors).

the first three years of Swift/BAT [3], we find that GRIPS will detect, in a 1 year exposure, about 820 blazars in the 1–10 MeV band, among those 4 at z > 8.

Extrapolation from Fermi/LAT: Fermi/LAT located 1451 sources during its first year survey (1FGL). About 700 objects are associated with extragalactic sources and include blazars, Seyfert and starburst galaxies. Among the identified galactic sources about 60 young pulsars and PWNe and 20 millisecond pulsars stand out, but many low galactic latitude sources are also associated with SNRs and a few HMXBs were detected. Detection or non-detection of all 1FGL sources in the adjacent lower energy range will be extremely important to understand their radiation processes. We estimate the detectability of the 1FGL sources in the 1–50 MeV range by extrapolating the power-law spectra measured by the LAT above 100 MeV, with the caveat that the spectrum could turn over (many EGRET-COMPTEL correlations showed spectral breaks in the 1-10 MeV range). We use not only the 1FGL spectral index (SI) but also the uncertainties, ie softer (S.I. + error S.I.) or harder (S.I. - error S.I.) spectral indices. For the 1–10 MeV range we find that between 860 and 1200 1FGL sources are detectable (Tab. 6), and between 420 and 740 in the 10–50 MeV range.

Predictions from theory/modelling: The number of new source types in the "discovery space" domain is more difficult to estimate. Based on theoretical predictions of emission properties (dominant energy band, fluxes) and folded with the GRIPS sensitivity and the all-sky survey mode yields the following numbers: wind-collisions in high-mass binaries (200 keV): 30; superbubbles/star-forming regions (0.5-7 MeV): 20-200; microquasars/BH transients (200 keV/511 keV): 2/yr; supersoft sources (2.2 MeV): 10; flare stars (200 keV): 10/yr; galaxy cluster (4-7 MeV): 50.

Table 6 Number of expected sources of various classes after 1 yr and 5 yrs of GRIPS exposure. The last column gives the number of completely new sources, not known before in any other wavelength. In addition, about 1500 steady sources known in other wavelength bands will be detected in the MeV band for the first time.

Type	$1 \mathrm{\ yr}$	$5~{ m yrs}$	new
GRBs	660	3300	3300
Blazars	820	2000	400
Other AGN $(100-300 \text{ keV})$	250	300	0?
Pulsars/AXP	30	50	0?
Supernovae (Ia and cc)	2	20	20
Unidentified sources	170	230	60

4.1.4 Operation

The GRM instrument is highly pixelated and features about 3×10^5 fast measurement channels. Thus, the power (≈ 300 W), cooling (≈ 100 W) and data handling resources are large, but not challenging. According to our design, the electronics will be placed in a separate compartment from the detector units (see Fig. 10). Only a pre-amplifier and line driver will be integrated directly on the detectors, allowing the signals to be conducted to the remote (1–1.5 m distance) electronics. In this way the heat dissipation in the very densely packed detector unit will be minimised and the operating conditions of around $10^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ can be established by passive thermal maintenance. The overall thermal layout, one of the major challenges of our CV2007 proposal [9], has been drastically mitigated by reducing the number of channels.

GRM will generally be operated in a continuous zenith pointed scanning mode. The field of view (diameter 160°) will cover most of the sky over the course of one orbit, similar to the all-sky survey performed by LAT on *Fermi*. Since the XRM/IRT are on a separate satellite (§ 6), their frequent pointing changes have no impact on the GRM survey.

4.2 X-ray Monitor

The main driver for the design of the X-ray monitor (XRM) is the positional accuracy of GRBs, so that their full error circle can be covered by the XRM. GRM will determine GRB positions to better than 1° (radius, 3σ) down to off-axis angles of 60° . We add a 50% margin, and require a field of view of the XRM of 3° diameter.

The second requirement is for sensitivity which should be at least a factor 3 larger than that of Swift's XRT, since GRIPS will cover more distant GRBs, and thus likely fainter afterglows. Such sensitivity requirement (of order >300 cm²) excludes coded mask systems, and even single-telescope Wolter-I optics are problematic.

