arXiv:1208.4310v2 [hep-ph] 6 Nov 2012

γZ box corrections to weak charges of heavy nuclei in atomic parity violation

P. G. Blunden,¹ W. Melnitchouk² and A. W. Thomas³

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3T 2N2

² Jefferson Lab, 12000 Jefferson Avenue, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

³CSSM and CoEPP, School of Chemistry and Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia

We present a new dispersive formulation of the γZ box radiative corrections to weak charges of bound protons and neutrons in atomic parity violation (APV) measurements on heavy nuclei such as ¹³³Cs and ²¹³Ra. We evaluate for the first time a small but important additional correction arising from Pauli blocking of nucleons in a heavy nucleus. Overall, we find a significant shift in the γZ correction to the weak charge of ¹³³Cs, approximately 4 times larger than the current uncertainty on the value of $\sin^2 \hat{\theta}_W$, but with a reduced error compared to earlier estimates.

In the search for physics beyond the Standard Model one of the most important indirect methods involves a high precision test of the evolution of the Weinberg angle with scale. In particular, the comparison between the value of $\sin^2 \hat{\theta}_W$ measured at the Z pole at LEP and the value extracted from parity violation in atomic systems has provided a very strong confirmation of the radiative corrections calculated within the Standard Model. The atomic system with the most accurate current measurement is the parity-violating S-S transition in neutral Cs [1, 2]. The predicted enhancement factor of the APV effect in the S-D transition in Ra⁺ is about 50 times larger [3]. It is critical that the calculations of the radiative corrections for these systems incorporate the latest theoretical developments and match the precision of the experimental data.

Driven by the demand for accurate radiative corrections for high energy parity-violating electron scattering, notably the Q_{weak} experiment at Jefferson Lab [4], there have recently been new evaluations of the γZ box diagram [5–9]. In particular, Blunden *et al.* [9] developed a formulation of this correction in terms of dispersion relations and moments of the inclusive γZ interference structure functions. This approach provides a systematic method for improving the accuracy of the calculation.

In this Letter we use the dispersive relations methods to compute a new value for the radiative correction associated with the γZ box diagram for both protons and neutrons in ¹³³Cs and ²¹³Ra. Compared with previous estimates that were computed some 3 decades ago [10], the new corrections give γZ contributions that are 15% smaller for free nucleons, and 20% smaller for nucleons bound in a heavy nucleus (due to Pauli blocking). This shifts the theoretical value of Q_W (Cs) from -73.14(6) to -73.26(4), which agrees well with the current experimental value of -73.20(35).

Including radiative corrections, the weak charges of the proton and neutron can be written as [10, 11]

$$Q_W^p = (\rho + \Delta_e)(1 - 4\kappa(0)\hat{s}^2 + \Delta'_e) + \Box_{WW}^p + \Box_{ZZ}^p + \Box_{\gamma Z}^p,$$
(1)

$$Q_W^n = -(\rho + \Delta_e) + \Box_{WW}^n + \Box_{ZZ}^n + \Box_{\gamma Z}^n, \qquad (2)$$

with $\hat{s}^2 \equiv \sin^2 \hat{\theta}_W(M_Z^2) = 0.23116(13)$ in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. Δ_e and Δ'_e are Zee and γee vertex corrections, given in Refs. [10, 11], and expressions for the universal parameters ρ and $\kappa(Q^2)$ are given in Ref. [12].

The proton weak charge Q_W^P is sensitive to the running of the Weinberg angle, as embodied in $\kappa(Q^2)$, whereas the neutron weak charge Q_W^n is primarily sensitive to ρ . At the one-loop level, ρ has a quadratic dependence on the top quark mass. This dependence is modified by significant higher-order QCD and electroweak corrections [13], some of which have been evaluated to four loops.

