

May, 2012

# Sigma Model BPS Lumps on Torus

Atsushi Nakamura<sup>1</sup> and Shin Sasaki<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Physics  
Kitasato University  
Sagamihara 228-8555, Japan*

## Abstract

We study doubly periodic BPS lumps in supersymmetric  $CP^{N-1}$  non-linear sigma models on a torus  $T^2$ . Following the Harrington-Shepard construction of calorons in Yang-Mills theory, we obtain the  $n$ -lump solutions on compact spaces by suitably arranging the  $n$ -lumps on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  at equal intervals. We examine the modular invariance of the solutions and find that there are no modular invariant solutions for  $n = 1, 2$  in this construction.

---

<sup>1</sup>nakamura(at)sci.kitasato-u.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup>shin-s(at)kitasato-u.ac.jp

# 1 Introduction

Instantons in Yang-Mills theories at finite temperature have been extensively investigated in the past years. Instantons in finite temperature, commonly called calorons, were first studied by Harrington and Shepard [1]. The first explicit example of such kind of solution is the 1-instanton in  $SU(2)$  gauge theory living in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$ . The radius of the compact space  $S^1$  is naturally interpreted as the inverse of the temperature  $T$ . The Harrington-Shepard caloron is derived by arranging the BPST instanton [2] with the equal interval  $T^{-1}$ . The authors of [1] started from the BPST instanton in the 't Hooft ansatz:

$$A_m^c = \eta_{mn}^c \partial^n \log \phi(x), \quad \phi(x) = 1 + \frac{\rho^2}{(x_m - a_m)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $c = 1, 2, 3$  is the  $SU(2)$  gauge index,  $\eta_{mn}^c$  is the 't Hooft symbol and  $a_m, \rho$  are the position and the size of the instanton. The 1-instanton in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$  is obtained by summing up all the BPST instantons placed periodically along  $x^4$  direction, namely, replacing  $\phi(x)$  by

$$\phi(\vec{x}, T) = 1 + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^2}{\vec{x}^2 + (x_4 - kT^{-1})^2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and we have taken  $a_m = 0$  for simplicity. Therefore the calorons are interpreted as the periodic instantons [3]. However, the Harrington-Shepard construction can not be applied to the general solutions including all the moduli parameters. This is because the 't Hooft ansatz does not contain all the moduli. One needs to consider the Nahm construction [4] of calorons which provides a strong scheme to study the structure of solutions or moduli spaces. A natural generalization of calorons are doubly periodic instantons on a torus  $T^2$ . Instantons on a torus, sometimes called torons, are studied in various contexts [5, 6].

Sometimes problems in gauge theories are simplified when one considers non-linear sigma models that are recognized as the strong gauge coupling limit of the UV theories. Actually, explicit constructions of instantons or calorons are possible in non-linear sigma models. For example, instantons of the sigma models in two dimensions has very simple structures. These two dimensional instantons are called lumps. The lumps in the sigma models are studied in quite detail. The explicit construction of lumps, moduli space structure, and scattering process [7, 8] has been studied. Recently, the constituent structure of the lumps in the non-linear sigma models is studied [9, 10, 11]. In [9], it is discussed that once the lumps with twisted boundary conditions are considered in compact spaces, the constituent structure of a lump appears. The constituent structure of lumps on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  is quite similar to the calorons in Yang-Mills theories with non-trivial holonomies [12].

The aim of this paper is to find explicit solutions of BPS lumps in supersymmetric non-linear sigma models on a torus  $T^2$  for the arbitrary charge  $n$ . The sigma model lumps with  $n \geq 2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are obtained by multiplying the charge-1 solution by  $n$  times. We will show that the same is true even for the lumps in compact spaces. Following the Harrington-Shepard philosophy, we will collect all lumps aligned in two distinct directions and find the doubly periodic solutions on  $T^2$ . These lumps are constructed by the collection of the rational maps. The modular invariance of the solution is also examined.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we define the model. We consider the supersymmetric  $CP^{N-1}$  model and the BPS equation for lumps. In section 3, focusing on

the  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  model, we construct doubly periodic solutions on a torus starting from the charge- $n$  solutions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The modular invariance of the solution will be studied. We will show that the non-trivial boundary conditions on  $T^2$  enable one to have lumps with fractional topological charges. Section 4 is devoted to conclusion and discussions.

## 2 $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model and BPS equations

In this section, we construct  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric  $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1} \sim SU(N)/[SU(N-1) \times U(1)]$  sigma model in four dimensions. We follow the Wess-Bagger conventions [13]. The space-time metric is given by  $\eta_{mn} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$ . Following the quotient construction of sigma-models [14], the Lagrangian in four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superspace is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \left( \Phi_i^\dagger e^{2V} \Phi_i - cV \right), \quad (i = 1, \dots, N), \quad (3)$$

where the chiral superfields  $\vec{\Phi} = \Phi_i$  are the fundamental representation ( $\mathbf{N}$ ) of the global  $SU(N)$  symmetry,  $V$  is the  $U(1)$  vector superfield and  $c > 0$  is the Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) parameter. The component expansion of the chiral superfield is given by

$$\Phi_i(y, \theta) = \phi_i(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi_i(y) + \theta^2 F_i(y), \quad (4)$$

while the the vector superfield in the Wess-Zumino gauge is

$$V = -\theta\sigma^m\bar{\theta}A_m + i\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda} - i\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\theta\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D. \quad (5)$$

