

Complex Structure in Class 0 Protostellar Envelopes III: Velocity Gradients in Non-Axisymmetric Envelopes, Infall or Rotation?¹

John J. Tobin^{2,3}, Lee Hartmann², Edwin Bergin², Hsin-Fang Chiang⁴, Leslie W. Looney⁴, Claire J. Chandler⁵, Sébastien Maret⁶, Fabian Heitsch⁷

ABSTRACT

We present an interferometric kinematic study of morphologically complex protostellar envelopes based on observations of the dense gas tracers N_2H^+ and NH_3 . The strong asymmetric nature of most envelopes in our sample leads us to question the common interpretation of velocity gradients as rotation, given the possibility of projection effects in the observed velocities. Several “idealized” sources with well-ordered velocity fields and envelope structures are now analyzed in more detail. We compare the interferometric data to position-velocity diagrams of kinematic models for spherical rotating collapse and filamentary rotating collapse. For this purpose, we developed a filamentary parametrization of the rotating collapse model to explore the effects of geometric projection on the observed velocity structures. We find that most envelopes in our sample have PV structures that can be reproduced by an infalling filamentary envelope projected at different angles within the plane of the sky. The infalling filament produces velocity shifts across the envelope that can mimic rotation, especially when viewed at single-dish resolutions and the axisymmetric rotating collapse model does not uniquely describe any dataset. Furthermore, if the velocities are assumed to reflect rotation, then the inferred centrifugal radii are quite large in most cases, indicating significant fragmentation potential or more likely another component to the line-center velocity. We conclude that ordered velocity gradients cannot be interpreted as rotation alone when envelopes are non-axisymmetric and that projected infall velocities likely dominate the velocity field on scales larger than 1000 AU.

¹Based on observations carried out with the IRAM Plateau de Bure Interferometer, Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA), and the NRAO Very Large Array.

²Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

³Current Address: Hubble Fellow, National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA 22903; jto-bin@nrao.edu

⁴Department of Astronomy, University of Illinois at Champaign/Urbana, Urbana, IL 61801

⁵National Radio Astronomy Observatory, P.O. Box O, Socorro, NM 87801

⁶UJF-Grenoble 1 / CNRS-INSU, Institut de Planétologie et d’Astrophysique de Grenoble (IPAG) UMR 5274, Grenoble, F-38041, France

⁷Department of Astronomy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC

1. Introduction

The angular momentum of protostellar cores and envelopes plays an important role in star formation. To the extent that the rotation of the protostellar cloud is non-negligible, a significant fraction of the star’s final mass must be accreted through a circumstellar disk (Zhu et al. 2010; Kratter et al. 2010). In addition, collapse with large amounts of angular momenta can result in gravitational fragmentation into companion stars (Burkert & Bodenheimer 1993; Boss 1995, and references therein). Finally, differing initial angular momenta of clouds are likely to produce a distribution of binary separations and differing initial disk configurations, with implications for planet formation. Thus, the importance of angular momentum in star and planet formation process illustrates the need to characterize its magnitude in collapsing protostellar cores.

Observational attempts have been made to characterize the rotation of dense cores and protostellar envelopes on scales of 0.05 – 0.5 pc, principally using the dense gas tracers NH_3 and N_2H^+ (Goodman et al. 1993; Caselli et al. 2002). Velocity gradients are clearly detected in most objects and observations with increasing spatial resolution tend to find larger velocity gradients on the same spatial scales due to less smoothing of the velocity field. On smaller scales, Volgenau et al. (2006) and Chen et al. (2007) examined the kinematic structures of protostellar envelopes down to ~ 1000 AU scales, only finding clear evidence of rotation in a few cases. The detected velocity gradients are often interpreted under the assumption of solid-body rotation, even in protostellar systems; this enables simple calculations of angular momentum (Goodman et al. 1993; Chen et al. 2007; Chiang et al. 2010; Tanner & Arce 2011). Several studies have also found the possible signatures of rotation on sub-1000 AU scales from high-velocity molecular line wings in interferometric data (Brinch et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2009).

In addition to rotation, the velocity fields of protostellar envelopes will necessarily include infall motions. Initial models of collapsing protostellar envelopes assumed spherical geometry (Larson 1969; Shu 1977); later models included angular momentum, which leads to flattening of the envelope on small scales near the forming disk (Cassen & Moosman 1981; Terebey et al. 1984). Infall is typically probed via single-dish spectra, and the blue-shifted asymmetry of an optically thick line profile (e.g. HCO^+ , HCN , and CS) is the classic signature of collapse (Walker et al. 1986; Zhou 1992; Zhou et al. 1993). The inside-out collapse model (Shu 1977) is typically adopted to describe the velocity field and coupled with radiative transfer modeling to derive infall rates and infall radii (Zhou et al. 1993; Ward-Thompson & Buckley 2001; Narayanan et al. 2002). The derived infall radii are often on the order of ~ 5000 AU, indicating that material is likely falling-in from relatively large radii. Inside the infall radius, the envelope is expected to exhibit a differential rotation curve, owing to the conservation of angular momentum, the outer core is often assumed to have solid-body rotation (Terebey et al. 1984). However, Ward-Thompson & Buckley (2001) noted that one of their models required inward motions of the envelope out to its boundary, indicating that inside-out collapse may not be realistic and an “outside-in” collapse may be more appropriate in some cases (e.g. Foster & Chevalier 1993).

Contrary to the assumptions of early models, simulations of star formation in turbulent clouds indicate that infall to protostars is generally complex, non-axisymmetric, and often filamentary (e.g. Bate et al. 2003; Smith et al. 2011). Indeed, observations at higher spatial resolution show that most pre and protostellar cores are elongated (Benson & Myers 1989; Myers et al. 1991; Bacmann et al. 2000; Stutz et al. 2009) and more likely to be prolate (Ryden 1996; Myers 2005). In the first paper of this series (Tobin et al. 2010), hereafter Paper I, we used *Spitzer* IRAC images of a set of Class 0 protostars to characterize dense envelope structures in extinction at $8\mu\text{m}$; the results showed that many systems have very flattened, filamentary, and often strongly non-axisymmetric density structures.

The recognition of the complexity and non-axisymmetry of many protostellar envelopes poses substantial problems for any attempt to infer angular momenta and the interpretation of line profiles under the assumption of spherical collapse. Kinematic observations necessarily refer to integrations along the line of sight of structures with uncertain geometry, and because only one of the three velocity components is detected, there is substantial ambiguity in interpreting the observations as rotation or infall (e.g. Dib et al. 2010, Smith et al. 2012 submitted). More specifically, infall (or outflow) could masquerade as rotation, depending upon the geometry and viewing aspect of the envelopes.

In the second paper of this series Tobin et al. (2011), hereafter Paper II, we presented observations of the Class 0 envelopes of Paper I in the dense molecular tracers N_2H^+ and NH_3 . Using moment maps, we showed that the kinematics of these envelopes, like their density structures, were often complex and difficult to interpret. The interferometric data presented in Tobin et al. (2011) had a higher frequency of envelopes with velocity gradients that *could* be interpreted as rotation, as compared to Volgenau et al. (2006) and Chen et al. (2007); however, we were not convinced that our data were clearly tracing a rotation signature, even in ideal cases.

In this paper, we proceed to a more detailed analysis of the kinematics for a subset of the sample from Papers I & II where the velocity fields appear to be rather well-ordered. Mindful of the interpretation difficulties in complex geometry, we develop a simple kinematic model for the collapse of a filament to compare with the observations. While significant ambiguities remain, we find evidence for rotation in some systems on small (< 1000 AU) scales, but infer that infall motions are likely to dominate the radial velocity structure on scales > 1000 AU. Our findings emphasize the importance of following the kinematics to the smallest scales to determine the angular momenta of infalling envelopes, and the need for further, more realistic simulations of the large-scale velocity fields in star-forming regions for comparison with observations.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly describes the models of filament and axisymmetric collapse, Section 3 describes the comparison of the models to the observed channel maps and PV diagrams, and Section 4 discusses our results. The observational details were described in Paper II and are omitted here for brevity. We will present data for five sources, listed in Table 1, four of which observed the N_2H^+ molecule (one with the Plateau de Bure Interferometer and three

with CARMA) and one source was observed in NH_3 with the Very Large Array¹.