The correction $\kappa(Q^2)$ includes boson self-energy contributions from γZ mixing. In particular, it has a hadronic uncertainty from the quark contributions to fermion loops, denoted by $\Delta \kappa_{had}^{(5)}$ for five quark flavors, which is strongly correlated with the analogous contribution $\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}$ to the running of $\alpha(Q^2)$. A reduction of $\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}$ to 0.02772 in the most recent analysis implies a corresponding reduction in $\Delta \kappa_{had}^{(5)}$ compared with Ref. [11], leading to $\Delta \kappa_{had}^{(5)} \hat{s}^2 = 7.87(8) \times 10^{-3}$. Using the most recent Standard Model parameters [14], we obtain $\rho = 1.0006(2)$ and $\kappa(0)\hat{s}^2 = 0.23807(15)$, in essential agreement with the values quoted in Ref. [14].

The remaining terms in Eqs. (1) and (2) arise from the (numerically dominant) WW, ZZ, and γZ boxes. We take the expressions for WW and ZZ boxes from Erler et al. [11], including the leading order QCD correction to the original expressions of Ref. [10]. The box diagrams with two heavy bosons are dominated by high momentum scales [15]. By contrast, the γZ box diagram contains both high and low momentum scales, and is therefore sensitive to hadronic corrections. Following convention we write the γZ contribution for protons and neutrons in terms of a parameter B^N ,

$$\Box^{N}_{\gamma Z} = \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi} \hat{v}_e \, \mathcal{Q}^N B^N \,, \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{v}_e \equiv 1 - 4\hat{s}^2$ and $Q^N = 5/3 (4/3)$ for the proton (neutron). A free-quark model gives $B^p = \ln(M_Z^2/m^2) + 3/2$, where *m* is an undetermined hadronic mass scale (such as a constituent quark mass); however, this does not adequately describe either the long-range or short-

TABLE I. Weak charges of the proton, neutron, ¹³³Cs, and ²¹³Ra, comparing the previous MS estimates [10, 15] with our new results for free and bound nucleons.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-			
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B^p & 11.8(1.0) & 9.95(40) & 9.36(40) \\ B^n & 11.5(1.0) & 9.82(40) & 9.32(40) \\ \hline Q^p_W & 0.0716(8) & 0.0708(6) & 0.0705(6) \\ Q^n_W & -0.9882(4) & -0.9888(2) & -0.9890(2) \\ Q_W(\mathrm{Cs}) & -73.14(6) & -73.23(4) & -73.26(4) \\ Q_W(\mathrm{Ra}) & -117.22(10) & -117.37(7) & -117.42(7) \\ \hline \end{array}$		MS	free nucleons	bound nucleons
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B^p	11.8(1.0)	9.95(40)	9.36(40)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	B^n	11.5(1.0)	9.82(40)	9.32(40)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Q_W^p	0.0716(8)	0.0708(6)	0.0705(6)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Q_W^n	-0.9882(4)	-0.9888(2)	-0.9890(2)
$Q_W(\text{Ra}) = -117.22(10) = -117.37(7) = -117.42(7)$	$Q_W(Cs)$	-73.14(6)	-73.23(4)	-73.26(4)
	$Q_W(\operatorname{Ra})$	-117.22(10)	-117.37(7)	-117.42(7)

range behavior of the γZ box. Marciano and Sirlin (MS) [10] give a more refined estimate by separately modeling the low and high energy contributions, but an equivalent logarithmic dependence on the hadronic mass parameter m remains.

Using Eqs. (1) and (2), we find numerically

$$Q_W^p = 0.0664(6) + 0.00044 B^p, \tag{4}$$

$$Q_W^n = -0.9922(2) + 0.00035 B^n.$$
⁽⁵⁾

The error in Q_W^p (excluding γZ boxes) arises from $\kappa(0)\hat{s}^2$ (±0.0006) and the WW boxes (±0.0001), while the error in Q_W^n arises from ρ (±0.0002). The results in the column labeled MS in Table I use the most recent estimates of B^p and B^n from Refs. [11, 15]. For ¹³³Cs, we have $Q_W(Cs) = 55Q_W^p + 78Q_W^n$. Adding the independent errors in quadrature (the errors in B^p and B^n are not independent), we find -73.14(6). The γZ boxes contribute ±0.052 to this total, while all other errors combined contribute ±0.037. (See also the recent review of APV, including ¹³³Cs, in Ref. [16].)