In the following, we consider the bosonic part of the Lagrangian (3). The Lagrangian in the component form is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -(D_m\phi_i)(D^m\phi_i)^\dagger + D(\phi_i\bar{\phi}_i - c) + F_i\bar{F}_i, \quad (6)$$

where  $D_m^* = \partial_m^* + iA_m^*$  is the  $U(1)$  gauge covariant derivative. From the D-term condition, we have the constraint for the  $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ ,

$$|\phi_i|^2 = c, \quad (7)$$

while the F-term condition is trivial. Therefore the Lagrangian is rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L} = -|D_m\phi_i|^2, \quad |\phi_i|^2 = c. \quad (8)$$

Since the gauge field does not have the kinetic term, it is eliminated by the equation of motion,

$$A_m = i\frac{c^{-1}}{2}(\bar{\phi}_i\partial_m\phi_i - \partial_m\bar{\phi}_i\phi_i). \quad (9)$$

Next, we consider the BPS equation for lumps which depends on the two dimensional directions  $x^a$  ( $a = 1, 2$ ). The lumps are instantons in two-dimensional sigma models. In the following, we consider two-dimensional models though we started from four dimensions. The construction of

the two-dimensional models is the same with that in four dimensions. In this case the model becomes the two-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$   $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$  model.

The static energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int d^2x \left[ \frac{1}{2} |D_a \phi_i \pm i \varepsilon_{ab} D_b \phi_i|^2 \pm i \varepsilon_{ab} (D_a \phi_i) (D_b \phi_i)^\dagger \right] \\ &\geq \pm \int d^2x i \varepsilon_{ab} (D_a \phi_i) (D_b \phi_i)^\dagger \\ &= \pm 2\pi c Q \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $\varepsilon_{12} = -1$  is the anti-symmetric epsilon symbol and the topological charge  $Q$  has been defined as

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{1}{2\pi c} \int d^2z (|D_z \phi_i|^2 - |D_{\bar{z}} \phi_i|^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2x \varepsilon^{ab} F_{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here the complex coordinate in two dimensions are defined as  $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^1 + ix^2)$ . The gauge field and the covariant derivative are complexified by the same way. From the energy bound in eq. (10), the BPS condition is given by

$$D_a \phi_i \pm i \varepsilon_{ab} D_b \phi_i = 0, \quad (12)$$

or equivalently,

$$D_{\bar{z}} \phi = 0, \quad D_z \phi = 0. \quad (13)$$

The first and the second conditions in the above correspond to the plus and minus signs in eq. (12) respectively. In the following, we focus on the first condition.

To satisfy the constraint (7), it is convenient to consider the following field decomposition:

$$\phi_i = W_i \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{W_j^\dagger W_j}}, \quad (14)$$

where  $W_i$  is an  $N$ -component vector. Then one easily finds that the BPS equation becomes

$$D_{\bar{z}} \phi_i = \sqrt{c} P(\partial_{\bar{z}} W_i) (W^\dagger \cdot W)^{-1/2} = 0, \quad (15)$$

where  $P_{ij} \equiv 1_{ij} - W_i \frac{1}{W^\dagger \cdot W} W_j^\dagger$  is the projection operator. Therefore  $W_i$  is a holomorphic function. Using the gauge symmetry, we fix the gauge as

$$W_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ w_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\hat{i} = 2, \dots, N). \quad (16)$$

The topological charge for the BPS lump is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{1}{2\pi c} \int d^2z \frac{c}{W^\dagger \cdot W} \partial_{\bar{z}} W^\dagger P \partial_z W \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \frac{1}{(1 + |w_i|^2)^2} [(1 + |w_i|^2) |\partial w_i|^2 - w_i \bar{\partial} \bar{w}_i \bar{w}_j \partial w_j]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since we have the relation  $\partial\bar{\partial}\log(W^\dagger \cdot W) = \frac{1}{W^\dagger \cdot W} \bar{\partial}W_i^\dagger P_{ij} \partial W_j$ , the topological charge is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \partial\bar{\partial}\log(W^\dagger \cdot W) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2z [\partial\bar{\partial}\log(W^\dagger \cdot W) + \bar{\partial}\partial\log(W^\dagger \cdot W)] \\ &= \frac{i}{4\pi} \oint [\bar{\partial}\log(W^\dagger \cdot W)d\bar{z} - \partial\log(W^\dagger \cdot W)dz]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Therefore the topological charge is determined by the residue of the function  $U \equiv \partial\log(W^\dagger \cdot W)$ ,

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Res}_z(U) + \text{Res}_z(\bar{U})). \quad (19)$$

Since the energy (10) is invariant under the conformal transformation in two-dimensional plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the field is defined on the conformally compactified  $S^2$ . The lumps are therefore harmonic maps from  $S^2$  to  $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$  which are classified by integers, namely, the topological charges.

