EXPANSIONS OF MONOMIAL IDEALS AND MULTIGRADED MODULES

SHAMILA BAYATI AND JÜRGEN HERZOG

ABSTRACT. We introduce an exact functor defined on multigraded modules which we call the expansion functor and study its homological properties. The expansion functor applied to a monomial ideal amounts to substitute the variables by monomial prime ideals and to apply this substitution to the generators of the ideal. This operation naturally occurs in various combinatorial contexts.

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we first study an operator defined on monomial ideals and their multigraded free resolution which we call the *expansion operator*. The definition of this operator is motivated by constructions in various combinatorial contexts. For example, let G be a finite simple graph with vertex set V(G) = [n] and edge set E(G), and let I(G) be its edge ideal in $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. We fix a vertex j of G. Then a new graph G' is defined by duplicating j, that is, $V(G') = V(G) \cup \{j'\}$ and

$$E(G') = E(G) \cup \{\{i, j'\} : \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}$$

where j' is new vertex. It follows that $I(G') = I(G) + (x_i x_{j'}: \{i, j\} \in E(G))$. This duplication can be iterated. We denote by $G^{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)}$ the graph which is obtained from G by i_j duplications of j. Then edge ideal of $G^{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)}$ can be described as follows: let S^* be the polynomial ring over K in the variables

$$x_{11},\ldots,x_{1i_1},x_{21},\ldots,x_{2i_2},\ldots,x_{n1},\ldots,x_{ni_n},$$

and consider the monomial prime ideal $P_j = (x_{j1}, \ldots, x_{ji_j})$ in S^* . Then

$$I(G^{(i_1,...,i_n)}) = \sum_{\{v_i,v_j\} \in E(G)} P_i P_j.$$

We say the ideal $I(G^{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)})$ is obtained from I(G) by expansion with respect to the *n*-tuple (i_1,\ldots,i_n) with positive integer entries.

More generally, let $I = (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_m}) \subset S$ be any monomial ideal and (i_1, \dots, i_n) an *n*-tuple with positive integer entries. Then we define the *expansion of I with* respect to (i_1, \dots, i_n) as the monomial ideal

$$I^* = \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_1^{a_i(1)} \cdots P_n^{a_i(n)} \subseteq S^*$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13C13, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. Expansion functor, free resolution, graded Betti numbers, monomial ideals.

where $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_i(1), \ldots, a_i(n))$. A similar construction by a sequence of duplications of vertices of a simplicial complex is applied in [5] to derive an equivalent condition for vertex cover algebras of simplicial complexes to be standard graded. In this case the cover ideal of the constructed simplicial complex is an expansion of the cover ideal of the original one. There is still another instance, known to us, where expansions of monomial ideals naturally appear. Indeed, let \mathcal{M} be any vector matroid on the ground set E with set of bases \mathcal{B} , that is, E is a finite subset of nonzero vectors of a vector space V over a field F and \mathcal{B} is the set of maximal linearly independent subsets of E. We define on E the following equivalence relation: for $v, w \in E$ we set $v \sim w$ if and only if v and w are linearly dependent. Next we choose one representative of each equivalence class, say, v_1, \ldots, v_r . Let \mathcal{M}' be the vector matroid on the ground set $E' = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$. Then, obviously, the matroidal ideal attached to \mathcal{M} is an expansion of the matroidal ideal attached to \mathcal{M}' .

In the first section we present the basic properties of the expansion operator, and show this operator commutes with the standard algebraic operations on ideals; see Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 1.5. It also commutes with primary decompositions of monomial ideals as shown in Proposition 1.2. As a consequence one obtains that $Ass(S^*/I^*) = \{P^*: P \in Ass(S/I)\}$. Also it is not so hard to see that I^* has linear quotients if I has linear quotients. In view of this fact it is natural to ask whether I^* has a linear resolution if I has a linear resolution. This question has a positive answer, as it is shown in the following sections where we study the homological properties of I^* .

It turns out, as shown in Section 2, that the expansion operator can be made an exact functor from the category of finitely generated multigraded S-modules to the category of finitely generated multigraded S^* -modules. Let M be a finitely generated multigraded S-module. Applying this functor to a multigraded free resolution \mathbb{F} of M we obtain an acyclic complex \mathbb{F}^* with $H_0(\mathbb{F}^*) \cong M^*$. Unfortunately, \mathbb{F}^* is not a free resolution of M^* because the expansion functor applied to a free module doesn't necessarily yield a free module. To remedy this problem we construct in Section 3, starting from \mathbb{F}^* , a double complex which provides a minimal multigraded free resolution of M^* . In the last section we use this construction to show that M and M^* have the same regularity. We also compute the graded Betti numbers of M^* in terms of multigraded free resolution of M.

1. Definition and basic properties of the expansion operator

In this section we define the expansion operator on monomial ideals and compare some algebraic properties of the constructed ideal with the original one.

Let K be a field and $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ the polynomial ring over K in the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n . Fix an ordered *n*-tuple (i_1, \ldots, i_n) of positive integers, and consider the polynomial ring $S^{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)}$ over K in the variables

$$x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1i_1}, x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2i_2}, \ldots, x_{n1}, \ldots, x_{ni_n}$$

Let P_j be the monomial prime ideal $(x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \ldots, x_{ji_j}) \subseteq S^{(i_1, \ldots, i_n)}$. Attached to each monomial ideal I with a set of monomial generators $\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_m}\}$, we define the

expansion of I with respect to the n-tuple (i_1, \ldots, i_n) , denoted by $I^{(i_1, \ldots, i_n)}$, to be the monomial ideal

$$I^{(i_1,\dots,i_n)} = \sum_{i=1}^m P_1^{a_i(1)} \cdots P_n^{a_i(n)} \subseteq S^{(i_1,\dots,i_n)}.$$

Here $a_i(j)$ denotes the *j*-th component of the vector \mathbf{a}_i . Throughout the rest of this paper we work with the fixed *n*-tuple (i_1, \ldots, i_n) . So we simply write S^* and I^* , respectively, rather than $S^{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)}$ and $I^{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)}$.

For monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ in S if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}|\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$, then $P_1^{a_i(1)} \cdots P_n^{a_i(n)} \subseteq P_1^{b_i(1)} \cdots P_n^{b_i(n)}$. So the definition of I^* does not depend on the choice of the set of monomial generators of I.