To proceed beyond the work of MS [10] we use the dispersion methods developed in Refs. [5–9], writing the imaginary part of $\Box_{\gamma Z}(E)$ in terms of structure functions $F_{i,\gamma Z}^N$ (i = 1, 2, 3) that can be obtained from inclusive lepton-nucleon scattering. A dispersion integral over energy then gives the real part of $\Box_{\gamma Z}(E)$, which contributes to the weak charge. The energy dependence of $\Box_{\gamma Z}(E)$ was evaluated in Refs. [6, 9]. At E = 0, relevant for APV, only the axial-vector ZN coupling involving the $F_{3,\gamma Z}^N$ structure function gives a nonzero contribution. Performing the dispersion energy integral analytically, the real part of $\Box_{\gamma Z}$ can be written

$$\Box_{\gamma Z}^{N} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dQ^{2} \frac{\alpha(Q^{2}) v_{e}(Q^{2})}{Q^{2}(1+Q^{2}/M_{Z}^{2})} \\ \times \int_{0}^{1} dx \ F_{3,\gamma Z}^{N}(x,Q^{2}) \frac{1+2\gamma}{(1+\gamma)^{2}}, \tag{6}$$

where $\gamma = \sqrt{1 + 4M^2x^2/Q^2}$ and $x = Q^2/(W^2 - M^2 + Q^2)$, with W the invariant mass of the intermediate hadronic state. Following Ref. [9], we include the running with Q^2 of $\alpha(Q^2)$ and $v_e(Q^2) \equiv 1 - 4\kappa(Q^2) \hat{s}^2$ in Eq. (6) due to boson self-energy contributions. Both quantities vary significantly over the relevant Q^2 range.

The contributions to $\Box_{\gamma Z}$ can be split into three kinematic regions: (i) elastic, with $W^2 = M^2$; (ii) resonances, with $(M + m_\pi)^2 \leq W^2 \lesssim 4 \text{ GeV}^2$; and (iii) deep-inelastic scattering (DIS), with $W^2 > 4 \text{ GeV}^2$. Contributions from region (i) depend on the nucleon's elastic magnetic G_M^N and axial-vector $G_A^{Z,N}$ form factors,

$$F_{3,\gamma Z}^{N(\text{el})}(x,Q^2) = -G_M^N(Q^2)G_A^{Z,N}(Q^2)\,x\,\delta(1-x).$$
 (7)

We set $G_M^N(Q^2) = \mu^N F_V(Q^2)$, and $G_A^{Z,N}(Q^2) = -g_A^N F_A(Q^2)$, with μ^N the nucleon magnetic moment, and $g_A^p = -g_A^n = 1.267$. A dipole Q^2 dependence, $F_{V,A}(Q^2) = 1/(1+Q^2/\Lambda_{V,A}^2)^2$, suffices for both V and A form factors, with $\Lambda_V = 0.84$ GeV, and $\Lambda_A = 1.0$ GeV. More sophisticated form factors give essentially identical numerical results.

For the resonance contributions we use the parametrizations of the transition form factors from Lalakulich *et al.* [17], but with modified isospin factors appropriate to γZ . These form factors have been fit to pion production data in ν and $\bar{\nu}$ scattering, and include the lowest four spin-1/2 and 3/2 states.

For the DIS region, we divide the Q^2 integral of Eq. (6) into a low- Q^2 part $Q^2 < Q_0^2$, where the structure function $F_{3,\gamma Z}^N$ is relatively unknown, and a high- Q^2 part ($Q^2 > Q_0^2$), where at leading order the structure function can be expressed in terms of valence quark distributions [14]. At high Q^2 the γZ contribution can be expanded in powers of x^2/Q^2 , yielding a series whose coefficients are structure function moments of increasing rank,

$$\Box_{\gamma Z}^{(\text{DIS})} = \frac{3}{2\pi} \int_{Q_0^2}^{\infty} dQ^2 \frac{\alpha(Q^2) v_e(Q^2)}{Q^2(1+Q^2/M_Z^2)} \times \left[M_3^{(1)}(Q^2) - \frac{2M^2}{3Q^2} M_3^{(3)}(Q^2) + \dots \right], \quad (8)$$

where the *n*-th moment of the $F_3^{\gamma Z}$ structure function is $M_3^{(n)}(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{n-1} F_3^{\gamma Z}(x,Q^2)$. Numerically, the n = 1 moment dominates, with the $n \ge 3$ contributions to the integral of Eq. (8) less than 0.1%.