### 3 BPS lumps

In this section, we solve the BPS equation on a torus  $T^2$ . Before going to the totally compactified space  $T^2$ , we establish the relations between the lump solutions in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ . In the following, we consider the  $N = 2$  case, namely, the  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  model. In this case, only the non-trivial component in  $W_i$  is  $w_2 \equiv u(z)$  and the topological charge is given by

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \frac{|\partial u|^2}{(1 + |u|^2)^2}. \quad (20)$$

#### 3.1 Lumps on $\mathbb{R}^2$

Let us start from the 1-lump solution  $u^{(1)}$ . The solution to the BPS equation (13) should be a holomorphic function and it is required to be settled down to the vacuum asymptotically. When we take the base point (vacuum) condition  $u^{(1)}(\infty) = 0$ , the 1-lump solution is given by

$$u^{(1)}(z) = \frac{\lambda}{z - \check{z}_1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \check{z}_1 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (21)$$

The residue of the function  $U$  associated with the solution (21) is evaluated at the pole  $z = \check{z}_1$ , giving the expected result  $Q = 1$ . When one considers a different base point condition, for example  $u^{(1)}(\infty) = 1$ , the 1-lump solution is given by

$$u^{(1)}(z) = \frac{z - \hat{z}_1}{z - \check{z}_1}, \quad \hat{z}_1 \neq \check{z}_1, \quad \hat{z}_1, \check{z}_1 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (22)$$

For this solution, the topological charge density  $q$  is

$$q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\lambda^2}{(|z - z_1|^2 + \lambda^2)^2}, \quad (23)$$

where we have defined the parameters  $z_1 \equiv \frac{\hat{z}_1 - \check{z}_1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda \equiv \frac{|\hat{z}_1 - \check{z}_1|}{2}$  which are interpreted as the position and the size of the lump. The profile of the energy density is found in fig 1. Similarly, for the base point condition  $u^{(1)}(\infty) = \infty$ , we have the 1-lump solution  $u^{(1)}(z) = \lambda(z - \hat{z}_1)$ .

Generalizations to the multi-lump solutions are straightforward. The  $n$ -lump solutions  $u^{(n)}$  are obtained by multiplying the 1-lump solutions  $n$  times. The result is meromorphic rational functions with degree  $n$ . For the base point condition  $u^{(n)}(\infty) = 1$ , the solutions are given by

$$u^{(n)}(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{z - \hat{z}_k}{z - \check{z}_k}, \quad \hat{z}_k \neq \check{z}_j \text{ (for any } j, k). \quad (24)$$

The dimension of the moduli space is  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{N=1, n} = 4n$ .

### 3.2 Lumps on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$

Next, we consider the lumps on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  by compactifying one space-time direction. Without loss of generality, one can consider the imaginary direction in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  as the compact direction. Following the Harrington-Shepard philosophy, we arrange the infinite number of the 1-lump solution (21) at the equal interval  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  along the imaginary direction. Namely, we write down the 1-lump solution on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(1)}(z, \beta) &= \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{z - z_0 - i\beta k} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{z - z_0} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^2/\beta^2}{(z - z_0)^2/\beta^2 + k^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where we have multiplied by the 1-lump solutions so that the solution  $u^{(1)}(z, \beta)$  has poles at  $z = z_0 + i\beta k$ . Since the infinite product of the 1-lump solution diverges, we employ the  $\zeta$ -function regularization to find the finite solution:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^2}{\beta^2} = (\lambda/\beta)^{-1/2}. \quad (26)$$

After the regularization, we find the solution is obtained as

$$u^{(1)}(z, \beta) = \frac{1}{2 \sinh \pi \beta^{-1}(z - z_0)}. \quad (27)$$

For this solution, the poles of the function  $U$  is at  $z = z_0$ , and it is easy to find that the topological charge for this solution is  $Q = 1$ . Since the solution (27) satisfies the anti-periodic boundary condition  $u^{(1)}(z + i\beta, \beta) = -u^{(1)}(z, \beta)$ , the solution is allowed only when the twisted boundary condition is imposed. This solution has been discussed in [9, 10] in the context of the constituent structure of sigma model lumps on the compact space. In [9, 10], the authors introduced non-trivial holonomy parameters in the solution (27) and studied its partonic nature.

Next, we consider the 1-lump solution (22) by choosing the base point condition  $u^{(1)}(\infty) = 1$ . Again, we arrange the solution along  $\check{z}_k = 2\nu + i\beta k$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We further demand




Figure 1: Charge density for the solution (22) with  $\hat{z}_1 = 4$ ,  $\hat{z}_1 = 2$  (left), for the solution (28) with  $\beta = 2$ ,  $\lambda = 0.4$ ,  $\nu = 0.1$ , (middle). Charge density for the solution (31),  $n = 2$  case (right).  $\beta = 2$ ,  $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1.4$ ,  $\nu_1 = 0.1$ ,  $\nu_2 = 1.1$ .

