

# Improved astrometry for the Bohannan & Epps catalogue (Research Note)

Ian D. Howarth

Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, UCL, Gower St., London WC1E 6BT, UK  
e-mail: [ihh@star.ucl.ac.uk](mailto:ihh@star.ucl.ac.uk)

Submitted 17/8/2012; accepted 5/10/2012

## ABSTRACT

*Aims.* Accurate astrometry is required to reliably cross-match 20th-century catalogues against 21st-century surveys. The present work aims to provide such astrometry for the 625 entries of the Bohannan & Epps (BE74) catalogue of H $\alpha$  emission-line stars.

*Methods.* BE74 targets have been individually identified in digital images and, in most cases, unambiguously matched to entries in the UCAC4 astrometric catalogue.

*Results.* Sub-arcsecond astrometry is now available for almost all BE74 stars. Several identification errors in the literature illustrate the perils of relying solely on positional coincidences using poorer-quality astrometry.

**Key words.** Astrometry; stars: emission-line; Magellanic Clouds

## 1. Introduction

Although the use of digital detectors and computer manipulation of images is now ubiquitous, many pioneering surveys were conducted in the days of photographic observations. This is particularly true of the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), where precedent ensures that most of the brighter stars are still commonly identified by catalogue numbers from surveys conducted in the photographic era, such as the Henry Draper Extension (Cannon 1936; HDE), the Radcliffe study by Feast et al. (1960; R numbers<sup>1</sup>), and work by Henize (1956; LH $\alpha$ 120-S identifiers for LMC emission-line stars) and by Sanduleak (1970; Sk identifiers).

A difficulty confronting early authors was that the determination of precise equatorial co-ordinates involved time-consuming manual measurements with optomechanical plate-measuring machines, and subsequent tedious calculations (as well as requiring a dense, good-quality grid of reference stars). This laborious effort was invariably eschewed in favour of co-ordinates quoted to only  $\sim$ arcminute precision and, in most cases, provision of supporting finder charts.

In the modern era the identification problem is reversed: in large-scale digital surveys, precise co-ordinates are quickly and routinely obtained, but the task of visually checking many targets against numerous published finding charts is discouragingly burdensome. Cross-identification based solely on co-ordinate coincidences from crude astrometry is not reliable in dense LMC starfields, but has nevertheless proven enticing to a number of authors. As a result, the literature is littered with misidentifications based on approximate positional matches, unverified by checks against original sources.

Heroic efforts by Brian Skiff at Lowell Observatory have greatly improved the situation. His work (unpublished,

but incorporated into CDS databases) includes  $\sim$ arcsecond astrometry for the HDE, Sk, and LH $\alpha$  catalogues, based on careful examination of original sources. There remain, however, two important, extensive surveys of bright H $\alpha$  emission-line stars in the LMC for which only arcminute astrometry is available: the Lindsay surveys (Lindsay 1963; Andrews & Lindsay 1964) and the Bohannan & Epps study (Bohannan & Epps 1974; BE74).

Lindsay's papers give co-ordinates to the nearest arcminute, but no finder charts. Plausible identifications of many of the  $\sim$ 800 targets may be possible, based on positional and brightness coincidences, but the absence of finder charts means that *secure* identifications are now not generally feasible.<sup>2</sup> Given the potential for errors, it is the present author's opinion that the conservative position is to consider Lindsay's stars lost to science, for the most part.


In contrast, Bohannan & Epps (1974) provided identification charts which should allow secure identification of nearly all their emission-line stars, and hence new astrometry with accuracy and precision suited to cross-identifications in modern large-scale surveys. The purpose of this Note is to report such astrometry.

## 2. Methods

Bohannan & Epps identified their H $\alpha$  emission-line stars on images from the Hodge & Wright Atlas (Hodge & Wright 1967). In spite of the small plate scale, this allows subsequent secure identification of nearly all stars in larger-scale digital images. In practice, this identification was normally carried out using CDS's Aladin tool (Bonnarel et al. 2000) in conjunction with a much magnified pdf copy of Bohannan

<sup>2</sup> The authors provide cross-identifications with 126 LH $\alpha$  objects; these sources are therefore reliably recoverable (through Henize's charts and Skiff's astrometry).

<sup>1</sup> The CDS uses 'RMC' to identify Radcliffe stars.



**Fig. 1.** Left panel: cumulative distribution functions for offsets between interactively measured co-ordinates and adopted UCAC4 positions, for right ascension, declination, and absolute differences. Right panel: cumulative distribution function for offsets between BE74’s positions and the current astrometry.