For example, consider $S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and the ordered 3-tuple (1, 3, 2). Then we have $P_1 = (x_{11}), P_2 = (x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23})$ and $P_3 = (x_{31}, x_{32})$. So for the monomial ideal $I = (x_1x_2, x_3^2)$, the ideal $I^* \subseteq K[x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{32}]$ is $P_1P_2 + P_3^2$, namely

$$I^* = (x_{11}x_{21}, x_{11}x_{22}, x_{11}x_{23}, x_{31}^2, x_{31}x_{32}, x_{32}^2).$$

We define the K-algebra homomorphism $\pi: S^* \to S$ by

$$\pi(x_{ij}) = x_i$$
 for all i, j .

As usual, we denote by G(I) the unique minimal set of monomial generators of a monomial ideal I. Some basic properties of the expansion operator are given in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. Let I and J be monomial ideals. Then

(i) $f \in I^*$ if and only $\pi(f) \in I$, for all $f \in S^*$;

(ii)
$$(I+J)^* = I^* + J^*;$$

(iii)
$$(IJ)^* = I^*J^*;$$

- (iv) $(I \cap J)^* = I^* \cap J^*;$
- (v) $(I: J)^* = I^*: J^*;$
- (vi) $\sqrt{I^*} = (\sqrt{I})^*$;
- (vii) If the monomial ideal Q is P-primary, then Q^* is P^* -primary.

Proof. (i) Since I and I^* are monomial ideals, it is enough to show the statement holds for all monomials $u \in S^*$. So let $u \in S^*$ be a monomial. Then $u \in I^*$ if and only if for some monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in G(I)$ we have $u \in P_1^{a(1)} \cdots P_n^{a(n)}$, and this is the case if and only if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ divides $\pi(u)$.

(ii) is trivial.

(iii) Let $A = \{x^{\mathbf{a}_1} \dots x^{\mathbf{a}_m}\}$ and $B = \{x^{\mathbf{b}_1} \dots x^{\mathbf{b}_{m'}}\}$ be respectively a set of monomial generators of I and J. The assertion follows from the fact that $\{x^{\mathbf{a}_i}x^{\mathbf{b}_j}: 1 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m'\}$ is a set of monomial generators for IJ.

(iv) With the same notation as (ii), one has the set of monomial generators $\{\operatorname{lcm}(x^{\mathbf{a}_i}, x^{\mathbf{b}_j}): 1 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m'\}$ of $I \cap J$ where $\operatorname{lcm}(x^{\mathbf{a}_i}, x^{\mathbf{b}_j})$ is the least common multiple of $x^{\mathbf{a}_i}$ and $x^{\mathbf{b}_j}$. Therefore,

$$I^* \cap J^* = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m P_1^{a_i(1)} \cdots P_n^{a_i(n)}\right) \cap \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m'} P_1^{b_j(1)} \cdots P_n^{b_j(n)}\right) =$$

$$\sum_{\leq j \leq m'} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} (P_1^{a_i(1)} \cdots P_n^{a_i(n)}) \cap (P_1^{b_j(1)} \cdots P_n^{b_j(n)}) = (I \cap J)^*$$

The second equality holds because the summands are all monomial ideals.

(v) Since $I: J = \bigcap_{u \in G(J)} I: (u)$, by statement (iv) it is enough to show that $(I: (u))^* = I^*: (u)^*$ for all monomials $u \in S$. Observe that if $u = \mathbf{x}^a \in S$, then $(u)^* = P_1^{a_i(1)} \cdots P_n^{a_i(n)} \subseteq S^*$. In addition by properties of ideal quotient, one has that $I: L_1L_2 = (I: L_1): L_2$ for all ideals L_1, L_2 of S. Therefore, we only need to show that for each variable $x_j \in S$ the equality $(I: x_j)^* = I^*: P_j$ holds where we set $P_j = (x_{j1}, \ldots, x_{ji_j})$ as before. So let $f \in S^*$. Then by (i), one has $fx_{j\ell} \in I^*$ for all ℓ if and only if $\pi(f)x_j = \pi(fx_{j\ell}) \in I$. Hence $(I: x_j)^* = I^*: P_j$.

(vi) One should only notice that in general for any two ideals L_1 and L_2 , we have $\sqrt{L_1 + L_2} = \sqrt{\sqrt{L_1} + \sqrt{L_2}}$. With this observation, the definition of I^* implies (vi).

(vii) Let for two monomials $u, v \in S^*$, $uv \in Q^*$ but $u \notin Q^*$. Then by (i) we have $\pi(uv) = \pi(u)\pi(v) \in Q$ and $\pi(u) \notin Q$. Hence $\pi(v) \in \sqrt{Q} = P$ because Q is a primary ideal. Now the result follows from (i) and (vi).

Proposition 1.2. Let I be a monomial ideal, and consider an (irredundant) primary decomposition $I = Q_1 \cap \ldots \cap Q_m$ of I. Then $I^* = Q_1^* \cap \ldots \cap Q_m^*$ is an (irredundant) primary decomposition of I^* .

In particular, $\operatorname{Ass}(S^*/I^*) = \{P^*: P \in \operatorname{Ass}(S/I)\}.$

Proof. The statement follows from part (iv) and (vii) of Lemma 1.1.

Remark 1.3. Let $I \subseteq S$ be an ideal of height r such that height P = r for all $P \in \operatorname{Ass}(S/I)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n$. Then Proposition 1.2 implies that height $I^* = i_1 + \cdots + i_r$, and consequently $\dim S^*/I^* = i_{r+1} + \cdots + i_n \geq n - r$. As a result, for such an ideal I, we have $\dim S/I = \dim S^*/I^*$ if and only if $i_1 = \ldots = i_n = 1$ or $\dim S/I = 0$.

By a result of Brodmann [1], in any Noetherian ring R the set $\operatorname{Ass}(R/I^s)$ stabilizes for $s \gg 0$, that is, there exists a number s_0 such that $\operatorname{Ass}(R/I^s) = \operatorname{Ass}(R/I^{s_0})$ for all $s \geq s_0$. This stable set $\operatorname{Ass}(R/I^{s_0})$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Ass}^{\infty}(I)$

Corollary 1.4. Let I be a monomial ideal. Then $\operatorname{Ass}^{\infty}(I^*) = \{P^* \colon P \in \operatorname{Ass}^{\infty}(I)\}.$

Proof. By part (iii) of Lemma 1.1 one has $(I^k)^* = (I^*)^k$. Hence $\operatorname{Ass}(S^*/(I^*)^k) = \{P^*: P \in \operatorname{Ass}(S/I^k)\}$ by Proposition 1.2. \Box

Let I be a monomial ideal with $Min(I) = \{P_1, \ldots, P_r\}$. Given an integer $k \ge 1$, the k-th symbolic power $I^{(k)}$ of I is defined to be

$$I^{(k)} = Q_1 \cap \ldots \cap Q_r$$

where Q_i is the P_i -primary component of I^k .

