

Optical properties and band structure of 3nm Si nanocrystals

Prokop Hapala* and Pavel Jelinek

Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Cukrovarnicka 10, 1862 53

Prague, Czech Republic

E-mail: hapala@fuz.cz

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

Abstract

Silicon nanocrystals of up to 3nm size were studied using density functional theory (DFT) to obtain insight into dependence of its luminescence properties on surface passivation and geometric distortion. Luminescence properties are discussed from point of view of both - real space and momentum space structure of molecular orbitals. According to our best knowledge this is the first time, when comprehensive study of band structure of realistic nanocrystal is given including full geometric and electronic relaxation with the surface passivation groups. We conclude that band structure in nanocrystals of this size is in many case crucial, however strong perturbation by polarized surface groups and geometric distortion could lead to considerable moderation of momentum space selection rules.

Momentum space projection of molecular orbitals

The band structure theory of solids is well established and it has been successfully applied on real materials to explain their physical and material properties, e.g. electrical resistivity and optical absorption. A corner-stone of the band structure theory is so called Bloch's theorem,[?] which had initiated the epoch of modern of solid state physics. Electronic states in an infinite periodic system are described via Schroedinger equation:

$$[\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r})]\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon\Psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where ε is energy of eigenstate $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ and potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ is periodic $V(\mathbf{r}) = V(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R})$, \mathbf{R} is the translational lattice vector. The Bloch's theorem postulates that the solution of Schroedinger equation, $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$, can be written as product of a real mother-function $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$, which is also periodic $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R})$, and Bloch plane-wave $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$:

$$\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}. \quad (2)$$

The eigenstate $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ vary continuously with wave vector \mathbf{k} and form an energy band $\varepsilon_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ identified by band index n .

How does the band picture change when the size of system is reduced? Or, in other words, is the concept of energy bands also valid for nanoscopic systems? Finite size of system implies two important consequences (i) wave vector \mathbf{k} becomes discrete and (ii) wave functions are delocalized in momentum space.¹

The first statement is directly implied by construction of the reciprocal space. For example, we assume 1-D mono atomic chain of N atoms with the lattice constant a . There are N different wave vectors \mathbf{k} separated by $\Delta k^{(1)}$:

$$\Delta k^{(1)} = \frac{2\pi}{a.N} = \frac{2\pi}{L}, \quad (3)$$

where $L = N.a$ is length of the chain. Apparently, if N becomes small number, the separation between wave vectors $\Delta k^{(1)}$ becomes larger.

Secondly according to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle the crystal momentum becomes delocalized as follows:

$$\Delta x \Delta p > h. \quad (4)$$

Substituting crystal momentum $p = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi}k$ and size of nanocrystal $\Delta x = L$ into equation above, we obtain relation for delocalization of wave vector due to finite size of system:

$$\Delta k^{(2)} > 2\pi/L. \quad (5)$$

The fact that separation of discretized wave vectors $\Delta k^{(1)}$ and its delocalization $\Delta k^{(2)}$ are of the same order, call for more rigorous discussion of band structure of finite systems, which is provided in the following text. We will use natural units ($\hbar = 1$), using \mathbf{k} as synonym for momentum. To simulate finite size of systems (e.g. nanocrystal) we introduce so called a window function $w(\mathbf{r})$, which restricts the wave function $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ to space occupied by system. Then ?? is modified accordingly:

$$\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = w(\mathbf{r}) \cdot u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}. \quad (6)$$

1 depicts characteristics of single terms of ?? in 1-D case. In the simplest approximation, one can define the window function $w(\mathbf{r})$ as a stepwise function:

$$w(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} 1 & |\mathbf{r}| < L \\ 0 & |\mathbf{r}| > L \end{cases}, \quad (7)$$

which vanishes outside of nanocrystal (see 1a). Then resulting wave function $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ in real space consists of modulation of the mother function by the Bloch plane wave and the window function $w(\mathbf{r})$ (see 1d). In real systems, the window function can have more complex shape dictated by the electronic structure of particular system (see green dashed line in 1a).

