

Directed Max Flow

Cheng Wang

April 8, 2022

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an directed graph with n vertices and m edges, a *source* vertex s and a *sink* vertex t , capacity u_e for each edge e . My method to find the approximate directed max flow of G have two steps. The first step is to reduce it to an undirected problem, then the second step is to solve the undirected problem via a variation of the multiplicative weights update method in the marvelous paper [E].

Through this article, we fix a ε ($0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$).

1 Reduction

We construct the related undirected graph $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ as follows(which is well-known in graph theory). We take \tilde{V} to be equal V . Next, for each arc $e = (u, v)$ of G we add (undirected) edges e , $e_s := (s, v)$, and $e_t := (u, t)$ to \tilde{G} , with the capacity of e being u_e , the capacity of e_s, e_t being $(1 + \varepsilon) u_e$ (i.e. $u_{e_s} = u_{e_t} = (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$). We allow \tilde{G} to have multi-edges. By the max-flow min-cut theorem, if the max flow value of G is F^* , then the max flow of \tilde{G} is $(2 + \varepsilon) F^* + \sum_{e \in E} (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$.

We will use the following definition:

Definition. (Magic Solver). For $\varepsilon > 0$, a *Magic Solver* for \tilde{G} is an algorithm that, given a real number $F > \sum_{e \in E} (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$, works as follows:

1. If $F \leq (2 + \varepsilon) F^* + \sum_{e \in E} (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$, then it outputs an s - t flow f of \tilde{G} satisfying:
 - i. $|f| = F$
 - ii. $-(1 + \varepsilon) u_e \leq f(e) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$, for all $e \in \tilde{G}$
2. If $F > (2 + \varepsilon) F^* + \sum_{e \in E} (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$, then it either outputs a flow f satisfying conditions (i), (ii) or outputs **“fail”**.

In fact, our directed max flow problem can be reduced to implement such *Magic Solver*.

Lemma. Given a *Magic Solver* for \tilde{G} , we can get a $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -approximation of F^* for G using binary search.

Proof Given any $F > 0$.

If $F \leq F^*$, we apply the *Magic Solver* to $2F + \sum_{e \in E} (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$, it will return a flow \tilde{f} of \tilde{G} satisfying (ii). For each arc $e \in E$, let f^e be the s-t flow send $(1 + \varepsilon) u_e$ units of flow through e_s, e, e_t . Let \tilde{f}' be a flow out of \tilde{f} by subtracting for each $e \in E$, the s-t flow f^e , i.e.

$$\tilde{f}' = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{f} - \sum_{e \in E} f^e \right).$$

So for all $e \in E$, we have $0 \leq \tilde{f}'(e) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$, $-(1 + \varepsilon) u_e \leq \tilde{f}'(e_s) \leq 0$, $-(1 + \varepsilon) u_e \leq \tilde{f}'(e_t) \leq 0$ and $|\tilde{f}'| = F$. That is to say the only direction the flow \tilde{f}' over e_s (resp. e_t) is towards s (resp. out of t). If we apply flow-cycle-canceling algorithm to \tilde{f}' , then the acyclic flow f we obtain will be directed flow of G satisfying $0 \leq f(e) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) u_e$ for all $e \in E$. So if $F \leq F^*$, we can obtain a feasible flow of G at least $F/(1 + \varepsilon)$.

If $F > F^*$, Same as the above analysis, we will either obtain a feasible flow of G at least $F/(1 + \varepsilon)$ or get a “fail”.

All these things allow us to find a $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -approximation of F^* using binary search. \square

2 Magic Solver

We will show that we can implement a *Magic Solver* for \tilde{G} via the multiplicative weights update method in [E].

First, we introduce some notations for \tilde{G} . If $\{\omega_e\}_{e \in E}$ be the weights of G , then we let $\omega_{e_s} = \omega_{e_t} = \omega_e$ in \tilde{G} . Also we use these notations $|w|_1 := \sum_{e \in E} w_e$ and $|\tilde{w}|_1 := \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} w_e$. Apparently, we have $|\tilde{w}|_1 = 3|w|_1$. We define the *congestion*, for $e \in E$, as follows,

$$\mathbf{cong}_f(e) = \mathbf{cong}_f(e_s) = \mathbf{cong}_f(e_t) := \left| \frac{f(e)}{u_e} \right|.$$

If we can design an (ε, ρ) oracle with respect to our new definition of *congestion* via the following algorithm, then by the multiplicative-weights-update routine in [E] we can implement a *Magic Solver*.

