

A Memory versus Compression Ratio Trade-off in PPM via Compressed Context Modeling

M. Oğuzhan Külekci

TÜBİTAK-BİLGEM-UEKAE
National Research Institute of Electronics & Cryptology
41470 Gebze, Kocaeli, Turkey
kulekci@uekae.tubitak.gov.tr

Abstract. Since its introduction prediction-by-partial-matching (PPM) has always been a de facto gold standard in lossless text compression, where many variants improving the compression ratio and speed have been proposed. However, reducing the high space requirement of PPM schemes did not gain that much attention. This study focuses on reducing the memory consumption of PPM via the recently proposed *compressed context modeling* (CCM) that uses the compressed representations of contexts in the statistical model. Differently from the classical context definition as the string of the preceding characters at a particular position, CCM considers context as the amount of preceding information that is actually the bit-stream composed by compressing the previous symbols. We observe that by using the CCM, the data structures, particularly the context trees, can be implemented in smaller space, and present a trade-off between the compression ratio and the space requirement. The experiments conducted showed that this trade-off is especially beneficial in low orders with a ≈ 20 – 25 percent gain in memory by a sacrifice of up to ≈ 7 percent loss in compression ratio.

1 Introduction

Originating from the idea of predicting next symbol according to the statistics collected from the preceding symbols, prediction-by-partial-matching (PPM) has always been a de facto gold standard in lossless text compression since its introduction. The major drawbacks of the scheme are huge memory consumption and slow speed, which prohibited its wide-spread usage in practice for a long time, e.g., although the original scheme was proposed in 1984 [3], it was not until 1990 [12] that the first practical implementation appeared as a consequence of limited memory and computing power at the time of initial proposal.

Many PPM variants [12,19,8,6,2,16], which improved the compression ratio as well as the speed, have been introduced during the last three decades. However, reducing the memory usage did not gain that much attention, and the advancement of technology has been assumed the only source of progress in that direction.

Today, it is true that we have plenty of memory in our computers, but also have many memory-hungry applications demanding more space as well. The situation worsens on resource-limited environments such as the mobile phones or hand-held devices that are surrounding the world in today's ubiquitous computing environment. When we consider the fact that the amount of data exchanged in wireless communication channels is increasing in an unprecedented rate, where the users are billed according to the number of bytes they transmit, data compression in mobile devices will apparently become more important in the very near future. Although PPM would be a strong option here, the statistical context modeling requiring significant run-time memory may lack its practical impact on those resource-limited environments.

This study investigates ways to reduce the memory consumption of PPM via the recently proposed *compressed context modeling* (CCM) [10] that uses the compressed representations of contexts in the statistical model. Differently from the classical context definition as the string of the preceding characters at a particular position, the compressed context modeling considers context as the amount of previous information that is actually the bit-stream composed by compressing the preceding symbols. We observe that by using the CCM, the data structures, particularly the context trees, can be implemented in smaller space. Based on this observation we present a compression-ratio/memory trade-off via CCM. The experiments conducted showed that this trade-off is especially beneficial in low orders with a ≈ 20 –25 percent gain in memory by sacrificing up to ≈ 7 percent loss in compression.

As the outline of the paper, the PPM type compression scheme and several major improvements achieved previously are reviewed in section 2. In section 3, we introduce the main idea reducing the memory usage in PPM by the integration of compressed context modeling. The implementation of the proposed PPM_{cc} is described in section 4 that is followed by the experimental results analyzing the trade-off between the compression ratio and the space requirement. We conclude with summary of the findings and future research directions.

