Colinear ternary fission and nucleon phase model

G. Mouze, S. Hachem and C. Ythier

Faculté des Sciences, Université de Nice, 06108 Nice cedex 2, France

mouze@unice.fr

Abstract: The colinear ternary fission mode discovered by Pyatkov et al. can be interpreted in the framework of the nucleon-phase model of fission.

PACS:

25.85.-w: Fission reactions;

21.60 Gx :Cluster models

1. Introduction

The existence of a new mode of ternary nuclear fission was recently suggested by Yu.V. Pyatkov et al. [1,2]. According to these authors, this mode occurs in the spontaneous fission of 252 Cf and in the neutron-induced fission of 235 U with a relatively high yield of the order of $4-5$ 10⁻³ per binary fission; the new phenomenon could be understood if one assumes a collective motion through hyper- deformed shapes of the fissioning system; in support of this assumption, they point out that the linear arrangement of the fragments provides the lowest Coulomb potential energy.

The aim of the present paper is to show that a colinear emission of three fission fragments can be predicted by the nucleon-phase model of binary nuclear fission [3-6].

2. The nucleon-phase model of nuclear fission

According to this model, nuclear fission occurs in three steps. In its first step, the fissioning systems clusterize into a dinuclear system made of a 208 Pb core and a light cluster, e.g.:

$$
^{239}\text{Pu} + \text{n} \rightarrow ^{208}\text{Pb} + ^{32}\text{Mg} + 79.36 \text{ MeV} \text{ [7]}
$$
 (1)

In the second step, the collision of core and cluster leads to the destruction of the ²⁰⁸Pb core, to the creation of a hard A =126- nucleon core, and to the release of 82 nucleons. But a number of these nucleons are captured by the cluster in order to form a hard $A = 82$ -nucleon core. The asymmetric mass distribution results from the sharing- out of the remaining $82 - (82 - A_{c})$ nucleons, where A_{c} is the mass number of the cluster (fig.1). In the third step, the fragment pair created in the second step evolves towards scission in spite of a strong confinement due to the own Coulomb barrier of the two fragments [8].

Fig.1 *Formation of the nascent light and heavy fission fragments made of an A = 82- and an A = 126-nucleon core.*

In the nucleon-phase model, during the second step of 0.17 yoctosecond [6], a new state of nuclear matter is formed, in which nucleon shells, instead of proton- and neutron- shells, are closed at "magic mass numbers", 82 and 126 [9].

The nucleon-phase model explains not only the asymmetric mass distributions of fission fragments, but also the symmetric distributions [10].

The law of Flynn et al. [11] proves that an *on average* constant number of nucleons is transferred from the $208Pb$ core to the primordial cluster in the formation of the light fragment [12]. The modern expression of the law is that the *mean mass* of the light fragment is given by

$$
\overline{A_L} = A_{cl} + 68 \left[13 \right] \tag{2}
$$

Fig.2 shows how happens, on average, the formation of the light fragment.

Fig.2 : *Distribution of the 82 nucleons released in the destruction of the lead 208-core between the A =126 nucleon-core and the primordial cluster of mass number Acl, according to the law of Flynn et al. revisited.*

3. Justification of the existence of a new mode of ternary fission.

It may be asked: "What really happens when 82 nucleons are released in the destruction of the ²⁰⁸Pb core?" Most of them are certainly captured by the primordial cluster; and most of the remaining nucleons are certainly shared between the nascent light and heavy fragments, made of an $A = 82$ nucleon core and of an $A =$ 126 nucleon core, respectively, as shown schematically in fig.1.

But what happens to the 82 free nucleons if they are accidentally separated from the primordial cluster by the $A = 126$ nucleon core, as shown in fig. 3-a, where the 82 nucleons cannot "see" the cluster because it is screened by the $A = 126$ core?