4.2.1 Measurement technique, Design and Key characteristics

We therefore embark on a design consisting of multiple Wolter-I telescopes. The easiest and probably most cost-effective option is to adopt the eROSITA scheme of 7 Wolter-I telescopes (Fig. 15), and adjust their orientation on the sky such that they fill the required FOV. eROSITA (for extended ROentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array) shall perform the first imaging sky survey in the medium X-ray range, i.e. between 0.2 and 12 keV, with a sensitivity of 9×10^{-15} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (0.2-2 keV) and 2×10^{-13} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (2–10 keV) [16]. This will allow the detection of about 3.2 million AGN, and ≈100.000 cluster of galaxies. The satellite will be launched with a Soyus-Fregat rocket from Baikonur. The eROSITA instrument development is led by MPE Garching, and the main key characteristics are summarized in Tab. 7.




Fig. 15 Scetch of the 7-telescope configuration (left) and the prototype telescope array mounting (right) of eROSITA which will be adapted for the XRM of GRIPS.

4.2.2 Performance

We mention a number of improvements of the eROSITA detector over the XMM-Newton EPIC/PN detector: (i) lower noise and very homogeneous over detector pixels, (ii) smaller charge transfer losses, (iii) higher energy resolution, especially for low X-ray energies (<1keV), (iv) smaller number of out-of-time events due to frame-store technique, (v) higher time resolution of 50 ms. As with the XMM-Newton EPIC/PN, the eROSITA detectors will share the high quantum efficiency (>90% over 0.2–12 keV band), the high radiation hardness against high energy protons including self-shielding against the low energy protons focused by the Wolter telescope. The effective area of the eROSITA telescope, even after placing all 7 telescopes at different sky positions, well matches the GRIPS requirements (Fig. 16).

Table 7 Key characteristics of the XRM and detector (eROSITA Design Doc; MPE 2006).

Number of mirror modules	7
Degree of nesting	54
Focal length	1600 mm
Largest mirror diameter	360 mm
Smallest mirror diameter	76 mm
Energy range	\sim 0.2–12 keV
Field-of-view	61' ⊘
Angular resolution (HEW)	28" (FOV-averaged)
effective area (single telescope)	$330 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ at } 1.5 \text{ keV} / 20 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ at } 8 \text{ keV}$
Mass per module	68 kg

Table 8 XRM resources

total eROSITA mass (incl. margin)	$635~\mathrm{kg}$
Power eROSITA electronics	$125~\mathrm{W}$
Power mirror /telescope heating	140 W
Telemetry Events (average, 7 tel.)	7.0 kbit/s
Telemetry HK (average, 7 tel.)	3.6 kbit/s
On-board data storage (per day)	128 Mbyte

If a γ -ray burst is detected, the GRM satellite will autonomously issue a slew of the XRM/IRT satellite onto the target. To control the XRM/IRT pointing, two star-sensors will be mounted on each of the telescope structures. The autonomous pointing strategy has been shown on the Swift mission to be of very high scientific interest.




Fig. 16 Comparison of the effective area of the modified eROSITA system (one telescope per sky position) with those of XMM and Swift/XRT.

eROSITA is a fully funded project in collaboration with Russia, scheduled for launch in 2013. For a planned launch of the M mission in 2020–2022, instrument implementation will start around 2014/15, i.e. after completion and launch of eROSITA. Thus, not only will all technological problems be solved, but also all lessons learned during the development and assembly of eROSITA can be incorporated into the design of the XRM.

4.3 Infrared telescope

The main driver for the design of the Infrared Telescope (IRT) is the goal to rapidly identify those GRBs which are at high redshift, so that particular care can be devoted to their non-GRIPS follow-up observations. After the slew to a GRB, the XRT will provide a position with an accuracy between 15-50" depending on the off-axis angle of the GRB in the XRT FOV. This uncertainty is too large for immediate (low-resolution) spectroscopy, so we resort to imaging.

4.3.1 Measurement technique, Design and Key characteristics

We propose simultaneous multi-band photometry in seven channels, zYJHKLM to determine photometric redshifts of GRBs (Fig. 3). Since GRB afterglow spectra are simple power laws, and at z>3 Lyman- α is THE dominant spectral feature, relatively high accuracies can be reached even with broad-band filters (Fig. 3), as demonstrated in ground-based observations with GROND [12].