Let us consider a problem inverse to computation of band structure from Bloch theorem (2a). We know the real space wave function $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ of state i (e.g. a Kohn-Sham molecular orbital (MO) obtained from aperiodic DFT calculation of nanoscopic system) and we would like to assign corresponding crystal momentum \mathbf{k} . Each MO of energy ε_i crosses one or more bands $\varepsilon_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ (2b). Then we can assume the MO $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ to be a linear combination of A bands $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$:

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{a=0}^A d_a \Psi_{n_a, \mathbf{k}_a}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (8)$$

where for particular $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ neither indexes n_a, \mathbf{k}_a nor coefficients d_a are known explicitly.

One option how to extract \mathbf{k} -vectors of $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ lies in determination of corresponding $\Psi_{n_a, \mathbf{k}_a}(\mathbf{r})$ and $u_{n_a, \mathbf{k}_a}(\mathbf{r})$ and consecutive extraction of the Bloch factor $e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ from ???. This is possible, for example, doing projection of $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ on $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{BULK}(\mathbf{r})$ obtained from bulk calculation.² Nevertheless wave functions $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ in finite system may differ considerably from the bulk one $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{BULK}(\mathbf{r})$ (e.g. if $w(\mathbf{r})$ is more complex) and large number of states has to be considered for large nanocrystal. These complications hamper a robust implementation of this method to computational code.

Here we opt for another method, which transforms MO $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ from the real into the reciprocal




Figure 1: Schematic illustration of ?? (a) window function constraining the wave function in finite area of space. In general it is envelope of molecular orbital and it could has complex shape (green dashed line). However, for simplicity, we expect rectangular shape of ?? (gray rectangle). (b) Mother function of infinite system composed of linear combination of atomic orbitals. (c) Infinite Bloch plane wave. (d) Final wave function of nanocrystal as a product of (a),(b),(c) constrained in finite area of space

space using the Fourier transform. Similar approach was successfully applied to analyze angular resolved photoemission (ARPES) spectra of oligomeric organic molecules.³ In our scheme, selected $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ expanded on real space grid is projected to the momentum space using either discrete 3-D Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) or by projection onto a set of plane waves $e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}}$:

$$\tilde{\phi}_i(\mathbf{k}') = \langle \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) | e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}} \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where \mathbf{k}' is an arbitrary wave vector.

For practical use we plot instead of the Fourier transform $\tilde{\phi}_i(k')$ so called momentum density $\tilde{\rho}_i(\mathbf{k}')$. The momentum density $\tilde{\rho}_i(\mathbf{k}')$ can be written, using ??, as follows:




Figure 2: Schematic illustration of ???. (a) Approach to obtain band structure of infinite crystal using Bloch theorem. For a given \mathbf{k} -vector energy spectrum of $\epsilon_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ is found. (b) Inverse approach in finite system. For given energy state ϵ_i of orbital ϕ_i corresponding \mathbf{k} -vectors should be found. The orbital is a linear combination of all Bloch-like wave functions $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ corresponding to n, \mathbf{k} in which the orbital energy ϵ_i crosses the energy bands $\epsilon_n(\mathbf{k})$.

$$\tilde{\rho}_i(\mathbf{k}') = |\tilde{\phi}_i(\mathbf{k}')|^2. \quad (10)$$

It also contains information about the delocalization of the MO in momentum space and it can be plotted as a function of wave vector along a selected line in momentum space (see 3d).

The first method (FFT) provides a picture of 3-D structure and symmetries of the particular state in the reciprocal space (see 3e). Nevertheless its resolution is limited by size of real-space grid on which MOs are expanded. The second method is more suitable to stick with traditional 1-D band structure representation plotted along lines connecting high-symmetry points in \mathbf{k} -space. In this case we let \mathbf{k}' to sample given high-symmetry line in \mathbf{k} -space with much higher resolution. Although this approach is straightforward, a rigorous analysis of the structure of resulting

momentum space distribution is required. For simplicity, let us assume one-to-one correspondence between particular MO and some band wave function $\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$. Note, that generalization of following discussion for $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ to be linear combination of several $\Psi_{n_a,\mathbf{k}_a}(\mathbf{r})$ (??) is straightforward due to linearity of Fourier transformation. Fourier transform of wave function $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ given by ?? can be expressed as convolution of three terms:

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}') = \tilde{w}(\mathbf{k}') \star \tilde{u}(\mathbf{k}') \star \delta(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}). \quad (11)$$

It is worth to analyze in detail the process of convolution and the character of each term in ???. To make our discussion more illustrative, we restrict it to 1-D case. 3 represents schematically the process of convolution and the character of each term separately.