2. Kinematic Models

Most previous work on the velocity structure of protostellar envelopes assume spherical or axisymmetry in the interpretation of kinematic data. However, in the absence of symmetry, the physical interpretation of velocity gradients is now non-trivial. The difficulties of interpreting velocity fields of protostellar envelopes seen in projection are schematically illustrated in Figure 1. For simplicity we plot only the limiting radial velocities expected from the emitting envelope. In the case of spherical symmetry (or axisymmetry in the appropriate plane), pure uniform rotation (solid-body) directly yields a simple linear velocity gradient across the envelope; the velocity spread is due to the superposition of velocity components at intermediate scales and disappears toward the envelope edge. Pure radial infall yields no systematic gradient across the envelope, but an increase in the velocity dispersion near the central gravitating mass. In general we expect both types of motions to be present, resulting in the skewed velocity caustics shown in the upper right. Note that a Keplerian rotation curve is distinct from that of solid-body rotation and appears exactly like the radial infall curve.

Proceeding to a filamentary envelope, the simplest of non-axisymmetric structures, immediately introduces a fundamental ambiguity, as both pure solid-body rotation *and* pure infall can yield similar velocity gradients from one side of the envelope to the other (bottom two panels). Including both types of motion makes the situation even more uncertain. As the observations clearly indicate non-axisymmetric or filamentary envelopes are common (Paper I), this poses a serious problem for interpretation.

In principle, the emission in position-velocity (PV) diagrams, such as indicated schematically here, can provide some indication of true filamentary or non-axisymmetric structure. For instance, axisymmetric envelopes (especially those infalling) will tend to have larger velocity dispersions at a given position because material along the line of sight will have differing velocity vectors than in a highly linear structure. In addition, material falling-in close to the protostar will tend to yield both red- and blue-shifted emission at each position, whereas a filament will exhibit more of an abrupt change from red to blue across the position of the protostar (bottom right panels of Figure 1).

¹The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

2.1. Analytic Model of Filamentary and Axisymmetric Collapse

Given the complications introduced to the kinematics by non-axisymmetric systems, we make an initial step toward interpreting the observations by developing a simple kinematic model for comparison with position-velocity diagrams. We have modified the rotating collapse model (Ulrich 1976; Cassen & Moosman 1981, hereafter CMU model) which has been extensively used in studies of protostellar envelopes. The infalling gas in the CMU model is assumed to fall in from an initial spherical cloud rotating with constant angular velocity. With this assumption, the streamlines of the infalling gas do not intersect, and thus the (supersonic) motion can be considered in the limit of ballistic trajectories around a central gravitating mass. (This neglects the self-gravity of the envelope; but Terebey et al. (1984) showed that the CMU model could be taken as the inner region of a more general model of self-gravitating spherical cloud collapse.)

By neglecting the self-gravity of the infalling gas, we can adopt the axisymmetric velocity field of the CMU solution. We create a filament by modifying the density distribution such that all the infalling gas is confined within specified streamlines spanning $\Delta\phi=30^\circ$ in azimuth on opposite sides of the envelope (mirror symmetry). This filamentary geometry enables us to project the density distribution of the envelope to different orientations in the sky in order to examine the effects of projection on the kinematic structure. The height of the envelope in the z-direction is taken to be comparable to the thickness of the filament as viewed from top-down, but does not play a crucial role in the observed kinematic structure.

The streamlines comprising the filament correspond to limits in velocity space for emission at a given position, producing curves which can be compared with observed position-velocity diagrams, a similar concept to that of using “caustics” to analyze position-redshift diagrams of galaxy clusters (e.g. Regos & Geller 1989). The velocity components of the infalling, rotating gas are given by (Ulrich 1976)

$$v_r = - \left(\frac{GM}{r} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta_0} \right)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

$$v_\phi = \left(\frac{GM}{r} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta_0} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\sin \theta_0}{\sin \theta} \right) \quad (2)$$

$$v_\theta = \left(\frac{GM}{r} \right)^{1/2} (\cos \theta_0 - \cos \theta) \left(\frac{\cos \theta_0 + \cos \theta}{\cos \theta_0 \sin^2 \theta} \right)^{1/2},^* \quad (3)$$

describing parabolic motion around a central point mass. The angle θ_0 is the angle between the orbital plane and the rotation axis, while θ is the angle from the rotation axis to the particle. For

*Ulrich (1976) and Chevalier (1983) both have $\sin \theta$ in the denominator, rather than $\sin^2 \theta$ as written in Terebey et al. (1984) and Hartmann (2009). The correct term is $\sin^2 \theta$; this can be verified by ensuring that the squares of the three velocity components add to $2GM/R$.

simplicity, the velocities are only considered for motion nearly in the equatorial plane ($\theta_0 \geq 89^\circ$), making $v_\theta \sim 0$. Note that the term $\cos \theta / \cos \theta_0$, appearing in both v_r and v_ϕ will go to zero as $\theta_0 \rightarrow \theta$. Furthermore, most envelopes in this study are rather filamentary and we will be comparing with PV diagrams taken from equatorial regions, making v_θ contributions negligible. A fiducial central object mass of $0.5 M_\odot$ is assumed in these models, intended to be a typical protostellar mass in the absence of any real constraints. However, the envelope masses within 10,000 AU are $\sim 1 M_\odot$ for the objects that will be considered in this work (Table 1); this is not negligible with respect to the assumed central mass and we will discuss its possible effects on the kinematics in Section 4.

Other than mass, the only free parameter of the CMU velocity field is the initial angular velocity of the material falling in at the current time. Material falling in from an initial direction given by the angle θ_0 from the rotation axis lands on the (flat) disk at a radius r , given by

$$\frac{r}{R_C} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta_0}{1 - \cos \theta / \cos \theta_0} \quad (4)$$

where R_C is the centrifugal radius,

$$R_C = \frac{R_0^4 \Omega^2}{GM}. \quad (5)$$

R_0 is the radius at which the material fell-in from with angular velocity Ω . The $\cos \theta / \cos \theta_0$ terms in Equations 1-3 can then be rewritten in terms of r/R_C , relating the CMU rotation velocity (v_ϕ) to the initial angular velocity (Ω) of the infalling shell.

To calculate the velocities that would be observed in a PV plot across the equatorial plane, the velocities in the r , ϕ , and θ directions must be projected along a line of sight. For simplicity, the line of sight is defined to be the y -axis at $z = 0$, which makes

$$v_{los} = v_r(\sin i \sin \Phi_0) + v_\theta(\cos i \sin \Phi_0) + v_\phi(\cos \Phi_0) \quad (6)$$

where Φ_0 is projection angle of the envelope within the line of sight, measured counterclockwise from the x -axis. Φ_0 is unrelated to ϕ which is the azimuthal angle of infalling material and Φ_0 only affects the observed velocity distribution in a non-axisymmetric system. The angle i is the inclination of the rotation axis of the system with respect to the plane of the sky; this adds an additional projection term to the observed velocity distribution. However, we only consider velocities calculated at inclination of 90° , meaning that the rotation axis of the model would be in the plane of the sky. This simplification is made to limit free parameters and because most of the observed systems in Paper II have inclinations of $i \geq 60^\circ$, making this projection effect minimal.

We neglect radiative transfer and chemistry for this initial exploration; this is reasonable because we will compare the models to optically thin molecular line kinematics that trace cold ($T \sim 10\text{K}$) molecular gas at the densities expected for protostellar envelopes ($n > 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, i.e. NH_3 and N_2H^+). These molecules are present with detectable emission from large-scales ($\sim 0.1 \text{ pc}$) down to radii as small as $\sim 1000 \text{ AU}$ (Caselli et al. 2002; Benson & Myers 1989; Lee et al. 2004,

Paper II); however, the abundances of these molecules are not constant with radius. Our models use the simplest possible non-axisymmetric envelope structure to describe the high density material and optically thin emission line kinematics: an $m = 2$ single filament. The use of optically thick lines to probe the envelope kinematics would need to consider lower density surrounding material that could contain even higher-order structure resulting in more complex line profiles. Comparison to the numerical models of Smith et al. (2011) with radiative transfer will be the subject of a future paper.

2.2. Predicted Kinematic Structure

The left panel of Figure 2 shows the infall streamlines on large scales for the axisymmetric case, and the middle panels show a zoom-in on the inner 1000 AU. The infall streamlines are computed every 15 degrees in the ϕ direction to sample the entire envelope. The projected velocities versus x-axis position are used to construct simple PV plots from the individual envelope streamlines, shown in the right panel of Figure 2. Note that we have assumed that the envelope is infalling from 10,000 AU, with no static outer core. However, we know that there is substantial mass on larger scales for all these objects measured via $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction, continuing to increase from 0.05 pc to 0.15 pc in radius (Paper I). The masses compared with the observed linewidths indicate that the envelopes are gravitationally bound (Paper II); therefore, we expect that material from larger scales will be falling in, but under the influence of greater enclosed mass. Thus, the large-scale velocities from the CMU velocity field are lower limits.