The lowest moment is in fact the γZ analog of the GLS sum rule [18] for νN DIS, which at leading order counts the number of valence quarks in the nucleon. The corresponding quantity for γZ is $Q^p = \sum_q 2 e_q g_A^q = 5/3$ for the proton and $Q^n = 4/3$ for the neutron. Including the next-to-leading order strong interaction correction in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, the n = 1 contribution is

$$M_3^{N(1)}(Q^2) = Q^N \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi}\right).$$
 (9)

Combined with Eq. (8), this is identical to the high energy result of MS [10], but with Q_0 replacing the arbitrary hadronic mass parameter m. In our case the scale Q_0 corresponds to the momentum above which a partonic representation of the nonresonant structure functions is valid, and above which the Q^2 evolution of parton distribution functions (PDFs) *via* the Q^2 evolution equations is applicable. We vary Q_0^2 between 1 and 2 GeV², which coincides with the typical lower limit of recent sets of PDFs.

The contribution for $Q^2 < Q_0^2$ can in principle be obtained from data. There is limited information on $F_{3,W}$ from neutrino scattering, but little or no existing data on $F_{3,\gamma Z}$ at low Q^2 . As in Ref. [9], we use two different models to smoothly interpolate in Q^2 between Q_0^2 and 0: one vanishes in the $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$ limit, and the other approaches a constant. The differences between the models are of the order 5–15%.

The relation between proton and neutron contributions from the different kinematic regions is

$$\Box_{\gamma Z}^{n(\text{el})} = -\frac{\mu^n}{\mu^p} \Box_{\gamma Z}^{p(\text{el})}, \qquad \Box_{\gamma Z}^{n(\text{res})} \approx \Box_{\gamma Z}^{p(\text{res})},$$
$$\Box_{\gamma Z}^{n(\text{DIS})} = \frac{4}{5} \Box_{\gamma Z}^{p(\text{DIS})}.$$
(10)

The near equality of the resonance contributions (within 3%) is due to the dominance of isovector resonances, which contribute equally for protons and neutrons.

The full results are summarized in Table II. The largest contributions come from the DIS region. Fortunately, the results show only a mild sensitivity to the parameter Q_0 that separates the model dependent low- Q^2 extrapolation from the high- Q^2 partonic region. The main part of the uncertainty arises from the model dependence of this extrapolation to low Q^2 . We therefore assign $B^p = 9.95(40)$, equal to the average of the four values in Table II, with a very conservative error given by the DIS contribution from the region $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$. This is the value appearing in the column labeled 'free nucleons' of Table I, together with the neutron contribution using Eq. (10).

Because the nucleons in a heavy nucleus are bound, properties such as their weak charge can differ from those of free nucleons. In particular, for the elastic γZ box contribution, transitions to occupied states are forbidden by the Pauli exclusion principle. To estimate this effect of the nuclear medium on the weak charges, we consider

TABLE II. Contributions to B^p from different kinematic regions for two different values of the matching scale Q_0^2 . The range of values for the DIS ($Q^2 < Q_0^2$) contribution is for the two models described in Ref. [9].

Q_0^2	$1 { m GeV}^2$	$2 \ {\rm GeV}^2$
elastic	1.47	1.47
resonance	0.59	0.59
DIS		
$Q^2 > Q_0^2$	7.50	7.05
$Q^2 < Q_0^2$	0.42 - 0.48	0.78 - 0.82
Total	9.98 - 10.04	9.89 - 9.93

the expression

$$\Box_{\gamma Z}^{N(\text{el})} = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} v_e \mu^N g_A^N \int_0^\infty dQ^2 \, F_V(Q^2) F_A(Q^2) \, f(Q^2) \,,$$
(11)

where

$$f(Q^2) = \frac{1+2\gamma_1}{Q^2(1+\gamma_1)^2}, \quad \gamma_1 \equiv \gamma(x=1).$$
(12)

Here v_e is taken at an appropriate low-momentum scale, and the Q^2 dependence of the Z propagator has been dropped.

