Comparison of Millimeter-wave and X–ray Emission in Seyfert Galaxies

R. R. Monje, A. Blain and T. Phillips

Division of Physics, Mathematics and Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91125-4700

Received _

accepted _

ABSTRACT

We compare the emission at multiple wavelengths of an extended Seyfert galaxy sample, including both types of Seyfert nuclei. We use the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO) to observe the CO J = 2 - 1 transition line in a sample of 45 Seyfert galaxies and detect 35. The galaxies are selected by their joint soft X-ray (0.1–2.4 keV) and far-infrared ($\lambda = 60-100 \ \mu m$) emission from the ROSAT/IRAS sample. Since the CO line widths (W_{CO}) reflect the orbital motion in the gravitational potential of the host galaxy, we study how the kinematics are affected by the central massive black hole, using the X-ray luminosity. A significant correlation is found between the CO line width and hard (0.3-8 keV)from Chandra and XMM–Newton) X–ray luminosity for both types of Seyfert nuclei. Assuming an Eddington accretion to estimate the black hole mass (M_{BH}) from the X-ray luminosity, the $W_{CO}-L_X$ relation establishes a direct connection between the kinematics of the molecular gas of the host galaxy and the nuclear activity, and corroborates the previous studies that say that the CO is a good surrogate for the bulge mass. We also find a tight correlation between the (soft and hard) X-ray and the CO luminosities for both Seyfert types. These results indicate a direct relation between the molecular gas (i.e. star formation activity) of the host galaxy and the nuclear activity. To establish a clear causal connection between molecular gas and the fueling of nuclear activity, high-resolution maps (< 100 pc) of the CO emission of our sample will be required and provided by the forthcoming ALMA observatory.

Subject headings: galaxies: active — galaxies: Seyfert — galaxies: nuclei — ISM: molecules

1. Introduction

Seyfert galaxies are classified into two types based solely upon their optical spectrum properties. Type 1 Seyferts (Sy1s) are those with very broad H I, He I, and He II emission lines. The forbidden lines [O III], [N II] and [SII], though narrower than the very broad permitted lines, are still broader than the emission lines in most starburst galaxies. Type 2 Seyferts (Sy2s) have permitted and forbidden lines with approximately the same full width half maximum (FWHM), similar to the FWHMs of the forbidden lines in Seyfert 1s, but do not present a broad line feature (Osterbrock & Ferland 2000). Evidence now exists that the main differences in the optical spectra are due to Seyfert nuclei being surrounded by a torus of dusty, obscuring gas a few parsecs from the center. In Sy1s the orientation of the torus axis is close to the line of sight allowing direct observations of the inner broader region associated with the accretion disk of the active galactic nucleus (AGN). In type 2 nuclei, the orientation of the torus shields the nucleus from direct view and only the more extended narrow line clouds are observed. Several studies analyzing the properties of Seyfert nuclei galaxies have reported a difference in the infrared properties between the Seyferts types, where type 2 nuclei present nearly an order of magnitude enhancement of their infrared emission from their disk with respect to those with type 1 nuclei (Edelson, Malkan & Rieke 1987; Maiolino et al. 1995). These results can be easily explained in terms of an elevated rate of star formation of massive stars in galaxies with type 2 nuclei. In addition, Maiolino et al. (1997) found through their ¹²CO (1–0) observations of a large sample of Seyfert galaxies, no significant difference in the total amount of molecular gas as a function of the Seyfert nuclear type. Therefore, they concluded that the total amount of molecular gas is not responsible for the enhanced star-forming activity in Seyfert 2 hosts. Contrary to those observations, Heckman et al. (1989) and Curran (2000), using observation of the CO J = 1 - 0 line, have found that type 2 Seyferts do indeed have a higher molecular gas content than Seyfert 1s. Curran (2000) found that for Seyferts with

far-infrared (FIR) luminosity (L_{FIR}) $\approx 10^{10} L_{\odot}$, the CO/FIR luminosity ratio in type 2 is at least three times that in type 1 sources. They suggested that this molecular gas may be related in some indirect way to the nuclear material hypothesized to obscure the broad line region in type 2 Seyferts. In this paper, we study the molecular gas content of Seyfert types by comparing the luminosities of a higher excitation line (CO J = 2 - 1) to the far-infrared and X-ray luminosities of a sample of 35 active galaxies. The sources were selected by their soft X-ray (0.1–2.4 keV) and FIR emission from a ROSAT/IRAS sample generated originally by Boller et al. (1992) and modified by Condon et al. (1998), who used new VLA images of all the objects to eliminate uncertainties coming from the original ROSAT and IRAS positions. Molecular gas is important not only to support the star formation activity in the different Seyfert types, but also to understand the powering mechanism of the nuclear activity in active galactic nuclei. The source of the accreted gas is still unclear. Most studies suggest that the massive black holes are fed by infalling interstellar gas, which is mostly in molecular form in the central kiloparsec of spiral galaxies. Single dish observations of CO emission can not give a direct answer to the molecular gas-nuclear activity relation, since any relation between the fueling (through CO luminosity) and the massive black hole data, such as X-ray luminosity (assuming most of the X-ray luminosity in Seyfert galaxies comes from the accretion disk around the massive black hole sitting in the center of the galaxy) must be searched for in the central few 100 pcs, of nearby AGN. However, Yamada (1994) and Kawakatu et al. (2005) reveal an interesting close relation between L_{CO} and L_X in Seyfert 1s at low and high redshift, respectively. In this paper, we study the properties of an extended Seyfert galaxy sample including both types of Seyfert galaxies, from the kinematics and multi-wavelength luminosity comparison point of view. In Section 2, observations and results in terms of line intensities, correlation coefficients and linear fits are presented. In Section 3, we summarize the results and discuss the interpretation of the CO line width $(W_{CO}) - L_X$, $L_{CO} - L_{FIR}$ and $L_{CO} - L_X$ relations.