& Epps (1974) from the NASA Astrophysics Data System. In a number of cases, the original Hodge & Wright Atlas was examined to resolve ambiguities.

Other than for a few bright targets, a semi-transparent window of the DSS2 red image from Aladin was overlaid on the pdf, at matching scales; where necessary, an image rotation was also applied, using the GNU Image Manipulation Program. In general, this allowed a positive identification of the BE74 target with a single object on the DSS2 image, for which a position was recorded interactively, and transferred to a data file by copy-and-paste. (With distance moduli of  $\sim 18.5$ , proper motions are negligible for these sources, so differences in epoch of observation are of no importance in this context.)

Correlating the results against UCAC4 (Zacharias et al. 2012) gave a positive match with a single target within  $5''$  in most cases, with a small systematic offset:  $\Delta\alpha = +0^s.11$ ,  $\Delta\delta = -0^s.6$  (UCAC4–Aladin). This offset is presumed to be due to small errors in matching the DSS2 images to the ICRS reference frame, so the interactively recorded measurements were corrected accordingly. After applying this correction, a second pass was made against UCAC4 with a  $2''$ -radius window to get final positions. The results are listed in the Table 1,<sup>3</sup> which is the main data product of this Note. Fig. 1 (left-hand panel) shows that the positional differences between corrected interactive measurements and UCAC4 are Normally distributed, and are less than one arc-second in the great majority of cases.

### 3. Discussion

The co-ordinates reported here are intended to establish precise positions for the objects marked by BE74 on their finder charts, independently of other investigations (largely to avoid any danger of propagating existing misidentifications). BE74 state that these charts, rather than their published co-ordinates, best define their targets, although a potential source of error is that they may not always have matched the emission-line star from their objective-prism plates with the correct object on the Hodge & Wright Atlas (cf., e.g., BE74 602; Table 2). Furthermore, in a number of cases multiple bright sources are present on DSS2 images (and sometimes on the Hodge & Wright atlas) within the BE74 identification circle; Table 2 discusses most of these instances.

<sup>3</sup> Tables 1–3 are available on-line at the CDS.

Of course, in addition to ambiguities and possible errors in the original materials, there is certainly also the potential for misidentifications and mismeasurements in the present work. Cross-checking the adopted positions against BE74’s co-ordinates initially disclosed six faulty results requiring correction in the former (all believed to be due to failures to ‘copy’ a correct position before ‘pasting’), suggesting a residual error rate from this source of better than 1%.

Agreement between the finally adopted positions and BE74’s original co-ordinates is generally satisfactory, with matches to within  $1\text{--}2''$  (Fig. 1, right-hand panel), although some discrepancies remain (cf. Table 2). The current co-ordinates have also been cross-matched to Skiff’s astrometry for HDE, Sk, and LH $\alpha$ 120-S sources, within a  $5''$  radius around the interactively recorded positions (Table 3, on-line). Where matches are found, the positional differences are almost all sub-arcsecond (essentially, the differences between UCAC2 and UCAC4 results), giving confidence in the assigned correspondences. In the few cases where larger offsets occurred, DSS2 imagery was re-examined; invariably, the differences were found to arise because the BE74 object is not a single point source.

Finally, it is perhaps worth concluding with an explicit comment that, while the positions reported here are precise, they may not necessarily always be accurate, for the reasons just set out. At the least, for critical cases the results in Table 1 should be considered in conjunction with the notes on individual sources given in Table 2.

*Acknowledgements.* I am grateful to Brian Skiff for correspondence; Jean Guibert for useful suggestions; and the BBC for its broadcast coverage of the 2012 Olympics, which facilitated the measurements reported here. The work relied on tools provided by the GNU/Linux open-source community, NASA’s Astrophysics Data System and, particularly, the CDS, Strasbourg; without these resources, this study would not have been possible.