Corollary 1.5. Let I be a monomial ideal. Then $(I^{(k)})^* = (I^*)^{(k)}$ for all $k \ge 1$.

Proposition 1.6. Let I be a monomial ideal. If I has linear quotients, then I^* also has linear quotients.

Proof. Let I have linear quotients with respect to the ordering

$$(1) u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m$$

of G(I). We show that I^* has linear quotients with respect to the ordering

(2)
$$u_{11}, \ldots, u_{1r_1}, \ldots, u_{m1}, \ldots, u_{mr_m}$$

of $G(I^*)$ where for all i, j we have $\pi(u_{ij}) = u_i$, and $u_{i1} <_{\text{Lex}} \cdots <_{\text{Lex}} u_{ir_i}$ by the ordering of

$$x_{11} > \cdots > x_{1i_1} > \cdots > x_{n1} > \cdots > x_{ni_n}$$

Let $v, w \in G(I^*)$ be two monomials such that in (2) the monomial v appears before w. In order to prove that I^* has linear quotients with respect to the above mentioned order, we must show that there exists a variable x_{ij} and a monomial $v' \in G(I^*)$ such that $x_{ij}|(v/\operatorname{gcd}(v,w))$, in (2) the monomial v' comes before w, and $x_{ij} = v'/\operatorname{gcd}(v',w)$.

First assume that $\pi(v) = \pi(w)$, and x_{ij} is the greatest variable with respect to the given order on the variables such that $x_{ij}|(v/\gcd(v,w))$. Let $v' \in G(I^*)$ be the monomial with $\pi(v') = \pi(w)$ and $v' = x_{x_{ij}} \gcd(w,v')$. By the choice of x_{ij} and since the order of monomials in (2) are given by the lexicographic order, the monomial v'comes before w in (2), as desired.

Next assume that $\pi(v) \neq \pi(w)$. Since *I* has linear quotients, there exists a monomial $u \in G(I)$, coming before $\pi(w)$ in (1), for which there exists a variable x_i such that $x_i|(\pi(v)/\gcd(\pi(v),\pi(w)) \text{ and } x_i = u/\gcd(u,\pi(w))$. Let x_{ij} be the variable which divides $v/\gcd(v,w)$. Then there exists a monomial $v' \in G(I^*)$ with $\pi(v') = u$, and $v' = x_{ij} \gcd(v',w)$. Since $\pi(v')$ comes before $\pi(w)$ in (1), the monomial v' also appears before w in (2). Thus v' is the desired element.

2. The expansion functor

Throughout this paper, we consider the standard multigraded structure for polynomial rings, that is, the graded components are the one-dimensional K-spaces spanned by the monomials. Let $\mathcal{C}(S)$ denote the category of finitely generated multigraded S-modules whose morphisms are the multigraded S-module homomorphisms. We fix an n-tuple (i_1, \ldots, i_n) and define the expansion functor which assigns a multigraded module M^* over the standard multigraded polynomial ring S^* to each $M \in \mathcal{C}(S)$.

In the first step we define the expansion functor on multigraded free modules and multigraded maps between them.

Let $F = \bigoplus_i S(-\mathbf{a}_i)$ be a multigraded free S-module. Then F, as a multigraded module, is isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_i (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i})$ of principal monomial ideals of S. This presentation of F by principal monomial ideals is unique. We define the S^* -module F^* to be

$$F^* = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \\ 5}} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^*.$$

Observe that in general F^* is no longer a free S^* -module. Let

$$\alpha \colon \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} S(-\mathbf{a}_{i}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} S(-\mathbf{b}_{j})$$

be a multigraded S-module homomorphism. Then for the restriction $\alpha_{i,j}: S(-\mathbf{a}_i) \to \mathbf{a}_j$ $S(-\mathbf{b}_i)$ of α we have

$$\alpha_{i,j}(f) = \lambda_{ji} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{b}_j} f$$
 for all $f \in S(-\mathbf{a}_i)$

with $\lambda_{ji} \in K$, and $\lambda_{ji} = 0$ if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_j}$ does not divide $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i}$. The $(s \times r)$ -matrix $[\lambda_{ji}]$ is called the monomial matrix expression of α .

Notice that if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_j}$ divides $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i}$, then $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^* \subseteq (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_j})^*$. So we define a multigraded S^* -module homomorphism $\alpha^* \colon \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^* \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^s (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_j})^*$ associated to α whose restriction $\alpha^*_{ij} \colon (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^* \to (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_j})^*$ is defined as follows: if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_j} | \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i}$, then

$$\alpha_{ij}^*(f) = \lambda_{ji} f$$
 for all $f \in (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^*$,

and α_{ij}^* is the zero map if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_j}$ does not divide $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i}$. Obviously one has $(\mathrm{id}_F)^* = \mathrm{id}_{F^*}$ for each finitely generated multigraded free Smodule F.

Lemma 2.1. Let

(3)
$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} S(-\mathbf{a}_{i}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} S(-\mathbf{b}_{j}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} S(-\mathbf{c}_{k})$$

be a sequence of multigraded S-module homomorphisms. Then the following statements hold:

(i) $(\beta \alpha)^* = \beta^* \alpha^*$; (ii) If the sequence (3) is exact, then

(4)
$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{i}})^{*} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{*}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_{j}})^{*} \xrightarrow{\beta^{*}} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{k}})^{*}$$

is also an exact sequence of multigraded S^* -modules.

Proof. Let $[\lambda_{ii}]$ and $[\mu_{ki}]$ be respectively monomial matrix expressions of α and β .

(i) follows from the definition and the fact that the product $[\mu_{kj}][\lambda_{ji}]$ is the monomial expression of $\beta \alpha$.

(ii) By abuse of notation, we may consider the following sequence which is isomorphic to the sequence (3):

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{i}}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_{j}}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{k}}).$$

Here the maps are given by the matrices $[\lambda_{ji}]$ and $[\mu_{kj}]$. In order to show that the sequence (4) is exact, it is enough to show that it is exact in each multidegree. For this purpose, first consider the following commutative diagram