2. Observations and Results

We have used the 10.4 m Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO) telescope to observe the CO J = 2 - 1 transition line towards the center of 45 Seyfert galaxies and have detected 35. Table 1 lists the sources parameters obtained from NED^1 and Hyperleda². The observations were mostly done during the winter and spring of 2009, with system noise temperatures of typically 300–400 K. Pointing was checked regularly on planets with typical pointing errors less than 5" during one night. The CSO beam size for the ^{12}CO J = 2 - 1 line (with rest frequency³ equal to 230.538 GHz) is approximately 30" and the beam efficiency is 0.69. Peak intensities in T_A^* scale are shown in Table 2. T_A^* is converted to main beam brightness scale, T_{mb} , by dividing the T_A^* by the beam efficiency. We have applied the necessary corrections, cold spillover and beam coupling, to those sources with size similar to, or smaller than the beam. This gives T_A^*/β , where β is the spillover and beam coupling coefficient. Source sizes have been determined by their optical extent. Since most of our sources are bigger than the beam, we assume extended sources, i.e., $\theta_{beam} \leq \theta_{source}$. Therefore, no beam dilution correction has been applied in our temperature calculations. We used a 1 GHz band width FFTS back-end with 8192 channels and a 1 GHz band-width acousto-optical spectrometer (AOS) with 2048 channels. The molecular line emissions observed for our sample are shown in Figure 1. A first-order

polynomial is used to correct the baseline. A 3σ detection has been assumed to calculate the upper limit for the non-detected sources.

¹See http://nedwww.ipac.caltech.edu/

²See http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr/

³See http://physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/micro/table5/start.pl

2.1. CO line width and the galaxy inclination

Figure 2 shows that the CO line widths correlate with the galaxy inclination. This result shows that the sources have been correctly identified by Condon et al (1998), in spite of the large pointing errors of IRAS and ROSAT. The line widths were measured at 20% of the peak intensity since it gives a more robust measurement of the maximum rotation velocity of the disk as shown by Ho (2007). The galactic disk inclination angles of these Seyferts were estimated from the Hyperleda database. The CO line width has been also corrected by the blue luminosity ($W_{CO}/L^{1/4}$) to avoid selection effects, since brighter sources rotate faster on average (Tully & Fisher 1977, which establish that the luminosity, L, is proportional to the 4th power of the rotational velocity, V, i.e. $L \approx V^4$). We notice that when applying the luminosity correction the correlation improves slightly and reduces the spread.

Figure 2 shows that face-on galaxies with smaller inclinations tend to have narrower velocities than edge-on with larger inclinations, following $\Delta V_{CO} \approx 2V_{MAX} \cdot \sin(i)$. This is an unsurprising result since the CSO beam samples CO emission on scales of few kpcs (see Table 2). As molecular disks are roughly coplanar with stellar disks on these scales, a rough correlation is expected.

The inclination–corrected CO line width–redshift correlation has been checked for selection effects of more distant objects being more luminous. In our sample the inclination–corrected CO line width values are distributed around an average value of 500 km s⁻¹ for any redshift, which corresponds to a typical rotational velocity value for spiral galaxies.

2.2. CO line width and the X-ray luminosity

We run a simple statistical approach to our investigation of the CO kinematics. For all the Seyferts with detected CO emission, we examine possible correlation between the inclination–corrected CO line width and the soft (ROSAT, 0.1–2.4 keV) and hard (Chandra or XMM–Newton, 0.3–8 keV) X–ray luminosity: the data is listed in Table 2. The hard X-ray luminosities in Table 2, are corrected for absorption using the X-ray fitting software from HEASARC⁴. For those type 1 (un obscured) AGNs with no available hard X-ray data, we extrapolate the ROSAT (0.5–2 keV) into Chandra (0.3–8 keV) X-ray fluxes, by using the tool PIMMS ⁵, applying the net count rate, a power law spectrum with index $\Gamma =$ 1.6 and an estimate of the Galactic neutral hydrogen column density from Kalberla et al. (2005). The correlation between X-ray power and CO kinematics has not been examined before, to the best of the authors' knowledge. Figure 3 shows the soft X-ray (0.1-2.4 keV)(left) and hard (0.3–8 keV)(right) luminosity, L_X , versus the corrected CO line width, W_{CO} , in a log-log plot. For the least-squares fit and slope calculations the bisector of the ordinary least-squares (OLS) regression described in Isobe et al. (1990), has been used. Table 3 lists the results of the L_X -W_{CO} correlation together with the χ^2 value and probabilities. The results from Figure 3 show a significant correlation between the CO line width and both soft and hard X-ray luminosity for type 1 Seyfert galaxies, with a correlation coefficient of 0.67 and 0.62 and a null probability of 0.12 and 0.32, respectively. In most type 2 Seyferts the observed 0.1–2.4 keV flux is a mixture of emission from the host galaxy, reflected X-ray emission from the AGNs and, if the absorbing column density is low enough, some transmitted intrinsic flux. The soft X-ray photons, originating within a radius close to the nucleus (≤ 1 pc), are absorbed by the dusty torus and only the fraction that is scattered

⁴See http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov

⁵See http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Tools/w3pimms.html

toward our line of sight escapes from above or/and below the torus and can be detected, leading to the reduction in observed X-ray flux from Seyfert 2s. In consequence, the soft X-ray luminosity is fainter and does not present a significant correlation with the CO line width.

The observed CO line width reflects the dynamics of the molecular gas in the inner parts of the galaxy. Therefore, we use the CO line widths to estimate the galaxy dynamical masses in this region. For a rotating disk of radius R, the dynamical mass enclosed within R can be determined by the following equation (Solomon 1997),

$$M_{dyn} \approx \frac{R \cdot \Delta V^2}{2 \cdot \sin^2(i) \cdot G},\tag{1}$$

Here we assume that the gas emission comes from a rotating disk of outer radius R (in units of kiloparsecs) observed at an inclination angle i. We use a radius, R, equal to the telescope beam FWHM at each source, see Table 2.

At the same time, X-ray luminosity in Seyfert galaxies is related to the black hole mass (BHM) (Graham et al. 2001). Given the bolometric luminosity for an AGN, we can estimate the minimum mass of the black hole by using the *Eddington limit* relation. In some cases the true bolometric luminosity cannot be calculated easily, as in the case of Seyfert 2s where the optical and ultraviolet (UV) radiation is highly obscured by the dusty torus. However, we can estimate the black hole mass from the X-ray luminosity. First, by assuming that an important fraction of the bolometric luminosity is emitted in the X-ray range by the central source. Second, the AGN luminosity, L, can be estimated from the luminosity in any given band b, L_b , by applying a suitable bolometric correction $f_{bol,b} = L/L_b$. We will use the bolometric corrections calculated by Marconi et al. (2004). Marconi et al. (2004) use in their calculations the intrinsic luminosity which is the total luminosity directly produced by the accretion process, i.e. the sum of the optical-ultraviolet and X-ray luminosities radiated by the accretion disc and hot corona, respectively. We also assume that the X-ray bolometric correction is the same in type 1 and type 2 Seyfert galaxies. As expected from Figure 3, the dynamical and back hole masses present a significant correlation shown in Figure 4.

