

Directional detection of galactic dark matter

F. Mayet, J. Billard and D. Santos

Abstract Directional detection is a promising Dark Matter search strategy. Taking advantage on the rotation of the Solar system around the galactic center through the Dark Matter halo, it allows to show a direction dependence of WIMP events that may be a powerful tool to identify genuine WIMP events as such. Directional detection strategy requires the simultaneous measurement of the energy and the 3D track of low energy recoils, which is a common challenge for all current projects of directional detectors.

1 Introduction

Since the pionner paper of D. N. Spergel [1], the contribution of directional detection to the field of Dark Matter has been adressed through a wealth of studies [2–24]. Depending on the unknown WIMP-nucleon cross section, directional detection may be used to : exclude Dark Matter [4, 7], reject the isotropy hypothesis [8–14], discover galactic Dark Matter with a high significance [3, 6, 15] or constrain WIMP and halo properties [5, 16, 17].

2 Experimental issues

Directional detection requires the simultaneous measurement of the recoil energy (E_R) and the 3D track (Ω_R) of low energy recoils, thus allowing to evaluate the double-differential spectrum $d^2R/dE_R d\Omega_R$ down to the energy threshold. This can be achieved with low pressure Time Projection Chamber (TPC) detectors and there is a worldwide effort toward the development of a large TPC devoted to directional

LPSC, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, Grenoble, France, e-mail: mayet@lpsc.in2p3.fr

detection [25]. All current projects [26–30] face common challenges amongst which the 3D reconstruction of low energy tracks ($\mathcal{O}(10 - 100)$ keV) is the major one as it includes various experimental issues such as sense recognition, angular and energy resolutions and energy threshold. Their effect on the discovery potential of forthcoming directional detectors has been fully studied in [4, 6, 14]. The goal of 3D track reconstruction is to retrieve, for each track, the initial recoil direction (θ, ϕ) and the vertex (X, Y and Z) of the elastic scattering interaction. Difficulties come from the fact that the recoil energy is low (below 100 keV) and the track length is small (below 10 mm).

Recently, a likelihood method dedicated to 3D track reconstruction has been proposed [31] and applied to the MIMAC detector. The conclusion is as follows. A good spatial resolution can be achieved, *i.e.* sub-mm in the anode plane and cm along the drift axis, opening the possibility to perform a fiducialization of directional detectors. The angular resolution is shown to range between 20° to 80° , depending on the recoil energy, which is however enough to achieve a high significance discovery of Dark Matter. On the contrary, the sense recognition capability of directional detectors depends strongly on the recoil energy and the drift distance, with small efficiency values (50%-70%). Moreover, electron/nuclear recoil discrimination may be achieved thanks to a multivariate data analysis based on discriminant observables related to the track topology [32].

3 Directional detection : a powerful tool ?

Taking advantage on the rotation of the Solar system around the galactic center through the Dark Matter halo, directional detection strategy enables the use of the expected direction dependence of WIMP events. Indeed, WIMP event distribution should present an excess in the direction of motion of the Solar system, which happens to be roughly in the direction of the Cygnus constellation ($\ell_\odot = 90^\circ, b_\odot = 0^\circ$ in galactic coordinates). As the background distribution is expected to be isotropic in the galactic rest frame, one expects a clear and unambiguous difference between the WIMP signal and the background one.

Beyond the exclusion strategy [4, 7], directional detection may be used to prove that the directional data are not compatible with background. With the help of unbinned likelihood method [12] or non-parametric statistical tests on unbinned data [14], it has been shown that a few number of events $\mathcal{O}(10)$ is required to reject the isotropy, and hence prove the data are not compatible with the expected background.

Directional detection may also be used to discover Dark Matter [3, 6, 15]. In particular, the method proposed in [3] is a blind likelihood analysis, the proof of discovery being the fact that the signal points to the direction of the Cygnus constellation. The main direction of the incoming events matches the expected direction within 10° to 20° (68% CL), thus providing an unambiguous signature of their origin. Even at low exposure, a high significance discovery is achievable, in the presence

of a sizeable background contamination and for various detector configurations [6]. The goal of this new approach is thus not to reject the background hypothesis, but rather to identify a genuine WIMP signal as such. Moreover, one of the strength of directional detection strategy is the possibility to go beyond the standard Dark Matter halo paradigm [6] by accounting for most astrophysical uncertainties (see [33] for a review).

Moreover, directional detection provides a powerful tool to explore neutralino Dark Matter models as most MSSM configurations, and to a lesser extent for NMSSM ones, with a neutralino lighter than $200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ would lead to a significance greater than 3σ (90% CL) in a 30 kg.year CF_4 directional detector [2].

For high WIMP-nucleon cross section, it is also possible to go further by constraining WIMP and halo properties [5]. A high dimensional multivariate analysis of forthcoming directional data would enable the identification of WIMP Dark Matter. Indeed, a 30 kg.year CF_4 directional detector would allow us to constrain the WIMP properties, both from particle physics (mass and cross section) and galactic halo (velocity dispersions). This is a key advantage for directional detection with respect to direction-insensitive strategy. Indeed, as the velocity dispersions are set as free parameters, induced bias due to wrong model assumption should be avoided. This is for instance the effect observed in [34], with a systematic downward shift of the estimated cross section, when assuming a standard isotropic velocity distribution fitting model whereas the input model is a triaxial one.