Fig.3-a : *A relative position of primordial cluster, A = 126 nucleon core and free nucleons in which 68 nucleons, on average, could escape capture by the cluster.*

Let us *assume* that this law (eq.2) still holds in the accidental situation, and that consequently , at the end of the nucleon phase, the 68 nucleons, which are not captured by the cluster, nevertheless aggregate into a new "cluster", on average an A $= 68$ cluster.

In this situation, the final configuration could , on average, be the following:

$$
A_{cl} \quad \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \overline{A_{H}} = 140 \quad \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \overline{A_{L}} = 68
$$

i.e. a ternary *colinear* configuration (fig.3-b), which must be "*colinear*" because it results from the accidental situation that A_{cl} was "screened" by the $A = 126$ core.

According to this hypothesis, any particular fission event occurring in such an accidental situation should, *in fact*, lead to the creation of a light fragment of mass going, in principle, from zero to 82, but more probably *near to A = 68,* and of a heavy fragment of mass going, in principle, from 126 to 208, but more probably *near A = 140*, but on condition that $A_L + A_H$ is still equal to 208.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}\nA_{\text{cl}} \\
\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c}\n208 \text{ Pb} \\
\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c}\nA_{\text{cl}} \\
\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{
$$

Fig.3-b : *In the accidental relative position of fig.3-a, a light fragment made , on average, of 68 nucleons could be formed by aggregation.*

Let us now consider in particular the spontaneously fissioning ²⁵²Cf nucleus.

Its "clusterized" primordial configuration is ²⁰⁸Pb-44S, and its clusterization energy is equal to 106.90 \pm 0.40 MeV [14]. But the ²⁰⁸Pb core, with its binding energy per nucleon of only 7.867.45 keV, is easily destroyed in a collision with the ⁴⁴S-cluster $(E_B/A = 7994 \pm 9 \text{ keV})$ [14].

Among the possible fragment pairs resulting from the redistribution of the 82 free nucleons, let us consider the most energy-rich Sn/Ge fragment pair, the ¹²⁸Sn-⁸⁰Ge pair (see tab.1), with its internal energy $Q = 131.10 \text{ MeV} \pm 0.06 \text{ MeV}$ [14]. This pair forms with the 44 S primordial cluster of 252 Cf (s.f.) the colinear system:

$$
^{44}S \ \frac{128}{100}Sn \ \frac{80}{100}Ge \ . \tag{3}
$$

Table 1 : Energy released by the dissociation of ²⁰⁸Pb into various energy-rich fragment pairs

The internal energy of this system, made of the sum of Q and of the clusterization energy of ²⁵²Cf, 106.90 MeV, is equal to 238.0 \pm 0.5 MeV. It is a considerable energy.

The Coulomb barrier B_c of the Sn/Ge pair is equal to only 166.52 MeV; and that of the Sn/S pair is equal to only 90.82 MeV. Even if a sphericity correction of about 11 MeV, at the most [8], was added to B_c (because the Sn nucleus is more spherical), the internal energy of this energy-rich, axially vibrating system should be great enough in comparison with the Coulomb barrier for escaping its confinement.

4. Comparison with the results of Pyatkov et al.

Thanks to two ingenious experimental devices, Yu. V. Pyatkov et al. succeeded in showing that *two emitted particles originate from an A = 208 body*, both in 252 Cf (s.f.) and in 235 U + n_{th} as shown in fig. 7-c of ref.[1], and further in showing that *the most probable* M₁, M₂ *masses are 68, 139*, as shown in their fig. 6a for the ²⁵²Cf nucleus and for a sum $M_1 + M_2$ equal to 208 [1]. Moreover, these authors report the observation in 252 Cf of a "cluster" 44 S, i.e. of the "primordial cluster" of 252 Cf.

These observations are in perfect agreement with the predictions of Sect.3. Even the observation of light clusters as heavy as $48-56$ Ca is not in contradiction with these predictions, as will be shown in Sect 5.