2.3. Relation between the CO luminosity with FIR and X-ray luminosities

Figure 5 shows the strong correlation between the far-infrared luminosity⁶, L_{FIR}, and the CO line luminosity, L_{CO}, of the Seyfert 1s (circles) and Seyfert 2s (triangles) from our sample, in a log-log plot, to confirm the relation observed between far-infrared and CO line luminosity (Rickard & Harvey 1984; Young et al. 1986; Heckman et al. 1989; Sanders et al. 1991; Rigopoulou et al. 1996). The strong correlation is interpreted as the result of the link between the amount of molecular gas and the rate of star formation. The FIR emission for both types of galaxies is very similar with a mean ratio of $L_{FIR}(Sy1)/L_{FIR}(Sy2) \approx 0.88$. This result suggests two different possibilities. First, that the FIR emission comes from dust re-radiation of starlight from regions outside the torus, where both classes of Seyferts have similar properties. Second, the FIR in Seyfert galaxies can be powered by the AGN, i.e. non-thermal radiation coming from the nucleus torus, but in that case, the torus should have a similar covering fraction and optical depth to obtain the same emission from both Seyfert 2s as in 1s.

Studying the relation between the CO and FIR luminosities can give us a better understanding of the origin of FIR emission in Seyfert galaxies, and the relationship between the AGN activity, the star formation and the interstellar medium (ISM), via the molecular gas content. Taking the average value of L_{CO}/L_{FIR} for both Seyfert types we obtain the

⁶The FIR luminosity, in the wavelength range $40\mu m < \lambda < 120\mu m$ in $[W \cdot m^{-2}]$, is estimated using the relation (Helou et al. 1988), $L_{FIR} = 1.26 \times 10^{-14} (2.58 \cdot S_{60\mu m} + S_{100\mu m})$.

following result,

$$\frac{L_{CO}}{L_{FIR}}(Sy1) \approx 1.33 \pm 0.13 \cdot \frac{L_{CO}}{L_{FIR}}(Sy2) \approx 3.21 \times 10^{-8} K \cdot km \cdot s^{-1} \cdot kpc^2 \cdot L_{\odot}^{-1}, \qquad (2)$$

There is not a significant difference in the total molecular gas content between the two Seyfert types. This result is consistent with the one obtained by Maiolino et al. (1997), establishing that the total amount of molecular gas is not responsible for the enhanced star-formation activity observed in Seyfert 2 hosts (Gonzalez-Delgado & Perez 1993).

Another interesting observational result from this survey, is the strong correlation between the X-ray and the CO luminosity, see Figure 6, with a null probability of 1.83×10^{-5} and a correlation coefficient of 0.79. A least-squares fit yields to a fitted relation of $Log(L_{CO})=1\cdot Log(L_X)-33.43$ when considering both Seyfert types of our sample. To rule out the possibility of a correlation driven by the luminosity distance (D²), we study the correlation between L_{CO}/L_{FIR} and L_X/L_{FIR} , and between L_{CO}/L_X and L_X , in both cases we will get rid of the distance dependence if any, and find a significant correlation in both cases.

3. Summary and Discussion

We have analyzed the gas properties towards the galaxy nuclei of 18 Seyfert 1 and 17 Seyfert 2 galaxies, taken from the ROSAT/IRAS sample generated by Condon et al. (1998). The CO line is a molecular gas tracer and provides information about the kinematics of the inner galactic disks through the line width. We investigate the CO kinematics and find that the CO line width moderately correlates with the host galaxy inclination for both types of Seyferts (Figure 2). This may initially suggest that the CO is coplanar with the galactic disk. However, Heckman et al. (1989) showed that the CO emission may also be correlated with the torus. Studies on the relative orientation of a radio jet and the

host galactic disk in Seyfert Galaxies, e.g. Schmitt et al. (2002); Nagar & Wilson (1999), have shown that the torus is not coplanar with the galactic disk. The combination of these studies suggests that a small, though not negligible, fraction of the CO emission is coming from the nuclear regions and not solely from the galactic disk. We examine the relation between the CO kinematics and the X-ray power and find a significant correlation between the CO line widths and the hard X-ray luminosity both AGNs types. Based on the assumption of Eddington accretion, we analyze the correlation between the dynamical mass calculated from the CO line width and the black hole mass calculated from the L_X for Seyfert galaxies. The measured correlation corroborate the recent studies that suggest that the inclination–corrected CO line width is a surrogate for the bulge velocity dispersion of the host galaxies (Shields et al. 2006; Wu 2007), and the black hole-bulge relation obtained with this assumption (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000). As shown in Figure 4, the Eddington limit approximation in our calculations, underestimate the M_{BH} by at least a factor of 10 in comparison to the M_{BH} - M_{bulge} relation for normal galaxies where $\langle M_{BH}/M_{bulge} \rangle \sim 0.001$ (Marconi & Hunt 2003). In order to get a better understanding of the M_{BH} - W_{CO} relation for Seyfert galaxies, we have to rely in more accurate measurements of the M_{BH} and higher resolution images of CO luminosity, in future studies.