(5)
$$\begin{array}{cccc} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{i}})^{*} & \stackrel{\alpha^{*}}{\longrightarrow} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_{j}})^{*} & \stackrel{\beta^{*}}{\longrightarrow} & \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{k}})^{*} \\ \pi & & \pi & & \\ & \pi & & \pi & \\ & & & \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{i}}) & \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_{j}}) & \stackrel{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{k}}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps $\overline{\pi}$ are defined as follows: if J_1, \ldots, J_m are monomial ideals of S, then $\overline{\pi}: J_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus J_m^* \to J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_m$ is defined by

$$\overline{\pi}(f_1,\ldots,f_m)=(\pi(f_1),\ldots,\pi(f_m));$$

here π is the *K*-algebra homomorphism introduced in the first section. Let $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{n} i_j$. Consider (5) in each multidegree $\mathbf{d}^* = (d_{1,1}, \ldots, d_{1,i_1}, \ldots, d_{n,i_n}, \ldots, d_{n,i_n}) \in \mathbb{N}^{\eta}$ and let $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ where $d_j = d_{j,1} + \cdots + d_{j,i_j}$ for all j, namely

$$(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{i}})^{*})_{\mathbf{d}^{*}} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{*}} (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_{j}})^{*})_{\mathbf{d}^{*}} \xrightarrow{\beta^{*}} (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{k}})^{*})_{\mathbf{d}^{*}}$$

$$(6) \qquad \overline{\pi} \downarrow \cong \qquad \overline{\pi} \downarrow \cong \qquad \overline{\pi} \downarrow \cong \qquad \overline{\pi} \downarrow \cong \qquad (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{i}}))_{\mathbf{d}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_{j}}))_{\mathbf{d}} \xrightarrow{\beta} (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}_{k}}))_{\mathbf{d}}$$

The above diagram is commutative. Furthermore, the restriction of $\pi : S^* \to S$ to the multidegree d^* , namely $\pi : S_{d^*}^* \to S_d$, is an isomorphism of K-vector spaces. Therefore, the given restrictions of $\overline{\pi}$ in (6) are isomorphism of K-vector spaces. Hence the exactness of the second row in (6) implies the exactness of the first row.

Now we are ready to define the expansion functor $\mathcal{C}(S) \to \mathcal{C}(S^*)$. For each $M \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ we choose a multigraded free presentation

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_0 \to M \to 0,$$

and set $M^* = \operatorname{Coker} \varphi^*$. Let $\alpha : M \to N$ be a morphism in the category $\mathcal{C}(S)$, and let $F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_0 \to M \to 0$ and $G_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} G_0 \to N \to 0$ be respectively a multigraded free presentation of M and N. We choose a lifting of α :

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\alpha_1 \downarrow \qquad \alpha_0 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \alpha$$

$$G_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} G_0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

Let α^* be the map induced by maps α_0^* and α_1^* . It is easily seen this assignment defines an additive functor and as a consequence of Lemma 2.1, such defined expansion functor is exact. In particular we have

Theorem 2.2. Let M be a finitely generated multigraded S-module with a multigraded free resolution

$$\mathbb{F} \colon 0 \to F_p \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} F_{p-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0 \to 0.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{F}^* \colon 0 \to F_p^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_p^*} F_{p-1}^* \to \dots \to F_1^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^*} F_0^* \to 0$$

is an acyclic sequence of multigraded S^{*}-modules with $H_0(\mathbb{F}^*) = M^*$.

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal. The monomial ideal I^* as defined in Section 1 is isomorphic, as a multigraded S^* -module, to the module which is obtained by applying the expansion functor to I. Therefore, there is no ambiguity in our notation. Indeed, applying the expansion functor to the exact sequence of S-modules

$$0 \to I \hookrightarrow S \to S/I \to 0,$$

and using the fact that it is an exact functor we obtain that $(S/I)^* \cong S^*/I^*$. On the other hand, in order to compute $(S/I)^*$ we choose a multigraded free presentation

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} S \longrightarrow S/I \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $(S/I)^* \cong \operatorname{Coker}(\varphi_1^*)$. Since the image of φ_1^* coincides with the ideal I^* as defined in Section 1, the assertion follows.

3. The free S^* -resolution of M^*

Let M be a finitely generated multigraded S-module, and \mathbb{F} be a multigraded free resolution of M. We are going to construct a multigraded free resolution of M^* , the expansion of M with respect to the n-tuple (i_1, \ldots, i_n) , by the resolution \mathbb{F} . To have a better perspective on this construction, let

$$\mathbb{F}\colon 0\to F_p \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} F_{p-1}\to \dots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0\to 0,$$

and consider the acyclic complex \mathbb{F}^* , see Theorem 2.2,

$$\mathbb{F}^*\colon 0\to F_p^*\xrightarrow{\varphi_p^*}F_{p-1}^*\to\cdots\to F_1^*\xrightarrow{\varphi_1^*}F_0^*\to 0.$$

We will first construct a minimal multigraded free resolution \mathbb{G}_i of each F_i^* , and a natural lifting $\mathbb{G}_i \to \mathbb{G}_{i-1}$ of each map φ_i^* to obtain a double complex \mathbb{C} . Then we show that the total complex of \mathbb{C} is a free resolution of M^* , and it is minimal if the free resolution \mathbb{F} is minimal.

To obtain the desired resolution \mathbb{G}_i of F_i^* and a suitable lifting of φ_i^* we need some preparation. Let $J \subseteq S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a monomial ideal for which G(J) = $\{u_1,\ldots,u_m\}$ is the minimal set of monomial generators with a non-decreasing ordering with respect to their total degree. Suppose that J has linear quotients with respect to the ordering u_1, \ldots, u_m of generators. Then $set(u_j)$ is defined to be

$$set(u_j) = \{k \in [n] : x_k \in (u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}) : u_j\}$$
 for all $j = 1, \dots, m$

In [7, Lemma 1.5] a minimal multigraded free resolution $\mathbb{G}(J)$ of J is given as follows: the S-module $G_i(J)$ in homological degree i of $\mathbb{G}(J)$ is the multigraded free S-module whose basis is formed by the symbols

$$f(\sigma; u)$$
 with $u \in G(J)$, $\sigma \subseteq set(u)$, and $|\sigma| = i$.

Here deg $f(\sigma; u) = \sigma + \deg u$ where σ is identified with the (0, 1)-vector in \mathbb{N}^n whose *k*-th component is 1 if and only if $k \in \sigma$. The augmentation map $\varepsilon : G_0 \to J$ is defined by $\varepsilon(f(\emptyset; u)) = u$.

In [7] the chain map of $\mathbb{G}(J)$ for a class of such ideals is described. We first recall some definitions needed to present this chain map. Let M(J) be the set of all monomials belong to J. The map $g: M(J) \to G(J)$ is defined as follows: $g(u) = u_j$ if j is the smallest number such that $u \in (u_1, \ldots, u_j)$. This map is called the *decomposition function of* J. The *complementary factor* c(u) is defined to be the monomial for which u = c(u)g(u).