2 PPM and Previous Improvements

In a Markovian sequence the appearance of a symbol at a specific position is assumed to be highly dependent on its immediate predecessors. Cleary & Witten [3] introduced the prediction-by-partial-matching (PPM) compression scheme based on this principle. The basic idea in PPM is to predict the next symbol based on its *context*, which is defined as the k -symbols preceding the current position. Assuming text T of length n as $T = t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$, the order- k context of t_i , $k < i \leq n$, is $t_{i-1} t_{i-2} \dots t_{i-k}$. The first step while encoding t_i in PPM is to check whether its order- k context has ever been followed by t_i previously. If the pattern $t_{i-k} t_{i-k+1} \dots t_{i-1} t_i$ has been observed in $t_1 t_2 \dots t_{i-1}$, the probability $P(t_i | t_{i-k} t_{i-k+1} \dots t_{i-1})$ is sent to the entropy encoder. Otherwise, an escape symbol is emitted with the probability $P(\text{escape} | t_{i-k} t_{i-k+1} \dots t_{i-1})$, which is computed according to the zero-frequency handling of the used statistical

model, and the length of the context is shortened usually by decrementing k by 1. The same procedure is repeated with the shortened context until a non-zero probability is obtained for t_i or the context length becomes zero. If the probability of t_i is still missing in the order-0 model, which means t_i is a novel symbol appearing first at position i , the encoding of the actual raw value is performed after the emission of the escape.

The compression performance of PPM improves with increasing length of the context. However, after some certain point the compression ratio begins deteriorating. On the Calgary corpus, it is reported that after order-6 the compression becomes going downhill [15]. That is due to the sparseness of the statistics, which accelerates rapidly with the increasing length of the context, and causes long chains of escape symbols. Therefore, much of the attention to improve PPM has been paid in the direction of computing the probability of a zero-frequency item, which is also a fundamental problem in statistics [19,4,20]. In that sense, different approaches of calculating the escape and symbol probabilities led to PPM variants such as PPMC [12], PPMP and PPMX [19], and FastPPM [8]. The PPMII [17,16] scheme of Shkarin introduced an information inheritance mechanism that the missing probabilities in long contexts are estimated from their shorter subsequences. More recently, a lossless compression scheme based on the sequence memoizer [7] has been introduced which improves the compression ratio by enhanced symbol and escape probability estimations.




Fig. 1. Context modeling techniques

Another direction of research has been to apply different context modeling [1,11] approaches that are depicted in Figure 1. In early studies [3,12,8] the context was assumed to be a fixed-size window of preceding symbols at a particular

position. As oppose to using this bounded-length windows, the *deterministic context* [5] was proposed with PPM*. While encoding the i^{th} character t_i , the PPM* first investigates whether there exists a string $t_{i-z} \dots t_{i-1}$ of arbitrary length z ($z > i$), which is always followed by the same symbol t_i . In case such a deterministic context exists, the encoding can be achieved very effectively. Otherwise, the PPM* switches to the classic bounded-length model. Instead of switching to a fixed-order model, the PPMZ [2] scheme following the PPM* proposed using *local-order-estimation* (LOE) that decides on the order of the context at a position according to a heuristic function. Therefore, the variable-order context idea had first appeared with the PPMZ. Another variant of PPM that uses variable-order modeling is the PPMVC [18] that also benefits from PPMII idea. Note that both the unbounded-length deterministic context investigations as well as the variable-order calculations require additional computational resources with an increased memory consumption.


3 Preserving Memory via Compressed Context Modeling

On a given text $T = t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$ of length n , where the individual characters are drawn from alphabet Σ , the order- k compressed context of t_i is the first k -bits of the preceding *information* that is computed via compressing the string $t_{i-\ell} \dots t_{i-1}$ for sufficiently large ℓ , $1 \leq \ell < i$. Assuming \mathcal{C} is a proper compression function, we search for the smallest ℓ such that the length of the bit-stream formed by $\mathcal{C}(t_{i-1} t_{i-2} \dots t_{i-\ell})$ is larger than or equal to k .