Yamada (1994) studied the X-ray (0.5–4.5 keV) and CO luminosity relation in a sample of 13 Seyfert 1s and 5 quasars and found a significant correlation. They suggest a scenario where the star formation activities directly control the mass accretion rate at the central black hole, concluding that the more powerful monsters live in the more actively star-forming host galaxies. We analyze the $L_{CO}-L_X$ relation with a more extended sample using both Seyfert types and the X-ray bands 0.1–2.4 keV and 0.3–8 keV and find similar correlations between the X-ray and CO luminosities for both Seyfert types. Two possible scenarios can be considered in the nuclear activity-total molecular gas content relation. First, circumnuclear star-formation activity could drive gas into the innermost galactic regions to feed a black hole, thus creating a star formation/active galactic nuclei connection (Norman & Scoville 1988; Heckman et al. 1989). The CO emission can be related to the infalling interstellar gas feeding the accretion disk of the massive black holes, which is mostly in molecular form located in the central kiloparsecs. Second, the more powerful X-rays from the central black hole could provide additional heat to the molecular cloud, enhancing the excitation of CO molecules in the inner region (few pc) enhancing the total CO luminosity. However, since the CO emission observed in our sample with the CSO, typically comes from inner regions of the galaxy disks (2 kpc - 20 kpc), as determined from the available interferometer data (e.g. Taylor et al. 1999; Israel 2009; Casasola et al. 2008), while most of the X-ray luminosities likely come from the central engine region (a few pc-100pc, at most). To establishing a clear causal connection between molecular gas in the inner 1 kpc and the fueling of nuclear activity will require higher resolution maps of the CO emission. The forthcoming ALMA (Atacama Large Millimeter Array) observatory will provide the necessary spatial resolution (Maiolino 2008), which will enable to obtain much accurate kinematics an extended maps of the distribution and dynamics of the molecular gas.

The authors would like to thank the CSO staff for their support during observations. We thank the anonymous referees for the valuable suggestions to improve the manuscript. We are grateful for interesting discussion with Nick Scoville, Martin Emprechtinger and Tom Bell. The CSO is founded by the National Science Foundation under the contract AST-08388361. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) (which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration)

Facilities: CSO, ROSAT, IRAS, Chandra.

A. CO Line Luminosity

We have calculated the CO line luminosity using the integrated line intensity (T Δ V), the intensity (I) and the flux (S_{CO}). The calculated parameters for each source are listed in Table 2.

To convert from integrated intensity in units of K \cdot km/s into line intensities $erg \cdot cm^{-2} \cdot s^{-1} \cdot sr^{-1}$ we use the Rayleigh-Jeans relation,

$$I\left[erg \cdot cm^{-2} \cdot s^{-1} \cdot sr^{-1}\right] = \frac{2k_B \cdot \nu^3}{c^3} \cdot \frac{T\Delta V\left[K \cdot km/s\right]}{B_{eff}} \times 10^6,\tag{A1}$$

where c is the speed of light, k_b is the Boltzmann constant, B_{eff} is main beam efficiency, and v is the line frequency in GHz.

Flux in $Jy \cdot km/s$ are derived as follows,

$$S[Jy \cdot km/s] = I \cdot \frac{c}{\nu} \cdot \Omega_b \cdot \left(\frac{\nu_0}{\nu}\right)^2 \times 10^{20},\tag{A2}$$

where Ω_b is the solid angle in sr. For a Gaussian beam, the solid angle is given by,

$$\Omega_b = 1.1333 \cdot B^2 \cdot \frac{1}{206265^2} \quad sr \tag{A3}$$

where B is the half power beam width in arcsec.

We calculate the CO luminosities using the formula in Solomon et al 1997,

$$L_{CO} = (c^2/2k) \cdot S_{CO} \cdot \Delta V \cdot \nu_{obs}^{-2} \cdot D_L^2 \cdot (1+z)^{-3},$$
(A4)

where D_L is the luminosity distance ⁷ in Mpc.

⁷For all the sources, we obtained the luminosity distance using the web site calculator of http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/CosmoCalc.html, using a cosmology of $H_0 = 77km \cdot s^{-1} \cdot Mpc^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.27$ and $\Omega_V = 0.73$.

REFERENCES

- Antonucci, R. 1993, ARA&A, 31, 473
- Boller, Th., Meurs, E.J.A., Brinkmann, W., Fink, H., Zimmermann, U., & Adorf, H.-M. 1992, A&AS, 261, 57
- Casasola, V., Combes, F., García-Burillo, S., Hunt, L. K., Léon, S., & Baker, J. 2008, A&A, 490, 61
- Condon, J. J., Yin, Q. F., Thuan, T. X., & Boller, Th. 1998, ApJ, 116, 2682
- Curran, S. J. 2000, A&A, 144, 271
- Edelson, R. A., & Malkan, M. A. & Rieke, G., H., 1987, ApJ, 321, 233
- Ferrarese, L., & Merritt, D. 2000, ApJ, 529, L9
- Gonzalez-Delgado, R. M., & Perez, E. 1993, Ap&SS, 205, 127G
- Graham, A. W., Erwin, P., Caon, N., & Trujillo, I. 2001, ApJ, 563, L11
- Heckman, T. M., Blitz, L., Wilson, A. S., Armus, L., & Miley, G. K. 1989, ApJ, 342, 735
- Helou, G., Khan, I. R., Malek, L., & Boehmer, L. 1988, ApJS, 68, 151
- Ho, L. C. 2007, ApJ, 669, Issue 2, 821
- Isobe, T., Feigelson, E. D., Akritas, M. G., & Babu, G. J. 1990, ApJ, 364, 104
- Israel, F., P. 2009, A&A, 493, 525
- Kalberla, P. M. W., Burton, W. B., Hartmann, Dap, Arnal, E. M., Bajaja, E., Morras, R.,
 & Pppel, W. G. L. 2005, A&A, 440, 775
- Kawakatu, N., Saitoh, T. R., & Wada, K. 2005, ApJ, 628, 129