that the zeros appear at  $\hat{z}_k = 2\lambda + i\beta k$ . By this zero point condition, the size of the 1-lump (22) does not diverge and is fixed to be  $|\lambda - \nu|$ . The position of the lump in one period is  $\lambda - \nu$ . Then we obtain the solution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{(1)}(z, \beta) &= \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z - 2\lambda - i\beta k}{z - 2\nu - i\beta k} \right) \\
&= \frac{z - 2\lambda}{z - 2\nu} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2}{\beta^{-2}(z - 2\nu)^2 + k^2} \frac{\beta^{-2}(z - 2\lambda)^2 + k^2}{k^2} \\
&= \frac{\sinh \pi \beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda)}{\sinh \pi \beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu)}. \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the good base point condition, we do not need any regularization. Moreover, the solution preserves the periodic boundary condition,

$$u(z + i\beta, \beta) = u(z, \beta). \tag{29}$$

The energy profile for this solution is given in fig 1. Since the poles of the function  $U$  is at  $z = 2\nu$  inside the one period, the residue is evaluated as  $\text{Res}_{z=2\nu} \partial \log W^\dagger W = 1$  at the pole. Therefore the topological charge is given by  $Q = 1$ . One can easily find that the decompactification limit  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  of the solution is given by

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} u^{(1)}(z, \beta) = \frac{z - 2\lambda}{z - 2\nu}. \tag{30}$$

The  $n$ -lump generalization is straightforward. This is obtained from the solution (24) on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The result is

$$u^{(n)}(z, \beta) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\sinh \pi \beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda_k)}{\sinh \pi \beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu_k)}. \tag{31}$$

The charge density profile for this solution is found in fig 1 for  $n = 2$  case.

### 3.3 Lumps on $T^2$

In this subsection, we construct multi-lump solution on a torus  $T^2$ . By suitably arranging the solutions on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ , we will find the lump solutions with topological charges  $Q = n \geq 1$ . It is known that there is no harmonic map from the genus  $g$  Riemann surface to  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \sim S^2$  when the degree  $n$  is less than  $g$  [15, 16, 17]. Therefore we expect that there is no  $Q = 1$  periodic solution on a torus. Actually, as we will see, the  $n = 1$  lump constructed below does not show the doubly periodic property. When  $n \geq 2$ , the solutions can be doubly periodic and are rewritten as elliptic functions. Even more, for the cases  $n \geq 3$ , the solutions show the modular invariance.

Let us begin with the solution (28) on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ , a lump aligned in the imaginary direction. In order to find solutions on  $T^2$ , we locate the solution (28) at the interval  $\gamma$  in the real direction. Assuming that  $\gamma/\beta > 0$ , the array of  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  lumps with interval  $\gamma$  in the real axes is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(1)}(z, \beta, \gamma) &= \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh \pi\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda - \gamma k)}{\sinh \pi\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu - \gamma k)} \\ &= \frac{\sinh \pi\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda)}{\sinh \pi\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - e^{-2\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma k} e^{2\pi\beta^{-1}(z-2\lambda)})(1 - e^{-2\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma k} e^{-2\pi\beta^{-1}(z-2\lambda)})}{(1 - e^{-2\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma k} e^{2\pi\beta^{-1}(z-2\nu)})(1 - e^{-2\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma k} e^{-2\pi\beta^{-1}(z-2\nu)})}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

We can rewrite this infinite product as the pseudo periodic  $\theta$ -functions by using the formula <sup>3</sup>

$$\theta_1(v, \tau) = q_0 q^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}^{-1}}{i} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2k} \mathbf{z}^2)(1 - q^{2k} \mathbf{z}^{-2}), \quad (33)$$

$$q_0 = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2k}), \quad q = e^{i\pi\tau}, \quad \mathbf{z} = e^{i\pi v}, \quad \text{Im}\tau > 0. \quad (34)$$

Then the 1-lump solution on  $T^2$  is given in the simple closed form:

$$u^{(1)}(z, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda), i|\beta^{-1}\gamma|)}{\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu), i|\beta^{-1}\gamma|)}, \quad (35)$$

where  $\tau = i|\beta^{-1}\gamma|$  and  $\text{Im}\tau = |\beta^{-1}\gamma| > 0$  is satisfied. The expression is valid even for the case  $\beta^{-1}\gamma < 0$ . Again, we do not need any regularization for the multiplication of the solution (28). Using the property of the theta function,

$$\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}z - 1, i\beta^{-1}\gamma) = -\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}z, i\beta^{-1}\gamma), \quad (36)$$

$$\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}z + i\beta^{-1}\gamma, i\beta^{-1}\gamma) = -e^{2\pi\beta^{-1}z} e^{\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma} \theta_1(i\beta^{-1}z, i\beta^{-1}\gamma), \quad (37)$$

the periodicity of the solution (35) is found to be

$$u^{(1)}(z + i\beta, \beta, \gamma) = u^{(1)}(z, \beta, \gamma), \quad (38)$$

$$u^{(1)}(z + \gamma, \beta, \gamma) = e^{-4\pi\beta^{-1}(\lambda-\nu)} u^{(1)}(z, \beta, \gamma). \quad (39)$$

<sup>3</sup> Here,  $\text{Im}z > 0$  is required for  $|q| = e^{-\pi \text{Im}\tau} < 1$  that is necessary condition for the definition of  $\theta$ -functions.