To approximate a filamentary envelope in the same manner as the axisymmetric envelope, we only calculate three streamlines on either side of the protostar, spanning $\Delta\phi=30^\circ$ in azimuth at scales larger than R_C . The geometry of the infall streamlines is shown in the top left and middle panels of Figure 3. The PV plots are then constructed in the same manner as the axisymmetric case and shown in the right panels of Figure 3. We have assumed that matter is infalling from 10,000 AU, the same as the axisymmetric case. Notice that the region of velocity space enclosed by the filament model is smaller than the axisymmetric case; the velocity streamlines plotted in the filament model represent a subset of those plotted for the axisymmetric model. Then the effects of viewing the filamentary envelope at $\Phi_0 = \pm 30^\circ$ projection angles are shown the middle and bottom rows of Figure 3. Φ_0 specifically refers to the projection angle of the middle streamline on either side of the envelope in Figure 3. Variations of Φ_0 in non-axisymmetric systems can clearly introduce apparent velocity shifts and gradients that are not present in axisymmetric geometries.

Substantially different velocity structures are evident in the filamentary PV plots, especially when viewed with different projection angles within the plane of the sky, as compared to axisymmetric collapse in Figure 2. Notably the velocity shift across the filamentary envelopes on scales > 1000 AU is *not* due to rotation velocity; rather it is due to the infall velocity being projected along the line of sight. *The schematic plots of envelope kinematics in Figure 1 and the PV plots derived from the CMU model for axisymmetric and filamentary envelopes can be used as benchmarks*

to compare with our observational data. This will potentially enable us to distinguish between axisymmetric and non-axisymmetric infalling envelopes, as well as velocity gradients that are due to rotation or projected infall.

3. Observational and Model Results

We have selected five protostellar systems from Paper II to compare with the kinematic models, all having relatively uncomplicated morphological structure and/or ordered kinematic structure. These features are important because the interpretation of the velocity structures is complicated by the often complex envelope structures (Papers I & II). We examine channel maps and position-velocity (PV) diagrams for each protostellar system to better understand the dynamical processes behind the observed kinematic structure. We compare the models and data using PV plots of the simplistic axisymmetric or filamentary collapse models described in the previous section. The final model parameters are listed in Table 2. These models do not provide unique fits, given the geometric uncertainties, but they illustrate the basic features and issues involved in determining the processes giving rise to envelope kinematics. Also note that we have not combined zero-spacing data with our interferometric data resulting in the large-scale emission being filtered out and possibly influencing our kinematic data. However, we show in Paper II that the velocity fields observed in the single-dish and interferometric data are consistent at large-scales, indicating that the spatial filtering by the interferometer is not biasing our kinematic results.

3.1. L1157

L1157 is located in Cepheus at a distance of ~ 300 pc and is an example of a rather well-ordered, highly flattened envelope. The flattened envelope is observed in $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction, as well as N_2H^+ and NH_3 emission. The N_2H^+ integrated intensity map is shown in the upper left panel of Figure 4. The envelope mass was found to be at least $\sim 0.86 M_\odot$ from $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction (Paper I) and possibly an additional $\sim 0.7 M_\odot$ inferred from the N_2H^+ emission (Paper II). We have further shown in Paper I that the flattening reflects a filamentary structure in three-dimensions rather than a sheet extended within the plane of the sky. The scale heights of the short envelope axis were ~ 1600 AU across all radii (except the inner $10''$), consistent with a hydrostatic filament; a sheet would be a factor of three more extended in the vertical direction. Thus, L1157 may be well-described by an axisymmetric filament, one of the ideal cases shown in Figure 1.

The channel maps in Figure 4 show several kinematic features in this system. In the top and bottom rows, there is higher-velocity N_2H^+ emission north and south of the protostar extended along the outflow. This emission was attributed to outflow interactions in Paper II. In the middle panels, there are emission peaks from the inner envelope on $\pm 5''$ scales present in all the channels. However, on scales $> 7''$ we see that the east side of the envelope comes into view at blue-shifted

velocities and the west side at red-shifted velocities. This traces a clear large-scale velocity gradient that may be attributed to rotation or projected infall. Note that the extension of N_2H^+ emission southeast of the protostar traces emission from the outflow cavity wall and also appears to reflect outflow entrainment.

The PV plot in Figure 5 also shows high-velocity emission due to the outflow-envelope interaction extending toward red-shifted velocities on both sides of the envelope, coincident with the N_2H^+ emission peaks. Thus, any indication of high-velocity emission due to rotation or infall at small-scales is masked by the outflow effects. However; Gueth et al. (1997) appears to have detected a smaller-scale velocity gradient in C^{18}O emission On scales $>7''$ in Figure 5, the envelope velocities are nearly constant with a systematic $\sim 0.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ velocity shift between the east and west sides of the envelope, as seen in the channel maps. The larger-scale features, with their nearly constant velocity, are quite similar to the filament model shown in the bottom right panel of Figure 3. At the same time, the velocity structure is dissimilar to uniform rotation and the axisymmetric infall model (c.f. Chiang et al. 2010). A filament model has been overlaid on the data in the PV plot in Figure 5, showing that the fit is reasonable, except in the inner envelope where the kinematic structure is not accurately probed. The model is projected within the plane of the sky by $\Phi_0 = 15^\circ$ and has a centrifugal radius of 100 AU (Table 2).

3.2. L1165

L1165 is also located in the Cepheus region and the protostar is forming within a larger-scale filamentary structure (Paper I). The N_2H^+ emission on small scales, shown in the upper left panel of Figure 6, traces a structure extended normal to the outflow, peaking just southeast of the protostar. A lower limit on the envelope mass is measured to be $\sim 1.1 M_\odot$ from $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction in Paper I, with perhaps at least an additional $\sim 0.4 M_\odot$ inferred from N_2H^+ emission in Paper II. The N_2H^+ channel maps for L1165 are shown in Figure 6, indicating a clear velocity gradient across the protostar (normal to the outflow direction). The velocity on the northwest side of the protostar is blue-shifted and nearly constant. The emission then becomes red-shifted on the southeast side of the envelope, with the highest velocity emission being red-shifted, near the protostar. There is evidence of some higher-velocity blue-shifted emission adjacent to the protostar on the northwest side in the channel maps, but it is not as definitive as the red-shifted emission.

The PV diagram in Figure 7 shows that the N_2H^+ emission northwest of the protostar has a very narrow linewidth and a roughly constant velocity; the broadest linewidth is southeast of the protostar, near the N_2H^+ peak. Southeast of the peak linewidth, the line rapidly becomes narrow again, while becoming more blue-shifted. The channel maps show that the N_2H^+ kinematics are unlikely to be outflow related, due to their location orthogonal to the outflow. The PV structure in Figure 7 is most similar to the filamentary infall model; its velocities are overlaid in Figure 7. The model is able to approximate the observed velocity structure, though there are discrepancies; for L1165 the model is projected by $\Phi_0=15^\circ$ within the plane of the sky and has a centrifugal radius

of 10 AU (Table 2). The small centrifugal radius of the model implies that the data are consistent with little rotation on scales probed by N_2H^+ .

As Figures 6 and 7 show, N_2H^+ is only able to trace emission to within $R \sim 1200$ AU of the protostar. A special feature found in L1165 is that HCO^+ ($J = 1 \rightarrow 0$) emission is able to trace small-scale kinematics with higher velocity in the inner envelope, shown in Figure 8. The red and blue-shifted components are extended normal to the outflow at radii of $3''$ (600 AU) from the protostar. This emission lies inside the broadest N_2H^+ emission, suggesting that it traces smaller-scale kinematic structure, in agreement with the small-scale model velocities which are overlaid in Figure 8. Furthermore, location of the blue and red-shifted high-velocity emission on opposing sides of the envelope with opposite velocities, along with the small velocity width a large-scale in the N_2H^+ emission, is consistent with what is expected for a filamentary envelope (Figure 3). A similar high-velocity HCO^+ feature was found in RNO43 (Paper II).