- Maiolino, R., Ruiz, M., Rieke, G. H., & Keller, L. D. 1995, ApJ, 446, 561
- Maiolino, R., Ruiz M., Rieke G.H., & Papadopoulos P. 1997, ApJ, 485, 552
- Maiolino, R. 2008, NewAR, 52, 339
- Marconi, A., Risaliti, G., Gilli, R., Hunt, L. K., Maiolino, R., & Salvati, M. 2004, MNRAS, 351, 169
- Marconi, A., & Hunt, L. K. 2003, ApJ, 589, L21
- Nagar, N. M., & Wilson, A. S. 1999, ApJ, 516, 97
- Norman, C., & Scoville, N. 1988, ApJ, 332, 124
- Osterbrock, D. E., & Ferland, G. J. 2000, Astrophysics Of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei, (2nd ed.;Palgrave Macmillan Limited;GBR)
- Rigopoulou, D., Lawrence, A., White, G. J., Rowan-Robinson, M., & Church, S. E. 1996, A&A, 305, 747
- Rickard, L. J., & Harvey, P. M 1984, ApJ, 89, 1520
- Sanders, D. B., Schoville, N. Z., & Soifer, B. T. 1991, ApJ, 370, 158
- Schmitt, H. R., Pringle, J. E., Clarke, C. J., & Kinney, A. L. 2002, ApJ, 575, 150
- Shields, G. A., Menezes, K. L., Massart, C. A., & Vanden Bout, P. 2006, ApJ, 641, 683
- Solomon, P. M., Downes, D., Radford, S. J. E., & Barrett, J. W. 1997, ApJ, 478, 144
- Taylor, C. L., Hüttemeister, S., Kein, U., & Greve, A. 1999, A&A, 349, 424
- Tully, R. B., & Fisher, J. R. 1977, A&A, 54, 661
- Wu, X., B. 2007, ApJ, 657, 177

Yamada, T. 1994, ApJ, 423, L27

Young, J. S., Schloerb P., Kenney, J. D., & Lord, S. D. 1986, ApJ, 304, 443

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS ${\rm IAT}_{\rm E}\!{\rm X}$ macros v5.2.



Fig. 1.— CO J = 2-1 observations of our sample. The intensity scale is T_A^* in K.



8500 Velocity (km/s)

9000



0.0

Intensity (K)

-0.0

-200



-0.005

200



IRAS 11210-0823

7000







Fig. 1.— continued



Fig. 1.— continued



Fig. 2.— CO line width $[km \cdot s^{-1}]$ blue luminosity corrected versus the sine of the galaxy inclination for the observed sample, Sy1s (circles) and Sy2s (triangles). The CO line width and sin i are correlated with a 0.49 factor and a 0.21 of null probability (see table 3). The straight line represents the least squares fit applied to the whole sample (both Sy1s and Sy2s)



Fig. 3.— (Left) CO line width corrected for inclination $[km \cdot s^{-1}]$ versus soft (0.1–2.4 keV) X–ray luminosity $[ergs \cdot s^{-1}]$ for the detected Sy1s (circles) and Sy2s (triangles).(Right) CO line width corrected for inclination $[km \cdot s^{-1}]$ versus hard (0.3–8 keV) X–ray luminosity $[ergs \cdot s^{-1}]$ for the detected Sy1s (circles) and Sy2s (triangles). Least-squares fits to the data are indicated by the blue dashed (Sy1s), red dotted (Sy2s) lines and solid (both Sy1s and Sy2s). The correlation factor for each group and null probability are shown in Table 3.



Fig. 4.— Black hole mass M_{BH}^{Edd} [M_{\odot}] versus the dynamical mass of the galaxy M_{dyn} [M_{\odot}] for both type 1 (filled circles) and type 2 (triangles) Seyfert galaxies. Least-squares fits to the data are indicated by the blue dashed (Sy1s), red dotted (Sy2s) lines and solid (both Sy1s and Sy2s). The correlation factor for each group and null probability are shown in Table 3. The M_{BH} - M_{bulge} relation for normal galaxies, i.e. $\langle M_{BH}/M_{bulge} \rangle \sim 0.001$, is indicated by the dot-dashed line.



Fig. 5.— Log L_{CO} $[K \cdot km \cdot s^{-1} \cdot pc^2]$ vs Log L_{FIR} $[L_{\odot}]$ for the observed Sy1s (circles) and Sy2s (triangles). Least-squares fits to the data are indicated by the solid (Sy1s) and dashed (Sy2s) lines. Upper limit of the non-detection are plotted as well. The correlation factor for each group and probability of not being correlated are shown in Table 3.



Fig. 6.— (Left) CO luminosity $[K \cdot km \cdot s^{-1} \cdot pc^2]$ versus soft (0.1–2.4 keV) X–ray luminosity $[erg \cdot s^{-1}]$ for the observed Sy1s (circles) and Sy2s (triangles). (Right) CO luminosity $[K \cdot km \cdot s^{-1} \cdot pc^2]$ versus hard (0.3–8 keV) X–ray luminosity $[erg \cdot s^{-1}]$. Least-squares fits to the data are indicated by the blue dashed (Sy1s), red dotted (Sy2s) lines and solid (both Sy1s and Sy2s). The correlation factor for each group and null probability are shown in Table 3.

Galaxy	Name	Туре	Velocity	Redshift	i^a
			$(\rm km/s)$		(°)
IRAS 00076-0459	MRK 0937	SBa/b, HII, Sy2	8846	0.029507	29.1
IRAS 00317-2142	ESO 540- G 001	SB(r1)bc, Sy1.8	8048	0.026845	33
IRAS 04260+6444	NGC 1569	IBm;Sbrst, Sy1	-104	-0.000347	64.7
IRAS $04565 + 0454$	UGC 03223	SBa Sy1	4683	0.015621	61.5
IRAS 05128+5308	CGCG 258-006	S, Sy1.8	8482	0.028293	68.6
IRAS 05497-0728	NGC 2110	SAB0-, Sy2	2335	0.007789	46.4
IRAS 06280+6342	UGC 03478	Sb, Sy1.2	3828	0.012769	76.7
IRAS 07388+4955	UGC 03973	SBb, Sy1.2	6652	0.022189	36.7
IRAS 08331-0354	NGC 2617	Sy1.8	4261	0.014213	39.8
IRAS 09585+5555	NGC 3079	SB(s)c;LINER, Sy2	1116	0.00372	82.5
IRAS 10126+7339	NGC 3147	SA(rs)bc;HII, Sy2	2820	0.009407	29.5
IRAS 10291+6517	NGC 3259	SAB(rs)bc:BLAGN, Sy1	1686	0.005624	60.7
IRAS 10295-1831	2MASX J10315733-1846333	Sp, Sy1	12070	0.040261	20.3
IRAS 10589-1210	MCG -02-28-039	Sy1.5	7720	0.025751	59.9
IRAS 11033+7250	NGC 3516	(R)SB(s): Sy1.5	2649	0.008836	37.0
IRAS 11083-2813	ESO 438- G 009	RSB(rl)ab Sy1.5	7199	0.024013	50.8
IRAS 11112+0951	IC 2637	E+, pec, Sy1.5	8763	0.029230	35
IRAS 11210-0823	NGC 3660	SB(r)bc Sy2	3683	0.012285	32.9
IRAS 11376+2458	NGC 3798	SB0, Sy1	3552	0.011848	90
IRAS 11395+1033	NGC 3822	Sb Sy2	6138	0.020474	76.0
IRAS 11500-0455	MCG -01-30-041	(R)SB(rs)ab, Sy1.8	5641	0.018816	55.5
IRAS 12373-1120	MESSIER 104	SA(s)a;LINER, Sy1.9	1024	0.003416	78.5
IRAS 12393+3520	NGC 4619	SB(r)b pec? Sy1	6927	0.023106	14.6
IRAS 12409+7823	2MASX J12423600+7807203	Sy1.9	6625	0.022100	75.7
IRAS 12495-1308	NGC 4748	Sa Sy1	4386	0.014630	53.2
IRAS 13218-1929	2MASX J13243528-1945114	$(R_1^\prime) \mathrm{SB}(\mathrm{l:}) \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Sy1.9}$	5284	0.017625	
IRAS 14060+7207	NGC 5643	Sy 1.9	10251	0.034194	54.2
IRAS 14105+3932	NGC 5515	Sab, Sy1.9	7719	0.025749	62.6