The decomposition function g is called *regular* if $set(g(x_s u)) \subseteq set(u)$ for all $s \in set(u)$ and $u \in G(J)$. By [7, Theorem 1.12] if the decomposition function of J is regular, then the chain map ∂ of $\mathbb{G}(J)$ is given by

$$\partial(f(\sigma; u)) = -\sum_{t \in \sigma} (-1)^{\alpha(\sigma, t)} x_t f(\sigma \setminus t; u) + \sum_{t \in \sigma} (-1)^{\alpha(\sigma, t)} \frac{x_t u}{g(x_t u)} f(\sigma \setminus t; g(x_t u)).$$

Here the definition is extended by setting $f(\sigma; u) = 0$ if $\sigma \not\subseteq set(u)$, and

$$\alpha(\sigma, t) = |\{s \in \sigma \colon s < t\}|.$$

Now let $P \subseteq S$ be a monomial prime ideal and a be a positive integer. Considering the lexicographic order on the minimal set of monomial generators of P^a by the ordering $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$, the ideal P^a has linear quotients. Moreover, set(u) = $\{1, \ldots, m(u) - 1\}$ for all u in the minimal set of monomial generators of P^a , where

$$m(u) = \max\{i \in [n] \colon x_i | u\}$$

We denote the decomposition function of P^a by g_a and the complementary factor of each monomial u by $c_a(u)$. The decomposition function g_a is regular. Hence one can apply the above result to find the chain map of the minimal free resolution of P^a . We denote by $(\mathbb{G}(P^a), \partial)$ the resolution of the *a*-th power of a monomial prime ideal P obtained in this way.

Let $u \in P^a$ be a monomial. We may write u uniquely in the form $u = v \prod_{k=1}^d x_{j_k}$ such that $v \notin P$, $x_{j_k} \in P$ for all k, and $j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_d$. Observe that with the above mentioned ordering on the minimal set of monomial generators of P^a we obtain

(7)
$$g_a(u) = \prod_{k=1}^a x_{j_k}.$$

Lemma 3.1. Let P be a monomial prime ideal and u be a monomial in the minimal set of monomial generators of P^a . If $a \ge b$, then

$$g_b(g_a(x_t u)) = g_b(x_t g_b(u))$$
 for all t.

Proof. Let $u = \prod_{k=1}^{a} x_{j_k}$ with $j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_a$. Then using formula (7) for g_a and g_b one has $g_b(g_a(x_t u))$ and $g_b(x_t g_b(u))$ are both equal to $x_t(\prod_{k=1}^{b-1} x_{j_k})$ if $t \leq j_b$. Otherwise they are both equal to $\prod_{k=1}^{b} x_{j_k}$.

Let $P \subseteq S$ be a monomial prime ideal and consider the resolutions $\mathbb{G}(P^a)$ and $\mathbb{G}(P^b)$. Suppose that $a \geq b$. For each s we define the map $\varphi_s^{a,b} \colon G_s(P^a) \to G_s(P^b)$, between the modules in homological degree s of $\mathbb{G}(P^a)$ and $\mathbb{G}(P^b)$, to be

$$\varphi_s^{a,b}(f(\sigma; u)) = c_b(u)f(\sigma; g_b(u)).$$

Here, as before, we set $f(\sigma; g_b(u)) = 0$ if $\sigma \not\subseteq \text{set}(g_b(u))$. We have the following result:

Proposition 3.2. The map $\varphi^{a,b} = (\varphi^{a,b}_s) \colon \mathbb{G}(P^a) \to \mathbb{G}(P^b)$ is a lifting of the inclusion S-homomorphism $\iota \colon P^a \to P^b$, that is, a complex homomorphism with $H_0(\varphi^{a,b}) = \iota$.

Proof. In this proof, for simplicity, we denote $\varphi_s^{a,b}$ by φ_s . First observe that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} G_0(P^a) & \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} & P^a \\ & & \varphi_0 \\ & & & & \downarrow^{\iota} \\ & & G_0(P^b) & \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} & P^b \end{array}$$

In fact for each element $f(\emptyset; u)$ in the basis of $G_0(P^a)$ we have

$$\varepsilon\varphi_0(f(\emptyset; u)) = \varepsilon(c_b(u)f(\emptyset; g_b(u))) = c_b(u)g_b(u) = u_b(u)g_b(u) = u_b(u)g_b(u)g_b(u) = u_b(u)g_b(u)g_b(u) = u_b(u)g_b$$

and also $\iota \varepsilon(f(\emptyset; u)) = u$. Therefore, the above diagram is commutative.

Next we show that the following diagram is commutative for all $s \ge 1$:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} G_s(P^a) & \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & G_{s-1}(P^a) \\ & \varphi_s & & & \downarrow^{\varphi_{s-1}} \\ & G_s(P^b) & \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & G_{s-1}(P^b) \end{array}$$

For each element $f(\sigma; u)$ in the basis of $G_s(P^a)$ one has

$$\varphi_{s-1}(\partial(f(\sigma; u))) = \varphi_{s-1}(-\sum_{t \in \sigma} (-1)^{\alpha(\sigma,t)} x_t f(\sigma \setminus t; u) + \sum_{t \in \sigma} (-1)^{\alpha(\sigma,t)} \frac{x_t u}{g_a(x_t u)} f(\sigma \setminus t; g_a(x_t u)))$$

$$= -\sum_{t \in \sigma} (-1)^{\alpha(\sigma,t)} x_t c_b(u) f(\sigma \setminus t; g_b(u)) + \sum_{t \in \sigma} (-1)^{\alpha(\sigma,t)} \frac{x_t u}{g_a(x_t u)} c_b(g_a(x_t u)) f(\sigma \setminus t; g_b(g_a(x_t u))),$$
10

and on the other hand

$$\partial(\varphi_s(f(\sigma; u))) = \partial(c_b(u)f(\sigma; g_b(u))) = -\sum_{t \in \sigma} (-1)^{\alpha(\sigma, t)} x_t c_b(u) f(\sigma \setminus t; g_b(u)) + \sum_{t \in \sigma} (-1)^{\alpha(\sigma, t)} c_b(u) \frac{x_t g_b(u)}{g_b(x_t g_b(u))} f(\sigma \setminus t; g_b(x_t g_b(u))).$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$f(\sigma \setminus t; g_b(g_a(x_t u))) = f(\sigma \setminus t; g_b(x_t g_b(u)).$$

Hence we only need to show that

$$\frac{uc_b(g_a(x_tu))}{g_a(x_tu)} = \frac{c_b(u)g_b(u)}{g_b(x_tg_b(u))}.$$

Using the facts $u = c_b(u)g_b(u)$ and $g_a(x_tu) = c_b(g_a(x_tu))g_b(g_a(x_tu))$, one has

$$\frac{u c_b(g_a(x_t u))}{g_a(x_t u)} = \frac{c_b(u)g_b(u) c_b(g_a(x_t u))}{c_b(g_a(x_t u)) g_b(g_a(x_t u))} = \frac{c_b(u)g_b(u)}{g_b(g_a(x_t u))} = \frac{c_b(u)g_b(u)}{g_b(x_t g_b(u))}$$

where the last equality is obtained by Lemma 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. Let $a \ge b \ge c$ be nonnegative integers. Then $\varphi^{a,c} = \varphi^{b,c} \circ \varphi^{a,b}$.