3.3. CB230

CB230 was classified as a “one-sided” envelope in Paper I, given its strongly asymmetric distribution of $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction; this was reflected on large-scales in the single-dish N_2H^+ map shown in Paper II. We measure a lower limit on the envelope mass within 0.05 pc of $\sim 1.1 M_\odot$ in Paper I from $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction, but there is clearly mass on small-scales that we do not probe. The smaller-scale emission probed by NH_3 , shown in the upper left panel of Figure 9, is slightly asymmetric, but well-ordered as a whole. The mass inferred from the small-scale NH_3 emission in Paper II was $\sim 4.8 M_\odot$, but highly uncertain. There is also a depression in the NH_3 emission in the inner envelope, coincident with the protostar. This effect is attributed to destruction of NH_3 in the inner envelope (Paper II). The velocity structure of the NH_3 emission is shown by channel maps in Figure 9. Because the main NH_3 (1,1) lines are a pair separated by 0.2 km s^{-1} , the last three panels in the middle row are blends of blue and red-shifted emission, while the rest of the plots reflect mostly unblended emission. The channel maps show that there is a clear, well-ordered velocity gradient across the envelope from east to west, normal to the outflow.

The PV diagram in Figure 10, in contrast to the channel maps, is derived from NH_3 satellite emission lines that are separated by $\sim 0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This makes the emission appear more distinct than if the PV diagram were plotted using the main NH_3 lines. The PV plot and channel maps show that the emission on the east and west sides of the envelope has a rather constant velocity and there is an abrupt shift from blue to red-shifted emission starting at the location of the protostar.

While a measure of the small-scale velocities is missing, the emission on scales > 1000 AU is most consistent with the infalling filament, due to the constant velocity emission out to large-scales and the relatively narrow linewidth. The constant velocity emission is dissimilar from what would be expected for uniform rotation, which would have linearly increasing velocity out to large-scales. The filament model is overlaid on the data in Figure 10, having projected by $\Phi_0 = 20^\circ$ and has R_C

= 10 AU. The model does not exactly describe the data, but substantial mass in the inner envelope implied by the NH_3 data could make the velocity shift slightly larger on the scales probed.

3.4. IRAS 16253-2429

IRAS 16253-2429 is the most nearby object in our sample, located in Ophiuchus ($d \sim 125$ pc) and one of the most symmetric, spherical envelopes in $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction and N_2H^+ emission, plotted in Figure 11. The velocity gradient in this envelope is quite small, illustrated by the channel maps with the eastern part of the envelope coming into view just one channel before the rest. Figure 12 shows the PV diagram, the emission has a roughly constant linewidth and velocity across the envelope and only a slight velocity gradient is evident. Furthermore, the N_2H^+ emission is depressed toward the protostar, as compared to the surrounding emission. This effect appears to be a depletion/destruction process since the lines are not optically thick (Paper II).

This is the only envelope in our sample that can be reasonably described by an axisymmetric envelope model; however, it was necessary to lower the mass to $0.1 M_\odot$, such that the linewidth from infall was not too large. The lower mass is appropriate for this source given its low luminosity ($L_{\text{bol}} = 0.25 L_\odot$; the envelope does have at least $0.8 M_\odot$ of material within 10,000 AU, with increasing mass on yet larger scales, suggesting that the central object could be more massive. On the scales probed by the CARMA data ($R \sim 3125$ AU; $25''$) the lower-limit on the mass measured from the $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction data is just $0.2 M_\odot$. Between 3000 AU and 6000 AU scales, probed mainly by the single-dish data from Paper II, the linewidth from spherical infall with dominant envelope mass would be $\sim 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Thus, the data for this source appear to be consistent with spherical infall given the low-mass of the envelope; however, solid-body rotation and filamentary collapse cannot be ruled-out by these data. The lack of a significant velocity structure makes the kinematic models degenerate for this object.

3.5. HH211

HH211 was classified as an irregular envelope in Paper I; however, its structure in N_2H^+ appears filamentary, but not as regular as L1157 or CB230 (Figure 13). The peak N_2H^+ emission is just southwest of the protostar, coincident with the NH_3 peak (Tanner & Arce 2011). The mass of this envelope is found to be $\sim 1.1 M_\odot$ from $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction (Paper I) and $\sim 0.65 M_\odot$ in the inner envelope from N_2H^+ emission (Paper II). We also find a velocity gradient that is approximately normal to the outflow and changing. The PV plot in Figure 14 shows that to the northeast, the velocity gradient is smaller, while southwest it increases, where it blends with an apparent second velocity component Paper II and Tanner & Arce (2011).

On the whole, the data appear most consistent with solid-body rotation, but this is uncertain given apparent velocity gradient change and the irregularity of the envelope. The smaller gradient

to the northeast is consistent with the infalling filament models, which we overlay in Figure 14. The model is projected by $\Phi_0 = 15^\circ$ and has $R_C = 10$ AU. The apparent change of the velocity gradient could be due to complex projection effects or the surrounding environment. Even though there is substantial mass measured in this envelope with an asymmetric distribution, it is not plausible that it could generate such a large linear velocity gradient from infall alone in the context of these simple models. Furthermore, the linewidth of the envelope northeast of the protostar is substantially more narrow than the linewidth southwest of the protostar. At the N_2H^+ peak, the linewidth broadens substantially and could be related to the outflow as suggested in Tanner & Arce (2011), rather than inner envelope kinematics.

4. Discussion

The direction of the jet and outflow is thought to correspond to the rotation axis of the protostar and disk (e.g. Shu et al. 1987). Since circumstellar disks form in the inner envelope due to conservation of angular momentum, it has been natural to interpret large-scale velocity gradients which are normal to the outflow as rotation (e.g. Goodman et al. 1993; Caselli et al. 2002; Belloche et al. 2002; Belloche & André 2004; Chen et al. 2007, and Paper II). If velocity gradients probe rotation, they give a measure of the angular momentum in a collapsing envelope, enabling the centrifugal radius to be calculated, the radius where a rotationally supported disk will form. However, it was shown in Paper I that envelopes are often asymmetric and filamentary and in Paper II that the large-scale kinematics are often quite complex as compared to a linear velocity gradient. These factors, along with the examples shown in Figure 1, make it uncertain whether or not velocity gradients in these envelopes reflect rotation alone. The qualitative arguments shown in Figure 1 are reinforced by the simple models of axisymmetric and filamentary collapse shown in Figure 2 & 3.

One of the keys to disentangling the kinematics in protostellar envelopes is higher resolution data provided by interferometers. This is because rotation velocities are expected to become most important on small-scales due to conservation of angular momentum. Thus, even if the velocity structure on larger-scales probed by single-dish observations is not wholly due to rotation, we would naively expect rotation velocities to become more important on smaller scales. The interferometric PV diagrams and channel maps of these systems reveal small-scale kinematic detail invisible in single-dish data, but are also sensitive to emission on size scales between 1000 and 10000 AU. On this scale, differences between the various model PV diagrams shown in Figures 2 and 3 become apparent. The sources presented in this paper represent some of the most well-ordered systems kinematically and morphologically from Paper II. However, in spite of this, there are still difficulties in disentangling rotation versus infall in the velocity fields and PV diagrams which must be recognized when using data of this kind to test theories of star formation.

4.1. Velocity Gradients as Rotation

It was shown in Paper II that the envelopes often have substantial velocity gradients ($\sim 2.3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$) out to 10000 AU and beyond, measured by single-dish mapping. The majority of the envelopes in the sample also had velocity gradients that were within 45° of normal to the outflow direction, reinforcing an interpretation as rotation. Previous work has often made the assumption that the envelope velocity profile reflects solid-body rotation, even at high-resolution (e.g. Chen et al. 2007). The protostars L1165, CB230, and L1157 are inconsistent with solid-body rotation with their constant velocities out to large-scales. Therefore, if the line-center velocities reflect rotation, then it must be a differential rotation curve with non-constant angular momentum; constant angular momentum would yield $v \propto R^{-1}$. HH211 specifically could be consistent with solid-body rotation and IRAS 16253-2429 could also be represented by solid-body rotation but not uniquely. If the characteristic large-scale velocity gradient for HH211 from Paper II ($6.9 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-1}$) is interpreted as rotation, the inferred centrifugal radii of this material is ~ 2800 AU by applying Equation 5. This assumes that material from 10000 AU fell-in to R_C , with a $0.5 M_\odot$ central object. However, this calculation assumes a constant angular velocity for the entire envelope.

With the interferometer and single-dish data from Paper II, we can measure the line-center velocity from the inner to outer envelope at many positions and calculate the centrifugal radius of material throughout the envelope. We have calculated R_C versus radius from the observed line-center velocities, assuming a central object mass of $0.5 M_\odot$ and that the observed velocities reflect rotation. The results in Figure 15 show that inside of ~ 5000 AU the centrifugal radii are below 1000 AU. However, on scales greater than 5000 AU, the centrifugal radii are in excess of 1000 AU, except for IRAS 16253-2429. HH211 notably has an unrealistic $R_C > 10000$ AU at $R \sim 6500$ AU. All these centrifugal radii are substantially larger than the characteristic sizes of circumstellar disks (~ 250 AU (Andrews & Williams 2007; Andrews et al. 2009)). We note that R_C could be smaller, if the central object masses are larger than assumed. Moreover, if much of the material observed on 10000 AU scales does become incorporated into the protostar, then the implied centrifugal radii will be smaller by about a factor of 3 at $R = 10000$ AU since the masses at $R < 10000$ AU $\sim 1 M_\odot$; however, this does not fully alleviate the problem since corrections will be less at smaller radii.