In this work, we use a standard 0^{th} -order Huffman coding as the \mathcal{C} compression function. Obviously, the Huffman code table should also be attached to the final compressed file, which brings an overhead. Since using a higher order Huffman will enlarge that overhead, the choice of 0^{th} -order is supposed to be a better fit especially in case of small files as in the Large Calgary corpus. One may argue that it is possible to integrate a dynamic Huffman compression as well, where we do not need to carry any additional information and also do not need to perform an initial scan over the file to calculate the Huffman tree. Since such dynamic approaches work better on longer files, they are not included in this study as we basically aim to see whether CCM can achieve a space preservation in its simplest settings. Future studies are expected to investigate more options in that sense.

The data structures used in context modeling are either the hash tables [13] or the context tries. Since slow speed is one of the major problems in PPM, most of the implementations in practice prefer context trie data structures to achieve fast processing. Each node in the trie simply holds the frequency counts of the symbols and pointers to next nodes. Figure 2-*a* simply exhibits such a trie in classical setting. Observe that the number of pointers and the frequency counts are in order of the alphabet size of the input data, where a standard general purpose implementation should consider the 256-bytes ASCII table in practice.

On the other side, the trie structure that is used when one prefers CCM is sketched in Figure 2-*b*. As oppose to the classical $|\Sigma|$ -ary context trees, CCM-



a) Classical Context Trie



b) Compressed Context Trie

Fig. 2. Context trie structures in classical and compressed context modeling.

integrated PPM implementation uses binary trees regardless of the alphabet size as the compressed context by definition is a bit-stream of just 0s and 1s. Therefore, at each node we do not need to reserve $|\Sigma|$ pointers for every character of the alphabet, but only two pointers for the next bit.

The gain in space via CCM reported in this study actually stems from that reduction of the size of the nodes in the context trie. Note that applying some dynamic data allocation tricks to use less space in the trie structures are possible. However, such attempts slow down the execution time, and hence, are contrary to the goal of achieving fast compression (decompression). Thus, we neglect these kind of programming practices.

4 Implementation Details

We have implemented the basic PPM (following the Moffat's study [12]), and its proposed variant PPM_{cc} with the compressed context modeling. While computing the escape and symbol probabilities in both implementations, we used the method proposed by Howard&Vitter [9] as this technique consistently achieved better than the other alternatives. For entropy coding/decoding, we preferred the FastAC¹ arithmetic coder of Said [14].

¹ Available at <http://www.cipr.rpi.edu/~said/FastAC.html>

The PPM_{cc} replaces the classical context modeling in the basic PPM with the CCM. In order to be able to compute the compressed context of a position via 0^{th} -order Huffman coding, we first make an initial pass over the input file and generate the corresponding Huffman tree. The probability of the next symbol is estimated according to the first k -bits of the preceding compressed context instead of the most immediate symbols in the classical PPM.

A major difference between PPM_{cc} and PPM appears while decreasing the context length in case of escape symbol emissions. Shortening the compressed context length by one bit is not appropriate as it causes long chains of escape sequences. Thus, after emitting an escape, we need to move up in the context trie in steps of a fixed number of bits, which we refer as *pitch* size throughout the study. Aiming to be compatible with the classical solution of decrementing context by one symbol, in PPM_{cc} the pitch size is assumed to be the *average code length* of the file that is computed during the generation of the 0^{th} -order Huffman codes.

5 Experimental Results

Experiments are conducted on large Calgary corpus to measure the performance of the CCM-based implementation PPM_{cc} versus the standard PPM.




Fig. 3. The compression ratios of the standard PPM and proposed PPM_{cc} in bits/symbol for different context lengths.

Figure 3 shows the compression ratios achieved by the classical PPM and PPM_{cc}. The pitch sizes used by PPM_{cc} are 6 for *geo*, *obj1*, *obj2*, *trans* files, 2 for the *pic* file, and 5 in all over the rest. The main concern of this study is to reduce the memory consumption, and thus, trading the compression ratio versus space is anticipated. In general, the competitiveness attained in low orders by PPM_{cc} could not be sustained in higher orders due to the increase in escape character emissions as indicated in Figure 4 plotting the number of escape characters emitted per symbol.