Therefore, in general, the solution (35) is not periodic in the  $\gamma$ -direction. Only the twisted boundary condition is allowed in that direction when the parameters satisfy  $\text{Re}(\lambda - \nu) = 0$ . For the solution (35), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \log W^\dagger W &= -i\beta^{-1} \frac{\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda))}{\theta_1(-i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu))} \times \\ &\quad \frac{\theta_1'(-i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda))\theta_1(-i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu)) - \theta_1(-i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda))\theta_1'(-i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu))}{|\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu))|^2 + |\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda))|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the theta functions have a common  $\tau = |\beta^{-1}\gamma|$ . Since the function  $\theta_1(v, \tau)$  has a zero at  $v = 0$  and no poles in the defined region (the fundamental lattice  $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma \leq x \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\beta \leq y \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\beta$ ), the pole of the function  $U$  is at  $z = 2\nu$ . The residue at the pole is evaluated as  $\text{Res}_{z=2\nu} \partial \log W^\dagger W = 1$  which implies  $Q = 1$ .

Now, let us consider the decompactification limits of the solution in the real and imaginary directions. Using the expansion of the  $\theta$ -function,

$$\theta_1(v, \tau) = 2q^{\frac{1}{4}}q_0 \sin \pi v \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2q^{2n} \cos 2\pi v + q^{4n}), \quad (40)$$

and the fact,  $q = e^{-\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma} \rightarrow 0$  in the limit  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ , we find

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} u(z, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{\sin i\pi\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda)}{\sin i\pi\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu)} = \frac{\sinh \pi\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda)}{\sinh \pi\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu)} = u(z, \beta). \quad (41)$$

This is just the array of the 1-lump solution on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  along the imaginary direction. Next, using the Jacobi identity relation of the  $\theta$ -functions, the decompactification limit along the real direction is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} u(z, \beta, \gamma) &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(z-2\lambda)^2}}{e^{\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(z-2\nu)^2}} u(-i\gamma^{-1}\beta z, i\gamma^{-1}\beta) \\ &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(z-2\lambda)^2} 2\tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{4}}\tilde{q}_0 \sin \pi\gamma^{-1}(z - 2\lambda) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2\tilde{q}^{2n} \cos 2\pi\gamma^{-1}(z - 2\lambda) + \tilde{q}^{4n})}{e^{\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(z-2\nu)^2} 2\tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{4}}\tilde{q}_0 \sin \pi\gamma^{-1}(z - 2\nu) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2\tilde{q}^{2n} \cos 2\pi\gamma^{-1}(z - 2\nu) + \tilde{q}^{4n})} \\ &= \frac{\sinh \pi(i\gamma)^{-1}(z - 2\lambda)}{\sinh \pi(i\gamma)^{-1}(z - 2\nu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where we have defined  $\tilde{q} = e^{2\pi i(-1/\tau)} = e^{-2\pi\gamma^{-1}\beta}$ . The result is the 1-lump solution aligned along the real direction with interval  $\gamma$  as expected.

Generalization to the multi lump solutions is straightforward. The  $n$ -lump solution on  $T^2$  is given by

$$u(z, \beta, \gamma) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda_k), i|\beta^{-1}\gamma|)}{\theta_1(i\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu_k), i|\beta^{-1}\gamma|)}. \quad (43)$$

Its periodicity is

$$u^{(n)}(z + i\beta, \beta, \gamma) = u^{(n)}(z, \beta, \gamma), \quad (44)$$

$$u^{(n)}(z + \gamma, \beta, \gamma) = e^{-4\pi\beta^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda_k - \nu_k)} u^{(n)}(z, \beta, \gamma). \quad (45)$$




Figure 2: Energy density for the solution (43) in the one fundamental lattice  $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma \leq x \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\gamma, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\beta \leq y \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\beta$ .  $\beta = 3, \gamma = 5$ .  $\lambda_1 = -0.253, \lambda_2 = -1.19, \lambda_3 = -0.680, \nu_1 = -0.918, \nu_2 = 0.629, \nu_3 = -0.680$ . The parameters  $\lambda_i, \nu_i$  are a numerical solution to the modular invariance constraints (54).

Therefore, when the following condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \nu_k, \quad \lambda_k \neq \nu_k, \quad (46)$$

is satisfied, the solution becomes periodic. This is possible only for the  $n \geq 2$  cases, thus confirming the mathematical result on harmonic maps. When the periodicity condition is satisfied, we expect that the solutions can be rewritten as elliptic functions. This is true. For example, when we choose  $\nu_1 = \nu_2 \equiv \nu$  and  $\lambda_1 = \nu - \frac{i\gamma}{4}, \lambda_2 = \nu + \frac{i\gamma}{4}$  for  $n = 2$  case, the solution (43) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(2)}(z, \beta, \gamma) &= -4\beta^2 \left( \frac{\theta_4^0}{\theta_1^0} \right)^2 \{ \wp(2(z - 2\nu)) - e_1 \}, \\ e_1 &= \left( \frac{\pi}{2\beta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{3} ((\theta_2^0)^2 + (\theta_3^0)^2), \quad \theta_l^0 \equiv \theta_l(0, \tau), \quad (l = 1, 2, 3), \\ \tau &= |\beta^{-1}\gamma|, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where  $\wp$  is the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function, the degree-2 elliptic function. The moduli space of this 2-lump solution on  $T^2$  was studied in [18]. The profile of the energy density for the  $n = 3$  solution is found in fig 2. There are “interference fringes” among the three peaks since the lumps are trapped on the finite size lattice and the notion of the “well-separated” is essentially lost in fully compact spaces.