Rotation of the measured magnitude is expected to cause fragmentation during collapse on large, ~ 1000 AU, scales (Rafikov 2005, 2007; Kratter et al. 2010, Zhu et al. 2011). Of the sources shown, only CB230 is a wide binary with a separation of ~ 3000 AU. However, the gas kinematics around CB230 do not indicate rotationally supported motion on this scale, suggesting that the companion did not form via rotational fragmentation. The large centrifugal radii inferred for four of five sources, especially HH211, may indicate that another dynamical process is contributing to the observed line-center velocities. If the observed velocities are indeed rotation, then to prevent fragmentation, the outer envelopes must be removed before they fall-in to the centrifugal radius. Outflows are one way to entrain and evacuate ambient material (Arce & Sargent 2006); however, it seems unlikely that this mechanism can efficiently work for highly filamentary envelopes that are extended in the direction normal to the outflow. The physical implications of the observed

velocities reflecting only rotation seem to suggest that there are additional contributions to the velocity field from dynamical processes other than rotation.

4.2. Velocity Gradients from Projected Infall

Since all these systems appear to be gravitationally bound (Paper II), the most likely process that could contribute to the line-center velocity field away from the region of outflow influence is infall. Figure 1 shows that if an envelope is not axisymmetric, then infall could manifest itself in the observed velocity field across the envelope and a velocity gradient normal to the outflow does not necessarily imply rotation, also see Figure 3. The models demonstrate that the radial motion of a globally infalling filamentary envelope can produce detectable velocity shifts on either side of the envelope even with only $\Phi_0 = \pm 15^\circ$ projection angle within the plane of the sky. The velocity shift remains relatively constant on the same scales that we see in our observations. Moreover, if the envelope is only marginally resolved (as in the single-dish observations), such a velocity shift would likely present itself as a linear gradient in lower-resolution single-dish data.

CB230, L1157, and L1165 all have velocities that are approximately constant between $R \sim 1500$ and 10000 AU in the PV plots (Figures 5, 7, & 10; Table 2). The PV structures agree with the predicted kinematic structure for an infalling filament and are inconsistent with what would be expected from axisymmetric collapse or solid-body rotation. The resemblance of the PV plots to the predictions of the infalling filament model, as well as the filamentary morphology of the envelopes, leads us to suggest that the principal dynamic process producing the velocity gradients is large-scale infall and not rotation. However, IRAS 16253-2429 could be described by any of the kinematic models and HH211 appears most similar to rapid solid-body rotation but the non-constant gradient and overly large centrifugal radii may indicate that something more complex is taking place.

The results from the analysis of these data lead us to conclude that many of the large-scale velocity gradients observed in Paper II and other studies most likely arise primarily from infall velocities being projected along our line of sight, with rotation being a subordinate contribution to the velocity field. Furthermore, we suggest that even systems which appear to have linear velocity gradients on large-scales probably do not reflect rotation, given the results for HH211. The linear velocity gradients probably arise from complex projection effects and the formation of cores in a turbulent medium.

Evidence for large-scale infall has been previously seen in the star-less core L1544 (Tafalla et al. 1998) and possibly NGC 1333 IRAS 4B (Di Francesco et al. 2001; Jørgensen et al. 2007). Moreover, radiative transfer modeling of the blue-asymmetric line profiles of optically thick tracers indicates that infall radii are often of order 5000 AU (Walker et al. 1986; Zhou et al. 1993; Ward-Thompson & Buckley 2001; Narayanan et al. 2002). Furthermore, starless cores forming in the filamentary dark cloud L1517 appear to have material flowing toward the dense cores Hacar & Tafalla (2011)

as viewed in the line-center velocities of the filaments. The large-scale flow of material towards the star-less cores embedded within filaments is consistent with our picture of the envelopes infalling from large-scales in these protostellar systems. We may be seeing the later evolution of this process in our sample, while Hacar & Tafalla (2011) is seeing the beginning.

The possibility of projected infall makes the true rotation velocities difficult to disentangle in protostellar envelopes with complex morphological structure, limiting the ability to definitively measure angular momentum at large-scales. Rotation must be measured at smaller-scales, where the rotation velocity will be larger due to conservation of angular momentum during collapse.

4.3. Effects of Extended Mass

The CMU infall model assumes a dominant central mass in the calculation of the velocity field. However, there is substantial mass on scales larger than ~ 1000 AU in all sources that could certainly affect the kinematics observed. We can simplistically characterize the effects of extended mass by employing Gauss’s law for gravity, in which any mass interior to it will appear as a point mass and the free-fall velocity is

$$v_{ff} = \left(\frac{2GM_{enc}}{R} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

Since $M \propto \rho(R)R^3$, we can rewrite the free-fall velocity with the following proportionality

$$v_{ff} \propto \rho(R)^{1/2}R. \quad (8)$$

Thus, an object with a spherically averaged density profile of $\rho(R) \propto R^{-2}$ will have $v_{ff} \sim \text{constant}$ out to large-scales. Most of the objects examined in this paper have approximately constant velocities on large-scales, strongly suggesting that they are infalling and extended mass is influencing their kinematics. Even with significant extended mass, the predictions of the filament model remain valid; extended mass simply increases the projected infall velocities on large-scales, such that they do not approach zero as quickly. In the axisymmetric case, the extended mass would make the infall velocities remain quite broad on large scales, inconsistent with the observations. Lastly, projected infall could mimic the signature of solid-body rotation if the density were constant with increasing radius; however, on the scales of the protostellar envelopes (~ 0.1 pc) there does not appear to be a region of constant density in the molecular line emission or $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction. Nevertheless, this effect could be evident in larger-scale molecular clouds such as those observed by Arquilla & Goldsmith (1986).

4.4. Linewidth Constraints on Infall and Morphology

Evidence for large-scale infall is also found in the linewidths of the envelopes. The N_2H^+ and NH_3 linewidths in protostellar envelopes are often observed to be about a factor of two or

three larger than expected for purely thermal linewidths (0.13 km s^{-1} for N_2H^+ and 0.22 km s^{-1} for NH_3) for $T = 10 \text{ K}$ gas (e.g. Caselli et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2007, Paper II). Infall motions themselves on large-scales, in an axisymmetric envelope, would cause substantial line broadening ($\sim 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) due to the superposition of radially infalling material along the line of sight (Figure 2). The line broadening generated by the infalling motions of filamentary envelopes in Figure 3 is often just 0.2 km s^{-1} between radii of 2000 AU and 10000 AU, which is consistent with what is observed in the PV plots and in the linewidth fields presented in Paper II. Thus, large-scale infall of axisymmetric or non-axisymmetric protostellar envelopes could naturally give rise to the observed line broadening and it would not be necessary to invoke a turbulent velocity component to explain the observed linewidths.

4.5. Comparison with Simulations

Simulations of protostellar core/envelope formation within large-scale molecular clouds also support the idea that rotation is not being accurately probed by line-center velocity maps. (Burkert & Bodenheimer 2000) showed that for individual cores, the line-center velocity gradient cannot be assumed to probe the angular momentum for individual cores, only the distribution of angular momenta can be derived. More recently, Dib et al. (2010) found that angular momenta from cores estimated from the line-center velocity field versus 3D velocities are overestimated by a factor of ~ 10 . However, they only remark that the difference arises from the line-center method fitting a global linear gradient and the 3D angular momentum including contributions from “complex dynamical behavior,” which could be taken to mean turbulence and/or infall. Finally, Smith et al. (2011) investigated the collapse of filamentary cores in their global simulation, finding that there is infall from larger-scales toward the cores themselves. Furthermore, recent detailed analysis of the velocity fields show significant complexity with contributions from infall, turbulence, and some net rotation (Smith et al. 2011 in preparation). Realistic simulations of collapse have the promise to enable a better understanding of the net angular momentum in cores as they will enable statistical comparisons to observational data, but with the ability to differentiate rotation and infall.