Table 1. Summary of Galaxies

Galaxy	Name	Туре	Velocity (km/s)	Redshift	i ^a (°)
IRAS 14156+2522	NGC 5548	(R')SA(s)0/a Sy1.5	5149	0.017175	41.4
IRAS 14294-4357	NGC 5643	SAB(rs)c, Sy2	1199	0.003999	30.5
IRAS 15361-0313	CGCG 022-021	HII, Sy1.9	7137	0.023806	68.7
IRAS 15564+6359	CGCG 319-034	Sy1.9	9023	0.030097	54.2
IRAS 16277+2433	VV 807	Sy1.9	11241	0.037496	57.2
IRAS 17020+4544	B3 1702+457	SBab;Sy1,Sy2	18107	0.060400	59.9
IRAS 17023-0128	UGC 10683	S0+ pec: Sy1.5	9149	0.030518	41.3
IRAS 18001+6638	NGC 6552	SB?, Sy2	7942	0.026492	47.4
IRAS 19399-1026	NGC 6814	SAB(rs)bc;HII, Sy1.5	1563	0.005214	85.6
IRAS 20069+5929	CGCG 303-017	Sbrst, Sy2	11132	0.037132	48.8
IRAS 20437+5929	MRK 0896	SBb, Sy1	7922	0.026424	64.0
IRAS 22062-2803	NGC 7214	SB(s)bc pec: $Sy1.2$	6934	0.023128	47.3
IRAS 22330-2618	NGC 7314	SAB(rs)bc, Sy1.9	1428	0.004763	70.3
IRAS 22595+1541	NGC 7465	(R')SB(s): Sy2	1968	0.006565	63.4
IRAS 23111+1344	NGC 7525	E, Sy1.5	12262	0.040900	30.6
IRAS 23163-0001	NGC 7603	SA(rs)b: pec, Sy1.5	8851	0.029524	61.5
IRAS 23279-0244	UM 163	${\rm SB}({\rm r}){\rm b}$ pec:;HII, Sy1	10022	0.033430	65.1
IRAS 23566-0424	IC 1490	SA(rs)b pec:, Sy1	5744	0.019160	55.8

Table 1—Continued

^aInclination to the line of sight obtained from the Hyperleda database.

Source	Size^{a}	ΔV^b	$I^c = \int T^*_A dV$	$Intensity^d$	S^e_{CO}	$\mathrm{Log}\; L^f_{CO}$	$\mathrm{Log}\;L^g_{FIR}$	$LogL_x^h$	S_X^i
	(kpc)	$(\mathrm{km} \ s^{-1})$	(K km s^{-1})	$(\text{erg } cm^{-2} \ s^{-1} \ sr^{-1})$	$($ Jy km $s^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{K \ km \ } s^{-1}pc^2)$	(L_{\odot})	$(\text{ergs } s^{-1})$	$({\rm erg}~{\rm s}^{-1}~{\rm cm}^{-2})$
IRAS 00076-0459	16.71	$100{\pm}20$	1.18 ± 0.2	$2.15 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 3.6 \cdot 10^{-9}$	73.1 ± 12	$8.77 {\pm} 0.0059$	10.18	42.94	
IRAS 00317-2142	15.20	150 ± 15	$5.45 {\pm} 0.9$	$9.93 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 1.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$335.01{\pm}54$	$9.35 {\pm} 0.0068$	10.94	42.81	$7.49 \cdot 10^{-13}$
IRAS 04260+6444	0.19	50 ± 7	$1.01 {\pm} 0.18$	$1.85 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 3.3 \cdot 10^{-9}$	57.69 ± 10	4.8 ± 0.075		37.92	$1.62 \cdot 10^{-13}$
IRAS 04565+0454	8.85	$400\ \pm 50$	$1.68 {\pm} 0.2$	$3.06 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 3.6 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$99.82{\pm}12$	$8.35 {\pm} 0.013$	10.06	42.06	
IRAS 05128+5308	16.02	560 ± 60	$4.09 {\pm} 0.7$	$7.46 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 1.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$252.84{\pm}44$	$9.28 {\pm} 0.0067$	10.81	42.06	
IRAS 05497-0728	4.41		≤ 1.08			≤ 7.55	9.72	40.99	$1.04 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 06280+6342	7.23	$270\ \pm 50$	$1.79 {\pm} 0.17$	$3.26 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 3.1 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$105.46{\pm}10$	$8.21 {\pm} 0.013$	9.84	42.61	
IRAS 07388+4955	12.56	$450\ \pm 25$	$1.21 {\pm} 0.16$	$2.19 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 2.9 \cdot 10^{-9}$	73.06 ± 9.7	$8.52 {\pm} 0.0079$	10.31	43.84	$3.18 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 08331-0354	8.05	180 ± 30	$2.22 {\pm} 0.65$	$4.04 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 1.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$	131.4 ± 39	$8.39 {\pm} 0.036$	9.73	42.27	
IRAS 09585+5555	2.11	400 ± 120	$3.21 {\pm} 0.3$	$5.84 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 5.4 \cdot 10^{-9}$	184.15 ± 17	$7.37 {\pm} 0.034$	10.36	40.18	$6.39 \cdot 10^{-13}$
IRAS 10126+7339	5.33	430 ± 30	$9.33{\pm}1.1$	$1.70 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 2.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$	544.49 ± 64	$8.65 {\pm} 0.024$	10.51	41.83	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-12}$
IRAS 10291+6517	3.18	$500 {\pm} 40$	$4.28 {\pm} 0.8$	$7.81 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 1.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$	247.45 ± 48	7.86 ± 0.083	9.07	40.04	$3.31 \cdot 10^{-14}$
IRAS 10295-1831	22.80	150 ± 12	$1.45 {\pm} 0.15$	$2.64{\cdot}10^{-8} \pm 2.7{\cdot}10^{-9}$	$92.88 {\pm} 9.5$	$9.08 {\pm} 0.002$	11.02	43.14	
IRAS 10589-1210	14.58	510 ± 30	$1.86{\pm}0.2$	$3.40 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 3.6 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$114.40{\pm}12$	$8.85 {\pm} 0.0048$	10.55	41.93	
IRAS 11033+7250	5.00		≤ 1.53			≤ 7.81	9.55	41.73	$6.21 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 11083-2813	13.59	300 ± 50	$1.95 {\pm} 0.3$	$3.56 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 5.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	119.13 ± 18	$8.81 {\pm} 0.0078$	10.66	42.95	
IRAS 11112+0951	16.55	700 ± 50	$6.34{\pm}0.9$	$1.15 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 1.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$391.90{\pm}54$	$9.49 {\pm} 0.005$	10.65	42.91	
IRAS 11210-0823	6.96	$450\ \pm 30$	$2.57 {\pm} 0.28$	$4.68 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 5.1 \cdot 10^{-9}$	151.25 ± 65	$8.33 {\pm} 0.063$	9.92	41.61	$7.60 \cdot 10^{-12}$
IRAS 11376+2458	6.71	400 ± 50	$1.63 {\pm} 0.3$	$2.97 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 5.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$95.84{\pm}18$	$8.09 {\pm} 0.029$	9.64	41.46	