Fig. 4. Average number of escape characters emitted per symbols in PPM and PPM_{cc}.

The main factor effecting the compression performance is the ambiguity, which is more dominant in high dimensions, introduced in CCM. The Huffman codes are uniquely decipherable, and hence, prefix-free, which means the Huffman code generated for a symbol cannot be a prefix of another one. However, the corresponding codes of two distinct characters can share a common prefix. When the length of the $\mathcal{C}(t_{i-1}t_{i-2} \dots t_{i-\ell})$ is longer than k , the Huffman code of t_ℓ can only be partially included in the compressed context bit-stream, which might cause an ambiguity. As an example, assume that the Huffman codes of letters **m** and **n** are 1101100 and 111010000 respectively. If the last symbol t_ℓ in a sample context is **m** and there is only a two bits vacancy in the compressed context, then

we will append 11 to the bit-stream, which is also the initials of the code of \mathbf{n} . In such a case the first k -bits of $\mathcal{C}(t_{i-1}t_{i-2}\dots\mathbf{m})$ and $\mathcal{C}(t_{i-1}t_{i-2}\dots\mathbf{n})$ will be equal, and hence, an ambiguity will arise that eventually weakens the statistics.

Another similar problem is caused by the rare characters that have relatively longer Huffman codes. Let's assume we are using a 10-bits compressed context model, where t_{i-1} is \mathbf{j} with a 19 bits long code. This means we can only use the partial information of \mathbf{j} stored in its initial 10-bits. When this 10 bits long sequence is also a prefix of another symbol's code, the statistics of those contexts are unified, which in turn causes inefficiency in entropy coding.

PPM			PPM _{cc}			% of gain in	
order	Number of Nodes	bits per symbol	order	Normalized # of Nodes (\mathcal{Y})	bits per symbol	memory space	compression ratio
1	83	3.603	6	65	3.523	21.69	2.23
2	1909	2.907	10	1017	2.860	46.73	1.60
3	15205	2.474	14	10612	2.482	30.21	-0.33
4	65161	2.323	18	61910	2.365	4.99	-1.81
5	189280	2.325	21	168448	2.369	11.01	-1.91
6	417272	2.367	24	369295	2.406	11.50	-1.63

Table 1. Analysis of file *book1* in experiment 1.

The memory usage comparisons of the proposed schemes against the classical PPM is achieved by comparing the number of nodes in the corresponding context tries as this mainly determines the actual space usage. A standard node in an ordinary context trie has $|\Sigma|$ integers and $|\Sigma|$ pointers, where there are $|\Sigma|$ integers and 2 pointers in the CCM tries as indicated previously in Figure 2. Hence, a PPM_{cc} tree with x nodes occupy space equal to an ordinary tree with $\mathcal{Y} = x \cdot \frac{|\Sigma+2|}{2 \cdot |\Sigma|}$ nodes assuming that the integer and pointer types are of same size. We refer \mathcal{Y} as the *normalized* number of nodes in CCM trie.

On each file of the corpus we compare order- I PPM_{cc} against order- k PPM, where I is the largest number that the size of the CCM trie is less than the size of the classic trie. A sample analysis performed on file *book1* is given in Table 1. The alphabet of *book1* is of 82 characters. Thus, while computing the normalized node count \mathcal{Y} , we multiply the number of nodes in the CCM trie with $\frac{82+2}{2 \cdot 82} = 0.51$. The compressed context is decremented in orders of 5 bits that is the rounded average code length 4.56 bits measured according to 0th-order Huffman encoding. The summary of this analysis performed over all files of the Large Calgary corpus is given in Table 2. The best trade-offs achieved are marked in bold throughout the table.