5. Summary and Conclusions

We have presented an analysis of the dynamical processes giving rise to the observed kinematic structure in protostellar envelopes. This was done by comparing interferometric N_2H^+ and NH_3 channel maps and position-velocity diagrams to our qualitative expectations for envelope kinematics and the predicted kinematics of collapse models. We have constructed an analytic model that approximates filamentary infall, based on the rotating collapse model (Ulrich 1976; Cassen & Moosman 1981), enabling us to explore projection effects in a simple non-axisymmetric system. We have matched these models to the observations in order to determine the likelihood of rotation or infall in an axisymmetric or filamentary envelope producing the observed velocity structure.

The PV diagrams of the infalling axisymmetric and filamentary models are generally distinct from each other on scales between 1000 and 10000 AU. The models of filamentary collapse viewed at different projection angles show that infall motions along the long-axis of a protostellar envelope can produce systematic velocity shifts across the protostar without the need for significant rotation at large-scales. These velocity shifts when viewed at low resolution in single-dish measurements would give the appearance of an approximately linear velocity gradient.

The velocity structures of L1157, CB230 and L1165 are found to be inconsistent with uniform rotation and tend to have constant velocity outside the inner envelope. If the envelope velocities reflected rotation, then the centrifugal radii calculated on scales larger than 5000 AU would be > 1000 AU, larger than a typical circumstellar disk. The envelope of HH211 has a velocity structure consistent with solid-body rotation; however, the envelope is a complex filament and the implied centrifugal radii are unrealistically large. IRAS 16253-2429 has the most symmetric, spherical envelope in the sample and also has very little velocity structure, making all the kinematic models degenerate; however, if rotation is assumed the implied centrifugal radii are reasonable for this object.

Given that the kinematics of L1157, CB230 and L1165 seem to reflect projected infall and HH211 likely has some infall component to its velocities, we therefore suggest the following scenario. The protostellar envelopes we have observed are in a state of global collapse, and the projection of the infall velocities along the filamentary envelopes gives rise to the velocity distributions observed in the PV plots and moment maps in Paper II. This suggests that envelopes with greater degrees of morphological complexity should have similarly complex velocity fields resulting from projected infall velocities.

While there must certainly be net rotation in these envelopes, the degree to which it is solid-body is uncertain and global simulations indicate that the turbulent medium from which the cores formed would impart net angular momentum to the envelope. While we cannot unequivocally prove that our observations are probing infall rather than rotation, we have shown that it is a viable interpretation in asymmetric systems. Moreover, the possibility of infall velocity components in line-center velocity fields indicates that measurements of angular momentum on large-scales can be highly inaccurate. To more definitively measure angular momentum, one must look on small-scales where conservation of angular momentum may make the rotation velocities larger and more apparent.

We thank the anonymous referee for a thoughtful review, improving the clarity of the final manuscript. The authors also wish to thank A. Goodman, R. Smith, S. Offner, and M. Borkin for useful discussions. We wish to thank the CARMA observers for carrying out the observations. We thank V. Piétu for assistance with the PdBI data reduction. Support for CARMA construction was derived from the states of Illinois, California, and Maryland, the James S. McDonnell Foundation, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, the Kenneth T. and Eileen L. Norris Foundation, the University of Chicago, the Associates of the California Institute of Technology, and the National

Science Foundation. Ongoing CARMA development and operations are supported by the National Science Foundation under a cooperative agreement, and by the CARMA partner universities. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. IRAM is supported by INSU/CNRS (France), MPG (Germany) and IGN (Spain). J. J. Tobin acknowledges support from HST-GO-11548.04-A, the University of Michigan Rackham Dissertation Fellowship, *Spitzer* archival research program 50668, and Hubble Fellowship grant HF-51300.01-A awarded by the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA, under contract NAS 5-26555.

Facilities: CARMA, VLA, IRAM:Interferometer, Spitzer (IRAC)

REFERENCES

- Andrews, S. M., & Williams, J. P. 2007, *ApJ*, 659, 705
- Andrews, S. M., Wilner, D. J., Hughes, A. M., Qi, C., & Dullemond, C. P. 2009, *ApJ*, 700, 1502
- Arce, H. G., & Sargent, A. I. 2006, *ApJ*, 646, 1070
- Arquilla, R., & Goldsmith, P. F. 1986, *ApJ*, 303, 356
- Bacmann, A., André, P., Puget, J., Abergel, A., Bontemps, S., & Ward-Thompson, D. 2000, *A&A*, 361, 555
- Bate, M. R., Bonnell, I. A., & Bromm, V. 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 577
- Belloche, A., & André, P. 2004, *A&A*, 419, L35
- Belloche, A., André, P., Despois, D., & Blinder, S. 2002, *A&A*, 393, 927
- Benson, P. J., & Myers, P. C. 1989, *ApJS*, 71, 89
- Boss, A. P. 1995, in *Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conference Series, Vol. 1, Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conference Series*, ed. S. Lizano & J. M. Torrelles, 165–+
- Brinch, C., Crapsi, A., Jørgensen, J. K., Hogerheijde, M. R., & Hill, T. 2007, *A&A*, 475, 915
- Burkert, A., & Bodenheimer, P. 1993, *MNRAS*, 264, 798
- . 2000, *ApJ*, 543, 822
- Caselli, P., Benson, P. J., Myers, P. C., & Tafalla, M. 2002, *ApJ*, 572, 238
- Cassen, P., & Moosman, A. 1981, *Icarus*, 48, 353
- Chen, X., Launhardt, R., & Henning, T. 2007, *ApJ*, 669, 1058
- Chevalier, R. A. 1983, *ApJ*, 268, 753
- Chiang, H., Looney, L. W., Tobin, J. J., & Hartmann, L. 2010, *ApJ*, 709, 470
- Di Francesco, J., Myers, P. C., Wilner, D. J., Ohashi, N., & Mardones, D. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, 770
- Dib, S., Hennebelle, P., Pineda, J. E., Csengeri, T., Bontemps, S., Audit, E., & Goodman, A. A. 2010, *ApJ*, 723, 425

- Enoch, M. L., Evans, N. J., Sargent, A. I., & Glenn, J. 2009, *ApJ*, 692, 973
- Foster, P. N., & Chevalier, R. A. 1993, *ApJ*, 416, 303
- Froebrich, D. 2005, *ApJS*, 156, 169
- Goodman, A. A., Benson, P. J., Fuller, G. A., & Myers, P. C. 1993, *ApJ*, 406, 528
- Gueth, F., Guilloteau, S., Dutrey, A., & Bachiller, R. 1997, *A&A*, 323, 943
- Hacar, A., & Tafalla, M. 2011, *A&A*, 533, A34+
- Hartmann, L. 2009, *Accretion Processes in Star Formation: Second Edition*, ed. Hartmann, L. (Cambridge University Press)
- Hirota, T., Honma, M., Imai, H., Sunada, K., Ueno, Y., Kobayashi, H., & Kawaguchi, N. 2011, *PASJ*, 63, 1
- Jørgensen, J. K., Bourke, T. L., Myers, P. C., Di Francesco, J., van Dishoeck, E. F., Lee, C., Ohashi, N., Schöier, F. L., Takakuwa, S., Wilner, D. J., & Zhang, Q. 2007, *ApJ*, 659, 479
- Kauffmann, J., Bertoldi, F., Bourke, T. L., Evans, II, N. J., & Lee, C. W. 2008, *A&A*, 487, 993
- Kirk, J. M., Ward-Thompson, D., Di Francesco, J., Bourke, T. L., Evans, N. J., Merín, B., Allen, L. E., Cieza, L. A., Dunham, M. M., Harvey, P., Huard, T., Jørgensen, J. K., Miller, J. F., Noriega-Crespo, A., Peterson, D., Ray, T. P., & Rebull, L. M. 2009, *ApJS*, 185, 198
- Kratter, K. M., Matzner, C. D., Krumholz, M. R., & Klein, R. I. 2010, *ApJ*, 708, 1585
- Larson, R. B. 1969, *MNRAS*, 145, 271
- Lee, C., Hirano, N., Palau, A., Ho, P. T. P., Bourke, T. L., Zhang, Q., & Shang, H. 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 1584
- Lee, J., Bergin, E. A., & Evans, II, N. J. 2004, *ApJ*, 617, 360
- Loinard, L., Torres, R. M., Mioduszewski, A. J., & Rodríguez, L. F. 2008, *ApJ*, 675, L29
- Myers, P. C. 2005, *ApJ*, 623, 280
- Myers, P. C., Fuller, G. A., Goodman, A. A., & Benson, P. J. 1991, *ApJ*, 376, 561
- Narayanan, G., Moriarty-Schieven, G., Walker, C. K., & Butner, H. M. 2002, *ApJ*, 565, 319
- Rafikov, R. R. 2005, *ApJ*, 621, L69
- . 2007, *ApJ*, 662, 642
- Regos, E., & Geller, M. J. 1989, *AJ*, 98, 755
- Ryden, B. S. 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 822
- Shirley, Y. L., Evans, II, N. J., Rawlings, J. M. C., & Gregersen, E. M. 2000, *ApJS*, 131, 249
- Shu, F. H. 1977, *ApJ*, 214, 488
- Shu, F. H., Adams, F. C., & Lizano, S. 1987, *ARA&A*, 25, 23
- Smith, R. J., Glover, S. C. O., Bonnell, I. A., Clark, P. C., & Klessen, R. S. 2011, *MNRAS*, 411, 1354
- Smith, R. J., et al. 2012, submitted
- Stutz, A. M., Rieke, G. H., Bieging, J. H., Balog, Z., Heitsch, F., Kang, M., Peters, W. L., Shirley, Y. L., & Werner, M. W. 2009, *ApJ*, 707, 137