### References

- Andrews, A. D. & Lindsay, E. M. 1964, *Irish AJ*, 6, 241  
 Ardeberg, A., Brunet, J. P., Maurice, E., & Prevot, L. 1972, *A&AS*, 6, 249  
 Bica, E. L. D., Schmitt, H. R., Dutra, C. M., & Oliveira, H. L. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 238  
 Bohannan, B. & Epps, H. W. 1974, *A&AS*, 18, 47  
 Bonnarel, F., Fernique, P., Bienaymé, O., et al. 2000, *A&AS*, 143, 33  
 Breysacher, J., Azzopardi, M., & Testor, G. 1999, *A&AS*, 137, 117  
 Cannon, A. J. 1936, *Annals of Harvard College Observatory*, 100, 208  
 Feast, M. W., Thackeray, A. D., & Wesselink, A. J. 1960, *MNRAS*, 121, 337  
 Fehrenbach, C., Duflo, M., & Acker, A. 1976, *A&AS*, 24, 379  
 Fitzpatrick, E. L. & Bohannan, B. 1993, *ApJ*, 404, 734  
 Henize, K. G. 1956, *ApJS*, 2, 315  
 Hodge, P. W. & Wright, F. W. 1967, *The Large Magellanic Cloud* (Smithsonian Press, Washington D.C.)  
 Kontizas, E., Metaxa, M., & Kontizas, M. 1988, *AJ*, 96, 1625  
 Kontizas, M., Morgan, D. H., Hatzidimitriou, D., & Kontizas, E. 1990, *A&AS*, 84, 527  
 Lindsay, E. M. 1963, *Irish AJ*, 6, 127  
 Martayan, C., Frémat, Y., Hubert, A.-M., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 452, 273  
 Meynadier, F., Heydari-Malayeri, M., & Walborn, N. R. 2005, *A&A*, 436, 117  
 Prevot-Burnichon, M. L., Prevot, L., Rebeiro, E., Martin, N., & Rousseau, J. 1981, *A&A*, 103, 83  
 Reid, W. A. & Parker, Q. A. 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, 355  
 Sanduleak, N. 1970, *Contributions from the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory*, 89  
 Westerlund, B. E. & Smith, L. F. 1964, *MNRAS*, 128, 311  
 Zacharias, N., Finch, C. T., Girard, T. M., et al. 2012, *The fourth U.S. Naval Observatory CCD Astrograph Catalog (UCAC4); VizieR Online Data Catalog*, 1322, 0

**Table 2.** Notes on individual objects. ‘BE74’ refers to Bohannan & Epps (1974); ‘HW’ to charts from the Hodge & Wright Atlas (Hodge & Wright 1967); ‘RPs’ to emission-line stars catalogued by Reid & Parker (2012; RP12); and ‘DSS2’ to the red Digital Sky Survey images accessed through Aladin. ‘A’, ‘B’ etc. refers to separate entries in Table 1.