Pauli blocking is important because the integrand in Eq. (11) is heavily weighted towards low Q^2 ,

$$f(Q^2) \xrightarrow{Q^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{MQ} - \frac{3}{4M^2} + \dots$$
 (13)

Since the form factors introduce corrections of order Q^2/Λ^2 , the dominant low- Q^2 contribution is largely independent of nucleon structure.

To allow for Pauli blocking of the intermediate nucleon in a heavy nucleus we use the Fermi gas model, where nucleon states are occupied below the Fermi momentum k_F (typically ~ 0.25 GeV). This estimate should suffice in a heavy nucleus like ¹³³Cs with a low surface to volume ratio. For momentum transfer q to a bound nucleon of momentum p, we must exclude from the integral of Eq. (11) all intermediate nucleon states of momentum $|p + q| < k_F$. Introducing the occupation number $n_p = \Theta(k_F - p)$, we therefore have a factor

$$C(q) = \frac{\int d^3 p \, n_p \, n_{|\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{q}|}}{\int d^3 p \, n_p} \tag{14}$$

to be folded into the integrand of Eq. (11), with $\int d^3p n_p = (4\pi/3)k_F^3$. This represents the fractional volume of occupied states that cannot reach the Fermi surface for a given value of \boldsymbol{q} . Since $k_F^2 \ll M^2$, the affected states have nonrelativistic energies, and so $Q^2 \approx \boldsymbol{q}^2$. From simple geometry, we find

$$C(q) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(3 \frac{q}{2k_F} - \left(\frac{q}{2k_F} \right)^3 \right), & 0 < q < 2k_F, \\ 0, & q \ge 2k_F. \end{cases}$$
(15)

The expression 1 - C(q) is also the Coulomb sum rule for longitudinal quasielastic scattering in a nonrelativistic Fermi gas.

The Pauli blocking effect can be introduced as a correction $1 - \Delta(k_F)$ to the value of $\Box_{\gamma Z}^{N(\text{el})}$ in Eq. (11), with

$$\Delta(k_F) = \frac{\int_0^{2k_F} dQ^2 F_V(Q^2) F_A(Q^2) f(Q^2) C(Q)}{\int_0^\infty dQ^2 F_V(Q^2) F_A(Q^2) f(Q^2)} \quad (16)$$

representing the fractional contribution from the excluded states. This correction depends on k_F , and has

TABLE III. The parameters of the proton and neutron Woods-Saxon densities $\rho(r)$ for ¹³³Cs, together with the mean square radii. The Fermi momenta $k_F(0)$ are determined from the central nucleon densities, with $\langle k_F \rangle$ the corresponding mean.

-	c (fm)	$a \ (fm)$	$\langle r^2 \rangle ~(\mathrm{fm}^2)$	$k_F(0)$ (GeV)	$\langle k_F \rangle$ (GeV)
p	5.8895	0.4010	23.03	0.241	0.218
n	5.9482	0.4946	24.61	0.266	0.236

only a weak dependence on nucleon structure through the form factor parameters Λ , with other parameters dropping out in the ratio. In the numerator, the leading terms are of order $\mathcal{O}(k_F)$ and $\mathcal{O}(k_F^2)$, with the form factor corrections only appearing at order $\mathcal{O}(k_F^3)$ and higher.

The values of k_F for protons and neutrons are taken from Hartree-Fock calculations for ¹³³Cs, which reproduce the experimental charge density [19]. Fitting the Hartree-Fock proton and neutron distributions to a standard Woods-Saxon form $\rho(r) = \rho_0/(1 + \exp[(r-c)/a])$, normalized to Z and N, respectively, leads to the parameters given in Table III. From these one can compute the Fermi momentum in the local density approximation, $\rho = k_F^3/(3\pi^2)$. The central and average values of k_F are given in Table III. The latter, which are used in our calculations, are consistent with those obtained in fits to experimental quasielastic electron scattering data using a simple Fermi gas model [20].