- Tafalla, M., Mardones, D., Myers, P. C., Caselli, P., Bachiller, R., & Benson, P. J. 1998, *ApJ*, 504, 900
- Tanner, J. D., & Arce, H. G. 2011, *ApJ*, 726, 40
- Terebey, S., Shu, F. H., & Cassen, P. 1984, *ApJ*, 286, 529
- Tobin, J. J., Hartmann, L., Chiang, H.-F., Looney, L. W., Bergin, E. A., Chandler, C. J., Masqué, J. M., Maret, S., & Heitsch, F. 2011, *ApJ*, 740, 45
- Tobin, J. J., Hartmann, L., Looney, L. W., & Chiang, H. 2010, *ApJ*, 712, 1010
- Ulrich, R. K. 1976, *ApJ*, 210, 377
- Visser, A. E., Richer, J. S., & Chandler, C. J. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 2756
- Volgenau, N. H., Mundy, L. G., Looney, L. W., & Welch, W. J. 2006, *ApJ*, 651, 301
- Walker, C. K., Lada, C. J., Young, E. T., Maloney, P. R., & Wilking, B. A. 1986, *ApJ*, 309, L47
- Ward-Thompson, D., & Buckley, H. D. 2001, *MNRAS*, 327, 955
- Young, K. E., Enoch, M. L., Evans, II, N. J., Glenn, J., Sargent, A., Huard, T. L., Aguirre, J., Golwala, S., Haig, D., Harvey, P., Laurent, G., Maukopf, P., & Sayers, J. 2006, *ApJ*, 644, 326
- Zhou, S. 1992, *ApJ*, 394, 204
- Zhou, S., Evans, II, N. J., Koempe, C., & Walmsley, C. M. 1993, *ApJ*, 404, 232
- Zhu, Z., Hartmann, L., & Gammie, C. 2010, *ApJ*, 713, 1143




Fig. 1.— These plots schematically show the velocity structure expected to be observed from the dynamical processes of rotation, infall, and their combination for particular envelope morphologies. The top row demonstrates spherical/axisymmetric envelopes and the bottom row demonstrates filamentary envelopes, with schematic position-velocity diagrams below each drawing. The plots illustrate that solid-body rotation in an axisymmetric envelope (*top left*) will show a linear velocity gradient and infall alone (*top center*) only increases linewidth. The convolution of these processes is shown in the *top right* panel. A filament also shows a linear velocity gradient for uniform rotation (*bottom left*); however, infall along a filament turned toward our line of sight would generate an approximately linear velocity structure in the absence of rotation (*bottom middle*). When these process are convolved in the *bottom right* panel, the two processes are difficult to separate.




Fig. 2.— Plots of infall streamlines and projected velocities for an axisymmetric envelope with $R_C=100$ AU. The *left panel* shows the streamlines out to 10000 AU while the *middle panel* zooms in on the inner 1000 AU. The circle at the center represents the edge of the circumstellar disk forming at R_C . The *right panel* shows the predicted PV structure for the axisymmetric envelope.




Fig. 3.— Plots of infall streamlines for a filamentary envelope with $R_C=100$ AU. From top to bottom, filamentary envelopes are shown with projection angles of $\Phi_0=0, -30,$ and 30° . The *left panel* shows the streamlines out to 10000 AU while the *middle panel* zooms in on the inner 1000 AU. The circle at the center represents the edge of the circumstellar disk forming at R_C . The *right panel* show the PV structure of the filamentary streamlines. The filamentary envelopes at a particular rotation angle can be thought of as showing a selected portion of the axisymmetric PV diagram.




Fig. 4.— L1157— Channel maps of the isolated N_2H^+ ($JF_1F = 101 \rightarrow 012$) line; the blue and red arrows mark the outflow direction and the cross marks the location of the protostar. The higher velocity data in the top and bottom rows mainly trace the likely outflow entrained material, while the middle two rows primarily reflect emission due to envelope kinematics. Note the velocity gradient of the large-scale material from 2.45 km s^{-1} to 3.075 km s^{-1} . The large-scale emission on the east and west sides of the envelope has a rather constant velocity. The extension of N_2H^+ emission to the south traces an outflow cavity wall whose kinematics also reflect some outflow entrainment. Contours in the channel maps start at $\pm 3\sigma$ increase by $\pm 3\sigma$ intervals, where $\sigma=0.08 \text{ K}$. The contours in the integrated intensity map are $\pm 6, 9, 15, 30, 60\sigma, \dots$, where $\sigma=0.02 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$.




Fig. 5.— L1157— The *left panel* shows the IRAC $8\mu\text{m}$ image with PdBI N_2H^+ integrated intensity contours overlaid of the isolated N_2H^+ line ($JF_1F = 101 \rightarrow 012$). The dashed lines mark the regions where the position-velocity cut was taken and point to respective ends of the PV plot in the *right panel*. The position of the protostar/continuum source is marked with a white cross. The position-velocity cut is shown for the isolated N_2H^+ line, showing that the two lumps of N_2H^+ emission are directly associated with regions of increased linewidth, likely due to outflow effects. Outside of this region, the linewidth becomes fairly narrow in the outer envelope with constant velocity. The green lines overlaid are an filamentary collapse model with $R_C=100$ AU and a projection of $\Phi_0 = 15^\circ$. The contours in the integrated intensity map are $\pm 6, 9, 15, 30, 60\sigma, \dots$, where $\sigma=0.02$ K km s $^{-1}$; the contours in the PV diagram are $\pm 3\sigma$ and in increments of 3σ where $\sigma=0.17$ K.




Fig. 6.— L1165— Channel maps of the isolated N_2H^+ ($JF_1F = 122 \rightarrow 011$) line; the blue and red arrows mark the outflow direction and the cross marks the location of the protostar. There is a rather well-behaved global velocity structure in L1165 from blue-shifted northwest of the protostar and red-shifted to the southeast. There does not appear to be significant outflow effects on the kinematic structure. There is also higher velocity red-shifted emission apparent on small-scales near the protostar, but very little blue-shifted emission with higher velocities. The contours in the integrated intensity map are $\pm 2.5, 3, 6\sigma, \dots$, where $\sigma = 0.207 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$; the contours in the channel maps are $\pm 3, 6\sigma, \dots$, where $\sigma = 0.4 \text{ K}$.




Fig. 7.— L1165— The *left panel* shows the IRAC $8\mu\text{m}$ image with CARMA N_2H^+ integrated intensity contours overlaid. The dashed lines mark the regions where the position-velocity cut was taken and point to respective ends of the PV plot in the *right panel* showing the N_2H^+ ($JF_1F = 122 \rightarrow 011$) transition. The position of the protostar/continuum source is marked with a white cross. Northwest of the protostar the lines tend to be more narrow than on the southeast side of the protostar where the N_2H^+ emission is both broad and red-shifted. The green lines overlaid are an filamentary collapse model with $R_C=10$ AU and a projection of $\Phi_0 = 15^\circ$. The contours in the integrated intensity map are $\pm 2.5, 3, 6\sigma, \dots$, where $\sigma=0.207$ K km s $^{-1}$; the contours in the PV diagram are $\pm 3\sigma$, increasing in increments of 3σ where $\sigma=0.225$ K.