Careful readers should have noticed that although the individual size of a node is reduced, the number of total nodes would be much larger when CCM is used as the CCM tree is much less sparse than the tree in the classical context modeling. Thus, the advantage of using small nodes will diminish with the

% of gain in memory(M) and compression ratio (C)												
	order-1		order-2		order-3		order-4		order-5		order-6	
	M	C	M	C	M	C	M	C	M	C	M	C
bib	23.17	-2.19	5.89	1.03	0.38	-5.69	8.58	-7.38	6.77	-7.28	4.44	-6.42
book1	21.69	2.23	46.73	1.60	30.21	-0.33	4.99	-1.81	11.01	-1.91	11.50	-1.63
book2	34.02	0.34	38.45	5.17	17.10	0.19	19.02	-3.86	15.95	-4.37	12.64	-3.93
geo	0.78	1.39	28.72	-1.78	14.60	-4.18	10.29	-5.84	14.95	-7.01	0.42	-7.48
news	35.35	-1.24	10.86	5.09	33.35	-3.83	2.88	-5.65	1.32	-5.85	14.47	-5.41
obj1	1.95	-4.10	4.72	-11.38	24.00	-13.49	7.71	-14.69	11.47	-14.50	1.75	-14.37
obj2	0.39	3.17	10.87	1.00	19.36	-5.31	16.18	-8.61	14.24	-9.25	12.14	-8.76
paper1	33.33	-0.80	42.74	-4.99	9.58	-6.89	6.96	-8.12	3.26	-7.53	14.86	-7.26
paper2	30.43	0.88	33.94	-0.33	31.87	-5.63	23.95	-5.94	16.78	-5.00	11.03	-4.56
paper3	23.53	-0.84	28.73	-1.48	27.59	-7.05	19.05	-7.36	10.97	-6.60	4.74	-6.21
paper4	19.75	-3.11	8.37	-5.92	7.23	-11.29	23.31	-10.95	11.08	-10.54	2.39	-10.52
paper5	30.43	-5.19	19.88	-10.01	12.29	-15.19	2.26	-14.19	12.68	-14.16	4.43	-13.99
paper6	31.91	-1.98	39.55	-4.9	73.95	-8.62	1.91	-8.93	17.03	-8.67	10.76	-8.27
pic	19.38	3.55	38.56	1.30	9.93	-3.98	24.36	-6.63	21.60	-9.75	14.61	-10.98
progc	31.18	-2.37	5.27	-3.28	11.66	-9.46	7.82	-9.69	3.66	-9.10	0.44	-9.20
progl	27.27	2.08	34.11	-3.17	1.02	-6.34	0.83	-7.80	17.05	-7.94	10.28	-8.00
progp	28.89	-0.07	41.22	-8.85	10.72	-10.50	9.61	-9.78	6.51	-9.68	3.28	-9.48
trans	36.00	-3.15	23.46	-4.00	29.07	-14.36	1.32	-9.56	1.11	-9.01	0.16	-8.64
AVG.	23.86	-0.63	25.67	-2.49	20.22	-7.33	10.61	-8.16	10.97	-8.23	7.46	-8.06
MAX.	35.35	3.17	46.73	5.17	73.95	0.19	24.36	-1.81	21.60	-1.91	14.86	-14.37
MIN.	0.39	-5.19	4.72	-11.38	1.02	-15.19	0.83	-14.69	1.11	-14.50	0.16	-1.63

Table 2. PPM_{cc} results.

increasing depth of the tree in CCM based implementation. The experiments complies with this observation that CCM integration is particularly more beneficial in low orders of PPM. The trade-off between the compression ratio and the memory consumption is depicted in Figure 5.




Fig. 5. The trade-off accomplished between compression ratio and memory consumption.

6 Conclusions

We have presented a technique to be used in PPM implementations, namely PPM_{cc}, based on the compressed context modeling with the aim of using less space throughout the compression. The investigation of the trade-off between the memory consumption and compression ratio showed that PPM_{cc} is beneficial especially on low orders, where the gain in space is much more than the sacrifice in compression ratio. The results depicted in Table 2 reflects interesting observations that the gain in space is much more than the the loss in the compression ratio. It is noteworthy that having a space improvement in low orders is of particular importance as it may help the integration of PPM style compressors in mobile environments with less resource requirements.