Algorithm

Input: The graph \tilde{G} , with capacities $\{u_e\}_{e \in \tilde{E}}$, a target flow value F , and edge weight $\{w_e\}_{e \in \tilde{E}}$

Output: Either a flow \tilde{f} , or “fail” indicating that F

for each $e \in E$, $r_e = r_{e_s} = r_{e_t} \leftarrow \frac{1}{u_e^2} \left(\omega_e + \frac{\varepsilon |w|_1}{3m} \right)$

Find an $(\frac{\varepsilon}{10})$ -approximate electrical flow \tilde{f} using Theorem 2.3 in [E] on \tilde{G} with resistances r and target flow value F

If $\mathcal{E}_r(\tilde{f}) > (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{10})(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \frac{1+2(1+\varepsilon)^2}{3} |\tilde{w}|_1$ then return “fail”
else return \tilde{f}

We only need to show that the above algorithm implement an (ε, ρ) -oracle.
Suppose f^* is a maximum flow of \tilde{G} . By its feasibility, for all $e \in E$
 $\mathbf{cong}_{f^*}(e) \leq 1$, $\mathbf{cong}_{f^*}(e_s) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)$ and $\mathbf{cong}_{f^*}(e_t) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_r(f^*) &= \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \left(w_e + \frac{\varepsilon |w|_1}{3m} \right) (\mathbf{cong}_{f^*}(e))^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{e \in E} \left(w_e + \frac{\varepsilon |w|_1}{3m} \right) \right) (1 + 2(1 + \varepsilon)^2) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) \frac{1 + 2(1 + \varepsilon)^2}{3} |\tilde{w}|_1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{E}_r(\tilde{f}) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \right) \mathcal{E}_r(f^*) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) \frac{1 + 2(1 + \varepsilon)^2}{3} |\tilde{w}|_1.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} w_e (\mathbf{cong}_{\tilde{f}}(e))^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) \frac{1 + 2(1 + \varepsilon)^2}{3} |\tilde{w}|_1, \quad (1)$$

and for all $e \in \tilde{E}$,

$$\frac{\varepsilon |w|_1}{3m} (\mathbf{cong}_{\tilde{f}}(e))^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) \frac{1 + 2(1 + \varepsilon)^2}{3} |\tilde{w}|_1 \leq 3 |\tilde{w}|_1. \quad (2)$$

So by Equation (1) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} w_e \mathbf{cong}_{\tilde{f}}(e) \leq \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) \frac{1 + 2(1 + \varepsilon)^2}{3} |\tilde{w}|_1} < (1 + \varepsilon) |\tilde{w}|_1. \quad (3)$$

And Equation (2) implies that

$$\mathbf{cong}_{\tilde{f}}(e) \leq \sqrt{27m/\varepsilon}. \quad (4)$$

So our algorithm implements an $(\varepsilon, \sqrt{27m/\varepsilon})$ -oracle, which implies we have designed a *Magic Solver*.

3 Conclusion

So we have designed a method to solve the directed max problem. We can also use our simple oracle to implement an improved one as showed in [E]. Since $\rho = \sqrt{27m/\varepsilon}$, the running time of our method is $\tilde{O}(m^{4/3}\varepsilon^{-3})$ plus $\tilde{O}(m)$ (for flow-cycle-canceling) equal to $\tilde{O}(m^{4/3}\varepsilon^{-3})$.

Theorem. *For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, the directed max flow problem can be $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -approximated in $\tilde{O}(m^{4/3}\varepsilon^{-3})$ time.*

As in [E], we can combine this with the smoothing and sampling techniques of Karger to obtain an $\tilde{O}(mn^{1/3}\varepsilon^{-11/3})$ -time algorithm.

References

- [M] Aleksander Madry's doctoral thesis: *From Graphs to Matrices, and Back: New Techniques for Graph Algorithms*
- [E] Paul Christiano, Jonathan Kelner, Aleksander Madry, Daniel Spielman, and Shang-Hua Teng: *Electrical Flows, Laplacian Systems, and Faster Approximation of Maximum Flow in Undirected Graphs*