We find the Pauli blocking correction factor $1 - \Delta(k_F)$ is approximately linear in k_F over the range 0.2–0.3 GeV, and well-approximated by the expression

$$1 - \Delta(k_F) \approx 0.83 - 1.04 k_F,$$
 (17)

with k_F in GeV. Specifically, using the volume-averaged values of $\langle k_F \rangle$ in Table III, we find a correction factor of 0.61 to $\Box_{\gamma Z}^{p(el)}$ and 0.59 to $\Box_{\gamma Z}^{n(el)}$. For reasonable values of k_F up to 0.27 GeV in a very heavy nucleus, the Pauli blocking factors will therefore fall into the narrow range 0.55 - 0.60, suggesting a relatively insignificant variation in the total value of $\Box_{\gamma Z}^N$ for nuclei beyond ¹³³Cs. The effect on $Q_W(Cs)$ is shown in final column of Ta-

The effect on $Q_W(\text{Cs})$ is shown in final column of Table I. There is a small shift of -0.03 compared to the free nucleon values, giving -73.26(4). The individual terms contributing to the uncertainty are: $\kappa(0)\hat{s}^2$ (± 0.033), WW boxes (± 0.006), ρ (± 0.016), and γZ (± 0.021). Also included in Table I is our theoretical value for the weak charge of ²¹³Ra.

In summary, we have computed the effect of γZ exchange corrections on the weak charge of heavy nuclei such as ¹³³Cs and ²¹³Ra, using a recently developed formalism based on dispersion relations and an expansion of the γZ interference structure function moments. The results improve earlier estimates based on a quark model

description of the γZ box contributions, allowing a significant reduction in the theoretical uncertainty. Compared with the pioneering early estimates of Marciano and Sirlin [10], the new corrections enhance the magnitude of the weak charge by $\approx 0.16\%$, which is approximately 4 times larger than the current uncertainty on $\sin^2 \hat{\theta}_W$, and will therefore affect future high-precision determinations of the weak angle.

We thank Jens Erler, Nathan Hall and Marianna Safronova for helpful discussions. This work was supported by NSERC (Canada), the DOE contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177, under which Jefferson Science Associates, LLC operates Jefferson Lab, and the Australian Research Council through an Australian Laureate Fellowship.

- [1] C. S. Wood et al., Science 275, 1759 (1997).
- [2] S. G. Porsev, K. Beloy and A. Derevianko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 181601 (2009).
- [3] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum and J. S. M. Ginges, Phys. Rev. A 63, 062101 (2001).
- [4] R. D. Carlini et al., arXiv:1202.1255 [physics.ins-det].
- [5] M. Gorchtein and C. J. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 091806 (2009).
- [6] A. Sibirtsev, P. G. Blunden, W. Melnitchouk and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D 82, 013011 (2010).
- [7] B. C. Rislow and C. E. Carlson, Phys. Rev. D 83, 113007 (2011).
- [8] M. Gorchtein, C. J. Horowitz and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Phys. Rev. C 84, 015502 (2011).
- [9] P. G. Blunden, W. Melnitchouk and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 081801 (2011).
- [10] W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D 27, 552 (1983); *ibid.* 29, 75 (1984).
- [11] J. Erler, A. Kurylov and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Phys. Rev. D 68, 016006 (2003).
- [12] A. Czarnecki and W. J. Marciano, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15, 2365 (2000).
- [13] K. G. Chetyrkin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 102003 (2006), and references therein.
- [14] J. Beringer *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 86, 010001 (2012).
- [15] W. J. Marciano, Spin and Precision Electroweak Physics, 1993 SLAC Summer Institute in "Spin Structure in High Energy Processes", BNL-60177.
- [16] V. A. Dzuba and V. V. Flambaum, Int. J. Mod. Phys.
 E. 21, 1230010 (2012).
- [17] O. Lalakulich, E. A. Paschos and G. Piranishvili, Phys. Rev. D 74, 014009 (2006); O. Lalakulich *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 75, 015202 (2007).
- [18] D. J. Gross and C. H. Llewellyn Smith, Nucl. Phys. B14, 337 (1969).
- [19] A. Derevianko and W. R. Johnson, unpublished (2000).
- [20] E. J. Moniz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 445 (1971).