Future studies may consider using different encoding techniques while compressing the context such as the higher order Huffman codes rather than the 0th order used in this work. Methods to decrease the escape symbol emission rates as well as improving the prediction power with CCM will be significant with the aim of achieving better compression in less space, where instead of integrating CCM into fixed-length models, using the compressed versions of the other context modeling techniques might make sense. Studies to investigate CCM usage in other PPM variants may also be interesting.

References

1. Bell, T., Witten, I.H., Cleary, J.G.: Modeling for text compression. *ACM Computing Surveys* 21, 557–591 (December 1989)
2. Bloom, C.: Solving the problems of context modeling (1998), available at <http://www.bloom.com/papers/ppmz.pdf>
3. Cleary, J.G., Witten, I.H.: Data compression using adaptive coding and partial string matching. *IEEE Transactions on Communications* 32(4), 396–402 (1984)
4. Cleary, J.G., Teahan, W.J.: Experiments on the zero frequency problem. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Data Compression*. p. 480. IEEE Computer Society (1995)
5. Cleary, J.G., Teahan, W.J.: Unbounded length contexts for PPM. *Computer Journal* 40(2/3), 67–75 (1997)
6. Cleary, J.G., Teahan, W.J., Witten, I.H.: Unbounded length contexts for PPM. In: *Proceedings of Data Compression Conference*. pp. 52–61 (1995)
7. Gasthaus, J., Wood, F., Teh, Y.: Lossless compression based on the sequence memoizer. In: *Data Compression Conference (DCC), 2010*. pp. 337–345. IEEE (2010)
8. Howard, P.G., Vitter, J.S.: Design and analysis of fast text compression based on quasi-arithmetic coding. *Journal of Information Processing and Management* 30(6), 777–790 (1994)
9. Howard, P., Vitter, J.: Practical implementations of arithmetic coding. *Image and Text compression* pp. 85–112 (1992)
10. Kulekci, M.O.: Compressed context modeling for text compression. In: Storer, J.A., Marcellin, M.W. (eds.) *DCC*. pp. 373–382. IEEE Computer Society (2011), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/DCC.2011.44>
11. Mahoney, M.V.: Adaptive weighing of context models for lossless data compression. Tech. rep., Florida Tech. (2005)
12. Moffat, A.: Implementing the PPM data compression scheme. *IEEE Transactions on Communications* 38(11), 1917–1921 (November 1990)
13. Raita, T., Teuhola, J.: Predictive text compression by hashing. In: *Proceedings of 10th Annual International ACM-SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. pp. 223–233. ACM (1987)
14. Said, A.: *Introduction to arithmetic coding-theory and practice*. Hewlett Packard Laboratories Report (2004)
15. Salomon, D.: *Data Compression: The Complete Reference*. Springer, fourth edn. (2007)
16. Shkarin, D.: PPM: One step to practicality. In: *Data Compression Conference, 2002. Proceedings. DCC 2002*. pp. 202–211. IEEE (2002)
17. Shkarin, D.: Improving the efficiency of the PPM algorithm. *Problems of information transmission* 37(3), 226–235 (2001)
18. Skibinski, P., Grabowski, S.: Variable-length contexts for PPM. In: *Data Compression Conference, 2004. Proceedings. DCC 2004*. pp. 409–418. IEEE (2004)
19. Witten, I.H., Bell, T.C.: The zero-frequency problem: Estimating the probabilities of novel events in adaptive text compression. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 37(4), 1085–1094 (1991)
20. Wood, F., Gasthaus, J., Archambeau, C., James, L., Teh, Y.: The sequence memoizer. *Communications of the ACM* 54(2), 91–98 (2011)