 Table 2.
 Observational Parameters and Gaussian Line Fits

- 27 -

Table 2—Continued

Source	Size^{a}	ΔV^b	$I^c = \int T^*_A dV$	$Intensity^d$	S^e_{CO}	$\mathrm{Log}\;L^f_{CO}$	$\log L^g_{FIR}$	$LogL_x^h$	S_X^i
	(kpc)	$(\mathrm{km}\ s^{-1})$	$({\rm K~km~}s^{-1})$	$({\rm erg}\; cm^{-2}\; s^{-1}\; sr^{-1})$	$($ Jy km $s^{-1})$	$({\rm K~km~}s^{-1}pc^2)$	(L_{\odot})	$(\text{ergs } s^{-1})$	$({\rm erg}~{\rm s}^{-1}~{\rm cm}^{-2})$
IRAS 11395+1033	11.59	700 ± 200	$6.24 {\pm} 0.6$	$1.14 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 1.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	376.55 ± 36	$9.17 {\pm} 0.0065$	10.58	41.91	
IRAS 11500-0455	10.65	400 ± 50	$1.16 {\pm} 0.16$	$2.12 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 2.9 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$69.82 {\pm} 9.6$	$8.36 {\pm} 0.011$	10.09	42.12	
IRAS 12373-1120	1.93	420 ± 50	$0.88 {\pm} 0.2$	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 2.2 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$50.89 {\pm} 5.0$	$6.73 {\pm} 0.042$	9.35	40.75	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-12}$
IRAS 12393+3520	13.08	210 ± 50	$2.52{\pm}0.23$	$4.60 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 4.2 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$153.57{\pm}14$	$8.88 {\pm} 0.005$	10.09	42.12	
IRAS 12409+7823	12.51		≤ 0.86			≤ 8.38	9.94	41.87	
IRAS 12495-1308	8.28	$300 {\pm} 150$	$3.24 {\pm} 0.4$	$5.91 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 7.3 \cdot 10^{-9}$	192.42 ± 24	$8.58 {\pm} 0.014$	9.83	42.06	
IRAS 13218-1929	9.98	360 ± 30	$5.01 {\pm} 0.49$	$9.11 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 8.9 \cdot 10^{-9}$	299.28 ± 29	$8.93 {\pm} 0.0084$	10.29	42.14	
IRAS 14060+7207	19.36		≤ 1.33			≤ 8.96	10.26	42.15	
IRAS 14105+3932	14.58	650 ± 50	$4.83 {\pm} 0.66$	$8.81{\cdot}10^{-8}\ \pm 1.2\cdot 10^{-8}$	$296.26 {\pm} 40$	$9.26 {\pm} 0.0062$	10.63	41.65	
IRAS 14156+2522	9.73	$400 {\pm} 100$	$2.72 {\pm} 0.66$	$4.96{\cdot}10^{-8} \pm 1.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$162.68 {\pm} 39$	$8.65 {\pm} 0.0022$	9.93	43.67	$5.39 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 14294-4357	2.26	180 ± 15	$15.88{\pm}1.04$	$2.89 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 1.9 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$911.72 {\pm} 60$	$8.13 {\pm} 0.024$	9.85	40.61	$5.31 \cdot 10^{-13}$
IRAS 15361-0313	13.48	400 ± 50	$6.40{\pm}1.17$	$1.17 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 2.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$389.84{\pm}70$	$9.31{\pm}0.0094$	10.79	42.02	
IRAS 15564+6359	17.04	600 ± 60	$2.90{\pm}0.67$	$5.29 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 1.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$	180.10 ± 41	$9.18 {\pm} 0.0078$	10.41	42.46	$1.12 \cdot 10^{-12}$
IRAS 16277+2433	21.23		≤ 1.45			≤ 9.08	10.53	42.02	$2.25 \cdot 10^{-12}$
IRAS 17020+4544	34.20		≤ 1.11			≤ 9.40	11.02	44.06	$1.30 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 17023-0128	17.28		≤ 0.76			≤ 8.62	10.42	42.95	
IRAS 18001+6638	15.00	500 ± 100	$1.72 {\pm} 0.5$	$3.14 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 9.1 \cdot 10^{-9}$	105.99 ± 31	$8.84{\pm}0.013$	10.63		$2.94 \cdot 10^{-13}$
IRAS 19399-1026	2.95	140 ± 20	$4.36{\pm}0.68$	$7.95{\cdot}10^{-8}\ \pm 1.2\cdot 10^{-8}$	251.69 ± 38	$7.80{\pm}0.048$	9.81	41.91	$3.08 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 20437-0259	14.96		≤ 0.97			≤ 8.61	10.04	43.31	$1.06 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 22062-2803	13.09	$500{\pm}100$	$6.97{\pm}0.7$	$1.27 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 1.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$423.65 {\pm} 43$	$9.33 {\pm} 0.0056$	10.56	43.04	