Fig. 8.— L1165— The *left panel* shows the IRAC $8\mu\text{m}$ image with CARMA HCO^+ ($J=1\rightarrow 0$) blue and red-shifted emission, summed over -3.5 to -2 km s^{-1} and -1.0 to 0 km s^{-1} , plotted as blue and red contours respectively. The contours levels are 3, 6, and 8.25σ ($\sigma=0.175$ K) for the blue-shifted emission and 3, 9, and 18σ ($\sigma=0.212$ K) for the red-shifted emission. The blue and red-shifted emission from HCO^+ is located symmetrically about the protostar, normal to the outflow. The dashed lines mark the regions where the position-velocity cut was taken and point to respective ends of the PV plot in the *right panel*. The position of the protostar/continuum source is marked with a white cross. The position-velocity cut shows that the blue and red-shifted emission traces higher velocity material and there is a slight gradient of material going to higher velocity closer to the continuum source. The line center velocity of -1.5 km s^{-1} is plotted as the dotted black line. The green lines overlaid are an filamentary collapse model with $R_C=10$ AU and a projection of $\Phi_0 = 15^\circ$. The PV plot contours start at 3σ and increase in 3σ intervals ($\sigma=0.2$).




Fig. 9.— CB230– NH_3 integrated intensity map of the main NH_3 (1,1) lines (*upper left*) and channel maps of the two main NH_3 (1,1) lines are shown in the rest of the panels. The protostars are marked with crosses and the outflow direction is drawn from the main protostar. The emission between 2.54 and 2.85 km s^{-1} consists of blended emission from both lines, while the remaining emission is mostly free of blending. The channel maps show a clear velocity gradient across the source, appearing normal to the outflow. Notice how the emission tends to avoid the location of the main protostar, owing to destruction of NH_3 near the protostar. The contours in the integrated intensity map start at $\pm 3\sigma$, increasing in increments of 3σ and $\sigma=0.195 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$; the contours in the integrated intensity map are $\pm 3, 6\sigma, \dots$, where $\sigma=0.325 \text{ K}$.




Fig. 10.— CB230— Same as Figure 5 but with VLA NH_3 (1,1) observations and the secondary source is also marked with a white cross. The PV diagram in the *right panel* appears different from those constructed from N_2H^+ because the NH_3 emission consists of two blended hyperfine lines; the NH_3 satellite lines with greater separation are shown in the PV plot rather than the more blended main lines. The line-center velocity from the NH_3 emission traces an abrupt velocity shift from red to blue-shifted emission. The transition region starts at the protostar and does not finish until $+10''$. The NH_3 linewidth does not show much detail other than having its peak coincident with the highest intensity NH_3 emission. The green lines overlaid are an filamentary collapse model with $R_C=10$ AU and a projection of $\Phi_0 = 20^\circ$. The contours in the integrated intensity map start at $\pm 3\sigma$, increasing in increments of 3σ and $\sigma=0.195$ K km s $^{-1}$; the contours in the PV diagram start at $\pm 3\sigma$, increasing in increments of 3σ and $\sigma=0.11$ K.




Fig. 11.— IRAS 16253-2429— Channel maps of the isolated N_2H^+ ($JF_1F = 122 \rightarrow 011$) line; the blue and red arrows mark the outflow direction and the cross marks the location of the protostar. IRAS 16253-2429 is the envelope that is most nearly round. The velocity gradient across the envelope is very small, but is clearly seen in the channel maps with emission on the eastern side of the envelope coming into view at blueshifted velocities and the western side with redshifted velocities. The green lines overlaid are an axisymmetric collapse model with $R_C=100$ AU and $M_C = 0.1 M_\odot$. Contours in the channel maps start at $\pm 3\sigma$ increase by $\pm 3\sigma$ intervals, where $\sigma=0.08$ K. The contours in the integrated intensity map are $\pm 2.5, 3, 6\sigma, \dots$, where $\sigma=0.207$ K km s $^{-1}$.




Fig. 12.— IRAS 16253-2429— Same as Figure 5 but with CARMA N_2H^+ observations of the ($JF_1F = 122 \rightarrow 011$) transition. The N_2H^+ emission matches up quite well with the $8\mu m$ extinction. Furthermore, the N_2H^+ appears peaked around the protostar and not coincident with it. This is shown as the decreased emission in the PV plot in the right panel. The velocity gradient in the PV plot is minute but is present. The green lines overlaid are an filamentary collapse model with $R_C=10$ AU and a projection of $\Phi_0 = 15^\circ$. The contours in the integrated intensity map start at $\pm 3\sigma$, increasing in increments of 3σ and $\sigma=0.22$ K $km\ s^{-1}$; the contours in the PV diagram start at $\pm 3\sigma$, increasing in increments of 3σ and $\sigma=0.25$ K.




Fig. 13.— HH211— Channel maps of the isolated N_2H^+ ($JF_1F = 101 \rightarrow 012$) line; the blue and red arrows mark the outflow direction and the cross marks the location of the protostar. The N_2H^+ emission in the different velocity channels clearly trace a filamentary envelope with a velocity gradient across the protostar that appears fairly linear. Contours in the channel maps start at $\pm 3\sigma$ increase by $\pm 3\sigma$ intervals, where $\sigma=0.4$ K. The contours in the integrated intensity map are $\pm 10, 20\sigma, \dots$, where $\sigma=0.149$ K km s $^{-1}$.




Fig. 14.— HH211— Same as Figure 5 but with CARMA N_2H^+ observations. The PV plot in the *right panel* is constructed from the N_2H^+ ($JF_1F = 101 \rightarrow 012$) transition. Notice how the slope of emission in the PV diagram changes from one side of the protostar to the other. The green lines overlaid are an filamentary collapse model with $R_C=10$ AU and a projection of $\Phi_0 = 15^\circ$ that are meant to represent the kinematics on the northeast side of the envelope. The contours in the integrated intensity map start at $\pm 10\sigma$, increasing in increments of 10σ and $\sigma=0.149$ K km s $^{-1}$; the contours in the PV diagram start at $\pm 3\sigma$, increasing in increments of 3σ and $\sigma=0.2$ K.




Fig. 15.— Plot of centrifugal radius (R_C) versus radius for L1157, L1165, CB230, IRAS 16253-2429, and HH211 assuming the observed velocities reflect rotation. R_C is calculated from the observed line-center velocities from Paper II and converted to a centrifugal radius using the relation $R_C = R^2(V - V_{lstr})^2/GM$ (Equation 4), where $M = 0.5 M_\odot$ is assumed.

Table 1. Source Properties

Source	RA (J2000)	Dec (J2000)	Distance (pc)	Mass _{8μm} (M_{\odot}) ($r < 0.05$ pc)	Mass _{submm} * (M_{\odot})	L_{bol} (L_{\odot})	T_{bol} (K)	Morphological Classification	Outflow PA $^{\circ}$	References (Dist., M_{ref} , L_{bol} , T_{bol})
L1157	20:39:06.25	+68:02:15.9	300	0.86	2.2	3.0	29	Flattened	150	4, 3, 2, 9
L1165	22:06:50.46	+59:02:45.9	300	1.1	0.32	13.9	46	Irregular	225	4, 6, 6, 1
CB230	21:17:38.56	+68:17:33.3	300	1.1	1.1	7.2	69	One-sided	0	4, 8, 2, 9
HH211	03:43:56.78	+32:00:49.8	230	1.1	1.5	3.02	24	Irregular	116	12, 2, 2, 2, 5
IRAS 16253-2429	16:28:21.42	-24:36:22.1	125	0.8	0.98	0.25	35	Spheroidal	20	7, 10, 2, 2, 11

Note. — Properties of sources observed in the single-dish and/or interferometric sample. The $8\mu\text{m}$ extinction masses are taken within 0.05 pc of the protostar and note that some of the masses have been rescaled to account for a different distance estimate as compared to Chapter 4. Positions are reflect the coordinates of the $24\mu\text{m}$ point source from *Spitzer* data or the 3mm continuum continuum position for protostars observed with CARMA. References: (1) Tobin et al. (2011), (2) Enoch et al. (2009), (3) Shirley et al. (2000), (4) Kirk et al. (2009), (5) Lee et al. (2009), (6) Visser et al. (2002), (7) Loinard et al. (2008), (8) Kauffmann et al. (2005), (9) Froebrich (2005), (10) Young et al. (2006), (11) Arce & Sargent (2006), (12) Hirota et al. (2011).

*Mass was computed with sub/millimeter bolometer data assuming an isothermal temperature.

Table 2. Model Parameters

Source	Model	M_{cent} M_{\odot}	R_C (AU)	Proj. Angle ($^{\circ}$)
L1157	Filament	0.5	100	15
L1165	Filament	0.5	10	15
CB230	Filament	0.5	10	20
IRAS 16253-2429	Filament/Axisymmetric	0.1	100	0
HH211	Filament	0.5	10	15

Note. — Parameters of models which describe the PV data.