Next, we study the modular invariance of the multi-lump solutions on a torus. Let us consider a torus endowed with a generic modulus  $\tau$ . A torus  $T_\tau^2$  with modulus  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  is defined by the equivalence class  $z \sim z - \beta(m + n\tau), \beta \in \mathbb{R}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The torus is invariant under the

$PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  modular transformation,

$$\tau \rightarrow \tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad ad - bc = 1, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (48)$$

Following the same procedure as before, the  $n$ -lump solution in the torus  $T_\tau^2$  is found to be

$$u^{(n)}(z, \tau) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\theta_1(\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda_k), \tau)}{\theta_1(\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu_k), \tau)}. \quad (49)$$

The modular transformation (48) is obtained by the products of the following infinitesimal transformations,

$$\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1, \quad \tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau} \text{ with } z \rightarrow \tau z. \quad (50)$$

Under the first transformation in the above, the  $\theta$ -function changes as

$$\theta_1(v, \tau + 1) = e^{\pi i/4} \theta_1(v, \tau). \quad (51)$$

The solution (49) is therefore invariant (51) under the transformation, cancelling the phase factor  $e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$ . Next, using the relation,

$$\theta_1(v, -1/\tau) = e^{i\pi v^2 \tau} e^{-3\pi i/4} \tau^{1/2} \theta_1(\tau v, \tau), \quad (52)$$

the solution (49) transforms under the second transformation as

$$u^{(n)}(z, \tau) \rightarrow u^{(n)}(z, -1/\tau) = \exp \left[ 4\pi i \tau \beta^{-2} \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \right) z - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^2 \right) \right\} \right] u^{(n)}(\tau z, \tau). \quad (53)$$

Therefore, the solution is modular invariant if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^2, \quad \lambda_i \neq \nu_j \text{ for all } i, j. \quad (54)$$

Again,  $n = 1$  is the special case. It is easy to find that the condition (54) cannot be satisfied for  $n = 1$  case. When  $n = 2$ , we find that the first two conditions imply  $\lambda_1 = \nu_2, \lambda_2 = \nu_1$ . Therefore the modular invariance is generically lost. On the other hand, there are infinitely many solutions to the conditions (54) for  $n \geq 3$ . It is apparent that the modular invariant conditions (54) contain the periodicity condition (46). Hence the modular invariance is sufficient for the periodicity of the solutions.

Once the  $n$ -lump solutions satisfy the modular invariance conditions (54), the solutions are generically rewritten as elliptic functions. To show this fact, let us consider the following relations between the  $\theta$ -function and the Weierstrass  $\sigma$ -function:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(2\omega_1 z) &= 2\omega_1 e^{2\eta_1 \omega_1 z^2} \theta_1(z, \tau) / \theta_1^{\prime 0}, \\ \tau = \omega_3 / \omega_1, \quad \eta_1 = \zeta(\omega_1) &= \frac{\pi^2}{\omega_1} \left( \frac{1}{12} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{kq^{2k}}{1 - q^{2k}} \right), \quad q = e^{\pi i \tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where  $2\omega_1, 2\omega_3$  are two distinct periods of doubly periodic functions. Then the  $n$ -lump solution (43) can be rewritten as

$$u^{(n)}(z, \tau) = e^{A(z)} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\sigma(2\omega_1\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda_k))}{\sigma(2\omega_1\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu_k))}, \quad (56)$$

where the exponential factor is evaluated as

$$A(z) = 8\eta_1\omega_1\beta^{-2} \left[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \nu_k \right) z + \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \nu_k^2 \right) \right]. \quad (57)$$

Applying the modular invariance conditions (54), this exponential factor vanishes and the solutions are totally expressed by the elliptic functions. The expression (56) is nothing but the solution discussed in [20]. The contributions of these solutions to the partition function of the non-linear sigma models on a torus are discussed in [21]. However, our solution (43) is more generic and constructive, allowing the clear decompactification limits and the modular invariance.