The first term $\tilde{w}(\mathbf{k}')$ in ??, is Fourier image of the window function $w(\mathbf{r})$. This term causes delocalization of wave function in k-space. Considering the window function $w(\mathbf{k})$ as the step function of length L (see ??) the Fourier transform equals $\tilde{w}(\mathbf{k}') = \text{sinc}(L\mathbf{k}')$. Using ?? it can be expressed also as $\text{sinc}(2\pi\mathbf{k}'/\Delta k^{(2)})$ which brings us back to Heisenberg principle.

Its square $|\tilde{w}(\mathbf{k}')|^2$ introduces to the momentum space density $\tilde{\rho}_i(\mathbf{k}')$ blur with the Lorentzian envelope (see 3a) of slow asymptotic decay $1/(L\mathbf{k}')^2$. This has important implication on momentum selection rules for transition between states in finite systems. Two wave functions centered around different \mathbf{k} can have non-negligible overlap in k-space even if their separation in \mathbf{k} is fairly large.

We would like to stress, that the decay in momentum space projection depend strongly on actual shape of $w(\mathbf{r})$. Therefore this approach is superior to simple estimation of momentum uncertainty based on the Heisenberg principle, which can provide only width of peak around \mathbf{k} , but says nothing about its decay.

The second term $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{k}')$ corresponds to Fourier transform of the mother function $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$, which can be expanded in discrete Fourier series of waves using its periodicity:




Figure 3: Schematic illustration of convolution of momentum space representations of the three wave function components. (a) rectangular window function transformed to $\text{sinc}(L\mathbf{k}')$ (b) Bulk-like mother function transformed to series of δ -functions in centers of Brillouin zones. (c) Bloch wave transformed to δ -function inside first Brillouin zone shifted out of the center. (d) momentum space representation of wave function created by convolution of (a)(b)(c) in 1-D case. (e) illustration of more-D case - 2D view of momentum space density of molecular orbitals in silicon nanocrystal. Note the side artifacts spaced by multiples of K representing higher Fourier components Fourier c_m in expansion of mother function.

$$u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m e^{i(m.\mathbf{K}).\mathbf{r}}, \quad (12)$$

where \mathbf{K} denotes reciprocal lattice vector and m is integer index addressing different reciprocal unit cells. This expression is transformed to reciprocal space as follows:

$$\tilde{u}_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}') = \left\langle \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m e^{i(m.\mathbf{K}).\mathbf{r}} \middle| e^{i\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{r}} \right\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \delta(\mathbf{k}' - m.\mathbf{K}), \quad (13)$$

where $\delta(\mathbf{k}' - m.\mathbf{K})$ is situated in center of m -th reciprocal unit cell (i.e. not in first Brillouin zone).

Typically $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is relatively smooth in the unit cell and so the Fourier expansion coefficients




Figure 4: 1-D cut of momentum-space projected molecular orbitals of chain of 8 hydrogen molecules. (a) Atomic structure of molecular hydrogen chain with bond lengths 0.7 Å electronically couple through intermolecular distance 1.3 Å (b) Real space projection of molecular orbitals $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ of the system. First 8 orbitals are bonding and occupied, the other 8 anti-bonding and unoccupied. Positive sign of wave function is colored blue, the negative sign in red. (c) Band structure of the finite chain as assembled from momentum densities $\rho_i(\mathbf{k}')$ plotted at particular orbital energy ε_i by color scale. Parabolic band dispersion chain be clearly seen following the maxima of densities (yellow-red-black). For some orbitals $i = 1, 8, 9, 13$ actual shape of function $\rho_i(\mathbf{k}')$ is also plotted to illustrate correspondence to 3. The main peaks corresponding to Fourier components in expansion of $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}')$ are denoted by arrows.

c_m decay with increasing frequency (3b). In reality just one expansion coefficient is dominant.