BE74	Notes
2	NGC 1735 (cluster)
37	Identification uncertain. The object marked by BE74 is the northerly of two stars visible on DSS2; the companion is 6'' distant, SSE. A brighter DSS2 star (not visible on the HW B chart, but clear on the V chart) is $\sim 35''$ E.
44	Identification uncertain; BE74 co-ords and finder-chart object differ by 3'.6. HDE 269504 (Sk $-67$ 100; B0 Ia according to Fitzpatrick & Bohannan 1993) is the brightest object at the published co-ords.
51	BE74 mark a triple object on the HW atlas
54	Assumed to be the brighter ‘A’ (N) component of a pair on DSS2 (unresolved in the HW atlas).
62	Elongated (multiple?) image on DSS2.
68	Assumed to be the middle (brightest) of three DSS2 stars.
69	Assumed to be the brightest (S) of three DSS2 objects.
72	SW component of multiple object (as per BE74 notes).
74	Wrongly identified with RPs1077 by RP12 (correct match is BE74 75/RPs1077).
80	MFH2006 Cl1 (cluster; Martayan et al. 2006)
84	Brighter (E) component of double on DSS2.
109	Recorded as 2 separate objects in UCAC4; barely resolved in DSS2.
127	‘A’ is the brighter (W) component of a pair on DSS2 (unresolved in HW atlas).
129	BSDL 2450 (cluster; Bica et al. 1999).
139	Identification uncertain; BE74 finder chart and co-ords differ by 8'.5. BE74’s quoted co-ords are in RA sequence (as is usual), but the marked object is out of RA order, and is therefore suspect. The nearest moderately bright star to the published co-ords is UCAC4 116-009443.
143	Assumed to be brighter W component of pair on DSS2 (unresolved in HW atlas; companion 2'' E).
159	BE74 identify 159 with the Wolf-Rayet star WS4=BAT99-8 (Westerlund & Smith 1964; Breysacher et al. 1999), but this is not the star marked on the BE74 finder chart (Fehrenbach et al. 1976), which does, however, match LH $\alpha$ 120-S70.
162	Wrongly identified with RPs1757 by RP12 (RPs1757 is much fainter).
168	Elongated image on DSS2, probably multiple.
171	N component of double on DSS2 (secondary is 6'' SW, unresolved on the BE74 chart). Identification uncertain; BE74 identify 171 with WS6=BAT99-11 (Westerlund & Smith 1964; Breysacher et al. 1999), but this is not the star marked on the BE74 finder chart (Fehrenbach et al. 1976).
191	Elongated (multiple?) image in DSS2.
197	Double on DSS2 (unresolved on the BE74 chart).
207	Centre object of three (as in BE74 notes).
223	BE74 co-ords wrongly duplicate the entry for BE74 224 (7' to the south).
227	Typographical error in RP12; correct match is BE74 277/RPs2160, not BE74 227/RPs2160.
232	Brighter SW component of double on DSS2.
238	BE74 finder-chart object is 4' N of BE74 co-ords.
242	Wrongly identified with RPs1374 by RP12 (correct match is BE74 242/RPs1373).
246	Unresolved double in the HW atlas.
276	Southern object of pair is brighter on DSS2, and matches RPs988 (BE74: “Impossible to tell from which emission arises”). RP12 also (wrongly) identify BE74 276 with RPs989.
277	Elongated image on DSS2?
292	ID uncertain on BE74 atlas.
294	S component of double on DSS2.
299	NGC 1994 (HDE 269599, cluster).
360	Object marked on BE74 finder chart is 6' N of BE74 co-ords.
380	ID uncertain on BE74 atlas.
383	HDE 269828 (cluster).
394	Not marked on BE74 charts; several possible candidates.
400	Close double, emission-line (WR) star is W component (Sk $-69$ 223, BAT99-85; Prevot-Burnichon et al. 1981).
402	KMK88 91 (cluster; Kontizas et al. 1988).
426	Wrongly identified with RPs286 by RP12 (cf. their Fig. 4; RPs286 is much fainter).
434	Not marked on BE74 charts; several possible candidates.
439	Not marked on BE74 charts; two plausible possibilities measured (439a is HV 2774).
441	Elongated (multiple?) image on DSS2.
443	BE74 finder chart ambiguous. Wrongly identified with both RPs236 and RPs237 by RP12.
445	KMHK 1230 (multiple; Kontizas et al. 1990).
451	Wrongly identified with RPs1023 by RP12 (RPs1023 is much fainter).
477	BE74 finder-chart object matches HDE 268687/Sk $-69$ 13 (F6 Ia according to Ardeberg et al. 1972), but is 4' N of BE74 co-ords.
487	Two stars in BE74 identifying circle.
494	‘A’ is the object shown on BE74 chart; ‘B’ is not visible in HW but is brighter on DSS2 (and both are within the BE74 ID circle).
497	Two bright stars (septa. 6''8) unresolved on BE74 chart; ‘A’ (HD 32763) is the brighter object in DSS2, and matches Skiff astrometry for LH $\alpha$ 120-S149/Sk $-70$ 29.
499	Brightest (NW) object of three within the circle marked by BE74.
509	Distorted DSS2 image; two close objects in UCAC3 match this distortion.
518	BE74: “Perhaps emission arises from S and brighter member of pair” (agrees with Skiff LH $\alpha$ 120 S156 astrometry).
520	Uncertain ID (no object clearly visible on the HW B chart).
522	BE74 finder object is 7'.5 S of BE74 co-ords.
528	Elongated (multiple?) image on DSS2.
565	Identified with RPs1344 by RP12, but this is a different object to that marked by BE74 (16'' distant). However, RPs1344 is only $\sim 0.5$ m fainter than BE74 565; possible misidentification on BE74 chart?
567	NW (brighter) ‘A’ component has elongated (multiple?) image on DSS2. ‘B’ component matches Skiff LH $\alpha$ 120-S102 astrometry.
570	Misidentified as BE571 in Simbad at the time of writing.
574	Identified with RPs870 by RP12, but this is a different object to that marked by BE74 (12'' distant). However, the object marked by BE74 is very faint on DSS2, while RPs870 is almost invisible on HW; possible misidentification on BE74 chart?
578	SE, ‘A’ component is the only one of three DSS2 objects visible in the BE74 chart. ‘B’ component matches Skiff LH $\alpha$ 120-S104 astrometry.
579	Wrongly identified with both RPs873 and RPs874 by RP12 (both RPs objects are much fainter).
581	Identified with RPs886 by RP12; this is 1'.3 S of the marked BE74 position, but the BE74 object is very faint on DSS2. Possible misidentification on BE74 chart?
582	Two objects are marked as ‘587’ on BE74 chart; N object is actually BE74 582.
595	Wrongly identified with RPs443 by RP12 (correct match is BE74 596/RPs443).
602	Nova Mensae 1970b. Co-ordinates reported in Table 1 refer to the finder-chart object marked by BE74, but this is <i>not</i> the nova. 602X in Table 1 is the approximate position of the nova.
620	MHW2005 1145 (Meynadier et al. 2005); emission comes from the nebular ‘blob’.