Since the LM band afterglow detections require a 1 m class telescope (see below), the most cost-effective way is a copy of the telescope as presently proposed for the EUCLID mission, but with much reduced requirements for the tolerances in the alignment of the mirrors, in particular the PSF ellipticity, and long-term stability. We have replaced the EUCLID instrumentation with a system of dichroics which split the beam into the seven passbands, very similar to the GROND concept [8]. Basic characteristics are given in Tab. 9.

The baseline detector is a 2048×2048 , $18~\mu m$ pixel, 2HRG detector from Teledyne Imaging Sensors. Depending on the channels, different cut-off wavelengths of 1, 2.5 and 5 μm will be chosen, respectively. The read-out, analog-to-digital conversion as well as first image processing is done by SIDECAR (System for Image Digitalization, Enhancement, Control and Retrieval) ASICs, also available from Teledyne. Control electronics and post-processing/analysis CPU will be similar, but much simpler due to a substantial reduction in the number of moving parts (thus less control electronics, drivers, position sensors) than that presently designed for EUCLID.

4.3.2 Performance

Based on a complete sample of GRB afterglow measurements obtained with GROND since 2007 [10], in particular the afterglow brightness distribution in each of the g'r'i'z'JHK channels, we derive a minimum afterglow brightness of $M(AB) \approx 22$ mag at 2 hrs after the GRB. Using standard parameters for the transmission of the optical components, read-out noise of the detector as well as zodiacal background light, a 1 m class telescope is needed to reach a 5σ detection with a 500 sec exposure.




Fig. 17 Scetch of the proposed filter bands zYJHKLM for the IRT. The efficiencies include besteffort estimates of all optical components, including the telescope, dichroics, filters and detector. Shown in black lines are template afterglow spectra, from redshifts z=6.5, 8.5, 12, 15, 20 to 30 (Top left to bottom right). These spectra also differ in their spectral index (z=6.5 vs. all others) and rest-frame extinction (amount and reddening law; e.g. z=8.5 and z=20, the latter of which shows a redshifted 2175Å dust feature.




Fig. 18 Left: Basic concept of the IRT with its 7 photometric channels. M1 (diameter, curvature, but not conic constant) as well as the distance between M1 and M2 are identical to the EUCLID concept. M3 to M5 just serve as flat folding mirrors to minimize the size. **Right:** Spot diagram of our ZEMAX design, for channels YJ (pass through one dichroic, left), z (only reflections, center), and M (pass through four dichroics, right). The top row is for the center of the FOV, and the other 8 rows are for the sides and corners of the $10' \times 10'$ FOV. The large boxes are $18~\mu m$ on a side, corresponding to one H2RG pixel, and the small grid is $1.8~\mu m$.

A ZEMAX design with the EUCLID baseline (a 3-mirror concept similar to DIVA/FAME/Gaia) and including all 7 channels and 3 folding mirrors returns a perfect imaging quality with a Strehl ratio of 99% (right panel of Fig. 18) even for the worst channel (light passes through four dichroics).

Similar to the GROND case [12], extensive Monte-Carlo simulations have been performed and demonstrate the accuracy with which the redshift can be determined (Fig. 3). The sample properties are chosen to be as close as possible to what is known about optical/NIR afterglows with respect to their spectral indices, neutral hydrogen column densities of their DLAs, and dust extinction. For the GROND case and 3 < z < 8.2 range, these simulations are nicely confirmed by bursts which have also spectroscopic redshifts.

Estimates on the telescope have been adopted from the EUCLID Assessment Study Report (ESA/SRE-2009-2). Estimates on optics and detectors are based on GROND experience.

 ${\bf Table~9}~{\bf IRT~key~characteristics}$

Parameter	Value
Telescope	1.2 m Korsch
Filter	zYJHKLM (simultaneous)
FOV	$10' \times 10'$
Detectors	7 2K×2K HAWAII
Plate Scale	0'.'3/pixel
Sensitivity (5 σ , 500 sec exp)	24/23 mag AB (z-K/LM)

Table 10 IRT resources

Mass telescope (incl. margin)	130 kg
Mass instrument (optics+electronics)	150 kg
Power electronics	125 W
Power LM-band cooling	200 W
Raw data / day (2.5x compressed)	100 Gbit

The XRM and IRT should be co-aligned such that the IRT FOV is centered in the FOV of the central X-ray telescope. They should have separate star-trackers to allow the boresight to be measured/tracked.