The dominant Fourier component is determined by nodal structure of $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$, where nodes mean either anti-bonding character or that mother function is formed by liner combination of higher angular momentum atomic orbitals (such as p -orbital). If the $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ has no node inside unit cell (for example bonding state of two s -orbitals), first coefficient c_0 situated in center in the first Brillouin zone ($\mathbf{k}' = 0$) is the dominant term. Similarly if $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ has m nodes, then m -th Fourier expansion coefficient c_m dominates. It means that the most of the momentum space density is

situated in m -th reciprocal unit cell. Consequently, the convolution of $\tilde{u}_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}')$ with Bloch term $\delta(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})$ forms a new band located in m -th reciprocal unit cell (see the anti-bonding band on 4c). Thus our approach provides reconstruction of so called *unfolded* band structure, where each band is situated in different reciprocal unit cell. Note also, that in 3-D case index m is a vector, and nodes in each dimension should be considered independently.

The last term in ?? $\delta(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})$ is Fourier transform of Bloch wave $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ where \mathbf{k} is from the first Brillouin zone (see 3c) and has some of the discrete values separated by $\Delta k^{(1)} = 2\pi/L$ as postulated in ??.

Now we will illustrate the projection method on a simple case. Let us analyze electronic structure of finite 1-D chain consisting of 8 coupled hydrogen molecules (see 4), where the unit cell consists of a single hydrogen molecule. Projecting real space MOs (4b) into k-space two distinct bands isolated by band gap appear (see 4c). The lower band ($m = 0$) composed of bonding orbitals with no node inside unit cell has dominant Fourier components located in the first Brillouin zone. The higher anti-bonding band ($m = 1$) has dominant Fourier components located in the second reciprocal unit cell. Apart from these dominant Fourier components, which characterize the band structure, there are also smaller satellites in $\mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{k} \pm 2\mathbf{K}$... (denoted by arrows on 4c) representing less dominant components c_m in Fourier expansion of $\tilde{u}_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}')$. In special case when Bloch $\mathbf{k} = 0$, as holds for example for the highest molecular orbital ($i = 16$), we can observe directly expansion of $\tilde{u}_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}')$ as Fourier components c_0, c_1, c_2 located in centers of particular reciprocal unit cells (i.e. not shifted by convolution with Bloch term).

To illustrate delocalization of the momentum vector \mathbf{k} due to finite size of the system, represented by $w(\mathbf{r})$, we analyzed the lowest band $i = 1$ of to several different 1-D hydrogen chains with lengths (from 2 to 16 molecules). On 5a the peak width of the depicted momentum density decreases proportionally to number of molecules (unit cells) in the chain according to ??. In this particular case, the window function $w(\mathbf{r})$ is very close to rectangular step function. Hence shape of the momentum space density $\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}')$ is very similar to $|\text{sinc}(L\mathbf{k}')|^2$. Frequency of this sinc-like function can be also seen from number of nodes per the reciprocal unit cell, which is proportional




Figure 5: Dependence of delocalization of momentum density $\tilde{\rho}_i(\mathbf{k}')$ of molecular orbitals in chain of hydrogen molecules on the chain length. (a) Momentum space delocalization of lowest molecular orbital ($i = 1$) in chain composed of 2(black), 4(red), 8(green), 16(blue) hydrogen molecules. (b) Band structure of chain composed of 2 hydrogen molecules (c) Band structure of chain composed of 16 hydrogen molecules

to increasing the chain length L .

Let us summarize main conclusions of this chapter. We discussed two main implications of finite size of nanocrystal on its band structure - (i) the discretization and (ii) the delocalization of wave vector \mathbf{k} . We introduced robust method of projection of Molecular orbitals to \mathbf{k} -space which provide band structure of finite system, and discussed in detail the analysis of the resulting momentum densities $\tilde{\rho}_i(\mathbf{k}')$. We have demonstrated that the important characteristics (i.e. Bloch \mathbf{k} -vector, its delocalization and discrimination of independent bands) can be extracted from resulting momentum densities, despite the convolution in ??.

Acknowledgements

References

- (1) Hybertsen, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1994**, *72*, 1514–1517.
- (2) Valentin, A.; Sée, J.; Galdin-Retailleau, S.; Dollfus, P. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **2008**, *20*, 145213.
- (3) Puschnig, P.; Berkebile, S.; Fleming, A. J.; Koller, G.; Emtsev, K.; Seyller, T.; Riley, J. D.; Ambrosch-Draxl, C.; Netzer, F. P.; Ramsey, M. G. *Science* **2009**, *326*, 702–706.