Until now, we have focused on the base point condition  $u^{(n)}(\infty) = 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . When we switch to the other base point conditions, for example  $u^{(1)}(\infty) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the solution on  $T^2$  becomes

$$u^{(1)}(z, \beta, \gamma) = i\eta(\tau)\theta_1^{-1}(i\beta^{-1}z, \tau), \quad (58)$$

where we have again employed the  $\zeta$ -function regularization. The function  $\eta$  is the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function defined by

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/12} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2k}), \quad q = e^{i\pi\tau}. \quad (59)$$

The periodicity of this solution is found to be

$$u^{(1)}(z + i\beta, \beta, \gamma) = -u^{(1)}(z, \beta, \gamma), \quad (60)$$

$$u^{(1)}(z + \gamma, \beta, \gamma) = -e^{-2\pi\beta^{-1}z} e^{-\pi\beta^{-1}} u^{(1)}(z, \beta, \gamma). \quad (61)$$

This solution does not show any modular invariance even for the  $n \geq 3$  case. One can also find that the solution (58) cannot be periodic even when the multi-lump generalization of the solution (58) is considered. The properties of the solutions for different base point conditions are summarized in table 1.

Let us comment on the generalization of our construction to the  $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$  models for  $N \geq 3$  cases. One can easily find that this is straightforward. The vector  $W_i$  has  $N - 1$  independent components  $w_i$ . These components  $w_i$  follow the same BPS equation with the  $N = 2$  case. The topological charges are determined by the highest degree of the holomorphic functions  $w_i(z)$ .

Finally, let us see the topological charge of the BPS lumps on a torus. Without loss of generality, one can consider a rectangle torus defined by  $z \sim z + (i\beta + \gamma)$ . The topological charge of lumps is given by the first Chern number

$$Q = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2x \varepsilon^{ab} F_{ab}. \quad (62)$$

Base point cond.	Solution	Regularization	Modular inv.
$u^{(n)}(\infty) = \infty$	$\prod_{k=1}^n (i\eta(\tau))^{-1} \theta_1(\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda_k))$	needed	lost
$u^{(n)}(\infty) = 1$	$\prod_{k=1}^n \theta_1(\beta^{-1}(z - 2\lambda_k)) / \theta_1(\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu_k))$	no	exist
$u^{(n)}(\infty) = 0$	$\prod_{k=1}^n i\eta(\tau) / \theta_1(\beta^{-1}(z - 2\nu_k))$	needed	lost

Table 1: Solutions associated with each base point condition. The  $\theta$ -functions have common modulus  $\tau$ .




Figure 3: The closed path on the torus.

We demand that the  $U(1)$  gauge field, and hence the scalar field, are periodic up to the gauge transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x_1, x_2 = \beta) &= A_1(x_1, x_2 = 0) - \partial_1 \lambda^{(2)}(x_1), \\ A_2(x_1 = \gamma, x_2) &= A_2(x_1 = 0, x_2) - \partial_2 \lambda^{(1)}(x_2). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Note that the gauge parameters  $\lambda^{(m)}(x_n)$  depend only on  $x_n$  ( $n \neq m$ ). Then the topological charge is given by

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} [\lambda^{(1)}(\beta) - \lambda^{(1)}(0) + \lambda^{(2)}(0) - \lambda^{(2)}(\gamma)]. \quad (64)$$

This is the gauge transformation parameter along the closed path depicted in fig 3. On the other hand, once one goes around the closed path, the scalar field acquires the phase  $\lambda^{(1)}(\beta) - \lambda^{(1)}(0) + \lambda^{(2)}(0) - \lambda^{(2)}(\gamma)$ . The single-valueness requires that this phase factor must be an integer multiple of  $2\pi$ . Therefore the topological charge on  $T^2$  must be integer,

$$Q = n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (65)$$

Configurations with non-zero topological number  $Q$  is caused by the large gauge transformation  $\lambda^{(m)}(x_n)$  that is defined modulo  $2\pi$ .

## 4 Conclusion and discussion

In this paper, we have studied the topological BPS lumps in supersymmetric  $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$  non-linear sigma models on a torus  $T^2$ . Following the philosophy of Harrington-Shepard, we have

constructed the BPS lump solutions for arbitrary topological number  $Q = n$  by collecting lumps aligned periodically. The charge- $n$  BPS lump solutions on  $T^2$  are obtained by arranging the charge  $n$  lumps on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  at equal intervals along two distinct directions. The function form of the solutions depends on the choice of the base point condition of the solutions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Choosing the base point condition  $u(\infty) = 0$  or  $u(\infty) = \infty$  requires the regularization of the infinite products of rational maps. We have employed the  $\zeta$ -function regularization and found the explicit solutions that exhibit suitable pole structures.

On the other hand, for the base point condition  $u(\infty) = 1$ , we do not need any regularization scheme. Moreover, the solutions in this base point condition have some good properties on the boundary of the compact space  $T^2$ . For example, for  $n = 1$  case, we have found that there is no solution that satisfies the periodic boundary condition and the solution is not modular invariant anymore. This is consistent with the statement that there is no degree-1 elliptic functions on a torus. However, once one allows twisted boundary conditions, this becomes a solution provided that the parameters of the solutions are chosen appropriately. For  $n = 2$ , there is no parameters  $\lambda_i, \nu_i$  that satisfy the modular invariance conditions. In the cases of  $n \geq 3$ , however, we find that there are infinitely many parameters that satisfy the modular invariance conditions.