5. Discussion

In Sect.3, we have assumed that the new expression of the law of Flynn et al., i.e.

$$
\overline{A_L} = A_{cl} + 68,
$$

might still hold *when the 82 free nucleons* can still have access to the A= 126 nucleon core but can't have access to the primordial cluster any longer.

This assumption might appear very surprising at first sight.

However, the low yield of the ternary emission process, $4-5$ 10⁻³ of the binary fission yield according to Pyatkov et al. clearly indicates that this emission is exceptional. It is the first point.

Moreover, even if the lifetime of the nucleon phase, during which the light fragments are formed, appears infinitesimally small, it might nevertheless be great enough for permitting small changes in the geometry of the ternary system made of ⁴⁴S, 82 free nucleons and A = 126 nucleon core: For example, the primordial cluster 44 S could *escape* from its usually freely accessible position and become shielded from the 82 free nucleons by the $A = 126$ nucleon core (fig. 3- a). It is a second point.

Anyway, the nucleons which are not captured by the $A = 126$ nucleon core can still *aggregate into a new "cluster",* as do the valence nucleons of the ²⁰⁸Pb core of any fissile nucleus [7] (fig.3-b). It is a third point.

Concerning the observation of light clusters as heavy as $48-56$ Ca, the fact that up to 12 nucleons are captured by the primordial cluster $44S$ of $252Cf$ does not contradict that the A= 126 nucleon core plays the role of a *screen*, since without this screen the 44 S cluster would have captured on average 68 of the 82 nucleons released by the destruction of 208Pb.

6. Conclusion

The discovery of the colinear ternary fission by Yu.V. Pyatkov et al. constitutes a great advance in our understanding of the phenomenon of nuclear fission.

It confirms the role played by the primordial cluster, which here plays a role of spectator in the scenario of the dissociation of the primordial core. It also confirms the eminent role of the dissociation of this $208Pb$ core into cores having a magic *mass*- number, in particular into the A = 126 nucleon core.

For all these reasons, the discovery of Yu. V. Pyatkov et al. can be described in the framework of the nucleon phase model of nuclear fission, and, in our opinion, it constitutes a necessary extension of this model.

This new ternary fission mode is distinct from the other ternary fission modes, the "orthogonal" emission mode and "the isotropic" emission mode [15].

References

[1] Yu. V. Pyatkov et al. ,EPJA **45**, 29 (2010).

[2] Yu. V. Pyatkov et al. ,EPN **41-4**, 14 (2010).

[3] G.Mouze and C. Ythier, *Proceedings of the 46th Inter. Winter Meeting on Nucl. Phys.*, Bormio, Italy, January 20-26, 2008, I.Iori and A.Tarantola eds.,Università degli Studi di Milano, 2008, p.230.

[4] G.Mouze, S. Hachem and C. Ythier, J. Mod.Phys. E, **17**, 224 (2008).

[5] R.A. Ricci, EPN, **40-5**,13 (2009).

[6] G. Mouze and C. Ythier, http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.1337, nucl-exp, april 8, 2010.

[7] C. Ythier, J. Nucl. Materials,**166**, 74 (1989).

[8] G. Mouze, *Proceedings of the 43th Inter. Winter Meeting on Nucl. Phys.*, Bormio, Italy, 2005, I.Iori and A. Bartolotti eds.,Università degli Studi di Milano, 2005, p.250.

[9] C.Ythier, S.Hachem and G.Mouze, http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.1819, nucl-exp,

January 11,2010.

[10] G. Mouze, S. Hachem and C. Ythier, http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.4068, nucl-exp,

June 29,2010.

- [11] K.F. Flynn et al., Phys. Rev. C **5** ,1725 (1972).
- [12] G. Mouze and C. Ythier, NCA**103,** 617 (1990).
- [13] G. Mouze and C. Ythier, http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.3819, nucl.-exp, Jan.19,2012.
- [14] G. Audi et al. Nucl. Phys. A **729**,337 (2003).
- [15] G.Mouze and R.A. Ricci, EPJ A **21**,179 (2004).