Proof. We must show that for all s if $f(\sigma; u)$ is an element in the basis of $G_s(P^a)$, then

$$c_c(u)f(\sigma;g_c(u)) = c_b(u) \ c_c(g_b(u))f(\sigma;g_c(g_b(u))).$$

First observe that by formula given in (7)

$$g_c(g_b(u)) = g_c(u).$$

Moreover,

$$c_b(u) \ c_c(g_b(u)) = c_b(u) \frac{g_b(u)}{g_c(g_b(u))} = \frac{u}{g_c(g_b(u))} = \frac{u}{g_c(u)} = c_c(u).$$

Let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a monomial. Recall that the expansion of ideals are given with respect to the fixed *n*-tuple (i_1, \ldots, i_n) , and as before we set $P_j = (x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \ldots, x_{ji_j}) \subseteq S^*$. We define the complex $\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}}$ to be

$$\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{G}(P_j^{a(j)}).$$

Proposition 3.4. The complex $\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is a minimal free resolution of $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})^*$.

Proof. The ideal $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})^*$ is the product of the ideals $P_j^{a(j)}$. Since the minimal generators of the ideals P_j are in pairwise distinct sets of variables, the assertion follows from the next simple fact. \Box

Lemma 3.5. Let J_1, \ldots, J_r be (multi)graded ideals of a polynomial ring S with the property that

 $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(S/(J_{1}\cdots J_{k-1}), S/J_{k}) = 0$ for all $k = 2, \ldots, r$ and all i > 0.

Let \mathbb{G}_k be a (multi)graded minimal free resolution of J_k for $k = 1, \ldots, r$. Then $\bigotimes_{k=1}^r \mathbb{G}_k$ is a (multi)graded minimal free resolution of $J_1 \cdots J_k$.

Proof. Let I and J be graded ideals of S with $\operatorname{Tor}_i^S(S/I, S/J) = 0$ for all i > 0, and let \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} be respectively graded minimal free resolutions of S/I and S/J. Suppose that $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}$ is the minimal free resolution of I obtained by \mathbb{F} , that is, we have $\widetilde{F}_i = F_{i+1}$ in each homological degree $i \ge 0$ of $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}$. In the same way, we consider the resolution $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$ for J. We will show that $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$ is a graded minimal free resolution of IJ. Then by induction on the number of ideals J_1, \ldots, J_r we conclude the desired statement.

First observe that $F_0 \cong S \cong G_0$ because \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} are graded minimal free resolutions of S/I and S/J. Now let \mathbb{D} be the subcomplex of $\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G}$ whose k-th component is $F_0 \otimes G_k \oplus F_k \otimes G_0$ for all k > 0 and its zeroth component is $F_0 \otimes G_0$. Hence $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \cong ((\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G})/\mathbb{D})[2]$. If $\iota : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G}$ is the natural inclusion map, then we have the following short exact sequence of the complexes:

(8)
$$0 \to \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G} \to (\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}})[-2] \to 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(S/I, S/J) = 0$ for all i > 0, we have $H_{i}(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G}) = 0$ if i > 0; see for example [8, Theorem 10.22]. Furthermore, $H_{i}(\mathbb{D}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. Hence by the long exact sequence of homology modules arising from (8) one has $H_{i}(\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}) = 0$ if $i \neq 0$, and $H_{0}(\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}) = H_{2}(\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}})[-2] \cong H_{1}(\mathbb{D})$. So we only need to show that $H_{1}(\mathbb{D}) \cong IJ$.

The complex $F_0 \otimes \mathbb{G}$ may be considered as a subcomplex of \mathbb{D} by natural inclusion map $\iota' : F_0 \otimes \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{D}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes G_0 \cong (\mathbb{D}/(F_0 \otimes \mathbb{G}))[1]$, and we have the following short exact sequence of complexes:

(9)
$$0 \to F_0 \otimes \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\iota'} \mathbb{D} \to (\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes G_0)[-1] \to 0.$$

The short exact sequence (9) yields the following exact sequence of homology modules

$$0 \to H_1(\mathbb{D}) \to H_1((\widetilde{\mathbb{F}} \otimes G_0)[-1]) \to H_0(F_0 \otimes \mathbb{G}) \to H_0(\mathbb{D}) \to 0$$

which is isomorphic to

$$0 \to H_1(\mathbb{D}) \to I \to S/J \to S/(I+J) \to 0.$$

Thus $H_1(\mathbb{D}) \cong I \cap J$. Now $(I \cap J)/(IJ) = \operatorname{Tor}_1^S(S/I, S/J) = 0$; see for example [8, Proposition 10.20]. Hence $I \cap J = IJ$ and consequently $H_1(\mathbb{D}) \cong IJ$, as desired.

Let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in S$ be monomials such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} | \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$, that is, $b(j) \leq a(j)$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. The complex homomorphisms $\varphi^{a(j),b(j)} \colon \mathbb{G}(P_j^{a(j)}) \to \mathbb{G}(P_j^{b(j)})$ induce the complex homomorphism $\varphi^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} \colon \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}} \to \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{b}}$ by $\varphi^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \varphi^{a(j),b(j)}$. Then $\varphi^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}$ is a lifting of the inclusion map $\iota \colon (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})^* \to (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}})^*$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \in S$ be monomials such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} | \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} | \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$. Then

$$(\varphi^{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c}}) \circ (\varphi^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}) = \varphi^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{c}}.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 3.3.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in S$ be monomials such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} | \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ and for some $t \in [n]$ b(t) < a(t). Then each component of $\varphi^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}$ is minimal, i.e.

$$\varphi_s^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(G_s^{\mathbf{a}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^*G_s^{\mathbf{b}}$$

for all s where \mathfrak{m}^* is the graded maximal ideal of S^* .

Proof. Consider the number t for which b(t) < a(t). For each s one has

(10)
$$\varphi_s^{a(t),b(t)}(f(\sigma;u)) = c_{b(t)}(u)f(\sigma;g_{b(t)}(u))$$

for all $f(\sigma; u)$ in the basis of $G_s(P_t^{a(t)})$. Since b(t) < a(t), formula (7) implies that $g_{b(t)}(u) \neq u$ in (10). Hence $c_{b(t)}(u) \in \mathfrak{m}^*$, and consequently

$$\varphi_s^{a(t),b(t)}(f(\sigma;u)) \in \mathfrak{m}^*G_s(P_t^{b(t)}).$$

Now the desired result follows from the definition of $\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}}$, $\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{b}}$, and $\varphi^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}$.