Although the lumps on a torus were discussed in several contexts in the past [15], our construction is quite simple and constructive, and the solutions have the definite decompactification limit by its construction. Since our construction of the solutions are so simple, we expect that modular invariant solutions in other sigma models on  $T^2$  are obtained in the same way. For example, utilizing our construction, we can find the doubly-periodic solutions on a torus which contains non-trivial holonomy parameters. Such kind of solutions on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  have been investigated in [9]. When a solution has non-trivial holonomy in compact spaces, one expect that it has fractional topological charges. This fact can be seen also in the gauge theory instantons in four dimensions. It was discussed that gauge theories in a box (hypertorus) admit instantons with fractional Pontryagin number when the twisted boundary conditions are imposed [19, 5]. These instantons have constituents in its inner part. For example, the constituents of doubly periodic instantons in  $SU(2)$  Yang-Mills theories are discussed in [22] and instantons with fractional charges are studied in [23].

Finally, let us comment on the applications of our construction in the other contexts. Time evolutions of the solutions on  $T^2$  would be interesting topics. Although, the generalization of our construction to  $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$  with  $N \geq 3$  is straightforward, its dynamics would be different compared with the  $N = 2$  case as in the case of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The other time dependent solutions, for example, the Q-lumps [24] on the torus can be constructed by the same way. Lumps with fractional topological charges and its constituents in gauged sigma models [25] and the other context [26] have been studied. It would be interesting to investigate these kinds of fractional lumps in the sigma models on a torus with twisted boundary conditions.

## References

- [1] B. J. Harrington and H. K. Shepard, Phys. Rev. D **17** (1978) 2122.
- [2] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. S. Schwartz and Y. S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. B **59** (1975) 85.

- [3] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 121.
- [4] W. Nahm, “Self-dual monopoles and calorons,” Lecture Notes in Physics **201** (1984) 189.
- [5] P. van Baal, Commun. Math. Phys. **85** (1982) 529.
- [6] M. Jardim, Commun. Math. Phys. **216** (2001) 1 [math/9909069 [math-dg]].
- [7] R. Leese, Nucl. Phys. B **344** (1990) 33.
- [8] R. S. Ward, Phys. Lett. B **158** (1985) 424.
- [9] F. Bruckmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 051602 [arXiv:0707.0775 [hep-th]].
- [10] W. Brendel, F. Bruckmann, L. Janssen, A. Wipf and C. Wozar, Phys. Lett. B **676** (2009) 116 [arXiv:0902.2328 [hep-th]].
- [11] B. Collie and D. Tong, JHEP **0908** (2009) 006 [arXiv:0905.2267 [hep-th]].
- [12] K. -M. Lee and C. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 5260 [hep-th/9709080],  
T. C. Kraan and P. van Baal, Phys. Lett. B **435** (1998) 389 [hep-th/9806034].  
T. C. Kraan and P. van Baal, Nucl. Phys. B **533** (1998) 627 [hep-th/9805168].  
T. C. Kraan and P. van Baal, Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 268 [hep-th/9802049],  
F. Bruckmann, D. Negradi and P. van Baal, Nucl. Phys. B **666** (2003) 197 [hep-th/0305063].  
F. Bruckmann, D. Negradi and P. van Baal, Nucl. Phys. B **698** (2004) 233 [hep-th/0404210].  
D. Harland, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007) 082905.  
A. Nakamura and J. Sakaguchi, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 043503 [arXiv:0909.1601 [hep-th]].
- [13] J. Wess and J. Bagger, “Supersymmetry and supergravity,” Princeton, USA: Univ. Pr. (1992) 259 p.
- [14] K. Higashijima and M. Nitta, Prog. Theor. Phys. **103** (2000) 635 [hep-th/9911139].
- [15] P. M. Sutcliffe, Nonlinearity **8** (1995) 411.
- [16] K. Knopp, “Theory of Functions”, Dover, New York, USA, 1947, part 2, p.77.
- [17] J. Eells and J. C. Wood, Topology **15** (1976) 263.
- [18] J. M. Speight, Commun. Math. Phys. **194** (1998) 513 [hep-th/9707101].
- [19] G. 't Hooft, Commun. Math. Phys. **81**, 267 (1981).
- [20] R. J. Cova and W. J. Zakrzewski, Nonlinearity 10 (1997) 1305,  
Eur. Phys. J. B **15** (2001) 673 [hep-th/0109007],
- [21] J. -L. Richard and A. Rouet, Nucl. Phys. B **211** (1983) 447.
- [22] C. Ford and J. M. Pawłowski, Phys. Lett. B **540** (2002) 153 [hep-th/0205116],  
Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 065006 [hep-th/0302117].
- [23] A. Montero, JHEP **0005** (2000) 022 [hep-lat/0004009].

- [24] R. Leese, Nucl. Phys. B **366** (1991) 283.
- [25] M. Nitta and W. Vinci, J. Phys. A A **45** (2012) 175401 [arXiv:1108.5742 [hep-th]].
- [26] M. Eto, T. Fujimori, S. B. Gudnason, K. Konishi, T. Nagashima, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and W. Vinci, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 045018 [arXiv:0905.3540 [hep-th]].