Recent studies have also shown the possibility to observe features in the directional signal [18, 19], such as aberrations and rings, which could be used as additional indications in favor of a Dark Matter discovery.

The use of directional detection to constrain the astrophysical properties of Dark Matter has received much interest in the past years. Recent results of N-body simulation [35–41] seem to favor the presence of substructures in the Milky Way halo, such as Dark Matter tidal streams (spatially localized), debris flow (spatially homogenized but with velocity substructures) and a co-rotating dark disk. Such components of the local Dark Matter distribution may lead to distinctive features in the expected directional signal [17, 21, 42], although the conclusion depends strongly of their unknown properties. As a matter of fact, constraining their properties remains however a challenging task requiring for instance a very low threshold.

In a so-called *post-discovery era*, meaning the WIMP mass is supposed to be known to sufficient precision, it has been shown that directional detection may be used to infer astrophysical properties of Dark Matter, namely its phase space distribution in the solar neighborhood [16]. In particular, a parametrization of the functional form of the Dark Matter distribution is proposed, avoiding to rely on ansatzes. In this case, the coefficients of its decomposition in moments of a model independent basis are the measurable quantities in a directional experiment.

4 Conclusion

A low exposure CF_4 directional detector would offer a unique opportunity in Dark Matter search, by leading, depending on the value of the unknown WIMP-nucleon cross section, either to a conclusive exclusion, a high significance discovery of galactic Dark Matter or even an estimation of the WIMP properties. However, several key experimental issues need to be addressed to achieve these physical goals, both on the detector side and on the data analysis one.

References

1. D. N. Spergel, Phys. Rev. D **37** (1988) 1353
2. D. Albornoz Vásquez, G. Bélanger, J. Billard and F. Mayet, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 055023
3. J. Billard, F. Mayet, J. F. Macias-Perez and D. Santos, Phys. Lett. B **691** (2010) 156-162
4. J. Billard, F. Mayet and D. Santos, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 055011
5. J. Billard, F. Mayet and D. Santos, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 075002
6. J. Billard, F. Mayet and D. Santos, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 035006
7. S. Henderson, J. Monroe and P. Fisher, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 015020
8. B. Morgan and A. M. Green, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 123501
9. B. Morgan, A. M. Green and N. J. C. Spooner, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 103507
10. C. J. Copi and L. M. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 043507
11. C. J. Copi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 023514,
12. C. J. Copi and L. M. Krauss, Phys. Lett. B **461** (1999) 43
13. A. M. Green and B. Morgan, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 027303
14. A. M. Green and B. Morgan, Astroparticle Physics **27** (2007) 142
15. A. M. Green and B. Morgan, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 061301
16. D. S. M. Alves, S. E. Hedri and J. G. Wacker, arXiv:1204.5487 [astro-ph.GA].
17. S. K. Lee and A. H. G. Peter, JCAP **1204** (2012) 029
18. N. Bozorgnia, G. B. Gelmini and P. Gondolo, arXiv:1111.6361 [astro-ph.CO].
19. N. Bozorgnia, G. B. Gelmini and P. Gondolo, arXiv:1205.2333 [astro-ph.CO].
20. R. J. Creswick, S. Nussinov and F. T. Avignone, Astropart. Phys. **35** (2011) 62
21. M. Kuhlen, M. Lisanti and D. N. Spergel, arXiv:1202.0007 [astro-ph.GA].
22. M. Lisanti and J. G. Wacker, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 096005
23. M. S. Alenazi and P. Gondolo, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 043532
24. P. Gondolo, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 103513
25. S. Ahlen *et al.*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **25** (2010) 1
26. S. Ahlen *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B695** (2011) 124-129
27. E. Daw *et al.*, Astropart.Phys. **35** (2012) 397-401
28. S. E. Vahsen *et al.*, EAS Publications Series **53** (2012) 43-50
29. D. Santos *et al.*, EAS Publications Series **53** (2012) 25-31
30. K. Miuchi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **686** (2010) 11
31. J. Billard, F. Mayet and D. Santos, JCAP **1204** (2012) 006
32. J. Billard, F. Mayet and D. Santos, arXiv:1205.0973 [astro-ph.IM].
33. A. M. Green, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **27** (2012) 1230004
34. A. M. Green, JCAP **0708** (2007) 022
35. J. Diemand *et al.*, Nature **454** (2008) 735
36. F. S. Ling, E. Nezri, E. Athanassoula and R. Teyssier, JCAP **1002** (2010) 012
37. M. Lisanti and D. N. Spergel, arXiv:1105.4166
38. M. Vogelsberger *et al.*, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **395** (2009) 797
39. J. I. Read, G. Lake, O. Agertz and V. P. Debattista, MNRAS **389** (2008) 1041-1057
40. J. I. Read, L. Mayer, A. M. Brooks, F. Governato and G. Lake, MNRAS **397** (2009) 44
41. C. W. Purcell, J. S. Bullock and M. Kaplinghat, Astrophys. J. **703** (2009) 2275
42. A. M. Green, JCAP **1010** (2010) 034