Let M be a finitely generated multigraded S-module, and suppose that

$$\mathbb{F}: 0 \to F_p \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} F_{p-1} \to \dots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0 \to 0$$

is a minimal multigraded free resolution of M with $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-\mathbf{a}_{ij})$. By Theorem 2.2 we obtain the acyclic complex

$$\mathbb{F}^*\colon 0\to F_p^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_p^*} F_{p-1}^*\to \dots \to F_1^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^*} F_0^*\to 0.$$

Now by Proposition 3.4 the complex $\mathbb{G}_i = \bigoplus_j \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}}$ is a minimal multigraded free resolution of $F_i^* = \bigoplus_j (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}})^*$. For each *i* if $[\lambda_{\ell k}]$ is the monomial expression of φ_i , then we set $d : \bigoplus_j \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}} \to \bigoplus_j \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{(i-1)j}}$ to be the complex homomorphism whose restriction to $\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{ik}}$ and $\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{(i-1),\ell}}$ is $\lambda_{\ell k} \varphi^{\mathbf{a}_{ik},\mathbf{a}_{(i-1),\ell}}$. Thus *d* is a lifting of φ_i^* and moreover by Lemma 3.6 we have a double complex \mathbb{C} with $C_{ij} = G_{ij}$, namely the module in homological degree *j* of the complex \mathbb{G}_i , whose column differentials are given by the differentials ∂ of each \mathbb{G}_i and row differentials are given by *d*.

$$0 \to F_p^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_p^*} F_{p-1}^* \to \dots \to F_1^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^*} F_0^* \to 0$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$G_{p0} \xrightarrow{d} G_{p-1 0} \to \dots \to G_{10} \xrightarrow{d} G_{00}$$

$$\uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial}$$

$$G_{p1} \xrightarrow{d} G_{p-1 1} \to \dots \to G_{11} \xrightarrow{d} G_{01}$$

$$\uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial}$$

$$G_{p2} \xrightarrow{d} G_{p-1 2} \to \dots \to G_{12} \xrightarrow{d} G_{02}$$

$$\uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial} \qquad \uparrow^{\partial}$$

We have the following result:

Theorem 3.8. The total complex $T(\mathbb{C})$ of \mathbb{C} is a minimal multigraded free resolution of M^* .

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 the following sequence of multigraded modules is acyclic

$$\mathbb{F}^* \colon 0 \to F_p^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_p^*} F_{p-1}^* \to \dots \to F_1^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^*} F_0^* \to 0,$$

and $H_0(\mathbb{F}^*) \cong M^*$. For each *i* the complex $\mathbb{G}_i = \bigoplus_j \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}}$ is a minimal multigraded free resolution of F_i^* because each $\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}}$ is a minimal free resolution of $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}})^*$, as we know by Proposition 3.4.

We compute the spectral sequences with respect to the column filtration of the double complex \mathbb{C} . So $E_{r,s}^1 = H_s^v(\mathbb{C}_{r,\bullet}) = H_s(\mathbb{G}_r)$. Since each \mathbb{G}_r is an acyclic sequence with $H_0(\mathbb{G}_r) \cong F_r^*$, one has $E_{r,s}^1 = 0$ if $s \neq 0$, and $E_{r,0}^1 \cong F_r^*$. Next we consider $E_{r,s}^2 = H_r^h(H_s^v(\mathbb{C}))$. So $E_{r,s}^2 = 0$ if $s \neq 0$, and $E_{r,0}^2 = H_r(\mathbb{F}^*)$. By Theorem 2.2 we have $H_r(\mathbb{F}^*) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$ and $H_0(\mathbb{F}^*) = M^*$. Thus we see that $E_{r,s}^2 = 0$ for $(r,s) \neq (0,0)$ and $E_{0,0}^2 = M^*$. This implies that the total complex $T(\mathbb{C})$ of \mathbb{C} is a multigraded free resolution of M^* ; see for example [8, Proposition 10.17].

Since each \mathbb{G}_i is a minimal free resolution of F_i^* , and moreover by Lemma 3.7 each component of d is also minimal, we conclude that the free resolution $T(\mathbb{C})$ of M^* is minimal. \Box

4. Homological properties of M^*

This section concerns some homological properties of the expansion of a finitely generated multigraded S-module M with respect to a fixed n-tuple (i_1, \ldots, i_n) . We call

$$\mathcal{P}_M(t) = \sum_{\substack{j=0\\14}}^p \beta_j t^j$$

the *Betti polynomial of* M where β_j is the *j*-th Betti number of M and p is its projective dimension.

First we need to have the Betti numbers of I^* for the case that $I = (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})$ is a principal ideal, and hence I^* is a product of powers of monomial prime ideals in pairwise distinct sets of variables. We have the following fact:

Proposition 4.1. Let $I = (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})$ with $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. Then I^* has a linear resolution with

$$\mathcal{P}_{I^*}(t) = \prod_{j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} P_j(t),$$

where

$$P_{j}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{i_{j}-1} {i_{j}+a_{j}-1 \choose i_{j}-i-1} {a_{j}+i-1 \choose i} t^{i}$$

In particular, proj dim $I^* = \sum_{j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} (i_j - 1).$

Proof. By Lemma 3.5 it is enough to compute the Betti numbers for powers of monomial prime ideals. So let $R = K[y_1, \ldots, y_r]$ be a polynomial ring and consider $J = (y_1, \ldots, y_r)^s$ for some positive integer s. Then Eagon-Northcatt complex resolving J gives the Betti numbers; see for example [2]. Alternatively one can use the Herzog-Kühl formula to obtain the Betti numbers [6, Theorem 1]. In fact, first observe that J is Cohen-Macaulay and it has linear resolution; see [4, Theorem 3.1]. Next by Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, one has proj dim(J) = r - 1. Therefore, by Herzog-Kühl formula one obtains that

$$\beta_{j}(J) = \frac{s(s+1)\cdots(s+j-1)(s+j)(s+j+1)\cdots(s+r-1)}{j!\times(r-j-1)!}$$
$$= \frac{(s+r-1)!}{(r-j-1)!(s+j)!} \times \frac{(s+j-1)!}{j!(s-1)!}$$
$$= \binom{r+s-1}{r-j-1}\binom{s+j-1}{j}.$$