The IR detector temperatures need to be stable to within ± 0.1 K, thus each requiring a special control loop. The low operating temperatures (see critical issue below) and the frequent re-pointings of the XRM/IRT require special consideration of the thermal architecture.

An optical system with several dichroics in the converging beam (GROND) has been built and demonstrated to achieve 0''.4 image quality [8]. Materials for similar systems up to 5μ are available, including radiation-hard versions.

4.4 Nice-to-have additions and option

There are two additional instruments which have been discussed in the preparation of this proposal, but are not proposed as default instrumentation due to lower priority.

- (1) **Neutron-Monitor:** The neutron flux in a LEO varies with time, and induced γ -radiation in the telescope is a source of background. A small neutron detector would act as monitor of the general radiation field, and thus substantially help in fighting the background radiation.
- (2) **Lobster X-ray monitor:** The Lobster camera principle is ideal for an all-sky monitor at soft X-rays with a spatial resolution in the few-arcmin range. It would allow detection of the prompt X-rays which are connected to the GRB prompt emission. A Lobster system, properly adapted to the GRIPS needs (ring-like FOV), would allow the localisation of (i) the $\sim 30\%$ of GRBs for which the GRM will not be able to measure a position and (ii) those GRBs for which Earth or Sun constraints would prohibit slewing the XRT.

5 Summary

GRIPS would be an extraordinary tool to advance the study of the *nonthermal* and violent Universe.

The GRIPS mission would provide the data to answer key questions of high-energy astrophysics. Moreover, the all-sky survey with an expected number of more than 2000 sources, many of them new, will at the same time serve a diversity of communities for the astronomical exploration of so-far unidentified X/γ -ray sources and of new phenomena. The delivery of triggers on bursting sources of high-energy emission will amplify the scientific impact of GRIPS across fields and communities. As the 2010 Decadal Survey Report of the US Academy of Science puts it, "Astronomy is still as much based on discovery as it is on predetermined measurements."

References

- 1. A.A. Abdo et al., ApJ **710**, L92 (2010).
- 2. A.A. Abdo, M. Ackermann, M. Ajello et al., Sci. 329, 817 (2010).
- 3. M. Ajello, J. Greiner et al., ApJ 678, 102 (2008).
- 4. S.E. Boggs, New Astron. Rev. **50** 604 (2006).
- 5. L. Bouchet, J.P. Roques, E. Jourdain, ApJ 720, 1772 (2010).
- 6. A. Gal-Yam, P. Mazzali, E. O. Ofek, et al., Nat. 462, 624 (2009).
- 7. N. Gehrels, G. Chincarini, P. Giommi, et al., ApJ 611, 1005 (2004).
- 8. J. Greiner, W. Bornemann, C. Clemens, et al. PASP 120, 405 (2008).
- 9. J. Greiner, A. Iyudin, G. Kanbach et al., Exp. Astr. 23, 91 (2009).
- 10. J. Greiner, T. Krühler, S. Klose, et al. A&A **526**, A30 (2010).
- 11. N.J. Hammer, H. Janka, E. Müller, ApJ 714, 1371 (2010).
- 12. T. Krühler, P. Schady, J. Greiner, et al. A&A 526, A153 (2010).
- 13. M. Limongi, A. Chieffi, ApJ **647**, 483 (2006).
- G.H. Marion, P. Höflich, W.D. Vacca, J.C. Wheeler, ApJ 591, 316 (2003).
 W.S. Paciesas, C.A. Meegan, G.N. Pendleton et al., ApJ Suppl. 122, 465 (1999).
- 16. P. Predehl, R. Andritschke, H. Böhringer et al. SPIE 7732E, 23 (2010).
- 17. S.A. Sim, P.A. Mazzali, MN **385**, 1681 (2008).
- 18. J. Vink, J.M. Laming, ApJ **584**, 758 (2003).
- 19. A. Zoglauer, PhD thesis, TU Munich (2006).
- A. Zoglauer, R. Andritschke, F. Schopper, New Astron. Rev. 50, Issues 7/8, 629 (2006).
 A. Zoglauer, R. Andritschke, S. Boggs, et al., SPIE 7011E, 101 (2008).