- 28 -

Table 2—Continued

Source	Size^{a}	ΔV^b	$I^c = \int T^*_A dV$	$Intensity^d$	S^e_{CO}	$\mathrm{Log}\; L^f_{CO}$	$\mathrm{Log}\; L^g_{FIR}$	$LogL_x^h$	S^i_X
	(kpc)	$(\mathrm{km}\ s^{-1})$	$(K \text{ km } s^{-1})$	$(erg \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1} \ sr^{-1})$	$($ Jy km $s^{-1})$	$({\rm K~km~}s^{-1}pc^2)$	(L_{\odot})	(ergs s^{-1})	$({\rm erg}~{\rm s}^{-1}~{\rm cm}^{-2})$
IRAS 22330-2618	2.69	140 ± 50	$1.91{\pm}0.3$	$3.47{\cdot}10^{-8} \pm 5.5{\cdot}10^{-9}$	$109.76 {\pm} 17$	$7.37 {\pm} 0.052$	9.56	41.17	$1.40 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 22595+1541	3.72	190 ± 30	$3.07 {\pm} 0.6$	$5.59 \cdot 10^{-8} \pm 1.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	177.79 ± 35	$7.85 {\pm} 0.055$	9.86	40.54	$1.54 \cdot 10^{-12}$
IRAS 23111+1344	23.16		≤ 0.65			≤ 8.83	10.62	42.81	
IRAS 23163-0001	16.71	550 ± 100	$3.22 {\pm} 0.4$	$5.86{\cdot}10^{-8}\ \pm7.3\cdot10^{-9}$	199.27 ± 25	$9.21 {\pm} 0.0045$	10.41	43.3	$3.65 \cdot 10^{-11}$
IRAS 23279-0244	18.93	610 ± 50	$4.75 {\pm} 0.6$	$8.64{\cdot}10^{-8}\ \pm 1.1\cdot 10^{-8}$	$297.36 {\pm} 38$	$9.49 {\pm} 0.0036$	10.35	42.64	
IRAS 23566-0424	10.85	470 ± 50	$3.35{\pm}0.6$	$6.09{\cdot}10^{-8}\ \pm 1.1\cdot 10^{-8}$	201.05 ± 36	$8.83 {\pm} 0.014$	10.01	42.83	

^aBeam size at each source.

 $^{\rm b}{\rm Width}$ of the line at 20 % of the peak intensity.

^cIntegrated intensity in T_A^* corrected for beam efficiency. For the non-detection an upper limit of 3σ has been used.

^dIntensity from the Rayleigh-Jeans relations.

^eFlux.

^fCO luminosity, see Appendix A for derivation.

^gFar-infrared luminosity in the wavelength range $40\mu m \leq \lambda \leq 120\mu m$.

^hSoft (0.1 - 2.4 keV) X–ray luminosity from ROSAT data.

ⁱHard absorption-corrected X–ray flux (0.3 - 8 keV) from Chandra and XMM-Newton data.

- 29 -

Relation	χ^2	Probability (%)	r^a	Null Probability ^b (%)
$W_{CO}/L^{1/4}$ vs sin (i)	22.75	82.55	0.49	0.21
$log(W_{CO}/sin~(i))~vs~\log(\mathcal{L}_X^{Soft})$				
i) Sy1s	8.80	92.05	0.67	0.12
ii) Sy2s	13.99	45.00	0.02	46.88
iii)Sy1s & Sy2s	24.77	81.50	0.47	0.23
$log(W_{CO}/sin~(i))~vs~log(L_X^{Hard})$				
i) Sy1s	9.90	87.17	0.62	0.32
ii) Sy2s	6.65	57.48	0.41	11.92
iii)Sy1s & Sy2s	18.62	85.22	0.53	0.18
$\log(\mathcal{L}_{CO}) \ vs \ \log(\mathcal{L}_X^{Soft})$				
i) Sy1s	4.27	99.84	0.86	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$
ii) Sy2s	7.39	94.59	0.71	0.066
iii)Sy1s & Sy2s	12.55	99.95	0.79	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$log(L_{CO})$ vs $log(L_X^{Hard})$				
i) Sy1s	4.64	99.73	0.84	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$
ii) Sy2s	5.70	76.94	0.60	2.40
iii)Sy1s & Sy2s	10.19	99.84	0.79	$1.83 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$log(M_{dyn})$ vs $log(M_{BH})^c$				
i) Sy1s	7.60	95.86	0.72	$3.54 \cdot 10^{-2}$
ii) Sy2s	3.20	92.05	0.77	0.40
iii)Sy1s & Sy2s	13.19	98.22	0.70	$1.58 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$log(L_{FIR})$ vs $log(L_{CO})$				
i) Sy1s	9.58	84.49	0.60	0.54
ii) Sy2s	5.82	98.99	0.79	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$
iii)Sy1s & Sy2s	15.99	99.44	0.71	$6.08 \cdot 10^{-5}$

Table 3. Correlations

^aThe linear correlation coefficient: $r \equiv \frac{N \sum x_i y_i - \sum x_i \sum y_i}{[N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2]^{1/2} [N \sum y_i^2 - (\sum y_i)^2]^{1/2}]}$, where r ranges from 0, when there is no correlation to 1 when there is complete correlation.

^bNull probability of the correlation (in percentage), indicates the probability that the observed data could have come from an uncorrelated parent population. A small value of the probability implies that the observed variables are probably correlated.

^cDynamical mass calculated assuming a rotating disk R, equal to the telescope beam FWHM at each source.