Theorem 4.2. Let M be a finitely generated multigraded S-module with the minimal multigraded free resolution

$$\mathbb{F} \colon 0 \to F_p \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} F_{p-1} \to \dots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0 \to 0,$$

where $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-\mathbf{a}_{ij})$ for i = 0, ..., p. Let M^* be the expansion of M with respect to $(i_1, i_2, ..., i_n)$. Then

$$\beta_{jk}(M^*) = \sum_{i=0}^p \beta_{j-i,k}(F_i^*) \quad \text{for all } j \text{ and } k,$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{reg}(M) = \operatorname{reg}(M^*)$ and

$$\operatorname{proj} \dim M^* = \max_{i,j} \{ i + \sum_{k \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a}_{ij})} (i_k - 1) \}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.8 we have

$$\beta_{jk}(M^*) = \sum_{i=0}^p \beta_{j-i,k}(F_i^*) \quad \text{for all } j \text{ and } k.$$

Next we show that $\operatorname{reg}(M) = \operatorname{reg}(M^*)$. If $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is a vector, we denote $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ by |a|. For each $F_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_i} S(-\mathbf{a}_{ij})$ we choose $\mathbf{a}_{i\ell_i}$ such that

$$|\mathbf{a}_{i\ell_i}| = \max\{|\mathbf{a}_{ij}|: j = 1, \dots, \beta_i\}.$$

Then $\operatorname{reg}(M) = \max\{|\mathbf{a}_{i\ell_i}| - i : i = 0, \dots, p\}.$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{G}_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_i} \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}}$, and $\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}}$ is a $|\mathbf{a}_{ij}|$ -linear resolution of $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}})^*$. Hence if for a fixed j we set $r_j = \max\{k - j : \beta_{jk}(M^*) \neq 0\}$, then

$$r_{j} = \max\{(|\mathbf{a}_{i\ell_{i}}| + (j - i)) - j : i = 0, \dots, j\} \\ = \max\{|\mathbf{a}_{i\ell_{i}}| - i : i = 0, \dots, j\},\$$

and $\operatorname{reg}(M^*) = \max\{r_j \colon j = 0, \dots, p\}$. This yields $\operatorname{reg}(M) = \operatorname{reg}(M^*)$.

By Theorem 3.8 the complex $T(\mathbb{C})$ is a minimal free resolution of M^* . Therefore,

$$\operatorname{proj} \dim M^* = \max_i \{i + \operatorname{proj} \dim F_i^* : i = 0, \dots, p\}.$$

On the other hand, since $F_i^* = \bigoplus_j (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}})^*$, we conclude that projdim F_i^* is the maximum number among the numbers projdim $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}})^*$. Hence

$$\operatorname{proj} \dim M^* = \max_{i,j} \{i + \operatorname{proj} \dim(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}})^*\}$$

Now by Proposition 4.1

proj dim
$$M^* = \max_{i,j} \{i + \sum_{k \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a}_{ij})} (i_k - 1)\}.$$

As a result of Theorem 4.2 we obtain the following:

Corollary 4.3. Let M be a finitely generated multigraded S-module. Then M has a d-linear resolution if and only if M^* has a d-linear resolution;

Remark 4.4. In Theorem 4.2 the Betti numbers of M^* are given in terms of the Betti numbers of S^* -modules F_i^* . Each F_i^* is a direct sum of ideals of the form $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}})^*$. So one can apply Proposition 4.1 to obtain a more explicit formula for Betti numbers of M^* .

Let M be a finitely generated multigraded S-module with a minimal multigraded free resolution

$$\mathbb{F}\colon 0\to F_p \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} F_{p-1}\to\cdots\to F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0\to 0,$$

where $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-\mathbf{a}_{ij})$ for $i = 0, \ldots, p$. We call a shift \mathbf{a}_{ij} in \mathbb{F} an *extremal* multigraded shift of M if for all multigraded shifts $\mathbf{a}_{k\ell}$ in \mathbb{F} with k > i the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}}$ does not divide $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{k\ell}}$. Then by Theorem 4.2

proj dim
$$M^* = \max\{i + \sum_{k \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a}_{ij})} (i_k - 1): \mathbf{a}_{ij} \text{ is an extremal shift of } \mathbb{F}\}.$$

This is not always the case that the extremal shifts of \mathbb{F} only appear in F_p . For example, consider the ideal $I = (x_1x_4x_6, x_2x_4x_6, x_3x_4x_5, x_3x_4x_6)$ and its minimal multigraded free resolution \mathbb{F} . Here for simplicity we write monomials rather than shifts:

$$\mathbb{F} \colon 0 \to (x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6) \to (x_1 x_2 x_4 x_6) \oplus (x_1 x_3 x_4 x_6) \oplus (x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6) \oplus (x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6) \\ \to (x_1 x_4 x_6) \oplus (x_2 x_4 x_6) \oplus (x_3 x_4 x_5) \oplus (x_3 x_4 x_6) \to 0.$$

Then (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1) corresponding to the monomial $x_3x_4x_5x_6$ is an extremal multigraded shift of \mathbb{F} . However, if M is Cohen-Macaulay, then the extremal multigraded shifts appear only in the last module of the minimal multigraded free resolution, otherwise there exists a free direct summand in *i*-th syzygy module of M for some $i < \text{proj} \dim M$. But by a result of Dutta this cannot happen; see [3, Corollary 1.2].

References

- [1] M. Brodmann, Asymptotic stability of $Ass(M/I^nM)$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1979), no. 1, 16–18.
- [2] W. Bruns and U. Vetter, *Determinantal Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1327, Springer, 1988.
- [3] S. P. Dutta, Syzygies and homological conjectures, Commutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 15, Springer, New York, 1989, 139-156.
- [4] A. Conca, J. Herzog, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of products of ideals, Collect. Math. 54 (2003), no. 2, 137-152.
- [5] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, N. V. Trung, X. Zheng, Standard graded vertex cover algebras, cycles and leaves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 12, 6231-6249.
- [6] J. Herzog, M. Kühl, On the Betti numbers of finite pure and linear resolutions, Comm. Algebra 12 (1984), no. 13-14, 1627-1646.
- [7] J. Herzog, Y. Takayama, *Resolutions by mapping cones*, The Roos Festschrift volume 4, Ho-mology, Homotopy and Applications, (2002), no. 2, part 2, 277-294.
- [8] J. J. Rotman, An introduction to homological algebra, Second edition, Universitext. Springer, New York, 2009.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, AMIRKABIR UNIVERSITY OF TECH-NOLOGY (TEHRAN POLYTECHNIC), 424 HAFEZ AVE., TEHRAN 15914, IRAN *E-mail address:* shamilabayati@gmail.com

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, CAMPUS ESSEN, 45117 ES-SEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: juergen.herzog@uni-essen.de